EC) Data Request Undockeded ORIGINAL 2004 Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) Data Request

REDACTED

(Due by July 15, 2004)

Legal Company Name:_	<u>AT&T</u>	Communications	of the	Southern	States,	LLC;
TCG South Florida, Inc.						

D/B/A: AT&T

1. 10

1

FPSC Company Code (e.g.,TX000): TA062, TA032

Contact Name & Title: Lisa A. Sapper, Docket Manager

Telephone Number: <u>404-810-7812</u>

E-mail Address: lisariley@att.com

Stock Symbol (if company is publicly traded): ____T

If you are providing local service in Florida please complete the attached Tables 1-3. 1.

Response: See CLEC Tables 1-3 (Attached).

Please indicate which of the following services your company provides. Select all 2. that apply.

n
sion
rnet Access

CMP		
COM	3.	If your company provides pre-paid local telephone service, is this is the <u>only</u> service you currently provide in Florida?
CTR	No Stand Marilla Strategy and and and an	you currently provide in Florida.
ECR		Response: N/A
GCL		
OPC _	Notice of The Sector Sector And	
MMS_		AT&T Proprietary (Use pursuant to Company Instructions)
RCA		(Ose pursuant to company instructions)
SCR	Serve of Planets and Ardenan	1
SEC _		DDCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
OTH _	1	08346 JUL 30 3

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Please complete the table on the following page showing the different bundles that you offer by marking the services you offer along with the price and take rate (the percentage of customers that subscribe to the corresponding package) for residential and business customers.

		Local	Long Distance	Broadband	Wireless	Video Service	Price	Take Rate
	Call Plan	×					\$20	
	Call Plan Unlimited 2 Feature	×					\$24.29	
	Call Plan Unlimited 3 Feature	×		-			\$30	
	Call Plan Unlimited Plus	х					\$10.34	
Packages	Employee Offer	Х					\$30	
	One Rate Advantage	х	X				\$59.98	
	One Rate Local	Х	×				\$29.24	
	One Rate State	Х	Х				\$54.99	
	One Rate USA	х	х				\$54.99	
	Seasonal Suspend	х					\$10.34	
	Example	Х	Х	Х			\$89.99	
Business Packages	AT&T All In One Advantage						Varies	
	AT&T All In One Advantage							
	Term ABN						Varies	
	Advantage						Varies	

AT&T Proprietary (Use pursuant to Company Instructions)

4.

1

ž.

100

2

Please indicate below what vertical services are available in the bundles you (a.) offer.

3-way calling	
Caller ID w/ name	
Call Hunt	
Call Waiting	
Voice Mail	
Call Transfer	
Caller ID Block	
Repeat Dialing	
Call Return	
Call Waiting w/ Caller ID	
Line Guard	
Other (Specify)	

Response: See Attachment A.

How many of the above services are included in a bundle? (b.)

Response: See Attachment A.

Are these bundles offered in all areas where you provide service? If not, why not (c.) and do you intend to offer them in the future?

Response: These features/bundles are offered wherever we sell local service in Florida. AT&T provides residential local service in BellSouth areas, Zones 1 & 2. AT&T provides business service on a state-wide basis.

Indicate below whether you are offering or providing VoIP service to end-user customers 5. in Florida? For purposes of this question, VoIP service is defined as IP-based voice service provided over a digital connection. VoIP calls under this definition may or may not terminate on the PSTN.

X Not offering VoIP service in Florida. Offering business VoIP services.

____ Offering residential VoIP services.

If you are offering or providing residential or business VoIP service in Florida:

- (a.) List the locations in Florida where you are offering VoIP service. If you roll out service by MSA, list the MSAs; if rolled out by exchange, list the exchanges, etc.
- (b.) Provide residential price(s) for VoIP service.
- (c.) Provide small business price(s) for VoIP service.
- (d.) List all call features included with the service, e.g., call forwarding, caller ID, voice mail, etc.
- (e.) Check all that apply to your VoIP service:
 - Offer wireless VoIP service.
 - Offer wireline VoIP service.
 - 911 (Location information not provided automatically to PSAP).
 - E911 (Location information provided automatically to PSAP).
 - CALEA (Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act).
 - Telephone Relay Service.
 - Power Backup (If so, identify time duration below, e.g., 4 hours, 8 hours).
 - Time duration of power backup (in hours).
 - Directory Assistance.
 - Operator Services.
 - Equal Access to long distance providers.
 - Local Number Portability.
 - ____ Local Calling.
 - Long Distance Calling.
 - International Calling.
 - ____ Contribute to Universal Service Fund.
 - Require VoIP subscriber to also purchase Broadband service.
 - Offered as primary line service.
 - Offered as secondary line service only.
 - ____ Interconnected with PSTN.
 - Peer-to-Peer only (no interconnection with PSTN).
 - Use of public Internet.
 - Use of private IP network.
 - Call uptime 99.999%.
 - Use of numbers from the North American Numbering Plan Administrator.

6. If you are not offering or providing VoIP service to end-user customers in Florida, do you anticipate doing so? If yes, identify rollout month/year.

Response: AT&T was not providing VOIP service to end-user customers in Florida as of May 31, 2004. AT&T's CallVantage service was made available as of June 14, 2004 to residential and small business customers in Florida.

- 7. Broadband Internet Access.
 - (a.) With this data, we are interested in reporting on an aggregate statewide rather than a per company basis.
 - Provide the total number of **residential** lines and wireless channels over which you or an affiliate are providing broadband service in Florida.
 - Provide the total number of **small business** lines and wireless channels over which you or an affiliate are providing broadband service in Florida
 - Provide the total number of **residential and small business** lines and wireless channels over which you or an affiliate are providing broadband service in Florida.
 - (b.) What types of broadband connection(s) do you provide?
 - _X_ xDSL
 - ____ cable modem
 - satellite
 - fixed wireless
 - mobile wireless

Wi-Fi

- Broadband over power line
- Other (Specify)
- (c.) How do you provision broadband services? Check all that apply.
 - X Over own facilities
 - \overline{X} Over UNE loops

_ Over resold facilities (ILEC)

Over resold facilities (non-ILEC)

Over loops or channels obtained from unaffiliated entities (non-ILEC)

X Through line splitting agreements

(d.) Please fill out the following table providing the downstream and upstream data transfer rates and the monthly price for each tier of broadband service you offer.

Data Transfer Kate									
Broadband Service	Downstream	Upstream	\$ Price/month						
Residential									
			Style Blance						
54 1	C. And an Adam of the	And the Annual States and the	and the second						
Business	See Attachment C	angelant. Die seine meining							
	, to state the Section of								
	963 BAR 196	and the set of the set							

8. Have you experienced any significant barriers in entering Florida's local exchange markets? Please list and describe any major obstacles or barriers encountered that you believe maybe impeding the growth of local competition in the state, along with any suggestions as to how to remove such obstacles.

Response: Below are several examples of major obstacles or barriers encountered by AT&T.

- The UNE rates currently charged by Verizon, Sprint and BellSouth continue to be in excess of the appropriate TELRIC rates mandated by the FCC's pricing rules. In particular, the UNE rates charged by Sprint and Verizon prohibit CLECs from economically providing any residential service in these ILECs' respective territories. The UNE rate levels are a clear barrier to entry.
- Change Control -- BellSouth's change control process continues to fail to meet the needs of the CLECs, with insufficient resources, frequent unilateral rejection of requests, lengthy implementation intervals, and untimely correction of defects.
- BellSouth does not have standard intervals for Firm Order Confirmations, Rejects, or Provisioning Completions for complex services. This primarily impacts

number portability orders. CLECs need a standard interval in order to give customers a reasonable expectation of when to expect service. Currently, these intervals are "negotiated." AT&T would like to see FOCs and Rejects returned in 24 hours. Further, AT&T would like to see standard provisioning intervals for ports up to ten numbers of three business days.

- BellSouth delays the electronic submission of subsequent orders for two to three days. When a CLEC needs to send a second order after the original order is completed, e.g., to add Call Forwarding to a customer's service, an electronic order cannot be sent until the BellSouth systems update the billing records. This takes 2 to 3 days. Although CLECs can send a manual order, this impacts the CLECs ability to electronically track inventory.
- Hot cuts -- BellSouth's batch hot cut process does not include all types of loop migrations, does not provide batch provisioning, relies unnecessarily on cumbersome and expensive manual procedures, and has not been adequately tested to determine whether it is capable of handling mass migration volumes. BellSouth's hot cut measures are inadequate, including the exclusion of project orders, non-coordinated hot cuts, and performance standards that are inferior to those used for UNE-P. The penalty plan also requires improvement so that it creates an incentive for BellSouth to improve its performance.
- BellSouth will not provide UNE cross-connects to allow CLECs to efficiently split the data and voice portions of the loop, driving up the costs of providing this service exponentially.
- BellSouth will not facilitate efficient use of third party switching by allowing a CLEC to order a DS0 loop to be delivered to another CLEC's collocation.
- 9. Please provide any additional general comments or information you believe will assist staff in evaluating and reporting on the development of local exchange competition in Florida.

Response:

As evident from the Florida Commission's 2003 Local Competition Report, the residential and business local exchange market is still largely controlled by the incumbent local exchange carriers. In fact, nine years after the competitive revisions to Chapter 364 and eight years after the Telecom Act of 1996 the local market share statistics clearly show that BellSouth, Verizon and Sprint still maintain monopoly

control of their respective territories for the provision of residential and business local exchange service.

Market evidence strongly suggests that the incumbent monopolies continue to gain strength. For example, on July 19, 2004, TNS Telecoms, an independent telecom industry market research firm, reported that for the first time in more than five years, the RBOCs did not lose local market share within their respective territories. BellSouth, in fact, experienced an increase in household market share during the first quarter of 2004. As TNS Telecoms aptly points out, "these results do not reflect key developments within the U.S. telecom regulatory and policymaking arenas." Therefore, even with UNE-P being available at TELRIC pricing, the ILECs have been able to protect and strengthen their monopolies. The reason for the ILEC dominance in the local market is clearly their ability to bundle local service with long distance and broadband internet service (in some cases at rates competitors cannot match without pricing below cost), while denying competitors the ability to operationally and economically access their networks on a non-discriminatory basis at cost based rates that allow them to compete on a level playing field.

The D.C. Circuit Court decision, and the subsequent decisions of the FCC and Bush Administration to not appeal to the Supreme Court, further exacerbates the challenges facing the competitive industry. Notably, the D.C. Circuit Court decision in USTA II and subsequent FCC actions have forced AT&T to re-evaluate its strategic business plan. This resulted in AT&T's July 22, 2004 announcement that it would stop competing for residential local and standalone long distance customers. Likewise, on July 29, 2004, the Tampa Tribune reported that Z-Tel would stop trying to acquire local and long distance customers in 43 out of the 48 markets it currently serves. Importantly, residential and business consumers will ultimately be left with fewer choices, less innovation and higher prices for telecom service as competitors are restricted from using the essential cost-based network elements needed to compete against the incumbent monopolies.

Interestingly, the ILECs have alleged that the DC Circuit Decision was good for consumers, innovation and the economy. According to the ILECs, raising the wholesale prices that competitors pay, in some cases by up to 500%, will not have an effect on competitive providers or consumers because the competitive providers will be able to absorb these astronomical rate increases without passing them on to consumers. This supposition is belied by the facts. With the loss of intramodal competition (which will hurt the Florida and national economies), the ILECs will be in a position to raise consumer's rates with very few, if any, competitors in the market to keep the ILEC pricing in check. There is no clearer example of the lack of any market pricing control on the ILECs than a close examination of the ILEC's local pricing behavior since the end of price-caps. In the three years from the beginning of

2000 until end of 2002 BellSouth and Sprint have raised local revenues by \$280 million and \$60 million, respectively.¹

The ILEC price increases are against a backdrop of CLEC competition where it is estimated that residential consumers alone could save almost \$10 billion nationwide as a result of intramodal competition. Without the meager competition that now exists, the ILECs can be expected to continue to raise prices to whatever the market will bear. It is also estimated that the D.C. Circuit Court decision will cost small and medium-sized business consumers in Florida \$244 million annually, and will cost small and medium-sized businesses nationwide \$4.9 billion annually. Furthermore, this decision does not give the ILECs any incentive to provide consumers with favorable promotional offers, lower rates or new and innovative service offerings – those things which have been a benefit to consumers as the ILECs have responded to intramodal competition.

The only current way for competitors to economically and operationally serve the residential and business mass market is via UNE-P. The Florida Commission itself clearly understood this fact, when, in its 2003 Annual Report to the Legislature, the Commission recognized that "the availability and price of UNEs, especially UNE-P, are key determinants of CLEC market entry" and "the entry strategy of choice for many CLECs serving the mass market (i.e., residential and small business customers)" is UNE-P. Absent UNE-P for the residential and business market, or alternatively significant improvements that make it operationally and economically feasible to migrate customers to competitors on facilities, including a sufficient transition period, intramodal competition for this segment of the Florida population will likely cease to exist.

The Florida Commission recognized many of the obstacles competitive carriers face in its 2003 Local Competition Report. These obstacles remain significant challenges, and many continue to serve as barriers to competition. Specifically, the Florida Commission informed the Legislature:

¹ The ongoing rate increases pursuant to Section 364.051 should not be confused with the rate increases authorized by the Commission to accomplish switched access reductions. Rate rebalancing is revenue neutral to the ILECs. The rate rebalancing is an absolutely essential fist step to creating a competitive retail market structure that takes account of and helps reduce the anticompetitive effects of the ILECs' monopoly provision of switched access service to their long distance competitors at prices that are hundreds to thousands of times higher than the cost. Rate rebalancing is designed to help ameliorate the anticompetitive price squeeze on long-distance pricing created by charging monopoly inflated switched access prices to unaffiliated IXCs while competitively reducing retail toll prices to levels that are at or below the cost of the IXCs but above the cost of the ILECs. Switched access prices are the mechanism by which this is accomplished. A competitive market requires that these price squeeze opportunities be eliminated.

CLECs face a number of considerations in deciding on which markets to enter, the primary one being whether the company can expect to achieve profitability in a reasonable time frame. Some factors affecting profitability include the CLEC's own business model, the CLECs financial strength and credit rating, the level of local rates charged by the incumbent, economies of scale and scope, and whether sufficient customers can be competitively obtained to cover investment and operating costs. Population densities and income are very important factors also, as is recovery of customer acquisition costs. Customer acquisition costs can be significant as new entrants attempt to wrest long-time customers away from the incumbent and keep them long enough for payback. Other market entry considerations include collocation availability and cost, adequate and nondiscriminatory access to ILEC operations support systems (OSS), the timeliness and quality of ILEC installations and maintenance, and the availability of UNEs at reasonable (cost-based) prices, especially UNE-P.

Faced with increasing wholesale costs for both residential and business customers due to the actions of the D.C. Circuit and the FCC, not to mention the current Verizon and Sprint UNE rates that have already prevented residential local market entry in their territories in Florida, AT&T, and other CLECs (such as Z-Tel) have begun to exit the market. As the Commission highlighted to the Legislature, CLECs must be able to earn a profit, cover the high customer acquisition costs, be able to achieve (or at least overcome) the ILECs economies of scale and scope, and have access to essential wholesale facilities and OSS at reasonable cost-based prices in order to compete.

There is much discussion about "intermodal competition" from cable, wireless and VOIP providers. However, while intermodal competitive alternatives may provide some competition in the future, it largely does not exist today. For example, residential and business mass-market competition from cable companies is currently almost non-existent in the state of Florida. Wireless companies provide complementary service, but less than 5% of the Florida (and U.S. national) population has completely replaced their landline local service with wireless service. Furthermore, upon completion of the Cingular/AT&T Wireless merger, the RBOCs will control approximately 70% of the wireless subscribers served by national wireless carriers. The ILECs will not have any incentive to 'compete' with their own dominant wireless carriers nor will they allow their wireless carrier to cannibalize their wireline services.

VOIP will provide limited competition, but not on a mass-market scale. VOIP is still in the very early stages of market rollout, and nationally less than 1% of households have subscribed to VOIP service. In conjunction with Verizon's roll-out of its VOIP product, Verizon CFO Doreen Toben, recently proclaimed that VOIP is simply a niche market. More importantly, in Florida, approximately 19% of consumers have broadband service, which is required in order to subscribe to VOIP service. Florida consumers have only two potential choices for broadband connections: they must choose between DSL or cable modem service. Due to the anti-competitive practice by certain ILECs, such as BellSouth, which refuse to sell stand alone DSL service, the addressable market for consumers wanting to take advantage of the nascent, but innovative VOIP technology is further restricted in large part to the subset of customers making up the 19% broadband number who have cable modem service. This opportunity will be further tempered when the cable providers bundle their own VOIP product with their other services in a manner that will inevitably eliminate VOIP providers that don't also own and provide the broadband facility. While the reversal of the ILEC policy to restrict DSL service to customers who also receive ILEC voice service would greatly help expand the VOIP market, VOIP, and public policy goals to promote competition, still suffers from the problem that over 80% of Florida mass market customers will be denied the ability to have a competitive alternative to the incumbent monopoly absent UNE-P.

Finally, yet significantly, the large ILECs (e.g., BellSouth and Verizon) are remonopolizing the long distance market. Verizon has publicly stated to the Florida Public Service Commission that it controls greater than 50% of the long distance market in its territory. BellSouth, according to its second quarter 2004 earnings report, has captured 40% of the long distance market in its territory. In six short quarters, BellSouth reports that in Florida it has acquired 35% of the residential long distance market and 45% of the business long distance market. Nevertheless, these incumbent monopolies argue that they need further regulatory and legislative relief so that they can compete on a level playing field. The numbers clearly suggest that a level playing field has not been created for the competitive carriers who are trying to compete against incumbent monopolies who have tremendous economic advantages and who are unwilling, and often combative, wholesale providers.

In conclusion, the local, long distance and wireless industries are largely controlled by a few (largely unregulated) monopoly providers. Ironically, the industry is moving quickly away from a competitive model to an unregulated monopoly model despite the requirements of the 1996 Telecommunications Act and the 1995 Florida state law that require the local incumbent monopoly providers to allow entrepreneurs and long distance companies to have non-discriminatory access to the ILEC rate-payer funded networks. Local and long distance competition, benefits of lower prices and innovation for residential and business consumers, and

the Florida economy will be severely effected if the present course of the telecommunications industry is not reversed.

10. As of December 31, 2003, how much money (in thousands of dollars) have you invested in your network serving Florida customers?

Response: Information not available at this time.

11. Are you currently operating under Chapter 7 or Chapter 11 protection?

Response: No.

1

12. If your company filed a Form 477 with the Federal Communications Commission in March 2004, please enclose a copy of the completed form with your response to this data request. (NOTE: This form only applies to CLECs with a minimum of 10,000 access lines.)

Response: See Attachment B.

- 13. Following the D.C. Circuit's decision, the FCC called for ILECs and CLECs to negotiate.
 - (a.) Are you currently in negotiations with any ILECs?

Response: AT&T attempted commercial negotiations with BellSouth and Verizon, but did not reach agreement. Further, BST recently sent AT&T and TCG change in law notices and requests for negotiations. Negotiations will start soon to amend the existing ICA.

(b) If so, with which carriers?

Response: BellSouth and Verizon.

(c) Are the negotiations national or Florida-only?

Response: Negotiations were conducted with each RBOC on a regional level.

(d) Have you reached agreement with one or more carriers?

Response: No.

14. If so, please provide the name(s) of the carrier(s) and when you expect to file your agreement(s) with the Florida Commission. If you do not intend to file your agreement(s), explain why.

Response: N/A.

206 CLEC Tables

Please note that there are multiple tables in this workbook. Each table is on its own labeled tab below. Each table also has a sample table to illustrate the format in which the data should be provided.

The FPSC is asking for data on an Exchange level basis. Please see note in Table 1 for instructions on how to download this information from the NANPA website. The NANPA information is in an Excel spreadsheet. To obtain the Exchange information from the NANPA spreadsheet, create a new Exchange column and use the Vlookup formula in Excel to match the NPA-NXX from your information to the NANPA information and return the exchange found in the Rate Center column. See Example Below. Click on the cell in the new exchange column to see the actual formula. For help with this formula see the note below.

NANPA Information

NPA-NXX	Use	OCN	Company	RateCenter	Switch	Initial/Growth	AssignDate	EffectiveDate
239-202	AS	5750	HOSTING-NETWORK, IN	NCAPECORAL	FTMYFL50DS	1	10/4/2001	
239-203	AS	5750	HOSTING-NETWORK, IN	NAPLES	FTMYFL50DS		10/4/2001	

Your Information

Exchange	NPA-
(New Column)	NXX
NCAPECORAL	239-202
NAPLES	239-203

Please note that the NPA-NXX in your information must match the exact format used in the NANPA information (NPA-NXX) for the formula to return a value. If it does not, there are formulas in Excel (right, left, mid, concatenate) that can be used to reformat your data. This does not need to be a manual process. If you are using Access to manipulate your data, NANPA's spreadsheet can be imported into Access. Once the table is imported into your database, create a make table query that joins the NPA-NXX field from the NANPA information with the NPA-NXX field in your information. Pull down the Rate Center field from the NANPA table and the corresponding fields in your table to create a new table with the Exchange in place of the NPA-NXX. Please note that both NPA-NXX fields must be in the same format. You can manipulate your data or the NANPA data in Access to achieve this result. If you have any questions or problems, please contact Tabitha Hunter at (850) 413-6920 or at thunter@psc.state.fl.us.

Help using the Vlookup formula

Searches for a value in the leftmost column of a table, and then returns a value in the same row from a column you specify in the table.

The V in VLOOKUP stands for "Vertical."

Syntax

VLOOKUP(lookup_valuetable_array,col_index_num,range_lookup)

Lookup_value is the value to be found in the first column of the array. Lookup_value can be a value, a reference, or a text string. * The Lookup_value in the above example is the NPA-NXX off of the CLEC information.

Table_array is the table of information in which data is looked up. Use a reference to a range or a range name, such as Database or List. * The Table_array in the above example is the entire table of NANPA information. Please note that the array location will change when the formula is copied and pasted if you do not hard code it with "\$" (i.e., \$A\$11:\$I\$12). The "\$" symbol tells Excel not to change the location of the referenced cells.

If range_lookup is TRUE, the values in the first column of table_array must be placed in ascending order: ..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ..., A-Z, FALSE, TRUE; otherwise VLOOKUP may not give the correct value. If range lookup is FALSE, table_array does not need to be sorted.

You can put the values in ascending order by choosing the Sort command from the Data menu and selecting Ascending.

The values in the first column of table_array can be text, numbers, or logical values.

Uppercase and lowercase text are equivalent.

Col_index_num is the column number in table_array from which the matching value must be returned. A col_index_num of 1 returns the value in the first column in table_array; a col_index_num of 2 returns the value in the second column in table_array, and so on. If col_index_num is less than 1, VLOOKUP returns the #VALUE! error value; if col_index_num is greater than the number of columns in table_array, VLOOKUP returns the #REF! error value.

* The Col_index_num in the above example is 5 because the exchange information is located in the 5th column of the NANPA table.

Range_lookup is a logical value that specifies whether you want VLOOKUP to find an exact match or an approximate match. If TRUE or omitted, an approximate match is returned. In other words, if an exact match is not found, the next largest value that is less than lookup_value is returned. If FALSE, VLOOKUP will find an exact match. If one is not found, the error value #N/A is returned.

* The Range_lookup in the above example is false because we only want to find exact matches. If we used true, the results may be inaccurate.

Remarks

If VLOOKUP can't find lookup_value, and range_lookup is TRUE, it uses the largest value that is less than or equal to lookup_value. If lookup value is smaller than the smallest value in the first column of table array, VLOOKUP returns the #N/A error value.

If VLOOKUP can't find lookup_value, and range_lookup is FALSE, VLOOKUP returns the #N/A value.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2004 CLEC Data Request TABLE-1

(Data as of May 31, 2004)

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC TCG South Florida, Inc.

Company Name:

Company Code*:

TA062 TA032

CLEC TABLE-1: ACCESS LINES (VGE Basis) DO NOT INCLUDE UNE-P, RESOLD LINES, OR PRIVATE LINES IN THIS TABLE

Exchange	Res or Bus	Method of Service	Type of Service	Total VGE Lines	Owned or Leased Switch	If leased, name of company providing switching service
АРОРКА	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
АРОРКА	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
ARCADIA	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BALDWIN	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BARTOW	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
BARTOW	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
BARTOW	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BELLEVIEW	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BOCA RATON	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
BOCA RATON	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
BOCA RATON	Bus	UNE-L	analog		Owned	N/A
BOCA RATON	Bus	UNE-L	digital		Owned	N/A
BOCA RATON	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BONITA SPG	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BOYNTONBCH	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
BOYNTONBCH	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
BOYNTONBCH	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BRADENTON	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
BRADENTON	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
BRADENTON	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
BROOKSVL	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
CANTONMENT	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
CAPE CORAL	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
CAPE HAZE	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
CELEBRATN	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
CLEARWATER	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A

Page 1 of 7 July 17, 2004

CLEARWATER Bus SP digital Owned	1.1/0
	IN/A
ICLEARWATER Bus UNE-I Janalog Owned	N/A
CLEARWATER Bus UNE-I digital Owned	N/A
CLEARWATER Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
CLERMONT Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
CLEWISTON Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
COCOA Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
COCOA Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
COCOA Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
COCOABEACH Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
COCOABEACH Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
COCOABEACH Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
COCOADEACH Dus SP digital Owned	N/A
CORAL SPG Bus SP dilated Owned	N/A
CORAL SPG Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
CRESTVIEW Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
CRESTVIEW Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
DADE CITY Bus SP* digital Owned	
DADE CITY Bus SF digital Owned	
DAYTONABCH Bus SP analog Owned	
DAYTONABCH Bus SP digital Owned	
DEBARY Bus SP ² digital Owned	
DEERFLDBCH Bus SP analog Owned	
DEERFLDBCH Bus SP digital Owned	
DEERFLDBCH Bus UNE-L analog Owned	N/A
DEERFLDBCH Bus UNE-L digital Owned	N/A
DEERFLDBCH Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
DELAND Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
DELAND Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
DELEON SPG Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
DELRAY BCH Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
DELRAY BCH Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
DELRAY BCH Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
DESTIN Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
EAU GALLIE Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
EAU GALLIE Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
EAU GALLIE Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
ENGLEWOOD Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
ENGLEWOOD Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
EUSTIS Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
FERNADNBCH Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
FERNADNBCH Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
FORT MYERS Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
FORT MYERS Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
FORTPIERCE Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
FORTPIERCE Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
FORTPIERCE Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
FTLAUDERDL Bus SP analog Owned	N/A
FTLAUDERDL Bus SP digital Owned	N/A
FTLAUDERDL Bus UNE-L analog Owned	N/A
FTLAUDERDL Bus UNE-L digital Owned	N/A
FTLAUDERDL Bus SP* digital Owned	N/A
FTWALTNBCH Bus SP lanalog Owned	N/A
FTWALTNBCH Bus SP digital Owned	N/A

3

FTWALTNBCH	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
GAINESVL	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
GAINESVL	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
GAINESVL	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
GREENCVSPG	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
GREENCVSPG	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
GROVELAND	Bus	SP*	digital	10.110 C	Owned	N/A
GULFBREEZE	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
HAINESCITY	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
HAINESCITY	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
HAINESCITY	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
HOLLYWOOD	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
HOLLYWOOD	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
HOLLYWOOD	Bus	UNE-L	analog		Owned	N/A
HOLLYWOOD	Bus	UNE-L	digital		Owned	N/A
HOLLYWOOD	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
HOMESTEAD	Bus	SP	analog	Contraction of the	Owned	N/A
HOMESTEAD	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
HUDSON	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	N/A
HUDSON	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
HUDSON	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
INVERNESS	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
JACKSOLBCH	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
JACKSONVL	Bus	SP	analog	1	Owned	N/A
JACKSONVL	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
JACKSONVL	Bus	UNF-I	analog		Owned	N/A
JACKSONVL	Bus	UNE-I	digital		Owned	N/A
JACKSONVL	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
JAY	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
IENSEN BCH	Bus	SP	analog	and Margaret	Owned	
JENSEN BCH	Bus	SP	digital	and a second sec	Owned	
JENSEN BCH	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	
IUPITER	Bus	SP	analog		Owned	
IUPITER	Bus	SP	digital	And the second s	Owned	
IUPITER	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	
KENANSVI	Bus		digital	and the second second second	Owned	IN/A
KEVS	Bus		langlag		Owned	
(FYS	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
(FYS	Bue		Idigital		Owned	
USSIMMEE	Bus	SP SD	lanalog		Owned	
USSIMMEE	Bus	<u>SP</u>	digital		Owned	IN/A
USSIMMEE	Bus	SP SP	digital		Owned	IN/A
ADYLAKE	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	IN/A
AKE CITY	Bus	0P	langlar		Owned	N/A
AKE CITY	Bus	0P*	digital		Owned	N/A
	Bus	SP SP	langital		Owned	N/A
	Bus	5P	analog		Owned	N/A
	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
	Bus	SP*	digital	a second second	Owned	N/A
	Bus	SP GD	analog		Owned	N/A
	Bus	SP	digital		Owned	N/A
FEEDUDO	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
ELICHAODO	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A
ENIGHACKS	Bus	SP*	digital		Owned	N/A

5

LKBUNAVIST	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
MACCLENNY	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
MADISON	Bus	SP*	digital	 Owned	N/A
MARCO IS	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
MARIANNA	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
MELBOURNE	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
MELBOURNE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
MELBOURNE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
MIAMI	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
MIAMI	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
	Bus		analog	Owned	N/A
MIAMI	Bus		digital	Owned	N/A
MIAMI	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
	Bus	<u>SP*</u>	digital	 Owned	N/A
MONTICELLO	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
MONTVERDE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	
	Bus	<u>SD*</u>	digital	Owned	
	Bus	<u> </u>	digital	Owned	
	Bus	<u> </u>	digital	Owned	
NADLES	Bus	<u> </u>	digital	Owned	
NAPLES	Bus	 	digital	Owned	
NO NADLES	Bus		digital	 Owned	
NOET MYERS	Bus	 	digital	Owned	
NOPTH DADE	Bus			Owned	
	Bus		digital	Owned	
	Bus	<u> </u>	digital	 Owned	
	Bus		digitai	Owned	
	Bus	<u> </u>	digital	Owned	
	Bus		digital	Owned	
	Buc	<u>SP</u> *	digital	Owned	
	Buc	<u>SP*</u>	digital	Owned	
OKEECHOREE	Bus	<u></u> SD*	digital	 Owned	
OREECHOBEE	Bus	<u></u>	digital	 Owned	
ORANGEDADK	Bus			Owned	
ORANGEPARK	Bus		digital	Owned	
ORANGEPARK	Bus	<u> </u>	digital	Owned	
	Bus			Owned	
	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
	Bus		analog	Owned	N/A
	Bus		digital	Owned	N/A
	Bus	SD*	digital	Owned	N/A
OVIEDO	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
OVIEDO	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
OVIEDO	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PACE	Bus	SP*	Idigital	Owned	N/A
ΡΑΙΑΤΚΑ	Bus	SP	lanalog	Owned	N/A
ΡΑΙΑΤΚΑ	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PALM COAST	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PALMETTO	Bus	SP	lanalog	Owned	N/A
PALMETTO	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PANAMACITY	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
PANAMACITY	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A

- 14

Ş

Page 4 of 7 July 17, 2004

PANAMACITY	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PENSACOLA	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
PENSACOLA	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PERRINE	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
PERRINE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
PERRINE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PINEISLAND	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PLANT CITY	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
PLANT CITY	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
PLANT CITY	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PNTVDRABCH	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
PNTVDRABCH	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
POMPANOBCH	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
POMPANOBCH	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
POMPANOBCH	Bus	UNE-L	analog	Owned	N/A
POMPANOBCH	Bus	UNE-L	digital	Owned	N/A
POMPANOBCH	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PONCE LEON	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
PTCHARLOTT	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PTST LUCIE	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
PTST LUCIE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
PTST LUCIE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
PUNTAGORDA	Bus	 SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
REEDYCREEK	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
REEDYCREEK	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
REEDYCREEK	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
SANFORD	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
SANFORD	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
SANFORD	Bus	UNE-L	analog	Owned	N/A
SANFORD	Bus	UNE-L	digital	Owned	N/A
SANFORD	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
SANROSABCH	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
SARASOTA	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
SARASOTA	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
SARASOTA	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
SEBASTIAN	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
SEBRING	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
SHALIMAR	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
SHALIMAR	Bus	SP*	digital	 Owned	N/A
SLRSPGSHRS	Bus	SP*	digital	 Owned	N/A
SPRINGLAKE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
ST CLOUD	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
ST JOHNS	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
STARKE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
STAUGUSTIN	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
STAUGUSTIN	Bus	SP	diaital	 Owned	Ν/Δ
STAUGUSTIN	Bus	SP*	digital	 Owned	
STPETERSBG	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
STPETERSBG	Rue		diaital	Owned	N/A
STPETERSBG	Rue		analog	Owned	N/A
STPETERSBC	Bue		digital	Owned	N/A
STPETERSBC	Bue	SD*	digital	Owned	N/A
STUART	Bue	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	analog	Owned	
UT UAIL	Dus	55	analog	Owned	IN/A

STUART	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
STUART	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TALLAHASSE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TALLAHASSE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
ТАМРА	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
ТАМРА	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
ТАМРА	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPACEN	Bus	SP	lanalog	Owned	N/A
TAMPACEN	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPACEN	Bus	UNE-L	analog	Owned	N/A
TAMPACEN	Bus	UNE-L	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPACEN	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPAEST	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
TAMPAEST	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPAEST	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPANTH	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
TAMPANTH	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPANTH	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPASTH	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
TAMPASTH	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPASTH	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPAWST	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
TAMPAWST	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TAMPAWST	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TARPON SPG	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
TARPON SPG	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TARPON SPG	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TAVARES	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
TITUSVILLE	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
TITUSVILLE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
TITUSVILLE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
VALPARAISO	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
VALPARAISO	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
VENICE	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
VENICE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
VENICE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
VERO BEACH	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
VERO BEACH	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
VERO BEACH	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
WEEKICHSPG	Bus	SP	lanalog	Owned	N/A
WEEKICHSPG	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
WILDWOOD	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
WINDERMERE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
WINTER HVN	Bus	SP	lanalog	Owned	N/A
WINTER HVN	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
WINTER HVN	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
WINTERGRON	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
WINTERGRON	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
WINTERGRON	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
WINTERPARK	Rue	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
WINTERPARK	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
WINTERPARK	Rus	SP*	Idigital	Owned	N/A
WKISSIMMEF	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A

 $\hat{\phi}$

- %

WKISSIMMEE	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	I N/A
WKISSIMMEE	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	N/A
WPALMBEACH	Bus	SP	analog	Owned	N/A
WPALMBEACH	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	N/A
WPALMBEACH	Bus	UNE-L	analog	Owned	N/A
WPALMBEACH	Bus	UNE-L	digital	Owned	I N/A
WPALMBEACH	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	I N/A
ZEPHYRHILS	Bus	SP	digital	Owned	I N/A
ZEPHYRHILS	Bus	SP*	digital	Owned	I N/A
GRAND TOTAL					

NOTE: AT&T's ADL product (represented in the Method of Service column as SP*) is a T1 nodel product, with the last mile provisioned using specal access.

			FLORIDA PUBI	LIC SERVICE CON	MISSION
			2004 CLEC	Data Request TA	BLE-2
			(Data	as of May 31, 2004	1)
	AT&T Communications of	f the Southern Sta	ites, LLC		
Company Name:	TCG South Florida, Inc.				
	TA062				
Company Code*	TA032				
company code .				1	
CLEC TABL	E-2: DIGITAL ACCESS LINE	COUNTS (not VG	Es)		
DO NOT INCLUDE U	INE-P RESOLD LINES O	R PRIVATE I	NES IN THIS		
			LOINTINO		
4	2	2	-		
	2	3	4		
Exchange	Res or Bus	Line Type	Total Lines		
APOPKA	Bus	DS1			
ARCADIA	Bus	DS1			
BALDWIN	Bus	DS1			
BARTOW	Bus	DS1			
BELLEVIEW	Bus	DS1			
BOCA RATON	Bus	DS1			
BONITA SPC	Buc	001	-		
POVNITONIPOLI	Bug	DS1	-		
	Bue	031	-		
BRADENTON	Bus	051			
BROOKSVL	Bus	DS1			
CANTONMENT	Bus	DS1			
CAPE CORAL	Bus	DS1			
CAPE HAZE	Bus	DS1			
CELEBRATN	Bus	DS1			
CLEARWATER	Bus	DS1			
CLERMONT	Bus	DS1			
CLEWISTON	Bus	DS1			
COCOA	Bus	DS1			
COCOABEACH	Bus	DS1			
CORAL SPG	Bus	DS1			
CRESTVIEW	Bus	DS1			
CRYSTALRIV	Bus	DS1			
DADE CITY	Bus	DS1			
DAYTONABCH	Bus	DS1			
DEBARY	Bus	DS1			
DEERFLDBCH	Bus	DS1			
DELAND	Bus	DS1			
DELEON SPG	Rue	DS1			
DELEGINI OF O	Bus	D31			
DESTIN	Bus	DSI			
	Bus	051			
EAU GALLIE	Bus	DS1			
ENGLEWOOD	Bus	DS1			
EUSTIS	Bus	DS1		-	
		0.04			
FERNADNBCH	Bus	DST			

- 4

FORTPIERCE	Bus	DS1		 		
FTLAUDERDL	Bus	DS1		 		
FTWALTNBCH	Bus	DS1		 		
GAINESVL	Bus	DS1				
GREENCVSPG	Bus	DS1				
GROVELAND	Bus	DS1				
GULEBREEZE	Bus	DS1				
HAINESCITY	Bus	DS1				
HOLIVWOOD	Buc	DS1	-	 		
HOLETWOOD	Bug	DS1		 		
HUMESTEAD	Bus	DS1		 		
HUDSON	Bus	001		 		
INVERNESS	Bus	DS1	_	 		
JACKSOLBCH	Bus			 		
JACKSONVL	Bus	DS1		 		
JAY	Bus	DS1		 		
JENSEN BCH	Bus	DS1		 		
JUPITER	Bus	DS1		 		
KENANSVL	Bus	DS1		 		
KEYS	Bus	DS1		 		
KISSIMMEE	Bus	DS1		 		
LADY LAKE	Bus	DS1		 		
LAKE CITY	Bus	DS1		 		
LAKE WALES	Bus	DS1				
LAKELAND	Bus	DS1				
LEESBURG	Bus	DS1				
LEHIGHACRS	Bus	DS1				
	Bus	DS1	_			
MACCLENNY	Bus	DS1	-	 		
MADISON	Bue	DS1				
MARCOIS	Bue	051			1	
MARCOIS	Bus	DS1		 		
	Bus	DS1		 		
MELBOURNE	Bus	001		 		
	Bus	031		 		
MILTON	Bus			 		
MONTICELLO	Bus	DS1		 		
MONTVERDE	Bus	DS1		 		
MOUNT DORA	Bus	DS1		 		
MULBERRY	Bus	DS1		 		
NAPLES	Bus	DS1		 		
NCAPECORAL	Bus	DS1		 		
NO NAPLES	Bus	DS1		 		
NOFT MYERS	Bus	DS1		 		
NORTH DADE	Bus	DS1		 		
NWPTRICHEY	Bus	DS1		 		
NWSMYRNBCH	Bus	DS1		 		
OCALA	Bus	DS1				
OKEECHOBEE	Bus	DS1				
ORANGECITY	Bus	DS1				
ORANGEPARK	Bus	DS1				
ORLANDO	Bus	DS1				
OVIEDO	Bus	DS1				
PACE	Bue	DS1				
PALATKA	Bus	DS1		 		
PALM COAST	Bus	DS1				
DALMETTO	Dus Dus	DS1				
PALIVIETTU	Bus	001		 		
	BUS	001	-	 		
PENSACOLA	Bus	051	-	 		
PERRINE	Bus	DS1		 		

.8

1

		1			-	
PINEISLAND	Bus	DS1				
PLANT CITY	Bus	DS1				
PNTVDRABCH	Bus	DS1				
POMPANOBCH	Bus	DS1				
PTCHARLOTT	Bus	DS1				
DISTURCE	Bus	001				
PISTLUCIE	Bus	DST				
PUNTAGORDA	Bus	DS1				
REEDYCREEK	Bus	DS1				
SANFORD	Bus	DS1				
SANROSABCH	Bus	DS1				
SARASOTA	Bus	DS1				
SEBASTIAN	Bus	DS1				
SEBRING	Bus	DS1				
SHALIMAR	Bus	DS1				
	Bus	031				
SLKSPGSHKS	Bus	DSI				
SPRINGLAKE	Bus	DS1				
ST CLOUD	Bus	DS1				
ST JOHNS	Bus	DS1				
STARKE	Bus	DS1				
STAUGUSTIN	Bus	DS1				
STPETERSBG	Bus	DS1				
STUART	Bus	DS1				
TALLAHASSE	Bus	DE1				
TANDA	Bus	001				
TAMPA	Bus	DS1				
TAMPACEN	Bus	DS1				
TAMPAEST	Bus	DS1				
TAMPANTH	Bus	DS1				
TAMPASTH	Bus	DS1				
TAMPAWST	Bus	DS1				
TARPON SPG	Bus	DS1				
TAVARES	Bus	DS1				
TITUSVILLE	Bus	DS1				
VALPARAISO	Bus	DS1				
	Bus	031				
VERGE	Bus	DST				
VERO BEACH	Bus	DS1				
WEEKICHSPG	Bus	DS1				
WILDWOOD	Bus	DS1				
WINDERMERE	Bus	DS1				
WINTER HVN	Bus	DS1				
WINTERGRDN	Bus	DS1				
WINTERPARK	Bus	DS1				
WKISSIMMEE	Bus	DS1				
WPALMBEACH	Bus	DS1				
	Dus	DSI				
	Bus	DS1				
GRAND TOTAL						
NOTES/INSTRUCTIONS FOR	COMPLETING TABLE-2:					
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A The numose of this table is	to obtain a broakdown of digit	al accoss lines (r	concreted in Table 1) by	no type and actual	ine cour	to not VCEs. Do not include lines or channels such as winds lines that are not according to a such t
A. The purpose of this table is	to obtain a breakdown of digit	ai access iiiles (l	eponeu in rabie-1) by	ne type and actual	me cour	ite, not volts. Bo not include lines or channels, such as private lines, that are not connected to a switch.
B. Each field must be populate	ed. All entries must be made w	ithout quotation r	marks.			
TABLE COLUMN INSTRUCT	IONS:					
Column 1. List exchanges in a	alphabetical order					
Liet excitating of inte						
			1			

*

Column 2. Enter the abbrevia	olumn 2. Enter the abbreviation Res for Residential lines or Bus for Bus lines. Each service type must be entered in separate rows.							
Column 3. Enter Line Type as	Column 3. Enter Line Type as ISDN-BRI, ISDN-PRI, DS1, DS3, OC1, OC3, OCn (Identify value of n), VOB (If you are providing voice over broadband service), etc. Each type must							
be entered in separate rows.								
Column 4. Enter actual line count total, not VGEs, in the Total Lines column without duplication, EXAMPLE; Enter 1 for 1 DS1.2 for 2 DS3s, etc. Each actual line count total must be entered in separate rows								

jð.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2004 CLEC Data Request TABLE-3

(Data as of May 31, 2004)

Company Name:	AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC TCG South Florida, Inc.
	TA062
Company Code*:	TA032

* Your CLEC Company code is shown on the label affixed to the envelope in which this was mailed and on the cover letter.

CLEC TABLE-3: CLEC SWITCH DEPLOYMENT DATA

1	2	3
Exchange where Switch is Located	Packet or Circuit	# of Switches in Exchange
FORT MYERS	Circuit	1
FTLAUDERDL	Circuit	3
JACKSONVL	Circuit	3
LAKE CITY	Circuit	2
MIAMI	Circuit	3
ORLANDO	Circuit	3
TAMPA	Circuit	3
WINDERMERE	Circuit	1
WPALMBEACH	Circuit	1
Grand	Total	20

NOTE: Of these 20 switches, only six are local switches while the 14 others are toll switches capable of providing local service via DS1 facilities only.

NOTES/INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING TABLE-3:

A. The basis for this table is to obtain information about the switches you have deployed that are serving end-user customers in Florida. Please provide the requested information even if serving switch is located outside of Florida.

TABLE COLUMN INSTRUCTIONS:

Column 1. List exchanges in alphabetical order.

Column 2. Enter Circuit or Packet to describe the type of switches located in the Exchange.

Column 3. Enter the number of Circuit or Packet switches located in the exchange. The Grand Total of switches must be equal to the total number of switches, which you own and have deployed, that are being used to provide local exchange telecommunications service in Florida.