1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORI	IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		DOCKET NO. 070293-SU
4	In the Matter of:	Bookler No. Crozos Bo
5	APPLICATION FOR INCRATES IN MONROE CO	CREASE IN WASTEWATER UNTY BY K W RESORT
6	UTILITIES CORP.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	11	IC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE
12	THE OF	FICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING,
13	THE .PDF \	VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.
14		
15	PROCEEDINGS:	KEY WEST SERVICE HEARING
16	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO
17		COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP
	DATE:	Wednesday, October 1, 2008
18	TIME:	Commenced at 10:30 a.m.
19		and Commenced at 6:00 p.m.
20	PLACE:	Old City Hall
21	FLACE.	City Commission Chambers
22		510 Greene Street Key West, Florida
23	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
24		JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporters
25		(850) 413-6734/(850) 413-6732
		DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE
		99659 OCT 138

9659 OCT 138

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

	4
1	APPEARANCES:
2	F. MARSHALL DETERDING, ESQUIRE, and JOHN L. WHARTON,
3	ESQUIRE, Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, LLP, 2548 Blairstone Pines
4	Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of
5	K W Resort Utilities Corp.
6	J. R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL, and STEPHEN C. BURGESS,
7	ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature,
8	111 W. Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida
9	32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of
10	Florida.
11	RALPH JAEGER, ESQUIRE, and MARY ANNE HELTON, ESQUIRE,
12	and MARSHALL WILLIS, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540
13	Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850,
14	appearing on behalf of the Commission staff.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	DIANE BERALDSEN	INGE NO.
5	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	27 36
6	GEORGE ROSE	30
7	Direct Statement	40 41
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Burgess Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	43
9	GLEN OWENS Direct Statement	44
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	47
11	KIM WIGINGTON	4.0
12	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	48 69
13	Cross Examination by Mr. Jaeger	74
14	GEORGE NEUGENT Direct Statement	83
15	GORDON BONDESEN	0.0
16	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Burgess	90 102
17	BRENDA CONROY	
18	Direct Statement	104
19	DIANA FLENARD MOORE Direct Statement	105
20	Cross Examination by Mr. Jaeger	108
21	JOHN JONES Direct Statement	109
22	Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	112
23	STEVE WIGINGTON Direct Statement	113
24	DANIEL CALABRO	
25	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Burgess	115 120
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	R. L. BLAZEVIC Direct Statement	131
5	Direct Statement	131
6		
7	* * * *	
8	6:00 P.M. SERVICE HEARING	
9		
10	NANCY HILLMAN Direct Statement	136
11	AL HILLMAN	130
12	Direct Statement	140
13	CHRISTOPHER BRIDGER Direct Statement	144
14	HARRY GOODE	
15	Direct Statement	146
16	JEFF ALLEN Direct Statement	150
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS	154
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMI	BER:	ID.	ADMTD.
4	1	Comprehensive Exhibit List	21	21
5	2	Staff's Composite Exhibit 2 stipulated	21	21
6	3	DeChario Exhibit A	21	
7	4	PED-1	21	
8	5	KHD-1	21	
9	6	KHD-2	21	
10	7	KHD-3	21	
11	8	KHD-4	21	
12	9	KHD-5	21	
13	10	KHD-6	21	
14	11	KHD-7	21	
15	12	KHD-8	21	
16	13	KHD-9	21	
17	14	KHD-10	21	
18	15	KHD-11	21	
19	16	KHD-12	21	
20	17	KHD-13	21	
21	18	KHD-14	21	
22	19	KHD-15	21	
23	20	KHD-16	21	
24	21	ATW-1	21	
25	22	KHD-11 KHD-12 KHD-13 KHD-14 KHD-15 KHD-16 ATW-1 ATW-2	21	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2.1

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good morning to one and all. Can everyone hear me? I'm not accustomed to these microphones, so I'll do my best here.

First of all, I want to say good morning to one and all and thank you for coming to this service hearing. And the reason we're out here is that we want to hear from you, the consumers and customers of this utility, as we go through this process.

In a minute we'll have housekeeping matters that we'll take care of, and then we'll go into a portion where we hear from the company, then we'll hear from OPC, and at that point in time we'll start hearing from you because it's very, very important that we hear from you. What did I say? The Office of Public Counsel. Oh, yeah. Sorry. I'm using acronyms. You know, I'm from the government. I'm here to help. I start using those acronyms. The Office of Public Counsel when I say OPC. And what we'll do is that after we hear from the two parties, we'll have you, those of you that are wishing to speak, we'll have you sworn in as a group and we'll go from there and hear from you.

In the meantime, just a few housekeeping matters.

First and foremost, if you've got to go, the door to my left in the corner that says "Exit," those are the facilities in there.

So if you've got to go, you'll use that.

Secondly, there's a green form. Cindy, would you hold one of these up, please? This green form explains the who, what, when, why and where of what's going on here. The most significant aspect of this green form is the last page. Kind of like when you read the Bible, you read the Book of Revelations, the last book in there, so this is like Revelations. This is the most significant page. And you go back here, those of you that don't want to speak but want to be heard, you can put your comments on this and send it back to us. It's a little mailer and you fold it and you send it back to us and we'll make sure that your comments are added to the record so that you can be heard.

Additionally, if you have friends and neighbors who for whatever reason could not be here today and you know that they're interested in this, please take some of these with you and get the information to us so we can hear from them. It's important that we hear from everyone.

So with that, also there's the -- I don't have a copy. Cindy, will you hold up the sign-in sheet? Those of you that are wishing to speak publicly, there's a white sheet there. You need to complete that. And as we go through our public hearing portion of that, we'll listen to you from the public. Mr. Burgess from the Office of Public Counsel will be calling you individually. And when you do come up and speak, please state your name, address and telephone number. And you,

as you're coming up to speak, you'll be coming to the podium to my right.

And I think that's most of our housekeeping matters. What I'd like to do, Commissioner, is that, first of all, let me introduce the Commission staff and Commissioners. To my right, Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: And, of course, being a hillbilly from Georgia I missed the most important thing. I should have introduced myself first. Matthew Carter, Chairman of the Florida Public Service Commission. Also who will be joining us in a minute will be Commissioner Nathan Skop.

So let's do this. Commissioner, let me first of all call the meeting to order and ask staff if they would read the notice.

MR. JAEGER: Yes, Chairman Carter.

Pursuant to notice, this time and place was set for a customer service hearing in Docket Number 070293-SU, application for an increase in wastewater rates in Monroe County by K W Resort Utilities Corp.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Now we'll take appearances of the parties.

MR. WHARTON: John Wharton and Marty Deterding of Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley in Tallahassee representing the utility.

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Chairman, I'm Steve Burgess with 1 2 the Office of Public Counsel, and I'm here today with J. R. 3 Kelly, the Public Counsel. We are here appearing on behalf of 4 the citizens of the State of Florida. 5 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. MR. JAEGER: Ralph Jaeger on behalf of the 6 7 Commission. MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, advisor to the 8 9 Commission. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you very kindly. And as I 10 said, I want to welcome you all here. And I'd like to thank 11 the wonderful people of Key West for allowing us to use this 12 historic building. It's very, very nice. I guess I'm kind of 13 overdressed for the part, but I do enjoy being here and I thank 14 you for the ceiling fan. It's nice and cool in here. 15 But we also have, with us today we have PSC staff. 16 17 Cindy Muir over to my right will be there to assist you if you have any questions. Also the company has staff here today. 18 MR. DETERDING: Yes, sir. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Company staff. Would you please identify yourself just in case any customers may have some 21 questions or concerns? 22 23 MR. SMITH: Yes. I'm Bill Smith. I'm the owner of

the utility company. This is Bart Smith. He's one of the

directors of the utility company. This is Ed Castle.

24

25

the engineer for the utility company. Chris Johnson, he's the General Manager of Plant Operations. This is Doug Carter, the General Manager of the utility company. And that's the staff.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you.

What we try to do when we come out to hearings like this is, one, if there's a problem or concern, we ask that the company, they have representatives so we can take care of that on the, while we're here. Additionally, we have our staff from the Public Service Commission. If you have questions or concerns, we can deal with that as well.

So I've already mentioned that we'll swear you in as a group. Let's kind of move forward with that. Let me see.

Before we take our opening statements, I probably need to go into preliminary matters.

MR. JAEGER: Yes, Chairman Carter. I think there's one preliminary matter that we should take up before the beginning of the service hearing for sure, and that was the irregularity of the notice. The notice was, public notice of publication was not submitted -- it was submitted late to the newspaper and was published nine days late. And I think the utility requested a rule waiver, and I thought we'd let the utility introduce their side, and then we'll hear from OPC and then staff.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Let's do that. Good morning. You're recognized.

MR. WHARTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Rule 22 -- 25-22.407(7) requires that no less than 14 days and no, no more distant than 30 days prior to the date of the hearing there shall be publication of hearing in a newspaper of general circulation about the time, date, location and purpose of the hearing. That did not occur in this case. Actual notice was given to all of the customers by mail. There was a notice of this hearing published in the newspaper on Friday, and we have brought in that affidavit of publication. There have been two articles in the newspapers of general circulation: One that fits easily within this time frame that's contemplated by the Administrative Code rule and which is attached to the motion, another which was only published this Saturday, both of which had some of the information, what the hearing was about, where the hearing would be, the date of the hearing. I don't believe either article had the time of the hearing.

We have filed a request that the rule be waived. But I would also ask, and staff counsel, I know, has formed an opinion on this, that the first article, particularly since it was in a paper of general circulation, since it did have the vital information required by rule, be taken such that the rule would be satisfied.

Here is K W's concern. If there is not some sort of a consensus on this issue, and, therefore, I guess I won't

really ask the panel to be ruling on a contentious motion, then I would request just to make sure there's nothing hanging out there that the court of appeals might be interested in -- I understand that the Commission has a way they've sometimes resolved this in the past at a customer hearing after the fact. And so maybe once we've heard from OPC and staff we'll understand whether we have achieved that level of consensus or how the Commission wants to proceed.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Commissioner Argenziano, you're recognized for a question.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Can you hear me? What happened?

MR. WHARTON: It just didn't, it just didn't get done. It just didn't occur. It was not the fault of the paper.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, with regard to the deficiency in the notice's effect on these proceedings today, we are not taking the position that it invalidates these hearings today and the Commission's ability to take evidence today. We believe this exercise today is a valid exercise of Commission jurisdiction to take, to take the evidence and to hold the hearing.

The question, I think, that comes into perhaps

greater relevance is what about the people that maybe have not had an opportunity to be heard? Should there be another opportunity to be heard? Well, we aren't taking that position because we don't -- we are down here, we don't know the circumstances and we aren't customers. So we aren't claiming that you need to have another hearing or do something to further satisfy the notice requirements, but I don't feel like I can speak on behalf of any customer who may not have received notice because of the deficiency. So we are not asking for another hearing. We are saying we believe today's hearings are valid with regard to the Commission's exercise of its jurisdiction, but we don't believe we can speak to the question of whether perhaps some other method of input may be appropriate for people who may claim to not have received notice.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Let's hear from, hear from staff and then we'll come back to the bench.

MR. JAEGER: Chairman Carter, instead of a rule waiver, we're looking at whether the due process rights of the customers have been violated. And there's no doubt, I mean there's no question they did violate Rule 25-22.407 requiring the 14 days of newspaper publication prior to the hearing. But there was that article that came out about 15 or 16 days prior and it discussed the location, the purpose. And so staff does not believe that the due process rights of the customers have

been violated by this under the 120 -- the provisions. So there may be some -- if there is an appeal, I understand Mr. Wharton's concern that, you know, that the court could say -- and I don't think we need another customer service hearing, but there could be that concern that that might be brought up on appeal.

2.2

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, it could. We can't say that it can't. I mean, there could come a situation where someone out there may say, well, you know, I didn't, I didn't know. Ordinarily it would be, it would be printed for 14 days or just would be the minimum of 14 days?

MR. WHARTON: In between 14 and 30. No, no sooner than 14 or longer than 30.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, then it's very possible that that could occur. We can't just say throw that out. So I don't know what we do in that case.

MR. WHARTON: I think I would suggest respectfully based upon the comments that I have heard that the best course of action might be that, that we attempt in a way that is the most expeditious and inexpensive, perhaps through video or something, and that we do publish and give customers who want an opportunity to comment in the customer portion the ability to do that sometime in between 14 and 30 days after publication occurs. Maybe there is some way to do that in a way that could

minimize the expenses to everyone.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Jaeger.

MR. JAEGER: That's, you know, I hate to go through that expense, but we could do it through video conferencing, maybe we could minimize it and that would be the safest way. I don't think we have a problem, but there is always that question.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Well, that sounds reasonable, particularly when you consider that you sent actual notice to the customers. And those were the addresses that you send the bills to as well; correct?

MR. WHARTON: Correct. And I'm going to be submitting that affidavit to staff for filing. Also the affidavit of Friday's publication. I also have a copy for staff of the second article, and I attached the one article that was within the Administrative Code rule contemplated time frame to our motion, so.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Helton, in view of what we've heard today and the fact that the company will go through another set of motions, not the kind of legal motions but additional steps to make sure that everyone was informed, would it be appropriate for us to allow them to do that and then we just rule on this at a later point in time? Do you understand what I'm trying to --

2.2

MS. HELTON: Well, I am comforted by the fact that, one, the customers have received actual notice, as is also contemplated by our rule.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay.

2.2

MS. HELTON: I am also comforted by the fact that there was a newspaper article published that gives information required by the rule. It's my understanding at least one of those articles was published during the time frame contemplated by the rule. I'm not sure that we do have to decide today whether we have an additional service hearing or not. It seems to me that we can go through the process today and at the end of the hearing see where we are and see whether we, whether there is a need to schedule an additional hearing.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Well, I'm, I'm just, Commissioners, I'm just thinking aloud, particularly in light of what Mr. Burgess has said and in light of the fact that the customers did receive actual notice, additionally is that there could be some subsequent follow-up. We've got basically two hearings today; we've got the hearing this morning and one this evening. And the article has been in the paper of general jurisdiction, general circulation locally and all that. I'm, I'm kind of thinking aloud.

Commissioner Skop, any thoughts?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: I tend to agree with you,

Chairman. I tend to, I tend to agree with you, Chairman, to

the extent that actual notice has been published, and I agree with Commissioner Argenziano that I'm concerned that for whatever reason the publication, the publication notice was not published. But, again, I think there was adequate protection to ensure due process pursuant to Mr. Burgess's comments and also Mr. Jaeger's comments. Just I think we can move forward and address the need for an additional service hearing at a later date.

2.4

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. So I was -- let's do this.

Let's kind of -- Ms. Helton, help me kind of keep, keep it in the road a bit so we can get, get where we need to be. Would it be a matter of saying based upon actual notice, based upon the public hearing, based upon the publication of the articles within the time frame in a newspaper of general circulation and based on the fact that even after these hearings the companies will go and make a further appeal to the customers and all like that, I think at that point in time it will give us a basis from which we could agree to the waiver, do you think?

MS. HELTON: Well, I'm not sure that we can agree to the waiver today anyway because we don't have -- the notice time has not been met as contemplated by the waiver statute in 120 point, I think it's 542, but don't hold me to that. So I don't think we can vote on the waiver -- y'all can vote on the waiver today at all.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: But when we do vote, and I want you

to make sure that what I just, those factors that I just 1 enunciated are in as the basis for that. 2 MS. HELTON: Yes, sir. 3 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Because we do have that. 5 Okay. Let's move further. Mr. Jaeger, we're in 6 preliminary matters. 7 Let me just make sure the company understood what we 8 said we were doing. Are you guys comfortable with that? MR. WHARTON: That's fine, Mr. Chairman. 9 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Jaeger. 11 There are several other preliminary MR. JAEGER: 12 Some of them I think can be taken up in the technical matters. 13 But one I think we probably ought to take up now is hearing. just the Comprehensive Exhibit List. And the staff -- staff in 14 these type of hearings drafts a Comprehensive Exhibit List 15 which lists all the exhibits that have been filed to date and 16 17 prenumbers them. And we've got 1 through 34, and I think all the parties agree that they can be, that can be identified and 18 19 entered into the record. Not the 34 exhibits but the Comprehensive Exhibit List. So that's the first thing. 20 1 through 34 will be identified and, and it's just the Exhibit 2.1 1, which is the Comprehensive Exhibit List itself. 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess, have you had an 2.4 opportunity to look at the Comprehensive Exhibit List? 25 MR. BURGESS: I have, Mr. Chairman. We are fine. We

have no objection to that.

2.4

MR. WHARTON: We also have no objection, Mr.

Chairman. The parties had a discussion. I will just say very quickly that staff has circulated the documents. The documents have already been exchanged in discovery, most of them, or attached to prefiled testimony in the form of a disk. This is a good way to do it in voluminous documents and a modern way to do it. But the parties agree that if there is some agreement after the fact that this actually should have been part of, say, what was given up in Number 50, that it could be supplemented even if it doesn't appear on this disk. That's the one disadvantage of not being able to review the box full of documents that come in. But I think the parties are all in agreement.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay.

MR. WHARTON: And we do agree with staff's policy.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Show that done without objection.

And also you had a notice, a notice you filed subsequent to

that.

MR. WHARTON: I do.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: That would be -- Mr. Jaeger, now the Comprehensive Exhibit List is -- would that be --

MR. JAEGER: That's Exhibit 1. Also we have the Staff's Composite Exhibit 2 which all the parties have agreed to.

1	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Exhibit I, which is staff's
2	comprehensive?
3	MR. JAEGER: And that would be Exhibit 2. And if you
4	want, we can go ahead and move that in. All the parties are
5	agreed that it can be moved in.
6	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Exhibit 2, give me a title.
7	MR. JAEGER: Staff's Composite Exhibit and it's
8	stipulated. And it's consisting of many documents in response
9	to interrogatories and discovery.
10	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess, any questions,
11	concerns?
12	MR. BURGESS: No, Mr. Chairman. We, we agree and
13	have no objection to stipulating it into the record.
14	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton?
15	MR. WHARTON: Okay.
L6	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Show it done.
L7	(Exhibits 1 through 34 marked for identification.)
L8	(Exhibits 1 and 2 admitted into the record.)
L9	Exhibit 3
20	MR. JAEGER: Then there's 32 more exhibits that are
21	already prenumbered already. So the first exhibit we would
22	start with would be 35.
23	CHAIRMAN CARTER: So today as we proceed, this
4	Exhibit 2 is comprehensive with Exhibits 1 through 34?
5	MR. JAEGER: 1 through 34 are preidentified exhibits.

Yes.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. So -- okay. Let's do this.

Now we're on Exhibit 35.

Mr. Wharton, you're recognized.

MR. WHARTON: Yes. I would like to pass out the, to whoever I should pass it out to, the affidavit of, the personal notice that was effectuated and also an affidavit of the publication which occurred on Friday.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Just a question. The notices that you sent out, a lot of people are up north at this time. Do you send out the notices to their other residence or the residence on record? Do we know if they've gotten notices when they're not living, actually living in the Keys?

MR. WHARTON: To my knowledge, the mailings went to the address of record that the utility had for service.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Does -- one other question.

Does it indicate on the notice that they can participate by
written comments?

MR. WHARTON: I would have to look. I know that these forms of notices are approved in advance by staff as consistent with the Administrative Code rule, and that was the notice that was sent out in this case.

MR. DETERDING: Yes, Commissioner. The notice that was sent to the individual customers was several pages long.

It does contain information about filing written comments, if they wish to, with the Commission's address.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. And that will be listed as Exhibit 35, and that's the publication. Mr. Burgess, are you okay with that?

MR. BURGESS: Yes, sir. No objection.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: We're not -- we're just entering it into the record.

MR. BURGESS: Absolutely.

2.0

2.1

CHAIRMAN CARTER: They still have to go through the normal process. But we're going to -- so show it done without objection.

(Exhibit 35 marked for identification.)

Any further preliminary matters, Mr. Jaeger?

MR. JAEGER: I believe the rest can wait until the technical hearing when we get through the customers.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: We really came to hear from the customers. I hope all that gobbledygook we just went through didn't really phase you because it's more -- the process that we're going through, part of it is the public hearing where we hear from you, the customers that are involved.

The other part of it is that once we complete our public hearing, we're going to go into a technical, we call it a technical phase. All that is is where lawyers and experts get together. You know, an expert is just somebody from out of

town with a business card. So the experts get a chance to make their testimony and get it cross-examined. And then our staff will also be a party to that -- well, not necessarily a party, but they'll certainly be in the process of asking questions to make sure that they dotted the I's and crossed the T's and also look at it from an economic standpoint in terms of whether these numbers are supportable. But I also want to hear from you, the customers, about this proposed rate increase.

So what we need to do right now, let me just kind of tell the parties, is that I'm going to ask if you would keep your opening statements to not more than ten minutes because I really want to hear -- not I, but we really want to hear from the public. That's why we're down here. We want to hear from the people that are impacted by this. So anything further before we go to opening statements, staff?

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner?

2.0

2.1

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess, you first.

MR. BURGESS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. This is a request that we have and on behalf of our office with regard to the order in which this is taken. The opening statement that I have is, is directed to the evidence that we intend to present. It will take place in the technical side of the hearing. If it's at all possible, if it's acceptable to you, I would ask that I make that presentation to the Commission after the customer testimony --

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 2 MR. BURGESS: -- and immediately before the 3 technical. CHAIRMAN CARTER: That would be fine. That would be 4 5 fine. MR. BURGESS: Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton, is that cool? MR. DETERDING: That makes sense to us. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Excellent. Excellent. That gives 10 us more time, more opportunity to hear from the public. 11 MR. WHARTON: Chairman Carter, very quickly. I would 12 just like to add one page to the composite exhibit on the 13 matters we discussed on the notice, and this is the article 14 that appeared on Saturday the 27th that noted the time, the 15 date and the place of this hearing. CHAIRMAN CARTER: So Exhibit 35 will be a composite 16 17 exhibit. MR. WHARTON: It actually has two affidavits plus 18 19 this article. 20 MR. BURGESS: No objection. 21 MR. JAEGER: He's given me a copy of it. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Who's keeping it? 22 Okay. With that then, let's do this. Those of you 23 24 that are wishing to speak today, would you please stand and raise your right hand? 25

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

Those of you that may have come in later, I mentioned that you'll be coming to this podium to my right. And when you come to the podium, please give your name, address and phone number so we -- the young lady sitting in front of me here, she's a court reporter. This is part of the official record that we'll have before we rule on this matter, and she's taking down everything that you say and we want to make sure that we get all your comments on the record.

Okay. All right. Mr. -- anything -- nothing further? Staff? Commissioners?

Mr. Burgess, you're recognized. Would you call the first person?

MR. BURGESS: If I might, Mr. Chairman --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Yes, sir.

MR. BURGESS: -- I would ask that the Public Counsel,
J. R. Kelly, call the witnesses as, as --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Kelly, it would be a pleasure to have you, sir.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. I've got a list here to call. Some of the folks here have indicated that they're a little bit pressed for time, so I'm going to take them out of order a little bit. And ask any of you that if you are pressed for time, you need to get back to work or to another pressing matter, if you'll just come up and

let me know and I'll take your name out of order. Again, as 1 2 the Chairman said, the most important thing is that you get 3 heard and we want you to have an opportunity. But we do know 4 that with a hearing at 10:00 sometimes that you have pressing 5 matters. So please come up and let me know and we'll take you out of order, if we need to, because I do have a couple of 6 7 people that have indicated that. With that, Mr. Chairman, the first speaker is 8 9 Ms. Diane Beraldsen. And I apologize if I butcher anybody's 10 name. 11 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Beraldsen. 12 Whereupon, 13 DIANE BERALDSEN 14 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 15 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 DIRECT STATEMENT MS. BERALDSEN: Diane Beraldsen, 25 A 7th Avenue. 17 And my phone number as well? 18 19 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Yes, ma'am. 20 MS. BERALDSEN: (305)293-8621. 21 We have Enron, we have the real estate subprime loans, our economy, the recession, we have Wall Street, the big 2.2 This is the same. Until now it was the Office of 23 bailout. 24 Public Counsel who was taking measures to protect us.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have great concerns. I'd like to know why our

25

government didn't send this group here to clean up this company. Where was our local government? And it seems to be another good old boy deal, a Bubba deal here in the Keys.

I'd like to know what happened to -- there was a big controversy with Key West Resort a couple of years ago. There was an agreement that the company made with the homeowner. The homeowner would take their sewer pipe to the main street and it would be hooked up. That hookup was originally sentenced that the company would pay for it, but two or three sentences were changed and that cost the homeowner lots of money. That was a big controversy.

Last night we had a meeting at the church for us public citizens, and I was offended when Bill and his workers from Key West, well, they're not really his workers, but the people associated with his companies came to our meeting because I felt infiltrated. And it's a tactic that I found very aggressive. He took up our time explaining his side of the story, all of his expenses, but I really felt intimidated by it. That meeting was for us, and they were asked to leave and they did leave finally.

But I ask you -- I want to also tell you there was a grand jury that found the Key West Resort and the county guilty of mismanagement and disservice to the citizens. So there is a history behind them.

And if you allow this company to continue to operate

this way, it teaches their family that they can continue to operate this way, mismanagement, they have their workers contracted out. They don't actually employ employees to sewer the Stock Island area. These subcontracts end up costing us more money. One of the companies pads a 30 percent increase to materials, the wife gets a restaurant dinner for \$236, the children get cell phones, we're paying for it. I don't know why we have to pay for these expenses. There should be -- he should, Bill should be responsible for his own expenses. But if you don't take action now, who will?

Another thing that worries me is that all of their legal expenses can also come out of the company expenses. So for whatever Bill pays for his lawyers, which I'm -- and we don't even know if it's a family member who's the lawyer. They pay so much money for these, for these entities, we have to pay for it. I ask the company -- I ask the group here right now, the board, do not let him charge us, the customers, legal fees because it was his own responsibility.

And I just want to express another thing that happens. You tell the citizens, us, that the way this company has been allowed to operate it's okay to operate. It really sends a big message to other companies who will do the same. You really need to clean up, and I ask you to please protect us because we are the poor people, we are the ones who truly suffer. And even though Bill said last night it was a

\$6 increase, it actually -- we should be charged less money if they operated the company the way it should. And thank you for letting me speak. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on one second.

Commissioner Argenziano.

2.2

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I appreciate you coming here today, except I just need to know more than, than what you've told me. You've mentioned that you believe -- I think what you said, correct me, please, if I didn't get it right, that the subcontractors that they use are padding, did you say, 30 percent, bumping things up 30 percent more than they need to be?

MS. BERALDSEN: What I understand from newspaper stories, from information that was shared last night, there actually are no employees in the Key West Resort. They're actually contracted out. One of the companies that is contracted, Bill, his son-in-law, charged a 30 percent increase for materials. I don't -- that's not a -- that should not be a proper business procedure. That's, I believe, exorbitant. The wife owns the golf course, which has something to do with all of this.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Excuse me, and I don't mean to cut you off, but what I'm trying to find out is I guess I need more specifics. I don't understand what the wife owning a golf course has to do with it. If you can let me know, because

I don't know the story.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BERALDSEN: You know what, there's so much background to this whole thing. You know, first of all, we're charged a flat rate. We are -- there's no meter for our sewer. How they -- so they just charge us a flat rate. It has something to do, and I'm just going to give you the gist because me as a citizen, I don't know all the inside information. But I do read papers and I listen to radio and I pay attention. And what happens is something to do with the water usage in some instances determines how much the sewer will, will be charged, the bill. Something happens out in the golf course. They don't pay for the water or some, some special deal is made. It's, I believe it's connected. It's like this good old boy gets a deal here, this good old boy gets a deal here, they all work together. And if you were to follow all of these, the web, you would find even more shenanigans. Okay?

But all I could tell you -- you asked me how it costs more money for the subcontracts. That in itself would cost more money. Because if you don't -- a company that would employ its own employees and do a good business, they will just simply -- there is no middleman, and that in itself -- when you subcontract, you enter the middleman who is allowed to charge whatever they charge, you see. So in that instance for the son-in-law padding the 30 percent on materials, is that, is

that a good example for you? Can --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. And one other comment. I think you said something about the children of who having cell phones?

MS. BERALDSEN: Yeah. It was in the story. It's, as his business expenses, he, we pay for his three children's cell phones. I mean, it may, it may sound meaningless, but it all adds up and it shows how they do their financing. If this is found and discovered, imagine what else is discovered. I mean, they could charge us anything as a business expense when it should be a personal expense and we shouldn't bear the burden of paying for it. Okay.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, if the company would respond and if staff would tell me if they've looked into or heard any of these allegations as far as the company using those type of expenses and the customer paying them.

MR. JAEGER: You want the utility first?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: The company, can you respond to it?

MR. WHARTON: I don't believe so, not without conferring with the client. Or there may well be people who -- Mr. Smith may more appropriately be able to answer those questions when he's on the stand.

MR. SMITH: I can respond now.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, I don't know how to 1 2 do this. Maybe staff can --3 MR. JAEGER: There are issues on what are legitimate 4 expenses and related-party transactions, that's all through 5 this case. 6 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. I haven't found that 7 specifically. What I'm trying to find out is is the company 8 billing the customers for the gentleman's children's cell 9 phones? 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Was that part of the -- Marshall 11 Willis. 12 MR. SMITH: I'll tell you this. 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on a second. 14 MS. BERALDSEN: Does he want to come to the mike? 15 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Hang on a second. 16 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Just hold on. Everybody just 17 freeze in place. 18 Mr. Willis. 19 MR. WILLIS: I think I can help out on this real 20 quick like. If you look at the Prehearing Order, Page Number 2.1 24, and I believe in stipulation number six, all parties have agreed that miscellaneous non-utility telephone expenses of 2.2 23 \$7,508 should not be included in the expenses of the utility 24 company. That includes those costs. It was one of our audit

25

findings.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, I guess then it comes 1 2 down to that the company was trying to charge the consumer for 3 cell phones for the children? MR. WILLIS: Well, the company did definitely include 4 5 costs in their, in their actual utility expenses that we would 6 not consider as legitimate utility costs to pass on to 7 consumers. You do find that on the occasion, but staff strips 8 those out. 9 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: They're stripped out. 10 MR. WILLIS: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So any charges that would 12 go to the consumer now do not include those. So you do 13 understand. 14 MS. BERALDSEN: Okay. But then let me make this --CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on a second. Hang on a 15 16 second. 17 Commissioner Skop. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. 18 19 Chairman. I don't think my microphone is working too well. 20 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Can you guys hear back there? 21 COMMISSIONER SKOP: I'll talk my normal voice. I 2.2 appreciate the comments. And to Commissioner Argenziano's 23 point and Mr. Willis's point, would it be correct to understand that notwithstanding the proposed stipulation that those costs 24 25 were just recently added into the case before us in terms of

trying to be included in the future base rate or was that 1 something that they had previously charged the consumers for? 2 3 MR. WILLIS: No. This wouldn't be something they previously charged consumers for because it would have had to 4 5 have been included in the last rate case, included in the rates 6 of the company. If the Commission does not allow these costs 7 as part of their base rate increase, at that point the cost is 8 borne by the stockholders. It would not be borne by the 9 customers. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. Then just one more 10 question, Ms. Beraldsen, touching upon Commissioner 11 Argenziano's question. I guess you're also asserting that in 12 relation to the subcontractor that subcontracting is being done 13 with, through relatives or related parties, I think as 14 Mr. Willis has mentioned; is that correct? 15 16 MS. BERALDSEN: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. MS. BERALDSEN: Can I just -- you understand my point 18 though about the expense for the cell phones. It shows the 19 business can even consider this a business expense, there 20 probably are more expenses that would not be fair. 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And I appreciate you 23 bringing that up. What I'm very happy to see is that staff

caught it and it will not be included. Thank you.

MS. BERALDSEN: Yeah. Okay. Thank you.

24

25

1	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Beraldsen, just one more
2	quick question. And to that point, I can assure you our staff
3	does an excellent job in scrubbing the numbers and we root out
4	those expenses that are disallowed and we do disallow those.
5	So I appreciate you bringing that to our attention.
6	MS. BERALDSEN: I'm very grateful for you guys being
7	here. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess, you're recognized.
9	MR. BURGESS: And just for Commissioner Argenziano's
10	to the Commissioner's question, Issue 24 is the issue that
11	deals with what she spoke of with regard to the specifics.
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.
14	MS. BERALDSEN: Okay.
15	MR. WHARTON: Chairman Carter, I do have a couple of
16	points of this witness, of this witness.
17	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Beraldsen, would you please
18	MS. BERALDSEN: Oh, I'm sorry.
19	CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized.
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. WHARTON:
22	Q Very quickly, ma'am, because I'm not sure exactly
23	what you're aware of in terms of ordinances and laws out there.
24	I want you just to assume for the purposes of my question that
25	that is the case. If the Florida Legislature has passed a law

that applies to the Florida Keys to attempt to secure people 1 2 who aren't on central sewer systems to connect to them and to 3 try to get central sewer systems to improve the quality of 4 their treatment methods to preserve the environment of the Florida Keys, would you be generally supportive of that? 5 Can -- I'm sorry. Can you say that one more time? 6 Α 7 Yeah. If the Florida Legislature has put a law in 0 place --8 9 Yeah. Α -- that is --10 O The central sewer in --11 А -- its purpose is to help preserve the environment of 12 the Florida Keys by getting people, say, who are on septic 13 14 systems --15 Α Yeah. -- to connect to bigger sewer systems or by getting 16 17 people who are on little sewer systems to connect to bigger sewer systems --18 19 Α Yeah. -- with better treatment methods, would you be 20 21 supportive of that? 22 Absolutely. But then for that matter, I also Α 2.3 understand that the quality that they sewer the water, that 24 they actually clean the water is not up to par either.

believe that how they clean the water is controversial as well.

And I think that we have information from the environmental people who will share that also. I'm an environmentalist. I certainly agree that everybody should be hooked up to a central water system and have that done for the environmental.

Q Are you generally supportive of Monroe County's implementation of an ordinance directing people who aren't connected to central systems to connect to central systems in order to preserve the environment?

A I believe that everybody should be connected. How it's done is another topic. Everybody should be hooked into the central water system.

Q Are you generally supportive of the relationship, the transaction that occurred between the county and K W to effectuate that end, to secure K W going to a higher standard and to secure people connecting to the system?

A Well, that was a hot topic. We had a meeting in Stock Island, and these fellows, I actually felt really bad for them, Bill and the other two fellows. The citizens were told that the hookup fee to put everybody on a central sewer system would be paid for by Key West Resort. And it seemed like in a span of maybe two or three months somehow this paper had changed its wording two or three sentences. We had a very hot meeting at the community college, and the county commissioners were there, I think. But the issue came up. There was a controversy about that.

So to be clear and answer your question, no, I'm not 1 happy because it seems that three sentences were changed, which 2 put the burden of expense for that hookup -- and that hookup, 3 by the way, there's several procedures that you can use to 4 5 hook, to use to hook up the main system with the pipe coming out from the house. There's like the ejection or there's 6 7 gravity, whatever. The design that was chosen happened to also be the most expensive. So if the citizens understood that they 8 would be burdened with that expense to hook up, I don't think 9 10 they would have chosen that more expensive method. So it 11 wasn't fair. Let's go to the bottom line though. As I understand 12 0 what you're saying, you are generally supportive of persons in 13 the Florida Keys who are on smaller systems or septic tanks 14

hooking up to higher quality central sewer service systems in order to preserve the environment of the Keys.

- Yes. Yes. Α
- Thank you.
- Α Okay.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess, anything further?

MR. BURGESS: No, I have no questions. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Staff? CHAIRMAN CARTER:

MR. JAEGER: No questions.

Thank you. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN CARTER:

1 MS. BERALDSEN: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Kelly. 3 MR. KELLY: The next speaker, Mr. Chairman, is 4 Mr. George Rose. 5 Whereupon, 6 GEORGE ROSE 7 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 8 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 DIRECT STATEMENT 10 MR. ROSE: Thank you, Mr. Kelly. 11 My name is George Rose. I am at -- I own Hurricane 12 Hole Marina, 5130 Overseas Highway. I'd like to thank the 13 Commission and the residents for this opportunity to address 14 the Commission. 15 I am here in support of the rate hike as a business owner. I believe that the \$7 rate hike is modest and 16 17 responsible and does not reflect a gross disregard for the 18 customers' financial impact. 19 My understanding is that this work was done two years 20 prior to a compliance issue and that Mr. Smith put his money 21 out front not knowing if he'd ever recoup it to be in 22 compliance and it was a proactive move. He also saved us money 23 by doing it earlier because it's only going to be more 24 expensive later on.

It's also -- I'm trying to build a green marina, and

the work that they've done has been very ecofriendly and supportive of the Legislature's laws to that effect.

I also think that the prior speaker brings up some good points, but I also know as a business owner that we're all easy targets and that the, that in the process of doing business we're all under regulatory audits and what not. don't think that people -- I think information gets passed easily and spun easily, so it's not always what you read in the paper or what you see on TV is accurate. And I think that, that they have to do business under the public eye and public scrutiny and I think there's always mistakes made and judgments in error. But the company as a whole has always seemed to do the right thing for the community. And it's a very expensive process and I think we underestimate the burden that they are under and the decisions they have to make, and it's very easy for us to sit back and pick at them. As I said, you know, things aren't always done right but I think that they've always tried to do the right thing. That's it. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Hang on a second,
Mr. Rose.

Commissioners? Mr. Burgess?

CROSS EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. BURGESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.4

25

Q Yes. Did you -- did I understand correctly that you're a businessperson here?

A I'm, I am a business owner and I'm also a resident of Stock Island. I live on the golf course.

Q And what business, what sort of business?

2.0

2.1

2.4

A It's Hurricane Hole Marina. I operate a restaurant and a marina. And I have both -- I have great needs and it's actually extremely expensive for me to co-exist with the resort utilities. I pay a great deal of money. But I also understand that wastewater and especially in the Florida Keys is one of our most important issues. And I understand the need for those infrastructure resources to be in place and it's very difficult to have a company do it right, especially the system may be perceived as the most expensive system. In the long run it was probably the smartest system. You know, sometimes you spend the money right the first time instead of wasting it and having it be inferior five or ten years from now.

Q And in the establishment of your prices, I mean, doesn't the competitive market basically create the prices that you're able to charge for goods and services?

A Yeah. I am under market, I bear market prices. I have -- it doesn't relate to my costs. That affects my profit, not my prices. I have to stay, I have to stay competitive.

Q Are you aware that the, that the utility company is a monopoly that is protected from competition by state law and that the customers are required to take service from that company and only that company?

I am aware of that. 1 Α 2 And would you agree that if there are any costs 0 3 associated with what's been presented that the Commission 4 determines are improper or imprudent or unreasonable, you 5 wouldn't want to pay those, would you? No, I wouldn't. But I do believe that I would have 6 Α 7 that recourse to pursue. So if there are issues brought before the Commission 8 that demonstrate to the Commissioners that a particular cost is 9 unreasonable or imprudent, that should be removed from the 10 11 amount that's paid by the consumers. Absolutely. And I do support the prior speaker's 12 Α 13 efforts in regards to cell phones and what not. I think at 14 times in a vacuum you make a decision that is obviously, under this light, an improper one. And it seems that it was 15 16 rectified and I think further costs -- and people as they go on 17 longer and longer without scrutiny could make more errors in judgment, and I think that's what we're all here today to 18 19 prevent. 20 Q Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate it. 21 Α You're welcome. 22 I do have one follow-up, Mr. Chairman. MR. WHARTON: 23 CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized. 24 CROSS EXAMINATION

1 BY MR. WHARTON: 2 Sir, are you aware of the fact that K W's rates will 3 be the lowest wastewater rates in the entire Florida Keys, even 4 if this rate increase is approved? 5 I am. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Any questions from staff? 6 7 MR. JAEGER: No questions. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, anything further? 8 9 Thank you very kindly. MR. ROSE: You're welcome. Thank you. 10 Mr. Burgess. Mr. Kelly. 11 MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Mr. Glen Owens. 12 13 Whereupon, GLEN OWENS 14 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 15 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 17 DIRECT STATEMENT 18 MR. OWENS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, fellow 19 Commissioners. 20 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good morning. 21 MR. OWENS: My name is Glen Owens, O-W-E-N-S, and I 2.2 live at 184 Venus Lane. And my phone number -- that's on 23 Geiger Key, and my phone number is 293-0153. And I am one of 24 the original founders of the M-10 Coalition in the Lower Keys. 25 And if you don't mind, I will read my statement so to, as a

matter of brevity.

2.0

2.1

2.4

And M-10 Coalition was formed in March of 2005 as a way to provide citizen input on the construction of a central wastewater system on Rockland, Big Coppitt, Geiger and Shark Keys, which has, is composed of approximately 45,000 residents. The specific event that led to our formation was an agenda item on the upcoming Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority Board of Directors meeting. That agenda item, if approved by the FKA, Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority board, would have seated all authority for wastewater projects in unincorporated Monroe County from the FKA to the county commission. Under state statute, the FKA was the wastewater authority for the county and was responsible for the design, construction and operation of county wastewater systems, and M-10 wanted to keep it that way.

After conversations with friends and acquaintances who lived or owned property on Stock Island and after reading a report from the grand jury that had investigated the process by which the sewer system was built on Stock Island, the M-10 Coalition determined that we wanted no part of the private/public approach for our wastewater system. We were appalled at the problems the residents of Stock Island were being forced to endure, some of which are being described to you today.

We were even more appalled at the role our county

government was playing in the project. The grand jury report used the word "incompetent" nearly 20 times to characterize the county involvement in the Stock Island sewer system project.

M-10 decided we would have no part of such a process and that we would work to keep the FKA as the wastewater authority for the unincorporated Keys and support them in their efforts to design, build and operate the required wastewater system.

2.4

Unfortunately, or I should say fortunately we were successful and the result is an interlocal agreement between the county and the FKA regarding the sewer systems. Terms of the ILA, interlocal agreement, require the county to provide the funding for our wastewater systems and that the FKA design, build and operate those systems for the next 99 years.

We know what our share of the building of the central system will cost each of us upfront and we are free to hire a plumber of our choosing to connect our homes to the new system. There are no additional fees or administrative costs, and the FKA by law is not permitted to charge any more to operate and maintain our system than it costs them to do so. We shudder to think where we would be today if the county commission had gained control of wastewater in the Keys and forced upon us the same type of system they forced on the residents of Stock Island. Thank you. Do you have any questions?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: One second, please.

Commissioner Argenziano.

1 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Nothing. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop? Mr. Burgess. 3 MR. BURGESS: No questions, Mr. Chair. 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton. 5 MR. WHARTON: A couple of quick questions. 6 CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized. 7 CROSS EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. WHARTON: 9 One, sir, I just want to make sure that I am clear 10 that you're not a customer of K W; is that correct? 11 Α Not at this -- no, I'm not. I'm trying to refine my technique a little here to 12 13 take up less of your time than I did earlier. 14 Isn't it correct that you would be supportive of the 15 people in the Florida Keys connecting to central systems who 16 are on septic tanks and package plants now? 17 That's our desire and our program. 18 And you would also be supportive of efforts of the 19 utilities to treat wastewater at a higher standard as opposed 20 to a lesser standard here in the Florida Keys, wouldn't you? 21 Of course. Α 22 MR. WHARTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. 23 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Staff, anything? 24 25 MR. JAEGER: No questions.

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you very kindly, Mr. Rose --2 Mr. Owens. Mr. Kelly, you're recognized. Thank you, Mr. Owens. 3 4 MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Ms. Kim Wigington. 5 Whereupon, 6 KIM WIGINGTON 7 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 8 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 DIRECT STATEMENT MS. WIGINGTON: Good morning. 10 11 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good morning. 12 MS. WIGINGTON: Kim Wigington. I'm a resident of 13 Stock Island. My mailing address is Post Office Box 5705, Key West, and the zip is 33045. Telephone number, (305)296-4009. 14 15 Commissioners, thank you for traveling to Monroe County. I have notes to keep this as brief as possible, if 16 you'll forgive me for reading this. 17 Today may be the first time that you've heard of our 18 experiences with this utility, but it is not the first time 19 20 authorities have been informed of this company's business 21 practices. 22 In 2002, the Stock Island community was preparing and 23 planning for construction of a central wastewater collection 24 system. The plans the utility presented to be vetted and shown 25 to the public differ greatly from what was constructed by the

utility. The difference in the plans was that the burden of cost was shifted to the property owner and away from the utility. As a result, one of the issues that you may hear again and again is the lack of service connections. An engineering audit for a grand jury concluded that the construction as-built records did not match what they observed was constructed in the field. A number of properties cannot connect. To this day there are people who are not connected to the system who have paid their capacity reservation fees, have put their structure on their, infrastructure on their property and paid the utility fees but are unable to connect to the collection system.

2.2

2.4

Some customers have had to pay for infrastructure in the public right-of-way. There were several who have paid a great deal for infrastructure, as much as \$150,000 for infrastructure in the public right-of-way. Then they turn the asset over to the utility and they still have to pay a percentage of what they paid for construction to the utility or one of the utility family members that gets paid separately. Now keep in mind that most of these customers were under code enforcement action and it's code enforcement action proceedings initiated by the utility's referral.

I would never do business with this company, but the community is forced to do business with this company by a county whose officials, some officials, present company

excluded, please, some of the officials were found by a grand jury to be negligent and didn't have the best interest of the public in mind regarding this utility.

The utility paid money to a former county commissioner. This has been confirmed by a grand jury and the State Ethics Commission. The utility has been enabled by corrupt government. Please keep that in mind when you hear people talking.

I was in a meeting last night and heard people say that they were fearful of speaking to you today. They were fearful of being at that meeting because of retaliation both from the utility and from, in the past, some county officials. I've heard this over and over again for the last five years.

There has been heavy-handed customer relations, there have been heavy-handed contract negotiations. For example, after receiving and signing for registered letters noticing service availability, some residents received a knock on the door by an armed deputy. The deputy delivered the same exact letter received twice in the mail, once regular mail and once by registered mail. The armed deputy showed up as early as 7:00 a.m. in the morning. My 80-year-old neighbor met the deputy at the door in her nightgown thinking something had happened to her daughter in Maryland. Another neighbor my age who has an elderly mother feared that something had happened to her mother when she saw the armed deputy there. They both had

received and signed for registered letters from the utility.

And by the way, the County Attorney's Office has a letter that came about a month after that saying that those being served by deputies with a 30-day connection notice had no system available for connection at that time due to the lack of right-of-way infrastructure. The County Attorney's letter further states it's K W Resort Utilities' responsibility to ensure the appropriate infrastructure is in place to make the system available.

There have been other actions of heavy-handedness:

Targeting low income customers for code enforcement action.

This has been validated by county record in an e-mail from the utility's representatives to county officials. During contract negotiations he recommended the county authorities and the utility jointly target low income households with code enforcement action. That's a quote.

I attended some of those code enforcement proceedings early on. The first one was not held in the normal venue which would be a venue like in this BOCC chambers. It was held in an airport arrival area 50 miles away with no ability to be recorded by television and could not be recorded by audio because of the arrival of aircraft and passengers through the area.

The first question asked of these customers was, "Do you deny or admit the charge?" The homeowners were not allowed

to explain that they had nothing to connect to until they admitted whether they were connected or not. So they had to admit that they were in violation of the connection.

2.2

Please keep in mind that these low income homeowners in code enforcement proceedings do not have attorneys and may be unclear of their rights. Those with means have spent tens of thousands of dollars in legal fees, needless legal fees.

Another example of heavy-handedness: During contract negotiations, one of the utility owner's family members, utility owners, who is an attorney, attended a condominium's board meeting. He identified himself only as an attorney representing a unit owner. He would not give his name and he made a demand for all the association's attorney's records relating to sewer matters. While demanding to discuss the utility litigation matters with the board, he was fully aware that the board was represented by legal counsel and that legal counsel was not present. He did not disclose to the board that he was part owner of the utility, nor that he was representing the utility against the condominium association, nor that he stood to make hundreds of thousands of dollars as a result of their contract negotiation.

One example of public relations: The utility placed an ad in the local newspaper during contract negotiations and it begins with a quote, "No good deed goes unpunished." In the body it states that at no time K W Resort Utilities or anyone

associated with it interested in purchasing, was interested in purchasing or ever made an offer to purchase property on South Stock Island. I'll provide copies of recorded deeds of South Stock Island properties purchased during that time of contract negotiations by the people the utility was denying had purchased properties. I'll provide other documentation of what I've said to you today, if I may.

One issue is obvious: It is unclear where this utility ends and the family members and their companies begin. At times the fees to the family members appear to be hidden or indirect, and the required monthly maintenance contracts with an in-law are paid separately from the monthly bills paid to the utility. Fees were paid to one family member during hookup supposedly as an engineer, believed to be an engineer, including the inspection of your engineer's work. But if that family member was an engineer at the time, no record of it could be found. He admitted in a deposition that he was an electrical engineer in training in Illinois only.

This family also oversees the testing of your collection system from time to time and when you connect. Our contractors told us that the testing was excessive and vindictive and based on personal relationships. Costs were driven up to those who complained, especially to those who complained to the Public Service Commission.

I don't see anyone in this audience that's

negotiating a contract at this time and there are several that are still trying to connect to the system. It takes years of legal wrangling to even get connected to this utility and tens of thousands of dollars in what I believe to be needless legal fees.

2.2

Commissioners, Stock Island's experience with this utility has prompted citizens of neighboring islands to rise up and demand that this utility not be allowed to expand its service to their neighbors.

I appreciate your patience and listening. I would ask you please to make sure hearings held in Tallahassee are available live by audio so that this case can be followed by us. And allow me to leave no doubt whatsoever concerning this utility's rate increase: I strongly oppose any increase in rates paid by this community and respectfully request a refund of past excessive charges. And this rate case only looks at a segment of this utility's history. I wonder what the result would be if other years of this utility's operation were to be audited. I appreciate your oversight very much, and I would ask that you take immediate and appropriate action to protect consumers.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Before you go, I know the Commissioners may have some questions, is that, yes, we'll accept the information. Staff that would be Exhibit 36. The documents, that will be Exhibit 36.

MR. JAEGER: That's correct. And that's 1 2 documentation of Ms. Wigington's testimony? 3 CHAIRMAN CARTER: That's an appropriate title. (Exhibit 36 marked for identification.) 4 5 Okay. Commissioner Argenziano. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. Thank you for being 6 7 here. 8 First, just let me explain that Florida Statute 9 mandates the PSC to allow utilities to recover certain costs. 10 So kind of we have -- not kind of. We have a mandate. What we 11 have to look at is prudency, the reasonableness of how they're 12 doing it and, and that sort of thing --13 MS. WIGINGTON: I understand. 14 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: -- we can look at. You 15 bring up some points that I guess I need some clarification on. 16 And I read through, but after reading so many different cases 17 it kind of jumbles up. So if you don't mind, if I could kind 18 of extract those. And, staff, if you could jump in at any 19 time. And even sometimes even though it's right here in the 20 book, while we're talking about it, get it out now if there's a question and we can answer it. I'd like to do that while we're 21 22 here. 23 But when you talk about people not being able to hook 24 up to the company --

MS. WIGINGTON: Yes.

1

2

3

4

money for them.

appreciate it.

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

negotiating that.

unlike most utilities that we're used to here in the county, this utility charged us a capacity reservation fee which the public was not aware of in the very beginning. It has nothing

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: -- do you mean financially

So if you could explain that to me, I'd really

or the company is disallowing additional hookups? Which

doesn't make sense to me because that would be more bread,

MS. WIGINGTON: Well, they get the capacity

reservation fee. I think part of the confusion here is that

hookup. Most people thought that their \$2,700 fee was the fee

to do with system development or what you'll be provided or

for the system development in the street, that the

infrastructure would be in the public right-of-way, and all

they had to do was pay their plumber to connect, and that was a

flat fee, \$2,700. What ended up happening was that was

considered a capacity reservation fee. You got nothing for

that. It's like buying the parking space instead of getting

the car that you thought you were getting. So with a capacity

reservation fee, then they started adding on hookup fees,

administration costs, legal fees, 10 percent to the son-in-law,

5 percent to the engineer, 10 percent for something else, and

it just added up and added up and people had to start

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And, Mr. Chair.

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized. 2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And when you say deputies 3 arrived at people's homes --4 MS. WIGINGTON: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: -- was this because they 6 had not hooked up? 7 MS. WIGINGTON: What was delivered was a 30-day 8 notice for connection. Yes, they had not connected. They had 9 nothing to connect to. This was one of the ones where I was 10 served by an armed deputy. 11 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That comes from the county, 12 the notice? 13 MS. WIGINGTON: The notice came from the utility. 14 The utility hired and paid armed deputies to deliver nonlegal 15 letters to customers for 30-day notice service availability 16 when there was no service available. But we had already signed 17 previously a registered letter, the exact same copy. All three 18 are in here: One with a deputy's signature, one was 19 registered, the registered envelope, and one that we got by 20 regular mail. 21 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Again, here's where I'm

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Again, here's where I'm getting confused, and excuse me if I'm just thick, if the, if the residents had not been able to connect up because the company I guess, I guess that's what you're saying, the company just didn't have a connection going, they paid their capacity

22

23

24

reservation fee, which I'd like staff to really explain to me what the heck that really is, and if, if the company and the resident have not connected, now you're saying the company had sent the notice out to the consumers, to the residents indicating that they had not connected. The only reason they hadn't connected was why, because the resident --

MS. WIGINGTON: There was no connection point in the right-of-way for the collection system to connect to.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.

2.2

MS. WIGINGTON: And in our instance we needed a buffer tank.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So how did the company expect you to have that? I guess I have to have an answer from the company too. Because how do you send out notices or send armed deputies to people's houses if they haven't connected if they don't have the infrastructure there to connect? I'm sorry.

MR. WHARTON: Well, if I may, that never happened.
What you've got, what you've got is an ordinance of the county
that says that K W must give notice to the customers of system
availability in order to trigger the ordinance. From there the
county is enforcing their own ordinance. All this about code
enforcement boards and no TVs and lawyers and deputies showing
up is the county enforcing an ordinance. You know that K W
doesn't have deputies and --

1 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: How does the county enforce
2 through a utility? Why didn't the county send out their own
3 notices?

MS. WIGINGTON: This came from the utility. And one, one aspect that we deal with is factually incorrect information constantly, and that was blatantly factually incorrect.

The deputies were hired -- I asked the deputy on my porch, I invited her in for iced tea, and she was hired by the utility to deliver a letter. It's explained in the deposition from, I believe, Mr. Carter and Mr. Johnson. Their explanations were it's a way that we could get the letter to them other than by registered mail.

And keep in mind, they could get -- if they had 70 in that homeowners association to pay their capacity reservation fee, it was \$186,000 roughly, approximately, then they don't have to offer service. They can put that off for five years. So with \$180,000 plus and not offering a monthly service, there's benefit to being able to do that.

MR. WHARTON: Well, Commissioner Argenziano, just to be very succinct, first there was a registered letter. Anyone who refused the registered letter, the County Attorney suggested that we use the county deputies to deliver the notice of availability. Things that happened after that in terms of enforcement were on behalf of the county.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So there were, so there

were deputies that went out?

MR. WHARTON: There were deputies who delivered the notice to anyone who refused the registered letter.

MS. WIGINGTON: That's not correct.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: How do these people hook up -- I understand there's a county ordinance. The county wants the citizens to move off septics or smaller systems, understandably so. The environment is very fragile here and I think you've heard people -- I agree. But if the infrastructure is not there to hook up and the county is asking the utility to send out notices or send deputies to, which I would question why the county would do that --

MS. WIGINGTON: They did not.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: -- but, but I don't understand how the utility would not respond to the county and say that we don't have infrastructure there to hook up.

MR. WHARTON: And I understand your concern,

Commissioner Argenziano, and I think that there will be some
people in this hearing who will be able to address that with
great specificity.

As I understand it, there are some potential customers who are asking for the construction of facilities that we consider on-site and that, in fact, we've had conversations with the PSC, and I think anyone would have a right to complain to the PSC if the utility wasn't rendering

service within the service area and so there's a story there.

But we disagree that the facilities were not available, the central facilities were not available, and there are, we have persons who can answer questions in that regard.

2.2

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, that's going to be something I'm going to need to find out about. And I'd like staff to maybe fill me in on that. Because if the infrastructure is not there, I don't see how the individual can have any, any violation.

MR. WHARTON: And I understand. And I don't want to belabor the point right now and I certainly don't want to get into some kind of heavy cross. I'll just say that we do disagree with many of these facts. This lady is well-known to the utility, she's a candidate for the county commission, which is absolutely fine obviously, it doesn't affect her voracity or anything else, but we do request the opportunity to file a late-filed exhibit in the customer testimony, as is the Commission policy, rather than get into some back and forth here.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. I just --

MR. WHARTON: I understand.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: There are points that are coming up that I need answers to.

MR. WHARTON: We'll try to answer every question that you have.

1 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. And, and just one 2 other thing. Have the citizens been in touch with your state 3 representatives and senators, senator? 4 MS. WIGINGTON: We were in contact with some 5 officials that were involved in the grand jury report. 6 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And in regards to the 7 county's --There, there have been federal 8 MS. WIGINGTON: Yes. 9 investigations, State Attorney investigations, a grand jury 10 report involving the politics with this. I've also included in 11 here on that other matter the registered letter that was 12 received and signed for. And those served by deputies had 13 received and signed for registered letters, as well as the 14 County Attorney's letter stating that the infrastructure wasn't 15 there and that they did not recommend that the utility use the 16 deputies. But I've been involved in this issue since 2002, 17 involved in it -- it's been rather lengthy and rather 18 excessive. So this is not something that I've just gotten 19 involved in in the last few months. 20 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Chairman, one last 21 point. And I guess what I'd like to express is that there are 2.2 certain things that this Commission can deal with under our 23 jurisdiction and certain things we can't. 24 MS. WIGINGTON: I understand.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Some of the issues that you

are regarding to are in different, a different court, so to, so to speak. And I guess in hearing some of this I'd like answers to it because some of them do tell me if there's prudency or reasonableness on the part of the utility. So that's where I'm really trying to go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And understanding -- to let the citizens know also that the Legislature, your Legislature, which I used to be a part of, mandates to the PSC certain recoveries. And if we're getting down to the nuts and bolts, everything else still, I'm very concerned, as you are and I'm sure the utility is also, but there are certain things we can do under jurisdiction. If we're mandated only to look at -- I mean, if the Legislature says the law is you will allow these recoveries, there may be a lot of extra things that are going on that are not in our jurisdiction that still concern people, and rightfully so. But, and I'd love to see those questions answered because sometimes there are a lot of things that if you just keep talking about it, you come to a conclusion, if you can. But I do want the people to know while we're sitting here today that we are mandated to allow certain increases within -- and I'm sure the Office of Public Counsel can tell you also, we do have some mechanisms when it comes to prudency and reasonableness. And so if you have those points to, and you've told me some, I would like to hear those. And I just want you to know ahead of time that we do have certain mandates that are upon us.

unfortunately sometimes that gets -- I guess a lot of people don't know, and just to let you know off the bat. But I appreciate the information and will look forward to -- maybe staff can answer some of those questions I have and maybe the utility later on.

MR. JAEGER: Chairman Carter.

2.2

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Wait. Wait. Hang on a second.
Hold the phone.

Staff, make sure that you capture the questions that Commissioner Argenziano asked so as we move further along this line we'll have that presented to us at the appropriate time so that we can put those in there. I know some of the criminal justice issues are not within the confines of our authority, but certainly asking for the service and their abilities, I mean, that is within the context.

MR. WILLIS: That's correct, Chairman. I would suggest that during the hearing we're going to have the utility owner on both direct and redirect rebuttal testimony, and I would suggest those are really good areas of proper questioning when we get to that point and that would be the way to get it into the record.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on. She's trying to listen to you over the truck.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: We want to have the direct, redirect --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Direct and cross-examination 1 2 testimony when we get into rebuttal. 3 MR. WILLIS: In rebuttal testimony. 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Since these are the areas that we 5 would address during the technical portion. 6 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. And we will do that. 7 I think that's a great suggestion, and maybe we can get some 8 additional insight. And later as we move forward maybe staff, 9 before we meet again, can have some of those questions answered 10 by others in the utility. Maybe just come down to the county 11 or wherever you get your information from, but find out what 12 the, what the actual facts really are. 13 MR. WILLIS: Sure. 14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. 15 Commissioner Skop, you're recognized. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 just some quick questions, Ms. Wigington. MS. WIGINGTON: I welcome it. Thank you. 18 19 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Can everyone hear? Hello? 20 That's better. That's better. Sorry. You've got to talk 21 right into this thing. Just a few questions for Ms. Wigington following up 2.2 23 on Commissioner Argenziano's line of question. 24 I guess the issue, and we're getting into some 25 tangents, so I'm just trying to listen to information and

assert what we have the jurisdiction over in terms of some of the concerns being raised. As Commissioner, Chairman Carter mentioned, that there are more criminal or other issues that we don't have direct jurisdiction over. But if I'm to understand your concerns correctly, this, the use of the deputy spawned from trying to collect advance capacity reservation costs; is that correct?

MS. WIGINGTON: Uh-huh.

2.2

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And, and what I heard -- and I heard two different stories from Mr. Wharton. I'm not going to get into this, but as I heard what you stated is that some of the residents had received, actually received the certified letters in the mail.

MS. WIGINGTON: Yes. Yes.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And after that the deputy came to those same people, and that was something different from what I thought I heard Mr. Wharton say.

MS. WIGINGTON: Yes. I've included copies of those in here, the signed registered letter and then the letter from the deputy.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And then also too to Mr. Wharton, you mentioned that the County Attorney had sanctioned the use of the deputy by the utility. Is there any written record of that?

MR. WHARTON: No, there's not, Commissioner Skop.

1 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: One other question for 3 staff. 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano. 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Actually two. One point is 6 tell me specifically, and I have a good idea what it is, but 7 what a capacity reservation fee is. And if you can tell me if 8 a company is utilizing a deputy, an off-duty deputy, who, is 9 that passed through to the customers or would the county be responsible for paying that since they have asked the utility 10 to, to enforce a county ordinance? 11 MR. WILLIS: Well, the first question, the capacity 12 13 reservation fee is normally for a customer's reservation at the wastewater treatment plant. 14 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: To eventually hook up. 15 In this case the company had to 16 MR. WILLIS: Yeah. expand and build an advanced waste treatment plant to treat 17 18 this at a higher level. And the company can correct me if I'm wrong, but I'm pretty sure that's, the capacity reservation fee 19 20 was for a wastewater treatment plant. 21 As far as the charge for a deputy, that's something 22 that the utility would have paid for and it would be a decision 23 of the Commission whether or not they would be able to include 2.4 the cost of that.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, I guess my question

is did they, did they ask the Commission to include that, that the customers would pay?

MR. WILLIS: Did they ask if they --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Right.

2.1

2.2

MR. WILLIS: That I'm not sure about, but we can find out.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That's a question I want answered.

MR. WILLIS: We can find out.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Because it seems to me the county should be responsible if they asked the utility to go ahead and enforce an ordinance and not the customer.

MR. WILLIS: We can find out.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And just one follow-up to Ms. Wigington. It also touches upon a point that Commissioner Argenziano raised. We as the Commission do have the discretion to view the management of the utility and inform our -- I believe, you know, we're required by statute on the cost recovery. But when it comes down to the management, we do have 100 basis points discretion of rate setting ability to either reward or, you know -- so some of those intangibles do come into play. So, again, the management of the utility is one of the factors that we have small discretion but we do have some. So thank you.

1 MS. WIGINGTON: And we appreciate that. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Mr. Burgess, before I come to you, I'm going to go to 3 4 Mr. Wharton. Is that okay? 5 MR. BURGESS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton, do you have any 6 7 questions? 8 MR. WHARTON: I do have a couple. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized. 10 CROSS EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. WHARTON: 12 Ma'am, understanding what you have said 13 notwithstanding, you are supportive of the mandatory connection 14 ordinance here in the Keys, aren't you? 15 I'm supportive of central collection of wastewater 16 and AWT treatment. I am, yes. 17 Are you also -- because that was going to 0 You are. 18 be my second question. But in terms of the first question, are 19 you supportive of the mandatory connection ordinance? Mandatory connection, I understand the enforcement. 20 2.1 In this case it was in light of a public partner, 2.2 public/private partnership that was not in the best interest of the public. I think it was abused by the utility and a little 23 24 bit I think it was abused by the county also. I think it's 25 being straightened out now. Things have been changed on the

county level.

In one instance, the sheriff, after finding out that his deputies were being used to deliver these items for the utility, put a stop to it immediately and will not deliver letters for the utility anymore. So things are being changed in a way in which in the future 30-day connection and stringent enforcement is probably a good thing. But with a private company that basically is a law unto its own self, it creates problems.

Q Do you know whether the county's mandatory connection ordinance was the implementation of a special act passed by the Florida Legislature that pertained particularly to the Keys requiring mandatory connection?

A You're talking about 99395, is that what you're talking about? Yeah. I'm aware of that.

Q Okay. And are you, are you supportive of the concept as it was invited (phonetic) in that bill?

A Yes. Yes. I've actually traveled to Tallahassee and spoken on its behalf, by the way. So I think you will find that Stock Island was very supportive. They were excited to be connecting to a central wastewater system, one of the first in the county.

My homeowners association built a collection system within the confines of our homeowners association to meet this utility a number of years before the system was even built in

the right-of-way. We built it so we could connect. People were excited. We were on an island with cesspits. And a result of the utility's business practices, people have been left with cesspits, and I think you would be aware of the harm that causes near shore waters. They've been left with cesspits and unable to connect to the utility.

2.2

Q Do you know whether any of those persons that you are alleging have done everything that they should have done to get connected to the utility made a complaint to the Commission to that effect?

A Yes. Part of the Commission's complaints are in here. Part of the issue would be that -- although people were saying it in different ways, what they were complaining about, it may have been an issue that the Public Service Commission would refer them back to a county official.

One county official that's in here that they were referred to is in court two blocks over in federal court in trial now and was found negligent and incompetent by a grand jury with his relations with this utility.

One property that you mentioned specifically is our nextdoor neighbor. Our nextdoor neighbor to our property has nothing to connect to. He's paid his capacity reservation fees. I'm not really sure what it was. The last I saw it was about eight EDUs. You can multiply that by \$2,700. And he is provided no service monthly for sewer. He's still having to

use whatever he has as a septic system, which I believe technically DEP would probably term it to be -- it's not even secondary treatment, I don't believe. But he's paid his capacity reservation fees, which would be a fair amount of money paid to have the system placed on his property, the construction fees. I'm assuming if he did construction, he paid 10 percent to the son-in-law, 10 percent above the cost of construction, and he still has no wastewater service.

I have in here a county document that lists several properties that have no infrastructure and no ability to connect. And they were in code enforcement, by the way.

- Q Now you, you did receive the certified letter from the utility?
 - A Yes. I received three letters.
- Q So there was no, there was no need for personal process on you and you didn't receive it; correct?

A I, I received the registered letter, signed for the registered letter, as did the two people that I mentioned to you, the elderly lady and the other neighbor. And, in fact, I believe they're quoted in a newspaper article. It's the only newspaper article that I included in this. Most of what I provided to you is public record and other documents.

MR. WHARTON: We'll just respond appropriately in a late-filed exhibit, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you.

2.1

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. 2 Mr. Burgess. 3 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on a second. 5 MR. WILLIS: Mr. Chairman, could -- do you possibly 6 know what your neighbor's address is that you just spoke about 7 that doesn't have, that doesn't have service? 8 MS. WIGINGTON: Sixty -- it should be 6700 Maloney 9 Avenue. It would be listed as Elmar (phonetic). There are 10 others that do not have -- I don't believe Vernon Tommies 11 (phonetic) has service now or did not. There's an Oxy, 12 something Oxygen, Key West Oxygen beside of them that did not 13 have service. Several individuals that the utility would claim that the person had service but the service was three blocks 14 15 over or three blocks of property over. So you'd have to run 16 across your neighbor's property with your lateral, getting easements from them to be able to connect on another street. 17 18 So, I mean, the term "service availability" is, is blurred. 19 But the county document that I have in here was one from the 20 Code Enforcement Director informing the County Attorney of a 21 few properties that they had found that had no ability to 2.2 connect but was in the code enforcement and I believe receiving

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, staff.

Mr. Burgess.

23

24

25

daily fines.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, I don't have any 1 2 questions. But I would note in response to, I believe, 3 Commissioner Argenziano's and Commissioner Skop's questions that Issue 30 actually deals with the question of the fees paid 4 5 to the sheriff's deputies for distributing the notices. 6 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 7 MS. WIGINGTON: She told me she was being paid \$20 to 8 deliver each letter. So whether that's what was turned in to 9 you or not. I don't have that in writing. That's the reason I 10 didn't mention it to you. Everything that I mentioned to you I 11 have documented in here, but that's what she told me in my 12 Thank you. home. 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Ms. Wigington. MR. JAEGER: Chairman Carter. 14 15 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Do you have questions? I have a couple of questions, and I just 16 MR. JAEGER: 17 wanted to make sure on the exhibit --CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized. 18 19 MR. JAEGER: Thank you. 20 CROSS EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. JAEGER: I believe I heard you say something about before you 22 hook up sometimes you need a buffer tank and that's a part of 23 24 the problem with the ability to hook up; is that correct? Yeah. Vacuum pits and buffer tanks were what were 25

changed around in the original plans and eliminated in the second set of plans.

Q And you're saying that was then made the responsibility of the customer --

A Correct.

Q -- when first you thought it might be the responsibility of the utility.

A Correct. When -- the explanation from the utility's representatives said that they believe it's a customer cost.

What happened is when we as the public -- and what was vetted by the county and approved by the county commission, what they saw was buffer tanks and vacuum pits, which are collection tanks in the right-of-way marked on those plans. But what was termed a bait and switch by the grand jury, what was given as actually constructed eliminated those tanks out of the right-of-way. So the utility now says, well, you have to put those tanks on your property where they were provided in the right-of-way for you to connect to. The result of having that tank on your property means that you have a maintenance agreement with, I believe, the son-in-law, you pay the monthly for the maintenance of that. And the construction costs a lot too, plus they have an easement on your property.

MR. JAEGER: Chairman Carter, if there's no further questions, I would just have a question about this composite Exhibit 36. I don't know if the utility is going to object to

it, but I believe we need to, while we have her here, find out if composite Exhibit 36 is going to be admitted into the record.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Admitting it into the record is not the same thing as admitting it into evidence. I think the company recognizes that.

Mr. Wharton, would you agree with that?

MR. WHARTON: That's acceptable because admitted into evidence would -- at a minimum we need a chance to object at some point.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: No. No.

MR. WHARTON: Okay.

2.2

I think that the people understood exactly what I said when I said why we're here today is to hear from you. And part of what we do is in the process, the first phase of this is that we listen to the customers. And a lot of times customers will come and say things that otherwise in a court of law may be not admitted because it's hearsay and things like that. But I'm not holding the customers to those kind of parameters or anything like that because this is public testimony.

Now when we get into the technical portion of this, you know, we're going to swear in the witnesses. You can get a chance to cross-examine them. You can bring in the engineers, you can bring in the accountants, you can bring in, you know,

the Lone Ranger, if you want, whatever the case may be. But this portion is allowing the customers -- and I don't want any customer to feel so intimidated that, you know, they don't want to speak their mind. And I think that that's what separates us from a lot of the countries around the planet, that we're a democracy. That's how we operate. We allow our neighbors, our friends and neighbors to come and say "I have an issue" and they don't have to fear any retaliation or intimidation. So I'm not going to -- no. No. We're entering this into the record, not into the evidence. So this, that's my ruling. You can give it to Mr. Burgess and he'll make sure it's put in there. Thank you.

(Exhibit 36 admitted into the record.)

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, I need to ask a question, if I might.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you very much, Commissioner. I appreciate that.

Here's my concern. I understand what you just, what you said and the point of it, that, that the customers aren't held to all the deadlines and prefilings and all of that that allow the parties their due process rights to respond and that sort of thing, and so, therefore, the concern about putting it into evidence. But I guess my concern is that take, take the issue -- we have an issue that is the amount of money spent to

sheriff's deputies which the company is seeking for recovery for what has been stated by Mr. Wharton to Commissioner

Argenziano and which is in the testimony as well that the only people that received this type of service of this notice were people who refused to sign for the registered mail. And we have a witness that said that's not true, and who said not only am I telling you that's not true, but I have a document that demonstrates that's not true. And I guess what I'm concerned about is if we end up in a situation, because of the less formal process of this testimony coming in, that, that treats that documentation as anything less than something the Commission can rely on to make a finding of fact.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Helton, please.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And while we're waiting for her to come, may I ask a couple of questions?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: In regards to Issue 30 for staff, I noticed that some of the miscellaneous expenses are indicated by staff to be reduced by \$161. What about the lodging expense? It doesn't seem right to me that if you own a house in the Keys, that you charge a customer for lodging expenses.

MR. WILLIS: No, that would not be appropriate.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So that still then has to be reduced.

1 MR. WILLIS: I'll check with my staff to make sure it 2 wasn't --3 MR. BURGESS: That's, that's an issue in the case. 4 That's an issue. I can find the explicit issue, but it is one 5 that is in controversy where the company is seeking for it to 6 be passed on to the customers and we're arguing that it should 7 not be. 8 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Chairman, if I may. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: One second. Excuse me, y'all. 10 was just listening to our General Counsel, Commissioners. 11 what Ms. Helton has said is that basically it is treated, 12 Mr. Burgess, based upon review from Ms. Helton is that it is 13 admitted for us as the Commission to give it the weight that --14 you understand what I'm saying to you? 15 MR. BURGESS: Yes, sir. 16 CHAIRMAN CARTER: And that's what I mean is that as 17 Commissioners we will be able to look at this. And we've 18 seen -- we're here for the testimony. We've seen the 19 witnesses, we've heard the questions and all that, we can see 20 that, and we can give that the weight, whatever it deserves. 21 Does that, does that meet your objection on that? 22 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. BURGESS: 23 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton? 24 I guess all we would say, Chairman MR. WHARTON:

Carter, is that we do object just for the record to the extent

that the documents are coming in. I just don't see how customer testimony can work other than the way you have originally described it. I can't -- the person who actually generated those documents didn't come in and testify for themselves. I can't cross-examine them. There was a mixture of opinion testimony here. Maybe we'll need an accountant or engineer. So I understand exactly what you're saying. But just, just as a matter of the record and as far as the document goes, we would object.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mary Anne.

2.2

MS. HELTON: It sounds to me that Mr. Wharton's objection really lies to the fact that he thinks these are hearsay exhibits, and correct me if you think I'm wrong.

Administrative law allows hearsay testimony to be admitted into the record. It has to be corroborated by other evidence, and then the Commission can always give it the weight that it's due.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. And with that, I think the lawyers understand, but I just wanted to make sure that the public understood what I'm saying is that I don't want there to be a chilling impact on people willing to come up and give us their opinion or tell us how they feel about it. I don't want them to be in that posture.

And I think what Ms. Helton said kind of -- if I misspoke, you know, correct me.

1 MS. HELTON: And not to say that -- we're not 2 discounting what Ms. Wigington is saying at all. I think 3 probably quite the opposite. She's here and she's given us her 4 real-life experiences with the utility and you should consider that as such when you are weighing the evidence for this case. 5 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. And let me -- before 6 7 you go, Commissioner, did you have a question? Commissioner 8 Skop. 9 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a follow-up on a line of questioning that 10 Commissioner Argenziano had and I think Mr. Burgess chimed in. 11 12 But to staff with respect to the disposition on Issue 30, I'm 13 going to reserve judgment until I hear the testimony. But I'd ask that those expenses that are identified in the Prehearing 14 Order be appropriately scrutinized by staff. 15 That's definitely at issue and staff is 16 MR. JAEGER: looking at that, and that's part -- they have to justify the 17 18 legitimate, the expenses are legitimate and prudent. 19 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, sir. 20 Anything further, Mr. Burgess? 21 MR. BURGESS: No thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton, anything further? 22 23 Thank you, Ms. Wigington. Thank you. 24 MS. WIGINGTON: CHAIRMAN CARTER: We appreciate your coming. 25

1 Mr. Kelly, you're recognized. 2 MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Mr. George Neugent. 3 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Before you say anything, 4 Mr. Neugent, Linda, how are you doing? 5 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm good. 6 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. You're recognized, sir. 7 Wait one second. MR. KELLY: Mr. Chairman, I just thought that -- I 8 9 can't remember when Mr. Neugent came in. There were some other 10 people that did come in after you swore some folks in, so you 11 might want to ask -- and I apologize because I'm taking some of 12 these folks out of order because they've asked and I don't know 13 who got sworn in and who didn't. 14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let me just -- Mr. Neugent, were 15 you sworn in? 16 MR. NEUGENT: Yes. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let me ask for the record is there 17 18 anyone else that came in afterwards that planned to speak today 19 that has not been sworn in? 20 The other thing before you go is that at some point 21 in time I'm going to have to give the court reporter a break. 22 She's writing everything down. The other thing too, Commissioners and parties, these mikes are not very sensitive. 23 You have to bring them close because Linda is really struggling 24 25 to transcribe what we're saying. And, you know, it's a

wonderful opportunity for us to be here, but sometimes the truck in the back -- she's looking, she's partly trying to read lips too, so help us out.

Okay. Mr. Neugent, you're recognized, sir. Whereupon,

2.1

2.2

GEORGE NEUGENT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. NEUGENT: Yes. My name is George Neugent. I'm Monroe County Commissioner. I live in Marathon, Florida; however, I'm here to educate myself further on what is taking place with the Commission and also with the Office of Public Counsel, Mr. Burgess, who I have a great deal of respect for. I've witnessed his fair play with the firm group. And, Steve, I'm glad to see you here today, along with the other Commissioners.

My, my interest goes back as a three-term ten-year County Commissioner. I've visited with Ms. Wigington, Mr. Smith. We've discussed a lot of these issues. I have friends on both sides of the aisle in this particular case. But this is one of those issues that I wish would go away but seems to be one that just keeps on giving, and it's a very difficult situation.

I think that -- I believe the process works with the

Public Service Commission and also with the representation of the Office of Public Counsel to make sure that our residents get a fair shake in this particular issue. The relevancy of it being, and I think that that would even be questionable, whether it be the lowest cost of service in the county I think is somewhat irrelevant from the standpoint -- it needs to be what it is from the standpoint of the cost and you, the Public Service Commission is here to make sure that that's the case. However, a level of service certainly should be expected by our residents from the standpoint of quality of service.

2.2

The Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority, which is our partner in wastewater, provides a level of service of very high quality and goes the extra mile from the standpoint of locating the appropriate infrastructure necessary for the resident to hook up at the least amount of cost. I wish the gentleman from Hurricane Hole would still be here today because, just to use that as a point of illustration, the service point that was provided by Key West Resort Utilities was X number of feet away from the Hurricane Hole. The county had to step up to the plate and put in \$50,000 worth of infrastructure to allow that person to hook up at a close point of which was reasonable. There are many cases -- and I'm here to corroborate

Ms. Wigington's comments. Certainly if you'd like to visit with her, talk to her, she has tremendous amounts of documentations. She's a very straightforward spoken person who

will provide you with that information. I've been visiting with Ms. Wigington for much longer than I'd like to be visiting with her about this particular issue.

2.2

But clearly this was a controversial issue involving the county, K W Resort Utilities and also the residents of the Stock Island area, which probably represents a tremendous amount of what I will call the working stiff in Monroe County. And I say that with a great deal of pride and not in a derogatory way. And that is why I'm here today to make sure that I'm educated.

And also I know that the Public Service Commission and also the Office of Public Counsel is going to protect our residents, and that's why I'm here today. I also think that it's a very fair expectation on the part of Key West Resort Utilities to make a profit as allowed by Florida Statutes in that they are a monopoly. And I think that with -- and by providing that oversight, at some point in time we will have resolved a lot of these issues that still continue to surface themselves. And we want to be fair to both sides in this particular issue and that's why I was here today. And once again I'll thank you for, for being here.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you very kindly, Mr. Neugent.

Hang on one second.

Commissioners, it helps for us -- you know, these microphones, in addition to not being the usual ones, to those

of us that are using these, as you turn your head, you kind of take them with you as they go. That would really help our court reporter, she's trying to transcribe, and it also will help the people so they can hear us as we do that.

Let me just go first and foremost, Commissioner, a question. Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I appreciate the comments and all the points have been well taken, and I appreciate the comments you made and the substantiation of Ms. Wigington.

The only one concern that I had with respect to, I guess, one of the comments that you made, I think that everyone appreciates the fact that it is in the best interest of the environment and the Keys and our natural resources here in Florida to have people connect and I think that's a worthwhile goal that I don't think anyone disputes.

But with respect to -- I think the comment was the cost is irrelevant or how you do it is irrelevant even if it's the most expensive cost option. I just wanted to indicate that one of the purviews of the Commission's jurisdiction is we have to ensure affordable rates. So in terms of what the cost is, I do think that it is relevant to the extent that the costs are far in excess of what they would be on another least-cost option. Certainly that's something we have to consider.

MR. NEUGENT: Sure.

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I do have one question. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano. 3 4 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Just to get back to 5 something else, did the county commission -- are you a current 6 commissioner? 7 MR. NEUGENT: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Did the county commission 9 ask the utility to send out those notices and were they aware 10 that they were using deputies? 11 MR. NEUGENT: No, we were not. We have our code 12 enforcement department that follows up on those particular 13 issues. We do provide oversight to make sure that people are 14 hooking up when that service is provided to them. And the code enforcement would take that. It would be brought before the 15 16 special master for adjudication and, but we do not use 17 deputies. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So but did you ask the 18 utility to send out the notices for noncompliance? 19 20 MR. NEUGENT: Yes. We were, we were partners in that 21 from the standpoint they knew what duties they had. Whether we 22 actually told them to send these letters out -- but that's the 23 process in which it goes through. But we certainly enforce our 2.4 ordinances that require hooking up to wastewater treatment.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure. I understand that.

But did you also hear from any, any of your constituents about 1 2 not being able to hook up? 3 MR. NEUGENT: Yes, we have. 4 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: What was, what was the 5 results, because I'm curious? 6 MR. NEUGENT: Well, it's an ongoing process. 7 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, I mean, did the 8 county find that they couldn't hook up or there was hookup 9 there or --10 MR. NEUGENT: In some instances, as the example that 11 I used with the Hurricane Hole, we felt like it was an undue 12 burden, but also for me personally I felt like that since they 13 are allowed to make a profit, that why aren't you providing 14 that infrastructure to the closest point of hookup on, from 15 where their, their personal property goes into the 16 right-of-way? And, again, for me personally, I felt like that 17 that was an obligation of the utility to provide that. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Thank you, 18 19 Commissioner. Thank you. Just before we go 20 CHAIRMAN CARTER: further, Mr. Wharton, just so you don't, if you want to just 2.1 make that a standing objection, it will be on the record and 22 23 we'll have that. That's probably best. 24 MR. WHARTON: I do. 25 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. That would be the best thing

to do because we do want to hear from the public. 1 2 MR. WHARTON: And with regard to this particular 3 witness I don't have any questions. The situation of Hurricane 4 Hole and why we declined to make that investment, if we did, 5 and ask that it be contributed, we'll address that in a 6 late-filed. I'll need to find out. 7 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess, is that okay with you 8 with the standing objection? And obviously between the parties 9 you can do what we normally do. 10 MR. BURGESS: I think that's a good way to go, 11 I appreciate it. Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN CARTER: For the record let it be so. 13 Mr. Jaeger, do you have some questions? 14 MR. JAEGER: No questions. 15 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let me just kind of -- thank you. 16 MR. BURGESS: Excuse me. 17 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on one second. MR. BURGESS: Just as a -- I have no questions, but 18 19 if you'll just allow me to --20 CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized. 2.1 MR. BURGESS: Thank you. To tell Mr. Neugent it's 2.2

MR. BURGESS: Thank you. To tell Mr. Neugent it's good to see him again. The last time I was here we worked, we worked together on a matter of Citizens Insurance Company rates, and it's good to see him back involved in this situation as well.

23

24

1 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Neugent. 2 MR. NEUGENT: Thank you very much. 3 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: The good old days. 4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let me just -- one further, before 5 I call Mr. Kelly up again, one further housekeeping matter is 6 that we do have two court reporters. So what we'll do is that, 7 Linda, we'll go for two hours with you and that will be in 8 fairly short order. And then we'll let you tag team with Jane 9 and bring her in so we'll keep going. So what we'll do, we'll 10 probably take a -- so I want everyone in here, if you haven't 11 had an opportunity to speak, you will. We're going to take a 12 break probably around noon, if that's an appropriate breaking 13 point, and we can bring in another court reporter, Linda, you 14 can get a break, and then that way we can kind of keep on 15 going. Is that all right with y'all? Because I do want to 16 hear from, I do want to hear from you. All right. 17 Mr. Kelly, you're recognized, sir. 18 MR. KELLY: Thank you, sir. If I can read this 19 writing, the next speaker is Mr. Richard, is it Bailey, Bartee? 20 Bailey, Bartee? 21 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 22 MR. KELLY: I'll just go down to the next one then. 23 Gordon Bondeser, Bondesen. I apologize. 24 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Gordon, you're going to have to, 25 you're going to have to help us with that.

Whereupon,

GORDON BONDESEN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. BONDESEN: I apologize ahead of time because I've never been accused of not speaking loudly or clearly enough, but if that, in fact, happens, please let me know.

My name is Gordon Ross Bondesen. I live at 46 1st Street, which is located on Big Coppitt Key. I've been a resident of the Florida Keys for 38 years. To be clear, I currently am not served by Key West Resort Utilities. And I believe, to clarify to the Commission, many of us would not be as concerned or as irritated as we are now had our, a majority, and let me make that clear, a majority of our county commission had done their job. Unfortunately you've had to come down here to deal with something like this because the county commission had trouble doing their job. And more than, more than not, we were not given the opportunity that you are giving us today, so I appreciate that.

I, after months of publicity and residential concerns that were in the paper and on the radio and things concerning the County Commission considering having the Resort Utilities doing the sewering project on Big Coppitt, I e-mailed my commissioner. I did not get a response for maybe two or three

months. That being said, there was a town hall meeting held. I heard more, I met directly with some of the residents, heard more of their concerns. I'm the type of person who likes to hear it directly from a person instead of using media reports. I wasn't quite sure which way I would turn on this situation. Me, owning a house in the Florida Keys was something that took me almost 20 years to do. It's probably the only valuable thing I have in the Florida Keys, so I take that very, very seriously.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

While I was making those decisions on what I might do, and I might add that I was extremely vocal with some letters to the editor and whatever expressing my concerns, I received a call from Bill Barry, who was, I'm not sure whether he still is, but was a spokesperson for Key West Resort Utilities. Mr. Barry called me beginning the conversation remembering that since he was a past either editor or publisher of the local newspaper, that I had dealt with him on different situations in the Lower Keys. He was pleased with that and he expressed concern that I had concerns over the possibility of Key West Resort Utilities coming to the Big Coppitt area. said I didn't have so many, so many concerns. My major concern and question was this: Could I, if in fact the County Commission decided to have Key West Resort Utilities come to Big Coppitt to do the sewering project, would I be able to, if I had a question, come to their board meeting and express my

concerns? Mr. Barry at the time said, "Well, Gordon, Bill runs a family business. They tend to do things within the family."

And if I did have concerns, I could always go to the County

Commission.

2.2

Well, unfortunately, the County Commission by that point had definitely made its mark on people who did not, who were either concerned or disagreed with their ideas of Key West Resort Utilities. They were spoken down to, they were berated, they acted -- they were looked upon as naysayers of a cost-saving project. I don't react well to situations like that; hence, I avoided the County Commission meetings altogether.

But my, my question stood, could I in fact go before a utility that was going to possibly be hired as my public utility for wastewater and ask them questions or air my grievances? The response I got back was it was a family affair. I would have to go through this County Commission.

That, of course, was not an answer that I took well to. I declined to have a meeting with them, and I just clarified that he could, in fact, get back with me at any time if that position changed. I just wanted to make that clear and express my concern.

No, I am not served by the service at the moment.

But if it hadn't been for people like myself, hard-working

people that are sitting here right now who were getting nowhere

with the County Commission, we might not be in this position 1 2 It's unfortunate that I have to take time away from right now. 3 my work, and many of these people do too. You have a lot of 4 other things on your agenda too. It's unfortunate we've been 5 put in this position, but this is the position we're in now. 6 It also makes firm in my mind how important it is to 7 watch your elections and not to vote against your own best 8 interest. That's coming up again. I will tell that to 9 everyone; make sure you remember that. But it's an unfortunate 10 situation. It's hurt a lot of people. It's sad that we're in 11 this position right now. Any questions? 12 Thank you, Mr. -- Gordon, help me CHAIRMAN CARTER: 13 with your last name. 14 MR. BONDESEN: Bondesen. 15 Say again. CHAIRMAN CARTER: MR. BONDESEN: Bondesen. Many people know me as 16 17 Ross. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Do you mind if I just call you 18 19 Gordon? 20 MR. BONDESEN: Gordon is very easy. 21 Is that I think that to you and to CHAIRMAN CARTER: 22 all our neighbors and friends out there, let me just kind of 23 explain to you the nature of what we're doing here today. That's why I want to be unequivocal about our public --24

MR. BONDESEN: Oh, I'm, I'm --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You know, I want to make sure we hear from the public, because just because the company files for a rate increase doesn't mean that they're going to get it.

MR. BONDESEN: Right.

2.2

CHAIRMAN CARTER: But what we're trying to do is that before we even get to that, we want to make sure that we heard from the public.

MR. BONDESEN: Exactly.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: A lot of times emotions run high, and that's okay with us. We still want to hear from the public. And then when we get into the technical portion, we can let the, quote, unquote, experts fight all that part out.

MR. BONDESEN: Exactly.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: But we do want to make sure that we hear from the public because every decision that we make as a collegial body, every decision that we make there's a mom, there's a dad, there's a sister, there's a brother, there's a person at the bottom line that's making that monthly payment.

MR. BONDESEN: That's affected. Exactly.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: So we, I just want to make sure that everyone understands that this company, they made a filing for a rate increase. There are certain legislatively mandated things that happens to the PSC when this occurs and we go forward. But the most significant thing in my opinion, and my colleagues agree with me, but the most significant thing that

we do in a rate hearing is hear from the customers.

MR. BONDESEN: Exactly.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRMAN CARTER: And so that's one thing I wanted to make sure is that all of these things, as Commissioner Skop and Commissioner Argenziano as well as our staff and Mr. Burgess has told you, that certain things have already been brought out in the pretrial. Issue 30, I think, was one of the ones that we mentioned and a couple of the others. And then is that -- but what we're trying to do first and foremost is that we want to make sure that we hear from the public. I mean, it's, it's, it's,

MR. BONDESEN: And I --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I don't know anything, I don't know anything about the County Commission, but let me just express to you that to us it's extremely important to hear from the people that are impacted by the decisions that we make.

MR. BONDESEN: Exactly. And I want to make it clear that, again, I do not deal with Key West Resort Utilities. But my question might be put to whomever, if I, who was getting ready to possibly have to deal with them, what about the people who are dealing with them now, can they go to their board meetings and express their concerns? It just seems to me that I can go to the water company, I can go to any other, I can go to the Key West Electric Company, I can go to those utilities and ask them questions. So whether I'm served by them or not,

I almost was, I'm concerned about that fact that you cannot go to a board meeting and express your concerns. Now if I was misled on that -- that's just what was told to me. So I'm expressing my concerns along those lines.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I don't know. Ms. Helton, I don't think we deal with their board meeting but we do deal with their customer service.

MS. HELTON: I guess I'm a little bit confused. Were you told that you could not give customer complaints or bring customer issues, specific issues directly to the utility?

MR. BONDESEN: That was the impression I was given.

MS. HELTON: I think that may be something that we need to ask the utility owner and the other utility witnesses about because that --

MR. BONDESEN: I'll be honest, it was not a direct no. The answer was -- I said, said to Mr. Barry, "I don't really have a lot of questions, Bill. I have one main question that I'm concerned about. If I have a concern, when the Key West Resort Utility board meets, may I go to that board meeting and express my concern?" The answer came back, this is not a quote but I'll do the best I can, well, Gordon, they treat that like a family business and more or less they make their decisions within the family, but you can always go to the County Commission. Keep in mind, the County Commission who had continuously spoken down to anybody who questioned Key West

Resort Utilities working within the Keys. 1 MS. HELTON: I guess in my mind I'm not --2 3 MR. BONDESEN: And maybe I'm wrong. Do I not have 4 the right to go to that --That may be a PR issue that the company 5 MS. HELTON: 6 wants to consider with respect to whether they let public 7 members come to their board meetings and to show some kind of 8 transparency for the process. That, you know, that might be 9 something they want to consider. Whether we can mandate that 10 or not, I quite frankly don't know. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I think --11 12 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano. 13 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I think that what he's 14 looking for, is there any type of statutory pro, prohibition or 15 allowance, I guess, is there a way that -- if a member of the public is being served by a utility, do they have a right to go 16 17 to a board, to a board meeting? If the county is there, is there a first step to that? Do they go through the -- like 18 cable, cable is done, you go to your County Commission and 19 complain about your cable. You can't necessarily go to the 20 21 cable company and go to their board meeting. 22 MR. BONDESEN: Right. 23 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And I don't know that you 24 have that allowance.

MS. HELTON: I'm not sure that there is a requirement

in Chapter 367 that says that we can, we, the Florida Public Service Commission -- or they, the Florida Public Service Commission, can require the investor-owned utility to open up its board meetings to the public. I'm not sure that the Commission has the authority to do that or whether there's a mandate anywhere else for a utility to do that.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: If I can. But there may be something that says that he has the right and the County Commission must take his concerns to, to the company. And that -- maybe we can get your number and somebody in our staff can check that out and find that out for you.

MR. BONDESEN: Right.

2.0

2.1

MS. HELTON: Let me say this, that I do believe that the company should hear any customer concerns. They should not be sending you away from the door or not answering your phone calls. That's one of our jobs or the Commission's jobs to make sure that that happens. And the Florida Public Service Commission takes customer concerns very seriously. We have an 800 number, we have an e-mail address on our website where if you have a problem interacting with the company or have a problem with a company period that we regulate, the Commission regulates, then please let the Commission know and we will act as an intermediary.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Chairman, that's a good point. Because I think what you're saying is you can't really

1 go, you feel you can't go to your County Commission because you 2 feel --3 MR. BONDESEN: Well, that's, that's quite well 4 documented. Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. And you feel that 6 they really don't want to hear it. But if you don't 7 necessarily have to get into a board meeting and you still want 8 to get your answers to questions or comments to that utility 9 and the County Commission is not giving you that option or 10 helping you to get there, then, as staff indicated, that this 11 Commission can make sure that your comments or questions are --12 MR. BONDESEN: Well, I'm sure those people who are 13 currently served then are very pleased to hear that and they've 14 written all that down. But that is an important, important 15 issue to me. It's a public utility. They should be, there 16 should be public access to that or some type of oversight to 17 see that Gordon has called on Monday, December 24th, and requested that this be -- and then someone to, you know, follow 18 that up to make sure that the public record shows that, yes, 19 my, my concerns were addressed and I was told back that they 20 21 had been delivered to them. 2.2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: But I would suggest -- Mr. 23 Chairman. 2.4 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And I will look at the

statute also and I will really look at that statute to see if it is a public utility, what the, what it does specify.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: And also, too, as I mentioned to those of you that came in earlier this morning, I kind of highlighted the back page. But on Page 2 of this we've got a toll free number that you can use. Also we have a contact at the Florida Public Service Commission. And you don't have to -- I just hate for anybody to get the runaround from government or anybody else.

MR. BONDESEN: Right.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: So get to us. We do have people in our Consumer Services Division that will contact the company directly on your behalf, answer the questions, and you can even file a complaint with us. But it's right here on Page 2 of this. We've got a toll free number. We also have a toll free fax number and we have a website to kind of get the information in. Because I think a lot of times even with rate increases coming or going or whether or not it's granted, at least if people had an opportunity to be heard so they were thoroughly informed in it --

MR. BONDESEN: Exactly.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: -- then I think that we can, we can kind of accomplish whatever goal we set out to do. So that's why I said to you it's incumbent upon us and that's why we're down here with y'all today in two meetings today to listen to

1	you, to hear from the public to make sure that our decisions
2	are not made in a vacuum. Because we care about each we're
3	consumers too, by the way, and we want to make sure that that
4	occurs. So I just want to
5	MR. BONDESEN: Exactly. Like I said, that, I
6	believe, is the basis of this problem where there was such a
7	long period of time of no one seeming to care and no one, no
8	opportunity, now we know these opportunities, that the tension
9	over this whole issue might not be as bad as it is today.
10	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Just before I recognize
11	Mr. Burgess, please get a few of those.
12	MR. BONDESEN: I have a few of those. I'll make
13	copies.
14	CHAIRMAN CARTER: There may be some people that don't
15	have, that couldn't get off work or that have childcare issues
16	or health issues and all like that. Please take some of these
17	to them and give them to your friends and neighbors.
18	MR. BONDESEN: I definitely will.
19	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess.
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. BURGESS:
22	Q I'm trying to find out a little bit more about the
23	person who told you that this was a family business and things
24	were done within the family. And the name of that person, you

said, was Bill Barry; is that correct?

1	A Yes. He was the spokesperson. Or from what I can
2	understand from news reports and when I heard him on the radio,
3	he said he was the spokesperson for Key West Resort Utilities.
4	Q And you say news reports plural. So in more than one
5	news report Mr. Barry was identified as a spokesperson for
6	K W
7	A He was the person who would comment back when the
8	media asked him questions about this extremely longstanding
9	issue.
10	Q Okay. And would you mind spelling your last name to
11	make sure we have that on the record?
12	A Yes. B as in boy, O-N-D as in Dan, E-S as in Sam,
13	E-N, Bondesen.
14	MR. BURGESS: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Exactly. Bondesen, that's what I
16	said.
17	MR. BONDESEN: Yes. You get an A for that.
L8	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff, any further questions?
L9	MR. JAEGER: No questions.
20	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let's do this. Linda, stay with
21	me. Off the record.
22	(Discussion held off the record.)
23	We're going to take about, maybe about 15 minutes for
24	the court reporter to take a break. Then we'll come back in
:5	and go until Commissioners, I'm hoping that we can go at

Τ	least until maybe 1:30 or quarter to 2:00 or something like
2	that before we take a break. And by then we'll have Jane come
3	and back you up. So we're on recess until 15 after.
4	(Recess taken.)
5	Okay. We are back to order. And with that,
6	Mr. Kelly, you're recognized, sir.
7	MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8	The next speaker is Ms. Brenda Conroy.
9	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Brenda could you say that name
10	again, last name?
11	MR. KELLY: Conroy, C-O-N-R-O-Y.
12	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Brenda Conroy. Is there before
13	you speak, Brenda, is there anyone that's come in lately that's
14	going to speak that has not been sworn in?
15	Brenda, you're recognized.
16	Whereupon,
17	BRENDA CONROY
18	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
19	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
20	DIRECT STATEMENT
21	MS. CONROY: Thank you for letting me speak. My name
22	is Brenda Conroy. I live at 6800 Maloney Avenue, Number 31,
23	Key West, Florida, (305)294-1457. That's the telephone number.
24	What's affectionately become known as the Stock
25	Island Sewer Wars started in about 2002. If I had known back

in 2000 what I would be going through, I probably would have paid a little bit more heed when I was an employee at the golf course and I was kind of intimidated by -- they told me if we, if I did not convince my 69 neighbors to sign up, that chances are we would never be able to sign up and we would lose our homes. I blew it off at the time because of the way the Keys work.

2.2

When the war started in 2002 I was no longer employed there. And whether it was -- and we're talking about service. And whether it was the county or Key West Resort Utilities was responsible, it took us four years to get service. We finally got hooked up in 2006. I had already paid in 2004 my fee. I paid that at the golf course. So I was all set to sign up, but it took us four years, many, many litigations, and it all was battled over a buffer tank. We could not get, you know, satisfaction on that. I sat through more depositions, legal meetings trying to figure everything out. It was really, really hard to work with this company. They tried intimidation and it just was a very hard battle. That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Brenda. Would you just stay there for a moment? First of all, let me see,

Commissioners? Staff?

MR. JAEGER: No questions, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton? Mr. Burgess?

MR. BURGESS: No, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have no

1 questions. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Brenda. 3 Mr. Kelly. 4 MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Ms. Diana Flenard, 5 Flenard. I apologize. 6 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Can you spell it? 7 MR. KELLY: F-L-E-N-A-R-D. 8 Whereupon, 9 DIANA FLENARD MOORE 10 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 11 of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 12 DIRECT STATEMENT 13 MS. FLENARD: Thank you. My name is Diana Flenard 14 Moore. I live at 6800 Maloney Avenue, Number 44. It's Harbor 15 Shores, Stock Island, the address is Key West. My phone number 16 is (305)296-3151. 17 I'm here today once again to wear the bull's-eye T-shirt and speak to others, speak for others who may be afraid 18 19 to do so. Let me explain, the Keys are heavy in cronyism, and 20 many people, myself included, have been leery to speak out 21 against K W Resort Utility due to intimidation and retaliation 22 of both a political and financial nature. 23 I'm a volunteer treasurer of the Harbor Shores Condominium Association where I live and a ratepayer to the 24

utility, but my full-time job is Director of the Monroe

Association for Retarded Citizens. And as I have always spoken out for the little guy, I have been concerned that in speaking on this issue the political and financial repercussions to my agency would be horrendous.

2.4

It took Harbor Shores over five years and many contracts later to get a contract for Harbor Shores that was not all beneficial to K W Resort Utility and detrimental to Harbor Shores. The first two contracts we received were written to a Key West Resort Utilities. It's a company that does not exist. So we had to hire a high-priced lawyer and paid him over \$30,000 to get a reasonable contract. Then when we went to sign it, I went to the pro shop of the golf course. We were even told by the lawyer when I went to sign it to bring our own copies just to make sure that what we had signed was what had been agreed upon in the final negotiation. This is just several -- this is just one example of several other issues that my association had to go through.

I also have to agree with Ms. Wigington, as I was present when the K W Resort Utility lawyer, Mr. Barton Smith, Mr. Smith's son, showed up at a Harbor Shores board meeting. We asked him several times to give us his name and he refused. When he was finally recognized, he, we asked him to leave so we could speak with the rest of the association members about the latest Harbor Shores agreement with K W Resort Utility. He again refused. He was asked several times by our board

1	president, and at the end we had to adjourn the meeting without
2	discussing any issues with our association members. These are
3	just a few of the issues we've had to deal with in the last
4	five years in order to get hooked up to this company.
5	I thank you for your time and for listening to us.
6	It is one of the first times we felt our voices are being
7	heard.
8	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Just wait for one
9	second, please.
10	Commissioner Skop, you're recognized, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12	Just a quick question. I'm having a little bit of a
13	problem hearing. You mentioned at the board meeting, and,
14	again, I don't want to get off on tangents, but you mentioned
15	the attorney that was present. Can you repeat that name for
16	me, please?
17	MS. FLENARD: Mr. Barton Smith. Mr. Barton Smith.
18	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Barton Smith?
19	MS. FLENARD: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, anything further?
22	Staff?
23	MR. JAEGER: Just one question.
24	CROSS EXAMINATION

1	BY MR. JAEGER:
2	Q Mr. Barton Smith was not a part of the homeowners
3	association; is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton?
6	MR. WHARTON: No questions.
7	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess?
8	MR. BURGESS: No questions. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you so very kindly,
10	Ms. Flenard.
11	MS. FLENARD: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Kelly.
13	MR. KELLY: Mr. John Jones.
14	Whereupon,
15	JOHN JONES
16	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
17	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
18	DIRECT STATEMENT
19	MR. JONES: Good afternoon. I don't think I have to
20	spell my name. I think it's pretty self-explanatory. As my
21	cardiologist says, there's five John Jones here, and they put
22	down on my thing "the fisherman."
23	We've had trouble with Key West Resorts right from
24	the beginning. And thank the other three ladies, Kim and Diane
25	and Brenda, they covered a lot of things. I'm not that good

with knowing all the numbers. But originally we supposedly needed a buffer tank. We didn't have a buffer tank, so we were to get one from Oceanside, so we took theirs. And the next thing you know, before that got installed that disappeared and I'm not sure if it ended up at the Hickory House or not.

2.2

We had an attorney. Again, he was very good and unfortunately very high priced. And the figures, which I did not know which Diane repeated there, which were what they were. We basically wanted to put from our septic tank or I should say our system a pipe to the street, and Key West Resort Utilities at one time wanted to put the small vacuum pits in the park and we'd have, they'd have to, we'd have to pay maintenance on them. They wanted a percentage of what we paid an engineer, which no one has ever been able to figure that out, and things went on and on and on, as they reiterated, many, many years before we could get this thing done.

A few of us were fortunate enough to be able to get a grant of the \$2,700. Well, that came to be a point where we still had a contract -- and incidentally our attorney did a wonderful job because we finally ended up just putting a pipe to a double buffer tank. But we had, we also had problems during this long period of time with our system and we had to make emergency repairs, we had to hire people on the weekend at full rate because the contractor that was going to do it was very busy, and I think that cost us around \$15,000. And if we

would have been able to hook up in a reasonable time, that would have been, not had to have been done.

And also about two or three weeks ago as I was walking, and this is just being redundant of what Kim said, I stopped the gentleman that was at this Elmar Park who either runs it or takes care of it and I said, "Are you hooked up?" And he said, "No." I said, "Well, you have the pipes there." "But the utility said we don't have the facilities to hook you up." So that's hearsay from him to me, and I'm just repeating that Kim also knew about it.

We also, I believe, paid a year in advance. We have to collect through the park because there's no way if people did not pay that -- I don't know what would happen if somebody didn't pay their monthly fee, then not accusing that the Key West Resorts could, they'd have to close us down period, the whole place, because we're a unit of 70 condominiums where we own our own property.

In the early stages, the Commissioners, a few of them, Mr. Neugent not included, tried to say that -- I'm losing my train of thought -- that we were a Harbor Shores trailer park. And we would say, "No. We are Harbor Shores Condominium Association and we own our land, so these are private residences."

And during the testing when they were testing the manholes, in other words, they would block two ends of the pipe

and fill them up to make sure that there was no leakage into the ground. And the gentleman that did it, who cost us a lot of money, said that he never had, of all the testing that he had did, they were so stringent on us that if this thing would seep down a fraction of an inch, then we'd have to take everything down and reseal the thing. So he was really harsh on us that way.

I don't think I gave my phone number. It's (305)296-3217. And that's about all I can say. Kim and a few of the others and Diane spent years, and I mean years and hours and hours a day, to get this thing done. It was, it was horrible. I'm on the board there and I spent a lot of time watching it get done. And like I say, we were under the gun to get it done or we would have lost our, our grant. We actually had to pay the grant ahead of time, give a check, and they would hold it so that this time, there was a time limit, the thing would expire and we would lose that, and that was very helpful to us people that work for a living. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Jones. Mr. Jones, wait for one second. Commissioners? Staff?

MR. JAEGER: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WHARTON:

Q Sir, I apologize if you already said this. Will you give me your address?

A 6800 Maloney Avenue, Lot Number 46.

Q Thank you, sir.

A And I've been there since 19, 1980.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess?

MR. BURGESS: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Nothing further. Thank you so very kindly.

Mr. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Mr. Steve Wigington. Whereupon,

STEVE WIGINGTON

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. WIGINGTON: Good morning. First of all, my name is Steve Wigington. I live at 6800 Maloney Avenue, Stock Island. And I'd like to first of all thank the members of the Office of Public Counsel for their diligence and their thoroughness in presenting the facts as they really are to the Commission. And I'd like to thank the Commission especially for your oversight and your concern for the protection of the people. Those today who came to speak before you, I know we

all appreciate it.

Excessive charges, duplicate charges, unnecessary costly legal defense against unfounded code enforcement charges of noncompliance, it's for these and other, in my opinion, less than professional actions that I ask that you deny any rate increase request as any rate increase approval would only serve to validate as well as enable this utility to continue with its inappropriate behavior.

I also respectfully ask that you rule in favor of a refund for the ratepayers who have been taken advantage of by this utility. I appreciate your attention and I look forward anxiously to your, to your help.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Steve. Can you hang on one second, please?

Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. Could you tell me what excessive charges or duplicate charges you're speaking of?

MR. WIGINGTON: As earlier, previously mentioned, people were led to believe that they would pay a flat fee, a certain amount would get them a package. You pay this amount, you are hooked into a new wastewater system. Everybody thought, well, that sounds reasonable. That's what the prudent person would expect. However, as again people have mentioned, extra charges other than this upfront charge began to occur to family members for oversight for more testing for things that

1	did not seem appropriate or necessary to the average person who
2	was led to believe initially that this was just a one-shot deal
3	and you'll be hooked to a central sewer. It should be simple
4	and easy, this is the way it's done. And, again, we all agreed
5	and thought that was very correct.
6	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you.
7	MR. WIGINGTON: Yes, ma'am.
8	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff?
9	MR. JAEGER: No questions.
10	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton? Mr. Burgess?
11	MR. BURGESS: No, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.
13	MR. WIGINGTON: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Kelly.
15	MR. KELLY: The last speaker I have, and I'll
16	double-check, the last one is Mr. Daniel Calabro.
17	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Daniel, help us with the
18	pronunciation of your last name.
19	MR. CALABRO: It's Calabro and it's C-A-L-A-B-R-O.
20	CHAIRMAN CARTER: You may proceed.
21	Whereupon,
22	DANIEL CALABRO
23	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
24	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
25	DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. CALABRO: I originally came here basically because I wasn't objective about the \$8 increase mainly because I really would like to see people hooked up to the plant and I realize there are people that aren't. I was the third speaker here and I asked to be the last because as people were speaking I found inconsistency with different things, and even for my own clarifications I'd just like to get some things clarified.

As far as the service of the sheriff, it is \$20. I have, I have lost people and renters because sheriffs could not get there before 8:00. So I don't know who would show up at 7:00. I wish I could get them to show up at 7:00. And I've actually hired them on many occasions. They will not start before 8:00. That's what I'm told from the same company that was told that people were woken up at 7:00. So I would like to clarify my experiences.

The other experience, I did have the opportunity to call Mr. Carter yesterday to see who was hooked up and who was not, and he indicated there was a lot of people that were not hooked up. And I told him that I wanted to come to speak today really because I want these people to get hooked up. And his answer was, "That would be very helpful. We could use any help in that direction." But yet I hear people speak that it's impossible and they're refusing to hook up. I doubt highly if the company would ask me, say, yeah, reflect on that if they were refusing people. So I'm confused about that.

The other experience I had was I was probably the first one to hook up in my block area mainly because I believe in it. I have been in the cesspool business years ago. Here we call it cesspits. And I'd rather them call it a pool because it is a pool of water contained that usually leaches into the ground. We don't have the ground for it to leach. So like two nights ago it was high tide; whatever you flushed down the toilet was floating in the street. It's almost impossible not to be. And people have to understand the mechanics of that and the importance of hooking up.

2.2

I kind of, kind of thought it was a little funny about the cell phone incident. It happened to me once. I have a company where my wife added my children to my plan simply because it was cheaper and we could get the GPS coverage, find out where they were if they were missing. Unbeknownst to me, it looked like I was gouging my own company. That was one experience. Possibly that's what happened. I have no idea, but it is possible something like that could happen.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Of course.

MR. CALABRO: In respect to the bills, I first hooked up, and I take an aggressive approach because I wanted it hooked up, but there was some billing problems right in the very beginning. That's how I met Mr. Carter. I went to his office, he explained the billing problems. We realized there was a glitch in the system. It was new in the game. My impact

fee was only \$2,700. I do not have a living space. commercial buildings I rent. That was corrected. Since the day forward I've had nothing but good service. I do not have a living establishment where I live. I would never have a condominium because I have yet to know anyone who has a condominium that's happy with any bills. All you hear is badmouthing bills. So anyone who has a space with multiple people living on one property, you're going to have impact fees of many kinds that are not, you're not happy with. I've yet to hear anybody say, oh, gee, I got a great bill in the mail in my condo association. It just doesn't happen. That is why I asked to remain and speak and see more what was going on to hear. More than likely you get people complaining. But my, my incidences, I've had nothing but good service. When a tenant hasn't paid their impact fee, I've gotten calls from them saying, "We don't want, we don't want to cost you to undo a hookup. You know, can you take care of it?" And I did. mean, I can tell you experiences from Key West itself where I get a phone call to put a sewer line in for \$8,000. I've had Key West test me improperly, almost handed me a \$10,000 or \$8,000 situation. So it's everywhere you go you're going to have these glitches.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I'm really here to say that I am maybe unusual, but I'm happy with the service. I've had my hookup fee, I chose my own plumber to hook up. I did not have any weird

experiences. I picked my own plumber. I didn't get gouged.

I will say this in defense of other people, it was explained to me right in the beginning that your hookup areas were going to be user friendly and unuser friendly. It was just the way it was going to be. If you were close to a hookup box, it's going to be easy. If you're not, it's not. What was the first thing I did? I happened to have an easy hookup. The box was near my building. I will say that in defense of other people who had to spend money to get to the hookup boxes.

As far as the Hurricane Hole, when you ran the pipe to the Hurricane Hole, I'm sure you serviced the rest of that block as well. Weren't they closer to a hookup area? Wouldn't that be correct? I mean, it was indicated there was some special thing that Hurricane Hole got. I think about eight buildings probably were brought closer to that hookup box as well. That's the way I see it. I don't know. Can anyone answer that question?

MR. WHARTON: I made one assumption today about the use of the deputies and I was wrong, so I hesitate to do that again. I'm sure there's someone who could definitely answer that question.

MR. CALABRO: Basically what it boils down to in my mind was I had a good experience, and I'm sure there are experiences that are unhappy. But I did take the initiative to go to the company, go meet who was in charge, deal with my

Τ	problems, and I've been treated fairly ever since. But I do
2	not live in a residential area. I have commercial buildings.
3	And I thought I needed to speak my piece.
4	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you so kindly, Mr. Calabro.
5	Am I close? Calabro.
6	MR. CALABRO: Calabro.
7	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Calabro. Am I close?
8	MR. CALABRO: Yes. You're right on.
9	CHAIRMAN CARTER: That gives me something to hold on
10	to.
11	Commissioners, anything further? Staff?
12	MR. JAEGER: No questions.
13	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton?
14	MR. WHARTON: No.
15	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Burgess, you're recognized.
16	MR. BURGESS: Yes.
17	CROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. BURGESS:
19	Q And then now let me understand. As I understood, you
20	started by you were concerned because you thought you heard
21	some inconsistencies of some people that spoke earlier.
22	A Well, I was here early and I got online early. And
23	my main thing today was to come and say, look, if we can get
24	people hooked up and it's \$8 more, I'd rather this event
25	happen. It's not a killer and it's a good service.

Now when, when the light company adds on to your electric bill, does that light bulb burn any better? No. But is this plan going to efficiently function better with more money? Yes. That's why I came. There is a difference. And people that are mechanically inclined, I happen to be a mechanically inclined person that understands the system and knows the needs, and I felt that I should come.

- Q And I appreciate that and I appreciate your testimony. I'm just trying to understand it a little bit better. And I'm trying to understand the inconsistencies, and the first one I thought you had indicated was that when you had used sheriff deputies to disseminate information --
 - A That's correct.

2.2

- Q -- they never would get there at 7:00.
- A I've tried to get them there. The earliest I could get a sheriff to respond -- and, like I said, I've had renters skip because they couldn't get there before 8:00. I mean, so, you know, I believe that this process happened. It is \$20. I can verify that. That's what I pay every time. But I cannot get the sheriff's company to come any earlier than 8:00.
- Q But do you have any reason other than that to believe that the people that said, the person that said the sheriff's deputy was at her house at 7:00 was incorrect?
- A My point to you is when someone speaks and there's something that I see blatantly impossible, I doubt everything.

And I'm telling you point blank I cannot get a sheriff to come before 8:00. This woman said that she had the sheriff at 7:00 at her door. And point in fact, how many sheriffs can show up at 7:00? The next one is going to be 8:00 anyway. I mean, to me it's like getting a little bit petty on the thing. You're forced to do it. I've had people tell me, "I'm not hooking up. Force me to hook up." I mean, think of the other side of this. When we first started this program most of my friends were like "I'm not going to pay that. Let them force me." Figure the frustration on the other end as well. Maybe you need a sheriff, sadly enough, to be at the door. I have no idea. But, you know, getting a registered receipt in the mail -- it's not going to bother me to see a sheriff at the door. I have nothing to hide.

Q Did you hear the testimony that this particular individual had signed and received the registered letter that was sent subsequent to the --

A Uh-huh. And the first thing that comes to mind to me is there are other political avenues that are forcing these things to happen, more pressure to really mandate, to hook up, deadlines. I have no idea what forces them to go the next step. But if they have to go the next step, it's pretty sad, especially to think that these things are overflowing in the streets and people aren't hooked up.

On the other side, if they're not allowed to hook up,

that's a different story. But, like I said, I talked to Mr. Carter and he said, "That would be very helpful. We need these people to hook up." Now there's a company manager -- how could they be having people that couldn't hook up? I don't get that part. To me, I want to be face value. I was told, "Yes, speak because we need people to hook up."

- Q And so the reason that you questioned that there is some impossibility for some of these people to hook up is because Mr. Carter urged you --
 - A Didn't urge, didn't urge me.
 - Q -- to come here and speak?

A Did not urge me. Let's correct that. I went to him because I've always gone to him when I have any problems. I wanted to make sure the meeting was on, this and that, I wanted to speak. And I didn't say I was going to speak in behalf.

And I asked him specifically, "How many people have not hooked up?" And he said, "There's a lot and we need to get them hooked up." I said, "Well, I am going to touch on that base because I want them to hook up. Do you have a problem with that?" He said, "Absolutely not. We need people to hook up." He didn't ask me to do anything. My point is he's in agreement that, yes, we need help to hook up. But then I hear people saying it's impossible to hook up. So somewhere in between there is some difference.

Q One of the two of them is wrong.

Yeah. And I kind of believe that people are not 1 2 hooking up on their own. They don't want to pay the fees and 3 all that. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Now I have to ask some 6 7 questions or make some comments because let's go back to your 8 cell phone comment about it is, it is possible that sometimes 9 you get a plan or something like that, and in this case -- and 10 I understand that and I agree with you. It's not allowable. 11 But it also is possible, and I'm not saying it's this company, 12 that companies can try to put charges on a consumer where they 13 are not to do that. So that's a legitimate concern for someone 14 to ask. MR. CALABRO: It's a total legitimate concern. 15 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And then wait a minute. 16 17 Hang on a second. And then the other thing is that you, it's 18 only speculation on your part what time a deputy can get 19 anywhere. 20 MR. CALABRO: No, it's not. 21 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: It's only your, it's only 2.2 your experience. You don't know that every deputy cannot get 23 there at that time. So I have to disagree with that. 24 And, and, again, I understand and I appreciate your, 25 your experience with that, but you can't, you don't know for

sure that a deputy did not get there at a different time. So I don't know where that, that stands in this whole discussion.

But understanding that your experience has been differently,

I'll admit that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And the only other thing I could say, and this is not, I'm not prejudging the company in any way, I don't know, I'm going to be looking at it definitely and I'm listening to what the, what the people say, and I think most people want a clean environment. Most people understand the pristine nature of the Keys and how everything we do as humans impacts it. living here impacts on the Keys. Everybody living here, everybody visiting here impacts the Keys and its surrounding areas, and the beneficial results of trying to get hooked up for good treatment so that does not occur, or occurs very minimally. But it also is a concern and I understand that, you know, there's mandates and ordinances and laws, but I can understand people, people saying that if I have to hook up so far away and I can't afford it, I lose my house. something, that could be something that would irritate people. I know it would me. And I find it in America that's hard to believe that you could. So I think their concerns about maybe being able to have to hook up so far away is a real concern. don't fluff it off, and I hope you understand that. can keep your property. But if you lost your property because you couldn't hook up to something that was very far away, I

1	think your attitude would be a little different. And,
2	respectfully, I'm not, not
3	MR. CALABRO: Say what's on your mind. That's what
4	I'm here for.
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm just telling you I see
6	both sides of that and that's what we're here to look at is
7	both sides. So just maybe to help you understand why you're
8	hearing some other sounds out there and there may be some
9	people who just don't want to hook up, like you say, and that's
10	true. There probably are. But I think it's our job to
11	determine whether it is, you know, they are having, some people
12	may really truly be having a problem hooking up because it's
13	too far away, and that's what I'm trying to express to you.
14	MR. CALABRO: I agree with some things. But I think
15	you should tomorrow morning call the sheriff's department and
16	ask the soonest you can get somebody to serve anything and
17	you'll see who's telling the truth, number one. There's no
18	speculation. I try plenty.
19	Number two is when did this company actually start
20	hooking up to the sewer plant? Do you have an actual date,
21	what year even?
22	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff?
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sure they do. And
24	that can I just ask you another question?
25	MR. CALABRO: Sure.

1	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: were you ever at any of
2	these people's houses when the sheriff came or the deputy came?
3	MR. CALABRO: No. But we're going far from our
4	point. My point is the sheriff comes I can't get them any
5	earlier than 8:00.
6	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Maybe you, maybe, there are
7	maybe circumstances. That's all I'm telling you is you
8	can't you're giving me your opinion, and I appreciate that,
9	and your experience, but I can't sit here and say just because
10	he's never come there for you, then he hasn't come for anybody
11	else.
12	MR. CALABRO: Granted. But I'm here because I hire
13	them to do a service, unlike anyone else that's been in here.
14	This company has hired them to do a service obviously. I
15	didn't see it anywhere, but it seems to be. I've hired them,
16	I'm kicking my part in, it is a \$20 fee, and they will not show
17	up any earlier than 8:00 I've been told several times.
18	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let's hear from staff.
19	MR. JAEGER: I believe the agreement was in 2002 and
20	that the hookups started in 2003, but I'm not really
21	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton, is that about right?
22	MR. WHARTON: I believe that's right.
23	MR. CALABRO: Okay. So that leads me to my next
24	question. When I, when this all came about and I got my
25	original paperwork and I will add this, too. As far as

service, we had this thing in the beginning about service, my service notice for what was going on was already sent to me and my accountant called me and notified me. So to answer your question, are people getting service right as far as their information, I think it's pretty good if my accountant called me from New York and said, "There's a hearing here. They're going up \$6 to \$8. Do you want to, you know, go see what's going on?" I think that's pretty good. Mail being forwarded and all, I do live here, but my bills are paid out of New York, to answer that question.

2.1

2.2

But my other point was when I first went into this whole episode, I, this is how I operate, I go right to where it begins because I want to get something done. I don't want to end up here at a meeting, and I'm sad that it does come to this. I was told right off the bat that my building might not be near a service box and it would be a lot more money to hook up. I'm sure everyone was told in 2003 to 2004 that. What are we doing here in 2008 talking about a problem that we can't hook up to a service box? This should have been long ago reflected by people. It should have been like what's going on here? We better call our senator, we better call somebody. This is unfair. How, how is it taking four years to get to a point that I knew the first week I got my letter?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, Mr. Chair. And just sitting here and listening to all sides, and hopefully in the

technical portion we will answer a lot of these questions, what I hear you saying is that what your experience should be is everybody's and that's it. Because you had a good experience and --

MR. CALABRO: No. That's --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Wait a minute. Let me finish what I've got to say, because I'm here trying to be impartial. I'm listening to your experience, but I hear a tone that you think because this is the way it happened for you it happened for everybody. And what I'm trying to tell you is, and trying to be impartial sitting here, I have to listen to them just as much as I have to listen to you. And I think what I heard is you had a good -- and I'm glad that you said that because that, that helps me determine there's somebody here who had a good experience and had no problems at all. And then on the other hand, I hear somebody that says that just the opposite, that from the beginning we heard something different. We heard that we were going to have a different kind of hookup. So I've got two things that I have to determine.

MR. CALABRO: That's why, that's why I'm here and that's why I stayed, because I see a consistency that's different. You need to know that someone did have a good experience as well as people had a bad experience. And I'm not calling anyone a liar. They might have had a bad experience and maybe the government needs to help in and make this money

1	hookup to these boxes possibly. But those people need to be
2	happy. We need to get hooked up and it all has to go away so
3	we're not leaching all into our water and our situation.
4	That's the real problem here. If there is bad experiences,
5	then you have this is what the board is for. You can look
6	up all my history and records, you'll find good experiences.
7	Maybe I'm one out of a million, I don't know. But I'm here to
8	tell you that happened to me, this is what happened, I have no
9	complaints with the service. And if it's \$8 more to keep it
10	going and better, I'm all for it. And if there's people that
11	have problems, I hope they get addressed and we get hooked up.
12	That's the big issue here.
13	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Calabro. I'll get
14	it. Calabro.
15	MR. CALABRO: Calabro.
16	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Calabro. Yeah. I was there.
17	Okay. Thank you very kindly.
18	Mr. Kelly.
19	MR. KELLY: Yes, sir. We did have one gentleman who
20	just signed up to speak.
21	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Has he been sworn?
22	MR. KELLY: Have you been sworn? He was not.
23	MR. BLAZEVIC: I was here but I wasn't sworn. I
24	didn't expect to speak.
25	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Whoa. Whoa. Whoa Hold the

1	phone. You don't get to say anything until after you're sworn
2	MR. BLAZEVIC: All right.
3	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let Mr. Kelly finish. He's going
4	to give us your name and we'll put it on our list and then
5	we'll go from there. All right?
6	MR. KELLY: Mr. R. L., Mr. R. L. Blazevic.
7	MR. BLAZEVIC: B-L-A-Z-E-V as in Victor, I-C,
8	Blazevic.
9	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Spell that again. B-L-A
10	MR. BLAZEVIC: B-L-A-Z-E-V as in Victor, I-C. It's
11	Blazevic.
12	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Would you please raise your right
13	hand.
14	(Witness sworn.)
15	You may proceed.
16	Whereupon,
17	R. L. BLAZEVIC
18	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
19	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
20	DIRECT STATEMENT
21	MR. BLAZEVIC: You know, as a 50-year resident I
22	watched all this go. And I'm a believer, just like we've got
23	one aqueduct, that eventually we're going to have to go to one
24	sewer system through the whole Keys and use gray water. But it
25	just amazes me about North Stock Island. And South Stock

Island is in the county and North Stock Island is in the city, and yet the Stock Island sewer plant is operating a collection system in the city and these come under different laws, the utility laws that cover Key West and cover the county.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I think one of the things that amazes me is the Stock Island plant wanted to take a pipeline all the way from Big Coppitt, something like eight miles. And yet every time we asked the city why they just can't go over the Stock Island Bridge, we have a better sewage plant, we have deep water, deep well injection, and one of our city engineers quit because he proved that if the city had collected the sewage from North Stock Island, that we would make money, plus our sewage plant would work better because we had less salt water in it. I've never gotten a good answer as to why the county sewage system is operating in the city, including using -- and I'm all for using gray water, but advanced treatment really doesn't take out all of the nitrogen and phosphorus and all these things that contribute to what we see as deteriorating the reef, and yet this porous limestone allows an advanced treatment that's not complete treatment. There's a lot of things that still go out into the adjoining waters through the porous lime rock and that continues. I'm for the fact we're going to have to go to gray water. But I just never had any answers to why the city never went over a little bridge to collect the wastewater and why the other system is inside,

which is a county system, is inside the city. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you for your comments. And 3 this is probably not a place where we can get you an answer to 4 that question. 5 Commissioner. MR. BLAZEVIC: I realize. I just --6 7 CHAIRMAN CARTER: But we do have it on the record. We do have it on the record. 8 9 MR. BLAZEVIC: I've just watched this sewer episode 10 go on in both the city and the county and then Stock Island and 11 it just amazes me. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN CARTER: 13 Mr. Burgess, any questions? MR. BURGESS: No, sir. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Wharton? 15 16 MR. WHARTON: No questions. 17 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff? 18 MR. JAEGER: No questions. Thank you. Staff, just FYI, this 19 CHAIRMAN CARTER: 20 man obviously is not within the confines of our jurisdiction, 21 but as a courtesy let's pass that on to the city and county. Can you do that? Commissioners, is that fine? Do you approve? 22 23 Mr. Kelly. MR. KELLY: That's it. 24 25 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Anyone, is there anyone here

1	who wanted to speak that did not get an opportunity to speak
2	this morning, you changed your mind and would like to do so?
3	As they say at the weddings, speak now or forever hold your
4	piece. Remember that part? No, we don't do that part anymore.
5	That would cause a lot of problems.
6	Let's do this. Let's have we will adjourn this
7	hearing, and at that point in time we, we do have another
8	hearing scheduled for this evening. But we'll adjourn and I'll
9	make some comments off the record about what we're going to do
10	in terms of when we get back. We're adjourned.
11	(Adjourned at 12:51 p.m.)
12	* * * *
13	(6:00 p.m. Service Hearing as follows:)
14	CHAIRMAN CARTER: First of all, call our public
15	hearing to order.
16	And with that, Staff, would you please read the
17	notice.
18	MR. JAEGER: Yes, Chairman Carter.
19	Pursuant to notice, this time and place was set for a
20	customer service hearing in Docket Number 070293-SU,
21	application for an increase in wastewater rates in Monroe
22	County by K W Resort Utilities Corp.
23	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Let's take appearances of
24	the parties.
25	MR. WHARTON: John Wharton and Marty Deterding, Rose,

Sundstrom & Bentley, Tallahassee, on behalf of K W Utilities.

2.1

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Chairman, Steve Burgess. And here with me is J.R. Kelly, the Public Counsel, here on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MR. JAEGER: Ralph Jaeger on behalf of the Commission Staff.

MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, advisor to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you so kindly.

Let me say to those of you that have come to participate in our public hearing, we have come down here because we want to hear from you, the customers. We will have -- in a minute I will swear you in as a group and give you an opportunity to be heard.

And as you come up, would you come up to this podium to my right. Mr. Kelly will be calling your name. Come up to this podium to my right and give us your name, address, and phone number. It's important to us that we hear from you, because it's important for us to understand, as we make deliberations and decisions, there is a person at the end of the line. We want to do that.

We were in the technical portion when you came, so there is two parts to this case. One is a part where we take testimony from the public to understand the concerns that the public may have, then there is a technical portion where we

have the lawyers and experts that deal with this, more of a 1 2 legal proceeding. And with that, let me just say we will forgo 3 opening statements on the public hearing. Any objections on that? 4 5 MR. WHARTON: Not at all. **CHAIRMAN CARTER:** Mr. Deterding? 6 MR. DETERDING: 7 No. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff, any objection to that? 8 9 MR. JAEGER: No objection. 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay, then. No preliminary 11 matters, right? MR. JAEGER: No preliminary matters. 12 CHAIRMAN CARTER: For those of you that have come in 13 for our 6:00 o'clock session that would like to be heard, would 14 you please stand and raise your right hand. Because this is 15 being transcribed by a court reporter here, and she is going to 16 take down everything that you say and it will be entered into 17 18 the record as we go forward. 19 So with that, would you please raise your right hand. 20 (Witnesses sworn collectively.) CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. You may be seated. 21 Mr. Kelly, you're recognized. 22 MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 23 The first speaker is Ms. Nancy Hillman. 24 NANCY HILLMAN 25

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. HILLMAN: My name Nancy Hillman. I live at H-31 Miriam Street. The phone number is (305)294-8815.

I first moved to Key West, Florida, in 1984 to a place known as Lincoln Gardens. Key West Resort Utilities was, at that time, taking care of us. I believe at that time the rate was somewheres around \$11 a month, and within a month's time they raised our rates to \$50.

And if Tallahassee would look back into their records, they would find this to be so, because Tallahassee hurried down. And we had a meeting at Key West High School over it. And I was home-schooling my children at the time, and I told them I wanted to take them to a good old-fashioned town meeting. And it sure was a good old-fashioned town meeting. And to make a long story short, Key West Resort Utilities was not allowed to make that kind of a rate hike.

But over the years, every August I could be assured that I would get a letter in the mail and they would ask for 50 cents, 50 cents. So I told my husband they were going to nickel and dime us until they finally got their \$50 rate, which is what they are asking for now. Numerous complaints about this company, especially when we moved there with their, you know, there was feces, and there were a lot of things, but they

did clean up a lot of their act.

And I want to say it was about 1998 that I actually took a tour of the plant site. And that was because the Commissioners were going to -- or Key West Resort Utilities was asking the Commissioners if they could service all of Stock Island. And so I just wanted to see what the plant was like. And although it was an adequate plant at one time to service Lincoln Gardens, and it was probably a good plant, it also was an antiquated plant.

And I told the Commissioners, and Dixie Spehar was the one that was really pushing for it, I told them at a meeting that there was no way that I could see that Key West Resort Utilities could take on the responsibility of all of Stock Island. And it has been a complete nightmare. And over the years, 20-plus years of this utility, I have lived in various places in the United States, and I have never had the problems with any utility that I've had with them, especially when it comes to billing.

One time they told my husband, we owned two trailers at that time, and they told my husband that he hadn't paid a bill. And he looked back and found the receipt, and we rectified that. And there were several other discrepancies. And they used to have a office on Front Street, I tried to get ahold of then, they were never there, then they moved their office to the golf course, which is the -- I really feel that

Key West Resort Utilities exists primarily for the golf course.

2.2

They are both a monopoly on Stock Island. The golf course is very blessed to have our wastewater to keep its greens clean. Another thing they did one time when they wanted to got our attention, they wanted to -- I forget what it was about, but instead of sending us a letter directly, they went around and they put their newsletter in the mailbox, which everybody knows that that is illegal to use a mailbox just to put something in. That is how they run their business. It is very haphazard.

And at one time when -- I think when Mr. Smith took over, I called them about something, and I got somebody on the phone that gave me a long dissertation about they had a new person working for them, and they knew all about wastewater, and they were going to correct this, and this, and this, and this. And I don't see any improvement. I certainly don't see any improvement to warrant us having to pay \$51 a month.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: One second, please.

Ms. Hillman, would you just remain for a second.

Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you for your comments. Your comments are very important to us.

With respect to the incremental charge you said like

1	50 cents here and 50 cents there, I just wanted to ask staff,
2	you know, there is an annual provision, and I'm not sure
3	whether it was used in this case, where they can get like an
4	index each year without going through a rate case. And I just
5	wanted to ask staff if that might be an explanation for why the
6	bill increased slightly.
7	MR. JAEGER: When I was taking notes I just said
8	price index/pass-through, question mark. I don't know for a
9	fact, but they do have that procedure for trying to keep your
10	rate abreast of inflation so they don't all of a sudden come in
11	for a humongous rate increase. And they do nickel and dime you
12	so it keeps them abreast of inflation. It could be
13	pass-throughs or a price index.
14	COMMISSIONER SKOP: That was the question I had,
15	because that is a concern, and I just wanted to see if there
16	was a reasonable explanation for it. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN CARTER: One moment. Any questions from any
18	of the parties?
19	MR. BURGESS: None.
20	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you so kindly, Ms. Hillman.
21	Mr. Kelly.
22	MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Mr. Al Hillman.
23	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good evening, Mr. Hillman.
24	MR. HILLMAN: Good evening.

AL HILLMAN

25

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HILLMAN: Good evening. My name is Al Hillman.

I live at H-31 Miriam Street over on Stock Island. The phone number is (305)294-8815. I'm here basically to back up and agree with my wife's little dissertation there.

Our biggest problem has been with the billing situation, and the other problem when we had the two trailers and they came and wanted to charge me a hook-up fee. We live on the water. I told them -- they were going to cut me off if I wasn't going to pay a hook-up fee. I've been paying sewer for 20 years, I don't know why I would have a hook-up fee at this point. But if you want to block it, you block it, and I will run it in the water out of the backyard. But, anyway, they finally went away. But there has been some problems. Primarily it has been billing problems and kind of threatening to do things that they can't really do.

I play golf a lot. I know these guys. I like the green golf course and all of that from the water they get, but I don't think it's worth the rate increase they are asking for, for what they are doing. Every rain the lift stations always are clogged up. Our streets flood frequently from the manholes. We still have a gravity system. We get a lot of water that backs up and comes up on the street yet, you know,

1	they haven't put in force mains and a lot of infrastructure
2	that I feel is necessary to run a proper plant.
3	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.
4	Commissioner Argenziano.
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Hillman, first of all,
6	that just brings another question, maybe two that I have. Did
7	you just say that the lift stations back up when it rains?
8	MR. HILLMAN: Oh, yes.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And to the utility later,
10	or somebody, Staff, find out if there are generators there to
11	prohibit that or stop that.
12	The other question, in regards to billing, did you
13	just have one problem with billing or was it
14	MR. HILLMAN: Oh, no, I had numerous problems. It
15	has gotten a little bit better, but, you know, it's hard to
16	deal with them. When we were having the problems, I would get
17	misbilled, and double-billed, and things like that. That's
18	very irritating.
19	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And you would call the
20	utility directly and try to get some remedy to the billing
21	problems?
22	MR. HILLMAN: Yes, I did.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And it still was on-going?
24	MR. HILLMAN: Well, I had to take a lot of receipts
25	and canceled checks and, you know, I was guilty of not doing

something until I could -- fortunately, I save a lot of crap, and I can take it over there.

2.1

2.2

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And the last question I have, and forgive me if I didn't get this right. Are you saying that you've been hooked up to the utility all these years and now they tried to charge you a hook-up fee again?

MR. HILLMAN: Well, a few years ago they tried to charge me a hook-up fee. I had two properties right next door to each other, both trailers that I had for years.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So was one hooked up and not the other?

MR. HILLMAN: No, they were both hooked up. They were both hooked up since '83 when I got them. They just wanted me to pay a hook-up fee for whatever reason. I have no idea.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: You were already an existing customer?

MR. HILLMAN: Oh, yes. We had been paying them for years. But, you know, little things like that are very irritating. I mean, I never had to pay it. I never really had a big thing about it, other than they said I would have to pay a hook-up fee or they would cut it off. I said go ahead and cut me off, I'll shoot it out the backyard.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Hillman, that was a few year ago or recently?

1	MR. HILLMAN: Well, it has been a few years ago.
2	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That's been resolved, then?
3	MR. HILLMAN: Oh, yes.
4	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Hillman.
6	Mr. Burgess, any questions?
7	MR. BURGESS: No, sir. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Parties? Staff, any questions?
9	MR. JAEGER: No questions.
10	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.
11	Mr. Kelly.
12	MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Christopher Bridger.
13	CHRISTOPHER BRIDGER
14	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
15	testified as follows:
16	DIRECT STATEMENT
17	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Chris, help us by spelling your
18	last name.
19	MR. BRIDGER: My name is Christopher Bridger,
20	B-R-I-D-G-E-R. I live at 5030 5th Avenue, Number 73, on Stock
21	Island.
22	In the time that I've been here in Key West, I've
23	lived both on the island, itself, and now on Stock Island, and
24	I've had no problems whatsoever with the Key West Resort
25	Utilities. From what I've seen, the service has been good. I

believe that we are getting the proper treatment of our water and the environment is being protected the way it should be. I see no problems with the Key West Resort Utility and see no reason for them to discontinue treating Stock Island.

Like most people, I saw the words rate increase and my initial knee jerk reaction was to say absolutely not. You know, with the economy the way it is, times the way they are, everything seems to cost more, and one more person asking for more money out of my pocket was the last thing I wanted. However, prior to coming today, I looked through on the Internet and found various rates for the Aqueduct Authority, for various parts of the Keys, compared those to the proposed rate increases, and actually found Key West Resort Utility to come in below the rates currently in effect for most of the Keys.

I think this is an issue of us being penny wise and pound foolish, and I think to not allow Key West Resort

Utilities to continue servicing Stock Island would eventually lead to higher costs. I think that paying a few extra pennies today to save a few dollars down the road is a wise move, and I believe the rate increases should be given and Key West Resort Utilities should continue to service Stock Island as they have been.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. One moment.

Commissioners, anything? Parties, any questions?

1 MR. BURGESS: None. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff. 3 MR. JAEGER: None. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you very kindly, Mr. Bridger. 4 5 Mr. Kelly. MR. KELLY: The next speaker is Mr. Harry Goode. 6 7 HARRY GOODE 8 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 9 testified as follows: 10 DIRECT STATEMENT 11 MR. GOODE: Harry Goode. First of all, I apologize. 12 I have a deep cold, so if I drown you out, or myself, I concur 13 with what Mrs. Hillman had to say. 14 Some years back, well, a few years back, maybe, not 15 too many, the sewage company, you never seen them or heard of 16 them unless you had an overflow. Then to call it an overflow 17 was just something repetitious. There may be something done about it, and it may not be. So as far as that goes, that was 18 19 pretty well cut and dry. In the beginning they had a very poor admin section, 20 which was evident to anybody that had any dealings with the 21 sewage people. And it was just almost impossible to get it 22 straightened out unless you went to higher authority. 23 Now, I don't know where the idea came that they 24

haven't raised the pay since 1982. Whoever came up with that

25

is a real comedian, because I have been paying on five different places for the last 20-something years, maybe 30.

2.0

2.5

Then they come around with little packets that they were giving out for everybody that wasn't hooked up to the system. Evidently that was when somebody else took it over or the people that were running it might have been getting some static from higher headquarters and had to do something about it that they could show them. Well, I happened to notice the little packages, and, there again, I have been paying sewage on it, at least I thought I was, I was making the payment on sewage when the idea came up that I wasn't on there.

And they gave me, I think it was 30 days to come up with X number of dollars and get back on the sewage. But I had five units, and all five units were on the sewage, like I said, for years. So I just took a packet over and I showed it to them and told them what the story was. And they said, well, we'll get back to you. And, of course, they didn't, and nothing happened because I was still hooked up and I had always been hooked up.

Any raise -- to me, any raise should be acknowledged by the cost of living wages like they do nationwide. There should never be anybody charging the public with that big a denomination in excess of five or six percent at the most. But I think it should be equal to the cost of living that we get, particularly in Key West. Everything goes up here except the

wages, and that's something that's hard to figure out. Any people that would down here for any length of time, if they have a family, they have to have at least both of them working to survive. Not to live good, but to survive. So every penny means a lot to them. It may not mean so such to me as it would to them, but it does to them.

10.

So, I think -- well, then, again, I wonder how I would benefit if Aqueduct took over the sewage. And I don't understand what the gentleman thinking the idea was they want to take that over, because that was discussed, not to a takeover of any sort, among other people for a good number of years. Nothing ever materialized on it. So I would like to know what I would benefit if they took that over.

Today, when I started the sewage business, I think I paid around 11, 12, 13 dollars at the most. Well, I know that if you have maintenance, which I never did see, or never did have, there would be a minor cost. I acknowledge that. And I appreciate good service, and I'm willing to pay for it, but I want -- if I have to pay the money, I want the service, and so does everybody else.

So all in all, today I pay \$47-and-something for each unit. That has raised up from 13 and so up to 47. That's not a lot of money, maybe, for some people, but for what reason?

If we were getting good services, okay, no problem. I want to pay for the service I get, but I want to get the service if I

have to shell out some money.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, really, I don't see any particular reason that we should have a different unit to take over. I just think pressure should be put on the people that's operating it now, wherever their headquarters is responsible for them to check and make sure they're doing what they're supposed to be doing. Apparently we have people going around now that's doing a little work pumping out the stations and so forth which you didn't see before. Within the last six months they have been around, prior to that I never seen or heard of them. Didn't know who they were. So we need to get to work, too. If you're going to do something that reflects our pay or whatever, you know, they're responsible to you. You should have a way to notify us, but not just come along and put a flier in the mailbox at my place. That might last an hour, I don't know. Somebody might get it and not read and just stick it in the trash can.

So to make a long story short, like I said, I'm willing to pay for good service, and I want good service, but it has got to be within the means of the other people that don't make as much money as I do, and I'm not rich or getting rich.

So, I apologize. I thank each and every one of you for coming down to represent us. We surely appreciate it, and we do need your help. And I think with a little effort from

1	the higher headquarters down to the lower echelons, and that
2	they understand what you want, and you're demanding what they
3	are supposed to give you, I believe we can all have better
4	lives in the Keys.
5	Thank you very much.
6	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you very much, Mr. Goode.
7	Commissioners, any questions? Any questions from the
8	parties? Staff?
9	MR. JAEGER: None.
10	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you very kindly.
11	Mr. Kelly.
12	MR. KELLY: The last speaker is Mr. Jeff Allen.
13	MR. JAEGER: Chairman Carter.
14	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Yes.
15	MR. JAEGER: Again, I only saw four people stand up.
16	Was he sworn?
17	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Have you been sworn in?
18	MR. ALLEN: No.
19	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Good. Just stay where you
20	are, look at me, raise you right hand and smile.
21	(Witness sworn.)
22	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you so kindly.
23	You're recognized.
24	JEFF ALLEN
25	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,

testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

3 MR. ALLEN: Just to draw on what the last gentleman
4 was saying --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Jeff, you've got to work with me here. You've got to stay close to the microphone, because --

MR. ALLEN: I'm not a great public speaker. I'll do my best.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: If you'll give us your name, address, and phone number.

MR. ALLEN: My name is Jeff Allen. I'm currently a resident in Key West proper. I was formerly a resident on Stock Island. I do own two properties in Stock Island that are hooked up to that sewer system.

To expand on what the last gentleman was saying about service, as far as sewer goes, if you don't see them or don't hear from them, that's probably a good thing, in my mind. I, in my two properties there, I have never had any problems as far as service goes. I know it's a big area and there could be other areas of concern.

As far as the rate increase, which is why we are here, I've lived in both Key West and Key Haven, and the rates on Stock Island are significantly cheaper. Again, the service for me is just as good. So I personally don't have a problem with the rate increase. I understand that the cost of

everything for everybody is going up. It's not good times for 1 2 anyone. I'm sure their costs are increasing. I'm sure if the 3 Aqueduct or another company took it over, the rates would be 4 significantly more. 5 You know, I lived in Harbor Shores when the whole 6 hook-up thing was going on. It wasn't a pleasant situation. Ι 7 know it wasn't for any of the parties. There were some 8 injustices, in my mind, which I was personally affected by, but 9 I don't have a problem with the rate increase. I think the \$47 is very reasonable at this rate, and for a few dollars more 10 it's really not a big deal as opposed to having another company 11 come in and run it. That's really about all I have to say. 12 Thank you, Mr. Allen. 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: An questions, Commissioners? 14 15 Mr. Burgess. MR. BURGESS: Mr. Allen, do you have any business 16 17 relationship or anything with Mr. Smith or any of Mr. Smith's family members? 18 MR. ALLEN: Mr. Johnson and I own a unit together on 19 20 Stock Island. 21 MR. BURGESS: Thank you very much. 22 MR. ALLEN: Sure. 23 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Anything further? 24 Thank you very kindly. 25 Mr. Kelly.

Ι	MR. KELLY: Nothing further.
2	CHAIRMAN CARTER: We have had five people come and
3	give us is there anyone that came in at our 6:00 o'clock
4	public hearing that wanted to be heard that did not get a
5	chance to be heard or would like to speak? Okay.
6	Hearing none, Commissioners, Staff, and parties,
7 .	let's do this let's go off the record.
8	(Service Hearing concluded.)
9	* * * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	WE, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, and JANE FAUROT, RPR, Official Commission Reporters, do hereby certify that the
5	foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that we stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under our direct supervision; and that this
8	transcribed under our direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of our notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	WE FURTHER CERTIFY that we are not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor are we
11	a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor are we financially interested in the action.
12	interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS <u>1345</u> day of October, 2008.
14	
15	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR JANE FAUROT, RPR
16	FPSC Official Commission FPSC Official Commission Reporter Reporter
17	(850) 413-6734 (850) 413-6732
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	