Leigh A. Hyer Vice President-General Counsel, Southeast Region Legal Department



FLTC0717 201 North Franklin Street (33602) Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Phone 813 483-1256 Fax 813 204-8870 leigh.a.hyer@verizon.com

March 14, 2006 - VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 050119-TP

Joint Petition of TDS Telecom d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone, ALLTEL Florida, Inc., Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM, GTC, Inc. d/b/a GT Com, Smart City Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom, ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc. and Frontier Communications of the South, LLC, ("Joint Petitioner") objecting to and requesting suspension of Proposed Transit Traffic Service Tariff filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Docket No. 050125-TP Petition and complaint for suspension and cancellation of Transit Traffic Service Tariff No. FL2004-284 filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed is Verizon Access Transmission Services' Petition to Intervene for filing in the abovereferenced matters. Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any questions regarding this filing, please contact me at 813-483-1256.

Sincerely,

s/ Leigh A. Hyer

Leigh A. Hyer

LAH:tas Enclosures

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of Verizon Access Transmission Services' Petition to Intervene in Docket Nos. 050119-TP and 050125-TP-TP were sent via U.S. mail on March 14, 2006 to the parties on the attached list.

<u>s/ Leigh A. Hyer</u>

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Ausley Law Firm J. Jeffry Wahlen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Competitive Carriers of the South Vicki Gordon Kaufman Moyle Law Firm 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Frontier Communications Angela McCall 300 Bland Street Bluefield, WV 24701

MetroPCS California/Florida 8144 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 800 Dallas, TX 75231

Tracy Hatch AT&T Communications 101 N. Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Smart City Telecom P. O. Box 22555 Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830 ALLTEL Stephen Rowell/Bettye Willis One Allied Drive, B5F11 Little Rock, AR 72202

BellSouth Telecommunications Nancy B. White/R. D. Lackey c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Cable Tele. Assn. Michael A. Gross 246 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303

GT Com Robert M. Ellmer P. O. Box 220 Port St. Joe, FL 32457

NEFCOM Deborah Nobles 505 Plaza Circle, Suite 200 Orange Park, FL 32073

Floyd R. Self Messer, Caparello & Self 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Sprint Nextel William R. Atkinson Mailstop GAATLD0602 3065 Cumberland Circle SE Atlanta, GA 30339 ALLTEL Florida Inc. James White 6867 Southpoint Drive, N. Suite 103 Jacksonville, FL 32216

Blooston Law Firm Benjamin H. Dickens 2120 L Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20037

Friend Law Firm Charles V. Gerkin Jr. Three Ravinia Drive Suite 1450 Atlanta, GA 30346

ITS Telecommunications Robert M. Post Jr. P. O. Box 277 Indiantown, FL 34956

NuVox Communications Susan J. Berlin Two North Main Street Greenville, SC 29601

Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman Martin McDonnell/M. Rule P. O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302

T-Mobile USA, Inc. Michele K. Thomas 60 Wells Avenue Newton, MA 02459 Sprint Comm. Company Susan Masterton P. O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214 TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone Thomas McCabe P. O. Box 189 Quincy, FL 32353 Troutman Law Firm Charles F. Palmer 600 Peachtree Street N.E. Suite 5200 Atlanta, GA 30308

Verizon Wireless Elaine D. Critides 1300 I Street, N.W. Suite 400 West Washington, DC 20005

## **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Joint Petition of TDS Telecom d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone, ALLTEL Florida, Inc., Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM, GTC, Inc. d/b/a GT Com, Smart City Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom, ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc. and Frontier Communications of the South, LLC, ("Joint Petitioner") objecting to and requesting suspension of Proposed Transit Traffic Service Tariff filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Docket No. 050119-TP
In re: Petition and complaint for suspension and cancellation of Transit Traffic Service Tariff No. FL2004-284 filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC.	) ) ) )	Docket No. 050125-TP Filed: March 14, 2006
	_)	

## **PETITION TO INTERVENE**

Under Commission Rule 25-22.039, MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services ("Verizon Access") asks the Commission to allow Verizon Access to intervene in this proceeding. Verizon Access is a telecommunications company as that term is defined in Section 364.02 of the Florida Statutes, and Verizon Access is a competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC). As such, its regulated intrastate operations are subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

Communications in regard to this proceeding should be directed to:

Leigh A. Hyer Verizon Access Transmission Services P. O. Box 110, FLTC0717 Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Telephone No. (813) 483-1256 Verizon Access is entitled to intervene in this proceeding because its interests as a local exchange telecommunications company will be substantially and directly affected by the decision here. In the prehearing statements, various parties took the position that the Florida PSC can establish, through arbitrated Section 252 interconnection agreements, the terms and conditions that govern the relationship between an originating and terminating carrier where BellSouth is providing transit service and where the originating carrier is not interconnected with the terminating carrier. While true in some circumstances, these pronouncements are overly broad, since the Section 252 process does not apply to all carrier-to-carrier relationships.

The relationship between two CLECs – where one CLEC is terminating the calls originated by another CLEC – is not subject to the same set of rules as the interconnection relationship between an incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") and a CMRS provider. In a CLEC-to-CLEC situation, the terminating CLEC may rely on a state tariff to govern the billing for non-access calls generated by the originating CLEC in the absence of an agreement to the contrary between the two carriers. This is because the Section 252 process does not apply to CLEC-to-CLEC agreements. The language of Section 252 refers only to agreements that are "negotiate[d]" by an "incumbent local exchange carrier" or are the product of an arbitration involving an "incumbent local exchange carrier." There is no requirement in the statute that a CLEC must negotiate and/or arbitrate an interconnection agreement with another CLEC. Likewise, there is no law establishing a process for arbitration and/or state Commission intervention if a CLEC fails to reach an agreement through negotiations with another CLEC. Accordingly, even though the FCC prohibits reliance on a tariff and instead

2

requires that a Section 252 agreement be in place between an ILEC and a CMRS provider to govern compensation obligations for the termination of non-access traffic, the law clearly allows a CLEC to impose state-tariffed terms and conditions on another CLEC for the termination of non-access traffic.

Respectfully submitted on March 14, 2006.

By: /s Leigh A. Hyer Leigh A. Hyer Post Office Box 110, FLTC0717 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110 Telephone: 813-483-1256

Attorney for Verizon Access Transmission Services