
AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

October 24, 2007

HAND DELIVERED



Ms. Ann Cole, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance

Tampa Electric has subsequently determined that the two amounts shown on page 15 of

Incentive Factor; FPSC Docket No. 070001-EI

Dear Ms. Cole:

RCA _

SEC

OTH ___

On September 4, 2007 we submitted projection testimonies and exhibits of Tampa Electric witnesses including Joann T. Wehle pertaining to the period January 2008 through December 2008. We also submitted a request for confidential classification of portions of pages 7 and 15 of the prepared direct projection testimony of Ms. Wehle and portions of Document No. 1, page 1 of 1 (Bates stamp page 18) of the Exhibit (2) sponsored by Ms. Wehle.

Ms. Wehle's testimony, at lines 21 and 22, should not have been redacted from the testimony

Filing or the subject of the separate confidentially request submitted on September 4, 2007.

We enclose the original and fifteen (15) copies of a revised page 15 to Ms. Wehle's testimony (marked REVISED 10/24/07) to reverse the redaction of the two amounts shown on lines 21 and 22 of that page. We would appreciate your distributing copies of the enclosed revised page 15 of Ms. Wehle's testimony to the recipients of the original filing made on September 4, 2007, so that they may substitute this revised page in place of the one that previously contained the redacted amounts.

SCR _____ SGA ____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09712 OCT 24 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Ms. Ann Cole October 24, 2007 Page Two

This letter will also serve as Tampa Electric's withdrawal of that portion of its September 4, 2007 request for confidential classification that pertained to the two amounts shown on page 15 of Ms. Wehle's testimony. The remainder of that September 4, 2007 confidentiality request remains applicable.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

James D. Beasley

JDB/pp Enclosure

All Parties of Record (w/enc.) cc:

h:\jdb\tec\070001 ltr wehle pg. 15.doc

1	A. Yes. Tampa Electric has executed hedges according to the
2	risk management plan filed with this Commission, which was
3	approved by the company's Risk Authorizing Committee.
4	
5	Coal Transportation Costs
6	Q. Did Tampa Electric calculate the waterborne transportation
7	costs submitted for cost recovery in accordance with the
8	Commission's Order No. PSC-04-0999-FOF-EI ("Order No. 04-
9	0999"), issued in Docket No. 031033-EI on October 12, 2004?
10	
11	A. Yes. The waterborne transportation costs that Tampa Electric
12	is seeking to recover are the adjusted rates per ton for each
13	upriver terminal as well as the adjusted ocean barge
14	transportation rate. The company calculates the adjusted
15	rates as described in Order No. 04-0999. The river rate is
16	adjusted using the following formula:
17	
18	(Weighted average rate per ton for all upriver terminals - \$1/ton) x Contract rate for specific
19	Weighted average rate per ton for all upriver terminals upriver terminal
20	
21	The ocean rate is reduced by \$2.41 per ton for shipments from
22	the Davant, Louisiana terminal and \$4.08 per ton for
23	petroleum coke shipments from Texas, as prescribed by the
24	Commission order.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 09712 OCT 24 5 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

25