

Tracy Hatch Senior Attorney Law and Government Affairs Southern Region Suite 700 101 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 850-425-6360

November 12, 2004

BY ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director The Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Room 110, Easley Building Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000121A-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Attached please find the CLEC Coalition's Reply to Action Items from the October 28, 2004, Conference Call with staff regarding BellSouth's SQM Six-Month Review in the above-referenced docket. Pursuant to the Commission's Electronic Filing Requirements, this version should be considered the official copy for purposes of the docket file. Copies of this document will be served on all parties via electronic and U.S. Mail.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely yours,

s/ Tracy W. Hatch

Tracy W. Hatch

TWH/scd Attachment

cc: Parties of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the CLEC's Reply was served by

U.S. Mail this 12th day of November 2004 to the following:

(*) Blanca S. Bayo Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 3239-0850

Ms. Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Michael A. Gross Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc. 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Nanette Edwards ITC Deltacom 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802

Donna Canzano McNulty MCI 1203 Governors Square Blvd., Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301

John D. McLaughlin, Jr. KMC Telecom, Inc. 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043

Messer Law Firm Floyd Self Norman Horton P.O. Box 1867 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar Karen Camechis P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman John Ellis P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

McWhirter Law Firm Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Wayne Stavanja/Mark Buechele Supra Telecom 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Kimberly Caswell Verizon Select Services, Inc. P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110

John Rubino George S. Ford Z-Tel Communications, Inc. 601 S. Harbour Island Blvd. Tampa, FL 33602-5706

Renee Terry e.spire Communications, Inc. 131 National Business Parkway, #100 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701-10001

William Weber Covad Communications Company 19th Floor, Promenade II 1230 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30309-3574

WorldCom, Inc. Dulaney O'Roark, III Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200 Atlanta, GA 30328 IDS Telecom, LLC Angel Leiro/Joe Millstone 1525 N.W. 167th Street, Second Floor Miami, FL 33169-5131

Katz, Kutter Law Firm Charles Pellegrini/Patrick Wiggins 106 East College Avenue, 12th Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mpower Communications Corp. David Woodsmall 175 Sully's Trail, Suite 300 Pittsford, NY 14534-4558

ALLTEL Communications, Inc. C/O Ausley Law Firm
Jeffrey Whalen
PO BOX 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

BellSouth Telecom., Inc.
Patrick W. Turner/R. Douglas Lackey
675 W. Peachtree Street, Suite 4300
Atlanta, GA 30375

Sprint Communications Company Susan Masterton/Charles Rehwinkel PO BOX 2214 MS: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Miller Isar, Inc, Andrew O. Isar 7901 Skansie Ave., Suite 240 Gig Harbor, WA 98335-8349

Birch Telecom of the South, Inc. Tad J. Sauder Manager, ILEC Performance Data 2020 Baltimore Ave. Kansas City, MO 64108

Suzanne F. Summerlin 2536 Capital Medical Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32308-4424 Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP Jonathan E. Canis/Michael B. Hazzard 1200 19th Street, N.W., 5th Floor Washington, DC 20036

David Benck Momentum Business Solutions, Inc. 2700 Corporate Drive Suite 200 Birmingham, AL 35242

Russell E. Hamilton, III Nuvox Communications, Inc. 301 N. Main Street, Suite 5000 Greenville, SC 29601

> s/Tracy W. Hatch Tracy W. Hatch

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into the Establishment)	
of Operations Support System Permanent)	Docket No. 000121A-TP
Performance Measures for Incumbent)	
Local Exchange Telecommunications)	Filed: November 12, 2004
Companies (BellSouth Track))	
-)	

CLEC COALITION REPLY TO ACTION ITEMS

Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLECs"), AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC; Birch Telecom; DIECA Communications Company d/b/a Covad Communications Company ("Covad"); ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. ("ITC^DeltaCom/BTI"); MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.; and Network Telephone Corp., hereinafter collectively referred to as the "CLEC Coalition," hereby file the following responses to the Florida Public Service Commission Staff's ("Staff's") request that answers be supplied for the following Action Items from the October 28, 2004 SQM Six-Month Review conference call:

Action Item 1:

In BellSouth's September 13, 2004 filing, Item #6, BellSouth proposed to modify its Percent Provisioning Troubles with X days of Service Order measure to 14 days for design services and five days for non-designed services. CLECS to provide response regarding whether UCL loops are designed or non-designed service.

CLEC Response:

Both the CLEC Information Package for the Unbundled Copper Loop – Non Designed (UCLND) and the Unbundled Local Loop-Technical Specifications (TR73600) indicate that the UCLND loop is provisioned without a Design Layout Report or test points, thereby categorizing it as a non-designed loop. However a Data CLEC's (DLEC's) use of this loop is no different from that of a designed loop. The issue then, at least for the DLEC, is the inclusion of UCL-ND loops in the non-designed services category which would result in the application of a 5 day interval in which to determine if provisioning troubles exist and whether they should be captured in the results for the Percent Provisioning Troubles within X Days of Service Order Completion measure.

Al Varner indicated during the October 28 conference call that the rationale behind BellSouth's proposal to apply a 5 day interval to Non-Designed services and a 14 day interval to Designed services was to separate the POTS loops from the Non-POTS loops. It is important to note that the general population considers non-designed loops to be used for providing voice services only. So when BellSouth provisions a non-designed (POTS type) loop to an end-user's premises and that loop is used for voice services, the technician will know right away whether or not the voice service is working or has failed.

The same is not true when BellSouth provisions a UCL-ND loop for a DLEC. The provisioning process is only the first part of the process in providing DSL services. The DLEC's ability to assess the functionality of an end-user's service is dependant upon whether the CPE equipment has been properly installed and configured (the Installation Interval). Since the end-user has 2 choices for installing the CPE equipment, the Installation Interval may be further impacted. The end-user can install the equipment themselves (a self-install) or they can have a Covad technician complete the install for them (a professional install). Obviously a professional installation will require more time to schedule an appointment with the end-user and to dispatch the technician to their premises. Scheduling an appointment alone may add several days to the Installation Interval. It complicates matters even more if the end-user is a no-show because the process must be repeated, in which case, the installation interval will be extended even further.

All things considered, it is clear that an interval of 5 days from BellSouth's completion of the service order does not allow enough time for the DLEC to complete the installation and test the functionality of the service, then isolate possible provisioning troubles in the event the service does not work. For the reasons outlined above, the CLECs request that BellSouth include the UCL-ND loop in the designed services category which would allow a 14 day interval to apply for determining the existence of troubles that may be related to the provisioning process.

Action Item 2:

In BellSouth's September 13, 2004 filing, Item #10, BellSouth provided explanation of why it is proposing to change the standard for measure P7B from 5 hours to diagnostic. CLECs are to respond to BellSouth's rationale.

CLEC Response:

CLECs found BellSouth's response somewhat confusing. First, BellSouth indicated that the troubles often involved complicated repair solutions. It then stated that "only 31 orders" had intervals greater than 5 hours. It is unclear whether BellSouth wants to be relieved of a quality of service obligation because these types of problems are hard to solve or whether they are concerned about small sample size. CLECs strongly object to BellSouth's claims that complexity should permit lack of standards, but are willing to consider the changes to the measure that will permit use of the Small Sample Benchmark Table. CLECs recommend a benchmark of 95% within 2 hours. The change from 100% to 95% addresses the small sample size, and the interval of 2 hours (which was requested in the CLEC Coalition's July 28, 2004 filing) addresses the need for quality service.

Additionally, the CLECs were asked to review BellSouth's revisions to P-7B to determine whether BellSouth's additional calculation in this measure of the "% of Items with No Troubles" eliminated the CLEC need for the additional measure requested in its July 28, 2004 filing entitled "P-7D—Coordinated/Non-Coordinated Customer Conversions -% without Service Disruption.

Response: No, it does not, minimally for the following reasons:

- It has no performance standard.
- It does not include non-coordinated cuts.

Action Item 3:

CLECs to clarify the Verizon measures of Timeliness of Completion Notices filed on October 14, 2004 and provide an example of a performance measures report for these measures.

CLEC Response:

Verizon has three measures related to billing completion notices.

First, measure OR-4-17 - % Billing Completion Notifiers (BCN) sent within two Business Days is described on page 13 of the CLEC Coalition's October 14 filing. This measure is reported for EDI orders only, is reported separately for resale and UNE, but does not provide product specific disaggregation. This measure is included in Verizon's penalty plan.

Second, measure OR-4-11- % Completed Orders with neither a PCN or BCN sent is described on pages 11 and 12 of the CLEC Coalition's October 14 filing. It serves a similar "completeness" purpose as BellSouth's measure O-11 does for FOCs and rejections. This measure is included in Verizon's penalty plan.

Third, measure OR-10 – PON Notifier Exception Resolution Timeliness is described on pages 13 and 14 of the CLEC Coalition's October 14 filing. It measures how quickly trouble tickets for missing notifiers, including billing completion notifiers, are resolved.

This measure is included in Verizon's penalty plan.

See Attachment "A" for Verizon's August 2004 performance report for these measures.

Action Item 4:

CLECs to provide a copy of the proposed disaggregation AT&T provided Staff.

CLEC Response:

See Attachment "B".

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of November, 2004.

CLEC COALITION

s/ Tracy Hatch

Tracy Hatch

AT&T Communications of the Southern States,

LLC

101 N. Monroe St., Suite 700

Tallahassee, FL 32301

s/ Rose Mulvany Henry

Rose Mulvany Henry

Vice President of Regulatory Affairs

Birch Telecom, Inc.

2020 Baltimore Avenue

Kansas City, MO 64108

s/ Gene Watkins

Charles E. (Gene) Watkins

Senior Counsel, DIECA Communications, Inc.

d/b/a Covad Communications Co.

1230 Peachtree Street, N.E.

19th Floor

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

s/ Nanette Edwards

ITC^Deltacom/BTI

Nanette S. Edwards

4092 South Memorial Parkway

Huntsville, AL 35802-4343

s/ Donna McNulty

Donna Canzano McNulty

MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. 1203 Governors Square Blvd., Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301

s/ Floyd Self

Counsel for MCI
Floyd Self
Messer, Caparello & Self
215 South Monroe St Ste 701
PO Box 1876
Tallahassee Fl 32302-1876

s/ Margaret Ring

Margaret Ring
Director, Regulatory & Governmental Affairs
Network Telephone Corp.
3300 North Pace Boulevard
Pensacola, FL 32505

Carrier to Carrier

Performance Standards and Reports Verizon Pennsylvania August 2004

CLEC Aggregate Performance ORDERING - RESALE POTS / SPECIAL SERVICES

	RESALE Pre-Ordering		Actual Performance CLEC	Number of Observations
Metric #	PO-3 - Contact Center Availability	Standard	Aggregate	All CLECs
PO-3-02-1000 PO-3-04-1000	% Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordering**** & % Answered within 30 Seconds - Repair** &	80% within 30 Seconds 80% within 30 Seconds	87.11 75.68	14566 145907
	RESALE Ordering			
OR-8-01-2000	OR-8 - Acknowledgement Timeliness % Acknowledgements on Time	95% within 2 Hours	100.00	2780
OR-9-01-2000	OR-9 - Order Acknowledgement Completeness % Acknowledgement Completeness	99%	100.00	2780
OR-10-01-1000 OR-10-02-1000	OR-10 - PON Notifier Exception Resolution Timeliness % of PON Exceptions Resolved Within Three (3) Business Days % of PON Exceptions Resolved Within Ten (10) Business Days	95% within 3 Business Days 99% within 10 Business Days	99.48 100.00	959 959
	POTS & Pre-qualified Complex - Electronically Submitted			
OR-1-02-2320 OR-1-04-2320 OR-1-06-2320	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness % On Time LSRC – Flow Through % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check	95% within 2 Hours 95% within 24 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	98.45 98.41 98.61	1874 439 72
OR-2-02-2320 OR-2-04-2320 OR-2-06-2320	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness % On Time LSR Reject – Flow Through % On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check % On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 2 Hours 95% within 24 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	99.71 99.25 95.83	1032 267 24
	2 Wire Digital Services			
OR-1-04-2341 OR-1-06-2341	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	92.31 NA	13
OR-2-04-2341 OR-2-06-2341	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification % On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check % On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	83.33 100.00	6 2
	POTS / Special Services - Aggregate			
OR-3-01-2000 OR-3-02-1000	OR-3 - Percent Rejects % Rejects % LSR Resubmission Not Rejected	No Standard 95%	36.27 100.00	3841 6
OR-4-11-1000 OR-4-16-1000 OR-4-17-1000	OR-4 - Timeliness of Completion Notification % Completed orders with neither a PCN nor BCN sent % Provisioning Completion Notifiers sent within one (1) Business Day % Billing Completion Notifier sent within two (2) Business Days	0.25% 95% 95%	1.88 96.92 92.09	95116 95116 95116
OR-5-01-2000 OR-5-03-2000	OR-5 - Percent Flow-Through % Flow Through - Total % Flow Through Achieved	No Standard Developed 95%	76.85 97.06	2449 1939
OR-6-01-2000 OR-6-03-2000 OR-6-04-2000	OR-6 - Order Accuracy % Service Order Accuracy* % Accuracy – LSRC % Accuracy – LSR/DSR White Page Listing	95% Orders without Errors not more than 5% 98%	94.01 0.00 TR	384 654
OR-7-01-2000	OR-7 - Order Completeness % Order Confirmation/Rejects sent within 3 Business Days	95%	99.50	3599
	Special Services - Electronically Submitted			
OR-1-04-2210 OR-1-04-2211 OR-1-04-2213 OR-1-04-2214 OR-1-06-2210 OR-1-06-2211 OR-1-06-2213 OR-1-06-2214	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check DS0 % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check DS1 % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check DS3 % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check (Non DS0, DS1, & DS3) % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS0 % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS1 % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS1 % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS3 % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS3 % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check (Non DS0, DS1, & DS3)	95% within 48 Hours 95% within 48 Hours 95% within 49 Hours 95% within 49 Hours 95% within 72 Hours 95% within 72 Hours 95% within 72 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	NA NA NA 81.82 NA NA NA	11
OR-2-04-2200 OR-2-06-2200	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness % On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check % On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check [Legend Notations defined on Legend sheet - last page	95% within 48 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	80.00 NA	5
	Legena ractations delined on Legena sheet - last page	_		

Carrier to Carrier Performance Standards and Reports Verizon Pennsylvania August 2004

CLEC Aggregate Performance ORDERING - UNE POTS / SPECIAL SERVICES

	UNE Pre-ordering		CLEC	CLEC
Metric #		Standard	Aggregate Performance	Aggregate Observations
PO-3-02-1000	PO-3 - Contact Center Availability % Answered within 30 Seconds - Ordering****&	80% within 30 Seconds	87.11	14566
PO-3-04-1000	% Answered within 30 Seconds Repair**&	80% within 30 Seconds	75.68	145907
	UNE Ordering OR-8 - Acknowledgement Timeliness			
OR-8-01-3000	% Acknowledgements on Time	95% within 2 Hours	100.00	122781
OR-9-01-3000	OR-9 - Order Acknowledgement Completeness % Acknowledgement Completeness	99%	100.00	122781
OR-10-01-1000 OR-10-02-1000	OR-10 - PON Notifier Exception Resolution Timeliness % of PON Exceptions Resolved Within Three (3) Business Days % of PON Exceptions Resolved Within Ten (10) Business Days	95% within 3 Business Days 99% within 10 Business Days	99.48 100.00	959 959
	Platform			
	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness			05700
OR-1-02-3140 OR-1-04-3140	% On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check	95% within 2 Hours 95% within 24 Hours	99.61 95.04	85709 6225
OR-1-06-3140	% On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	98.52	473
OR-2-02-3140	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness % On Time LSR Reject - Flow Through	95% within 2 Hours	99.68	11827
OR-2-04-3140 OR-2-06-3140	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check % On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 24 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	97.78 100.00	2255 282
OR-6-01-3140	OR-6 - Order Accuracy % Service Order Accuracy*	95% orders without errors	94.87	448
OR-6-03-3140 OR-6-04-3143	% Accuracy – LSRC % Accuracy – LSR/DSR White Page Listing	not more than 5% 98%	0.00 TR	7880
	OR-7 - Order Completeness			
OR-7-01-3140	% Order Confirmation/Rejects sent within 3 Business Days	95%	99.92	100544
	Loop/Pre-qualified Complex/LNP			
OR-1-02-3331	% On Time LSRC – Flow Through	95% within 2 Hours	99.72	27190
OR-1-04-3331 OR-1-06-3331	% On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check % On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check	95% within 24 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	98.72 98.10	3603 421
OR-2-02-3331	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness % On Time LSR Reject – Flow Through	95% within 2 Hours	99.74	6842
OR-2-04-3331 OR-2-06-3331	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check % On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 24 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	99.81 100.00	1077 129
OR-2-00-3331	OR-6 - Order Accuracy	55 W WILLIE 72 FOULS	100.00	120
OR-6-01-3331 OR-6-03-3331	% Service Order Accuracy* % Accuracy – LSRC	95% orders without errors not more than 5%	95.22 0.00	439 4922
OR-6-04-3331	% Accuracy – LSR/DSR White Page Listing	98%	TR	7022
OR-7-01-3331	OR-7 - Order Completeness % Order Confirmation/Rejects sent within 3 Business Days	95%	99.87	38806
	2 Wire Digital Services			
OR-1-04-3341	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	100.00	15
OR-1-06-3341	% On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	100.00	2
OR-2-04-3341	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification % On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	100.00	2
OR-2-06-3341	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	NA .	
	2 Wire xDSL Loops			
OR-1-04-3342	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	100.00	53
OR-1-06-3342	% On Time LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	100.00	1
OR-2-04-3342	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification % On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	100.00	13
OR-2-06-3342	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	NA	L
	2 Wire xDSL Line Sharing & Line Splitting			
OR-1-04-3340	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification % On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	100.00	48
OR-1-06-3340	% On Time LSRC/ASRC - Facility Check OR-2 - Reject Timeliness - Regulating Loop Qualification	95% within 72 Hours	NA NA	
OR-2-04-3340 OR-2-06-3340	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness - Requiring Loop Qualification On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours 95% within 72 Hours	100.00 NA	15
UN+2+00-3340	continued			

Page 2 of 4 For Public Inspection

Carrier to Carrier Performance Standards and Reports Verizon Pennsylvania August 2004

CLEC Aggregate Performance ORDERING - UNE POTS / SPECIAL SERVICES

	POTS / Special Services - Aggregate			
Metric #		Standard	CLEC Aggregate Performance	CLEC Aggregate Observations
	OR-3 - Percent Rejects			
OR-3-01-3000	% Rejects (ASRs + LSRs)	No Standard	15.53	149413
OR-3-02-1000	% LSR Resubmission Not Rejected	95%	100.00	6
	OR-4 - Timeliness of Completion Notification		100	95116
OR-4-11-1000	% Completed orders with neither a PCN nor BCN sent	0.25% 95%	1.86 96.92	95116
OR-4-16-1000	% Provisioning Completion Notifiers sent within one (1) Business Day % Billing Completion Notifier sent within two (2) Business Days	95%	92.09	95116
OR-4-17-1000	% Billing Completion reduiter sent within two (2) business Days	50%	92.03	1 20110
	OR-5 - Percent Flow-Through	No Standard Developed	91.05	124947
OR-5-01-3000 OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Through - Total % Flow Through Achieved	95%	96.86	117450
OR-5-03-3000	% Flow Tillough Achieved	552	00:00	1.11.17.7
	Special Services - Electronically Submitted			
	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness (ASRs + LSRs)	•		
OR-1-04-3210	% On Time LSRC/ASRC No Facility Check DS0	95% within 48 Hours	100.00	1 1
OR-1-06-3210	% On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS0	95% within 72 Hours	NA 97.79	589
OR-1-06-3211	% On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS1	95% within 72 Hours	80.36	56
OR-1-06-3213	% On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check DS3	95% within 72 Hours	80.38	30
OR-1-06-3214	% On Time LSRC/ASRC Facility Check (Non DS0, Non DS1, & Non DS3)	95% within 72 Hours	80.00	5
	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness (ASRs + LSRs)	_		
OR-2-04-3200	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject No Facility Check	95% within 48 Hours	95.65	46
OR-2-06-3200	% On Time LSR/ASR Reject Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours	97.62	126
	Special Services - FAX/MAIL Submitted	l		
	OR-1 - Order Confirmation Timeliness	-		
OR-1-08-3210	% On Time ASRC No Facility Check DS0	95% within 72 Hours	NA NA	
OR-1-10-3210	% On Time ASRC Facility Check DS0	95% within 96 Hours	NA NA	
OR-1-10-3211	% On Time ASRC Facility Check DS1	95% within 96 Hours 95% within 96 Hours	NA NA	
OR-1-10-3213	% On Time ASRC Facility Check DS3 % On Time ASRC Facility Check (Non DS0, Non DS1, & Non DS3)	95% within 96 Hours	NA NA	
OR-1-10-3214	78 OIT THIRE MORE PRICING CHECK (NOTI DOU, NOTI DOT, & NOTI DOS)	20 WILLING SO CLOUIS		
	OR-2 - Reject Timeliness	1 oper	NA I	
OR-2-08-3200	% On Time ASR Reject No Facility Check	95% within 72 Hours 95% within 96 Hours	NA NA	
OR-2-10-3200	% On Time ASR Reject Facility Check	annou de umam ecce	147	L
	Legend Notations Instructions defined on Legend sheet - last page]		

Carrier to Carrier Performance Standards and Reports Verizon Pennsylvania August 2004

LEGEND

- * = Verizon South (PA, DE, NJ,MD, VA, WV and DC)
- ** = Verizon East (CT, DE, MA, MD, ME, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT, VA, WV and DC)
- *** = PA only
- **** = Verizon South minus NJ (PA, DE, VA, MD, DC, WV)
- ***** = NJ, PA and DE
- ***** = NY and CT combined (CLEC result only)
 - + = Enview used to report Retail and Wholesale results
 - TR = Temporary PA DLWP Review
 - 1 = 80% for December 2001 and January 2002 data months = 85% for February and March 2002 data months = 90% for April and May 2002 data months = 95% for June 2002 and forward data months
 - UD = Performance metric is under development
 - UR = Performance metric is under review
- NA = No Activity or Results cannot be calculated due to zero in the Denominator
- NEF = No Existing Functionality
- TBD = Performance standard is to be determined
- R3 = Run 3 times per year (Feb, Jun & Oct)
- I/C/W MRAs = Parity to be assessed in conjunction with missed appointments
- 1-9=5, 10+=Negotiated = 1-9 Loops, 5 days

10+ Loops, Negotiated

95% Completed Within Window = Standard for Cut-Over Window

1 to 9 lines: 1 hour 10 to 49 lines: 2 hours 50 to 99 lines: 3 hours 100 to 199 lines: 4 hours

200 plus lines: 8 hours

EEL = 1-9 Loops, 15 days 10+, Negotiated

No Facilities, ECCD+15 Days Disconnects, 2 Days

IOF = Facilities Check, 72 Hours

Facilities Available (Quantity 1-8), 15 Days Facilities Available (Quantity > 8), Negotiated

Facilities Not Available, Negotiated

Jeopardy = 100% at least 24 hours before due date with facilities 100% at least 48 hours before due date without facilities

Statistical Score Explanations

SS

= Sample size does not meet the minimum criteria for a statistical test specified in Appendix K of the guidelines. See Appendix K of the C2C Guidelines regarding monitoring and further evaluation.

= Stat Score calculated using Log Gamma macro pursuant to Appendix K of the C2C Guidelines (Stat Scores of 5 or -5 indicate that the result of the macro is an extremely large positive or negative number)

<u>CLEC Response to Item 4</u>
<u>From 10/28/2004</u>
<u>Attachment B</u>
<u>11/12/2004</u>

ORDERING	
SQM & SEEM Level of Disaggregation	
Resale – ResidenceFully Mech	Fully Mechanized:
Resale – Business	
Resale - Design (Special)Non Mechanized:	Non Mechanized:
Resale Other	
Resale PBX(capture under Resale Other)	
Resale Centrex (capture under Resale Other)	
Resale ISDN (capture under Resale Other)	
LNP (Standalone)	
INP (Standalone) (capture under LNP(Standalone)	
2W Analog Loop Design	
2W Analog Loop Non-Design	
2W Analog Loop with INP Design(capture under 2W Analog Loop w/LNP Dsg)	og Loop w/LNP Dsg)
2W Analog Loop with INP Non Design capture under 2W Analog Loop w/LNP Non Design capture under 2W Analog Loop w/LNP Non Design capture under 2W Analog Loop w/LNP	Analog Loop w/LNP NonDsg
2W Analog Loop with LNP Design	
2W Analog Loop with LNP Non-Design	
UNE Digital Loop < DS1	
UNE Digital Loop >= DS1	
UNE Loop + Port Combinations	
UNE Combination Other	
UNE ISDN Loop	
UNE Other Design	
UNE Other Non-Design	
UNE Line Splitting	
EELs	
Switch Ports	
UNE xDSL (ADSL, HDSL, UCL)	
Line Sharing	
Local Interoffice Transport	
T 1 T	

| Docket 000121A-TP |
| CLEC Response to Item 4 |
| From 10/28/2004 |
| Attachment B |
| 11/12/2004 |

PROVISIONING	
SQM & SEEM Level of Disaggregation	
Resale – Residence	Fully Mechanized: Hour
Resale – Business — Partially Mechani	Partially Mechanized:
Resale - Design (Special)Non Mechanized:	Ion Mechanized:
Retail Other	- Comment
Resale PBX (capture under Resale Other) Resale Centrex(capture under Resale Other)	
Resale ISDN (capture under Resale Other)	
LNP (Standalone)	
INP (Standalone) capture under L.NP(Standalone)	1
2W Analog Loop Design	
2W Analog Loop Non-Design	
2W Analog Loop with INP Design(capture under LNP Design)	
2W Analog Loop with INP Non-Design(capture under LNP Non-Design)	Design)
2W Analog Loop with LNP Design	=
2W Analog Loop with LNP Non-Design	1
UNE Digital Loop < DS1	
UNE Digital Loop >= DS1	
UNE Loop + Port Combinations	
UNE Combination Other	
UNE ISDN Loop	
UNE Other Design	
UNE Other Non-Design	
UNE Line Splitting	
EELs	
Switch Ports	
UNE xDSL (ADSL, HDSL, UCL)	
Line Sharing	
Local Interoffice Transport	
Local Interconnection Trunks	

MAINTENANCE
SQM & SEEM Level of Disaggregation
Resale Residence
Resale Business
Resale Design
Resale Other
Resale PBX(capture under Resale Other)
Resale Centrex(capture under Resale Other)
Resale ISDN(capture under Resale Other)
2W Analog Loop Design
2W Analog Loop Non – Design
UNE Digital Loop < DS1
UNE Digital Loop >= DS1
UNE Loop + Port Combinations
UNE Switch ports
UNE Combo Other
UNE xDSL (HDSL, ADSL and UCL)
UNE ISDN
UNE Line Sharing
UNE Other Design
UNE Other Non-Design
Local Interconnection Trunks