



J. Phillip Carver
Senior Attorney
Legal Department

AT&T Florida
150 South Monroe Street
Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301

T: 404.335.0710
F: 404.614.4054
j.carver@att.com

March 17, 2008

Ms. Ann Cole
Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

**Re: Docket No. 050863-TP: dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. v. BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc.**

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed is BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida's Notice of Filing Corrected Page, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Phillip Carver".

J. Phillip Carver

cc: All parties of record
Gregory Follensbee
E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.
Lisa S. Foshee

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
DOCKET NO. 050863-TP**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

Electronic Mail and First Class U. S. Mail this 17th day of March, 2008 to the following:

Theresa Tan
Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service
Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
ltan@psc.state.fl.us

(+) Signed Protective Agreement

Christopher Malish
Steven Tepera (+)
Foster Malish Blair & Cowan LLP
1403 West Sixth Street
Austin, TX 78703
Tel. No. (512) 476-8591
Fax. No. (512) 477-8657
chrismalish@fostermalish.com
steventepera@fostermalish.com
Counsel for dPi

DPI-Teleconnect, LLC
2997 LBJ Freeway, Suite 225
Dallas, TX 75234-7627
Tel. No. (972) 488-5500 x4001
Fax No (972) 488-8636
ddorwart@dpiteleconnect.com

Norman H. Horton, Jr.
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
2618 Centennial Place
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Tel No. (850) 425-5203
Fax No (850) 558-0664
nhorton@lawfla.com



J. Phillip Carver

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. v.) Docket No. 050863-TP
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.)
_____) Filed: March 17, 2008

NOTICE OF FILING CORRECTED PAGE

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida (“AT&T Florida”), hereby files its Notice of Filing Corrected Page, and states the following:

1. On March 14, 2008, AT&T Florida filed its Response in Opposition to dPi’s Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Testimony and Additional Direct Testimony. The next to the last sentence in paragraph 10 (page 6) of the Response contains a typographical error. Specifically, that sentence read “The most charitable possible interpretation of dPi’s behavior is that it used a personal conflict to secure a continuance, then utilized the extra time to file testimony that it clearly would have been allowed to file if the hearing had occurred on March 12, 2008.” That sentence should have read “The most charitable possible interpretation of dPi’s behavior is that it used a personal conflict to secure a continuance, then utilized the extra time to file testimony that it clearly would *not* have been allowed to file if the hearing had occurred on March 12, 2008.” This sentence appears in corrected form on the corrected page 6 of AT&T Florida’s Response, attached hereto as Attachment 1. This page should be substituted for the page 6 that was in the Response filed March 14, 2008.

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of March, 2008.

AT&T FLORIDA



E. EARL EDENFIELD, JR.
TRACY HATCH
MANUEL A. GURDIAN
c/o Gregory R. Follensbee
150 So. Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(305) 347-5558



LISA S. FOSHEE
J. PHILLIP CARVER
AT&T Southeast
Suite 4300, AT&T Midtown Center
675 W. Peachtree St., NE
Atlanta, GA 30375
(404) 335-0710

706943

behavior is just the latest in a continuous series of improper actions by dPi. To date, dPi has propounded two sets of discovery after the discovery period was over,³ and has twice filed Replies to AT&T Florida's Responses to dPi's Motions that are not authorized under the Commission's Rules.⁴ dPi has filed two Motions for the hearing in this matter to be continued so that it can conduct additional discovery, even though the case has been pending for more than two years.⁵ Both Motions were denied.⁶ More recently, dPi successfully moved to continue the hearing set for March 12, 2007, based on the claim that it was unaware of the setting, and that its attorney had a personal conflict.⁷ This last action by dPi is especially telling.

10. On January 23, 2008, dPi filed a Motion to continue the hearing that was set for March 12, 2008, based principally on a personal conflict of dPi's counsel. Based on dPi's representations as to the reason for its Motion, AT&T Florida did not object. dPi's Motion was granted, and the hearing was moved from March 12, 2008 to its current setting on April 3, 2008. Then, on March 7, 2008, five days before the case was previously set for hearing, dPi filed the two subject sets of testimony, with voluminous exhibits. The most charitable possible interpretation of dPi's behavior is that it used a personal conflict to secure a continuance, then utilized the extra time to file testimony that it clearly would not have been allowed to file if the hearing had occurred on March 12, 2008. Given all of the above, AT&T Florida submits that the

³ dPi's Second Set of Requests for Information, dated November 11, 2007; dPi's Third Set of Requests for Information, dated December 27, 2007.

⁴ dPi's Reply to AT&T's Response to Motion to Compel, dated September 21, 2007; dPi's Reply to AT&T's Objection to Additional Discovery, dated February 8, 2008.

⁵ dPi's Motion for Continuance, dated July 20, 2007; dPi's Motion for Continuance, dated September 26, 2007.

⁶ Order Denying Motion for Continuance, Order No. PSC-07-0712-PCO-TP (August 30, 2007); Order Denying Motion for Continuance, Order No. PSC-07-0701-PCO-TP (September 27, 2007).

⁷ dPi's Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule/Move Hearing Date, filed January 23, 2008.