

Ruth Nettles

From: LOWE, AMY [Amy.Lowe@fpl.com]
Sent: Monday, June 22, 2009 3:33 PM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: Katherine Fleming; Ljacobes50@comcast.net; Paul Lewis; sclark@radeylaw.com; John Burnett; Suzanne Brownless; lwillis@ausley.com; jbeasley@ausley.com; george@cavros-law.com; srg@beggslane.com; cbrowder@ouc.com; milta@jea.com; ryoung@rplaw.net; Jeff.curry@lakelandelectric.com; Minimushomines@aol.com; nhorton@lawfla.com; jmcwhirter@mac-law.com; sdriteno@southernco.com; vkaufman@kagmlaw.com; jmoyle@kagmlaw.com
Subject: Electronic Filing - Docket # 080407, 080408, 080409, 080410, 080411, 080412, 080413
Attachments: Joint Response in Opposition to NRDC & SACE Motion for Extension of Time.doc; Joint Response in Opposition to NRDC & SACE Motion for Extension of Time.pdf

Electronic Filing

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Jessica A. Cano, Esq.
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
(561) 304-5226
Jessica.Cano@fpl.com

b. Docket Nos. 080407-EG, 080408-EG, 080409-EG, 080410-EG, 080411-EG, 080412-EG and 080413-EG

IN RE: Commission review of numeric conservation goals

c. The documents are being filed on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company, Progress Energy Florida, Inc., Tampa Electric Company, Gulf Power Company, and JEA.

d. There are a total of seven (7) pages.

e. The document attached for electronic filing is:

JOINT RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

(See attached file(s): Joint Response in Opposition to NRDC & SACE Motion for Extension of Time.doc ; Joint Response in Opposition to NRDC & SACE Motion for Extension of Time.pdf)

Regards,
Amy Lowe, CLA
Certified Legal Assistant
Senior Legal Assistant to
Bryan Anderson, Managing Attorney
Jessica Cano, Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
Office: (561) 304-5608 Fax: (561) 691-7135
Email: amy.lope@fpl.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DAT

06201 JUN 22 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Power & Light Company)	Docket No. 080407-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric Conservation goals (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)	Docket No. 080408-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Tampa Electric Company)	Docket No. 080409-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric Conservation goals (Gulf Power Company)	Docket No. 080410-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Public Utilities Company)	Docket No. 080411-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Orlando Utilities Commission)	Docket No. 080412-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (JEA)	Docket No. 080413-EG
	Filed: June 22, 2009

**JOINT RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS**

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF")¹, Tampa Electric Company ("TECO"), Gulf Power Company ("Gulf"), and JEA, through undersigned counsel, file this joint response in opposition to the Motion for Extension of Time to File Testimony and Exhibits (the "Motion") filed by the Natural Resources Defense Council ("NRDC") and the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("SACE") on June 17, 2009, and in support thereof state:

¹ Although PEF initially expressed agreement to a testimony deadline extension for NRDC and SACE in principle, upon further review of the case schedule and the calendar for preparing rebuttal testimony, PEF cannot support the requested extension and joins the other utilities identified in this opposition.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06201 JUN 22 09

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1. On December 18, 2008, the prehearing officer issued an Order Consolidating Dockets and Establishing Procedures. *See*, Order No. PSC-08-0816-PCO-EG. That order established a schedule for this docket which included, among other items, deadlines for the utilities' direct testimony, intervenor testimony, and utility rebuttal testimony. That order contemplated one month between the filing of utility testimony and the filing of intervenor testimony, just like the current schedule.

2. Subsequently, on March 12, 2009, the prehearing officer issued a First Order Revising Order Establishing Procedure adjusting the testimony deadlines and establishing the current schedule in the DSM Goals proceeding. *See*, Order No. PSC-09-0152-PCO-EG. It should be noted that this schedule adjustment called for the utilities to file their direct testimony fifteen days earlier than the original schedule, allowing NRDC/SACE and other intervenors more time for discovery between the filing of utility testimony and the hearing.

3. On June 17, 2009, NRDC and SACE filed their Motion (dated June 16, 2009) seeking to deviate from the terms of the revised Order Establishing Procedure by requesting a one week extension of the deadline to file intervenor testimony and exhibits. The requested extension would move the deadline from July 1, 2009 back to July 8, 2009. As described above, the current schedule for filing testimony has been in place since March. NRDC and SACE were parties to this docket at the time the schedule was developed, and had the opportunity to seek reconsideration of Order No. PSC-09-0152-PCO-EG if they felt the time provided for filing intervenor testimony was inadequate. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Fla. Admin. Code, however, NRDC and SACE would have had to petition for reconsideration by March 22, 2009. The Motion is thus nothing more than an untimely motion for reconsideration and should be rejected as such.

4. Contrary to the assertions made in the Motion, ample time for discovery has been available to all parties. Indeed, Staff served initial discovery on December 12, 2008 and the Florida Solar Coalition began serving discovery as early as March 5, 2009. NRDC and SACE were granted intervention in October 2008, but they delayed their initial discovery until June 8, 2009, a full week following the submittal of direct testimony.² Additionally, most of the discovery served by NRDC and SACE relates primarily to the various steps in the process used by the utilities to develop their goals and not to specific aspects of the direct testimony. This type of discovery could have been served at an earlier time.

5. Moreover, NRDC and SACE have had access to substantial amounts of information through their participation in the Collaborative, including the Technical Potential Studies since April 2009 and the final results of the energy efficiency Achievable Potential Studies.³ NRDC and SACE were active participants in the Collaborative for over a year, and through that role, have participated in the development of much of the underlying information and analyses supporting the issues in this docket. They have not been as active in the development of Achievable Potential as they were in the development of Technical Potential, but they have continued to participate in the Collaborative. Accordingly, the Prehearing Officer should reject the contention that their ability to prepare testimony is reliant upon the utilities' responses to their recently issued discovery.

6. The NRDC and SACE Motion, if granted, would substantially constrict the time available to FPL, PEF, TECO, Gulf, and JEA to prepare rebuttal testimony in response to

² See, NRDC/SACE Notice of Service of First Set of Interrogatories to FPL, Progress, GPC, TECO, FPUC, OUC, and JEA served June 8, 2009, and NRDC/SACE Notice of Service of First Request for Production of Documents to FPL, Progress, GPC, TECO, FPUC, OUC, and JEA dated June 9, 2009.

³ The assertion that the achievable potential analysis or any other analysis is incomplete is false. NRDC/SACE Motion p. 4. The achievable potential analysis results have been available since June 1, 2009. Only the final "report," which formally compiles those results, has yet to be issued by Itron, Inc.; consequently, it is not part of the utilities' direct cases and is not evidence that SACE/NRDC need to address in their testimony.

intervenors' testimony, as well as to respond to any testimony filed by staff on July 17, just 10 days before rebuttal testimony is due. Itron, Inc., the consultant retained by the Collaborative (which included SACE and NRDC) to perform the technical and achievable potential studies, has also expressed concern with the compressed time frame for responding to intervenor testimony because its assistance will likely be needed. NRDC and SACE have failed to present any compelling reason why such a prejudicial effect is justified, particularly given their participation in and access to information through the Collaborative for more than a year.

WHEREFORE, for the above and foregoing reasons, FPL, PEF, TECO, Gulf, and JEA respectfully request that the NRDC and SACE Motion be denied and the Order Establishing Procedure, as revised on March 12, 2009, be affirmed and maintained.

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of June, 2009.

Steven R. Griffin, Esquire
Attorney for Gulf Power Company
Beggs & Lane Law Firm
501 Commendancia St
Pensacola, FL 32502

By: s/ Steven R. Griffin
Steven R. Griffin
Fla. Bar No. 0627569

John T. Burnett, Esquire
Attorney for Progress Energy Service
Company, LLC
P.O. Box 14042
Saint Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

By: s/ John T. Burnett
John T. Burnett
Fla. Bar No. 173304

Gary Perko, Esquire
Attorney for JEA
Hopping Green & Sams
123 South Calhoun St
Tallahassee, FL 32301

By: s/ Gary Perko
Gary Perko
Fla. Bar No. 0855898

James D. Beasley, Esquire
Attorneys for Tampa Electric Co.
Ausley Law Firm
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

By: s/ James D. Beasley
James D. Beasley
Fla. Bar No. 0178751

Charles A. Guyton
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, LLP
215 South Monroe St
Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jessica A. Cano
Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
(561) 304-5226

By: s/ Jessica A. Cano
Jessica A. Cano
Fla. Bar No. 0037372

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished electronically and by U.S. mail this 22nd day of June, 2009, to the following:

Katherine E. Fleming, Senior Attorney
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
keflemin@psc.state.fl.us

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr., Esquire
Williams & Jacobs, LLC
1720 S. Gadsden St., MS 14 Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Ljacobes50@comcast.net
Attorney for Southern Alliance for Clean
Air/Natural Resources

Mr. Richard F. Spelman, President
GDS Associates, Inc.
1850 Parkway Place, Suite 800
Marietta, GA 30067

Mr. Michael Ting
Principal Consultant
Itron, Inc.
Consulting and Analysis Services
1111 Broadway, Suite 1800
Oakland, CA 94607

Susan Clark, Esquire
Radey Law Firm
301 South Bronough Street, Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32301
sclark@radeylaw.com

Paul Lewis, Jr., Esquire
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740
Paul.lewisjr@pgumail.com
Attorney for Progress Energy Florida, Inc

John T. Burnett, Esquire
P.O. Box 14042
Saint Petersburg, FL 33733-4042
John.Burnett@pgnmail.com
Attorney for Progress Energy Service
Company, LLC

Suzanne Brownless, Esquire
Suzanne Brownless, P.A.
1975 Buford Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32308
suzannebrownless@comcast.net
Attorney for Florida Solar Coalition

Tampa Electric Company
Ms. Paula K. Brown
Regulatory Affairs
P. O. Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Lee L. Willis, II, Esquire
James D. Beasley, Esquire
Ausley Law Firm
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
lwillis@ausley.com
Attorneys for Tampa Electric Company

George S. Cavros, Esq.
George S. Cavros, Esq. P.A.
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd., Suite 105
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33334
george@cavros-law.com
Co-Counsel for NRDC/SACE

Florida Public Utilities Company
Mr. John T. English, Esquire
P. O. Box 3395
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

JEA
Ms. Teala A. Milton
V.P., Government Relations
21 West Church Street, Tower 16
Jacksonville, FL 32202-3158
milta@jea.com

Lakeland Electric
Mr. Jeff Curry
501 East Lemon Street
Lakeland, FL 33801
Jeff.curry@lakelandelectric.com

Jeremy Susac
Executive Director
Florida Energy and Climate Commission
c/o Governor's Energy Office
600 South Calhoun Street, Suite 251
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0001

John. W. McWhirter, Jr., Esquire
PO Box 3350
Tampa, Florida 33601
jmcwhirter@mac-law.com

Vicki G. Kaufman/Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
c/o Keefe Law Firm, The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
vkaufman@asglegal.com
Attorneys for Florida Industrial Users Group

Steven R. Griffin, Esquire
Beggs & Lane Law Firm
501 Commendencia Street
Pensacola, FL 32502
srg@beggslane.com
Attorney for Gulf Power Company

Orlando Utilities Commission
W. Chris Browder / Randy Halley
100 W. Anderson Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
cbrowder@ouc.com

Roy C. Young/Tasha O. Buford
Young Law Firm
225 S. Adams Street, Suite 200
Orlando, Florida 32802
ryoung@rvlaw.net
Attorneys for OUC

Mr. Bob Krasowski
1086 Michigan Ave.
Naples, FL 34103
Minimushomines@aol.com

Norman H. Horton, Jr., Esquire
Messer Law Firm
Post Office Box 15579
Tallahassee, FL 32317
nhorton@lawfla.com
Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Co.

Gulf Power Company
Ms. Susan D. Ritenour
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780
sdritenour@southernco.com

By: s/ Jessica A. Cano
Jessica A. Cano
Florida Bar No. 37372