

VOTE SHEET

March 16, 2010

Docket No. 080407-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Power & Light Company).

Docket No. 080408-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.).

Docket No. 080409-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Tampa Electric Company).

Docket No. 080410-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Gulf Power Company).

Docket No. 080411-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Public Utilities Company).

Docket No. 080412-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Orlando Utilities Commission).

Docket No. 080413-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (JEA).

**Issue 1:** Should JEA's motion for limited reopening of the record be granted?

**Recommendation:** Yes. The record should be reopened for the limited purpose of admitting JEA's corrected response to Staff Interrogatory No. 50, thus correcting a material fact upon which the Commission based its decision in setting JEA's goals.

**APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Argenziano, Edgar, Skop, Klement

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

*Handwritten signatures of David O. Klement, Argenziano, Skop, and Edgar.*

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** PEF's Corrected Supplemental Response to Staff's Seventh Set of Interrogatories, No. 66 and Revised Commissioner-Approved Conservation Goals for PEF attached. -ac

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02296 MAR 30 09

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet

March 16, 2010

Docket No. 080407-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Power & Light Company).

Docket No. 080408-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.).

Docket No. 080409-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Tampa Electric Company).

Docket No. 080410-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Gulf Power Company).

Docket No. 080411-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Public Utilities Company).

Docket No. 080412-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Orlando Utilities Commission).

Docket No. 080413-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (JEA).

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 2:** Should JEA's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

**Recommendation:** Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation on Issue 1 to reopen the record for the limited purpose of admitting JEA's corrected response to Staff Interrogatory No. 50, staff recommends that JEA's Motion for Reconsideration be granted because it identifies a point of fact that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider in rendering its order.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 3:** Should Florida Power & Light Company's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

**Recommendation:** No. FPL's Motion for Reconsideration fails to identify any point of fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider in rendering its Order.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 4:** Should Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

**Recommendation:** No. PEF's Motion for Reconsideration fails to identify any point of fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider in rendering its Order.

**PEF made an oral request to reopen the record for the limited purpose of addressing the double counting. The Commission voted to approve reopening the record and admitting into evidence PEF's Corrected Supplemental Response to Staff's Seventh Set of Interrogatories, Item No. 66.**

**Staff modified its recommendation as to Issue 4 to deny in part and grant in part the motion for reconsideration. Denied with respect to Progress's first argument, which is that the goals are based on programs that are technically possible rather than using the savings goals based on programs that are achievable for Progress, but granting the motion for reconsideration with respect to measures that were double counted. The Commission voted to approve revised residential goals identified in the attached table titled "Revised Commission-Approved Conservation Goals for PEF."**

**The amended recommendation was approved.**

Vote Sheet

March 16, 2010

Docket No. 080407-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Power & Light Company).

Docket No. 080408-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.).

Docket No. 080409-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Tampa Electric Company).

Docket No. 080410-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Gulf Power Company).

Docket No. 080411-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Public Utilities Company).

Docket No. 080412-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Orlando Utilities Commission).

Docket No. 080413-EG – Commission review of numeric conservation goals (JEA).

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 5:** Should Gulf Power Company's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

**Recommendation:** No. Gulf's Motion for Reconsideration fails to identify any point of fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider in rendering its Order.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 6:** Should NRDC/SACE's Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

**Recommendation:** No. NRDC/SACE's motion for reconsideration fails to identify any point of fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider in rendering its Order.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 7:** Should these dockets be closed?

**Recommendation:** Yes. These dockets should be closed after the time for filing an appeal has run.

**APPROVED**

Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Corrected Supplemental Response to Staff's Seventh Set of Interrogatories, No. 66

Residential Measure List: TRC Achievable Results NOT in the RIM portfolio \*

Measure Type	Customer Type	Measure Information		Cost Effectiveness		Average Annual Savings *			Single** Measure KWH	Applicable Households or Bulbs	GWH Savings	Single** Summer KW	Summer MW Savings	Single** Winter KW	Winter MW Savings
		Measure #	Measure Name	E-TRC Test Value	E-RIM Test Value	Summer Demand (MW)	Winter Demand (MW)	Annual Energy (GWH)							
EE	Res - Mobile Home	231	CFL (18-Watt integral ballast), 2.5 hr/day	5.81	0.65	0.04395	0.06266	0.84000	102.7	711,879	73.11	0.0053	3.80	0.0076	5.41
EE	Res - Single Detached	801	Two Speed Pool Pump (1.5 hp)	2.90	0.84	0.42533	0.16819	1.99217	820.5	251,878	206.67	0.1752	44.13	0.0341	8.59
EE	Res - Multi Attached	802	High Efficiency One Speed Pool Pump (1.5 hp)	5.67	0.86	0.00363	0.00071	0.01701	841.0	3,519	2.96	0.1796	0.63	0.0343	0.12

\*Per Interrogatory question 66, these are the differenceS between E-RIM High and E-TRC High divided by the 10 Year Plan to get Annual Savings.

\*\*The actual single measure annual savings per household.

Source - Staff 7th Set of ROGs to PEF (Nos. 41-80) Attachment H - 2 of 12; F\_Saere\_PEF\_TRC\_H.xls subtracting F\_Saere\_PEF\_RIM\_H.xls

Parties/Staff Handout  
 Internal Affairs Agenda  
 on 3/16/10  
 Item No. 27  
 080407-EG thru 080413-EG

## Revised Commission-Approved Conservation Goals for PEF

(Type and Strike Version)

Year	Residential			Commercial/Industrial		
	Summer (MW)	Winter (MW)	Annual (GWh)	Summer (MW)	Winter (MW)	Annual (GWh)
2010	<del>84.5</del> <u>79.6</u>	<del>82.7</del> <u>81.3</u>	<del>289.9</del> <u>261.6</u>	13.7	5.3	31.1
2011	<del>86.4</del> <u>81.5</u>	<del>88.2</del> <u>86.8</u>	<del>295.9</del> <u>267.6</u>	16.2	5.3	33.0
2012	<del>89.4</del> <u>84.5</u>	<del>92.2</del> <u>90.8</u>	<del>306</del> <u>276.7</u>	25.5	11.4	35.9
2013	<del>91.4</del> <u>86.5</u>	<del>94.9</del> <u>93.5</u>	<del>311.0</del> <u>282.7</u>	25.9	11.5	37.7
2014	<del>93.3</del> <u>88.4</u>	<del>97.6</del> <u>96.2</u>	<del>317.1</del> <u>288.8</u>	26.4	11.5	39.6
2015	<del>98.7</del> <u>93.8</u>	<del>102.3</del> <u>100.9</u>	<del>338.2</del> <u>309.9</u>	27.6	11.7	46.2
2016	<del>107.2</del> <u>102.3</u>	<del>113.1</del> <u>111.7</u>	<del>326.1</del> <u>297.8</u>	27.1	11.6	42.5
2017	<del>106.8</del> <u>101.9</u>	<del>112.5</del> <u>111.1</u>	<del>320.1</del> <u>291.8</u>	27.0	11.6	40.6
2018	<del>101.3</del> <u>96.4</u>	<del>105</del> <u>103.6</u>	<del>308.0</del> <u>279.7</u>	25.7	11.4	36.8
2019	<del>86.8</del> <u>81.9</u>	<del>80.5</del> <u>79.1</u>	<del>288.9</del> <u>270.6</u>	22.3	11.3	34.0
<b>Total</b>	<del>945.6</del> <u>896.6</u>	<del>969.1</del> <u>955.1</u>	<del>3110.1</del> <u>2827.1</u>	237.3	102.6	377.4