In re: Review of Florida Power Corporation's earnings, including effects of proposed acquisition of Florida Power Corporation by Carolina Power & Light. DOCKET NO. 000824-EI

BAZEMORE, JR.

CONFIDENTIAL

FIFIED ORIGINAL

DEPOSITION OF:

TAKEN AT THE INSTANCE OF Tice of Public Counsel

PLACE:

TIME:

DATE:

REPORTED

Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Room 309

Tallahassee, Florida

Commenced at 9:05 a.m. Concluded at 11:25 a.m.

January 14, 2002

MARY ALLEN NEEL, RPR Notary Public, State of Florida at Large

CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPT

ACCURATE STENOTYPE REPORTERS, INC.
100 SALEM COURT
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 878-2221

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

0 | 665 | FEB | 2 %

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

to, so let's just pass for now on that. 1 2 BY MR. BECK: Okay. Pages 8, 9, and 10 of your response 3 to 104 contain the labor and head count for Strategic 4 Resource Solutions for 2000, 2001, and 2002. Do you 5 see the head count, for example, on page 2 for 6 December of 2000? 7 A Yes. 8 And then there's a number for 2001, a 9 different number, slightly greater? 10 Right. 11 But then on the last page, you see an 12 estimated head count for Strategic Resource Solutions 13 in 2002 that's different, materially different. And 14 15 the question is, why is that? We're under confidentiality? 16 Right. I'm trying to verbalize it without 17 Q 18 -- yes, we're covered if you need to be specific. Okay. The SRS subsidiary, we are 19 anticipating selling off two divisions of that 20 subsidiary and significantly reducing its operations. 21 22 And hence the lower head count for 2002 than we saw for --23 Yes. That's expected to be accomplished in 24

the first quarter of 2002.

25

1	Q which divisions do you anticipate getting
2	rid of?
3	A The software division
4	MR. SASSO: Maybe it would be better to
5	provide this in some other way. Do you need to
6	have the
7	MR. BECK: Okay. Hold off on that.
8	THE WITNESS: This is the Strategic
9	Resource Solutions subsidiary, SRS.
10	MR. BECK: Okay. We'll move on. We don't
11	need anything further at this point.
1.2	BY MR. BECK:
1.3	Q In your response to Interrogatory 104, we
14	did not see any information concerning Monroe Power.
15	And the question is, given the scope of the question,
16	why was no information provided concerning Monroe
17	Power?
18	A I don't know. It was an oversight if it
19	wasn't provided. As shown on the prior exhibit, we
20	show four employees. I do not know off the top of my
21	head how many employees are there. That was an
22	oversight.
23	Q And would the same be true for Eastern
24	NCNG?
25	A T don't think there are any employees at