VOTE SHEET

July 1, 2008

Docket No. 080001-EI - Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor. (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant the Motions to Dismiss or Alternatively to Abate filed by the Florida Industrial Power Users Group?

Recommendation: No. The Commission should deny the Motions to Dismiss or Abate filed by the Florida Industrial Power Users Group. Staff recommends that the Commission deny FIPUG's Motion to Dismiss or Alternately to Abate the proceedings. PEF has complied with Order No. 07-0333-PAA-EI in calculating its under-recovery. Procedural due process is built into the fuel clause proceedings so that ratepayer's interests remain protected. FIPUG's request to extend the under-recovery payment over the projected year is addressed in Issue 2 of staff's memorandum dated June 23, 2008.

APPROVED

Issue 2: Should the Commission approve PEF's request to eliminate the storm cost recovery surcharge? Recommendation: Yes. The storm cost recovery surcharge should be eliminated effective with the last billing cycle in July 2008.

APPROVED as modified by staff at the Commission Confused agenda. COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners **COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES** MAJORITY DISSENTING

Commissioners me murrien and argenziand dissented on Issue 3 mly.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Vote-Sheet

- July 1, 2008

Docket No. 080001-EI – Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor. (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should the Commission approve PEF's petition for mid-course correction to its 2008 fuel and purchased power cost recovery factors?

Recommendation: The Commission should approve PEF's petition for a mid-course correction to its 2008 fuel and purchased power cost recovery factors. The factors are shown on Attachment C of staff's memorandum dated June 23, 2008. If the Commission approves an alternative to PEF's petition, PEF should file revised fuel and purchased power cost recovery factors for administrative approval by staff.

DENIED, and on Commission's own motion, substituted of the species of the option and argunian dissented.

Commissioners me mursian and arguniano dissented.

<u>Issue 4</u>: If the Commission approves PEF's petition for a mid-course correction, when should the new fuel and purchased power cost recovery factors become effective?

Recommendation: If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 3, the new factors should become effective with the Company's first billing cycle in August 2008.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: This docket is an on-going docket and should remain open.

APPROVED