10h295

#### **Diamond Williams**

From:

Collins, Angela [acollins@cgrdc.com]

Sent:

Friday, May 14, 2010 4:42 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Subject:

New Docket - Complaint of Intrado Communications Inc. and Request for Expedited

Treatment (PUBLIC VERSION)

Attachments: PUBLIC - Intrado Comm Complaint against AT&T Florida.pdf

The person responsible for this electronic filing is:

Angela F. Collins, Senior Attorney Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP 1990 K Street, N.W., Suite 950 Washington, D.C. 20006 202.862.8930 (telephone) 202.862.8958 (fax) acollins@cgrdc.com

NEW Docket - Complaint of Intrado Communications Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida and Request for Expedited Treatment

This document is being filed on behalf of Intrado Communications Inc.

The total number of pages is 22.

The document is the PUBLIC VERSION of Intrado Communications Inc.'s complaint against AT&T Florida and request for expedited treatment, including supporting testimony and exhibits. A CONFIDENTIAL VERSION will be filed in paper.

Respectfully submitted,

**Angela Collins** 

Angela F. Collins, Senior Attorney Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP 1990 K Street, N.W., Suite 950 Washington, D.C. 20006

04096 MAY 14 9

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

202.862.8930 (telephone) 202.862.8958 (fax) acollins@cgrdc.com www.cahill.com

The information contained in this e-mail message may be privileged and confidential information and is intended only for the use of the individual and/or entity identified in the alias address of this message. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby requested not to distribute or copy this communication. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original message from your system. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaint of Intrado Communications Inc.	DOCKET NO.
against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	
d/b/a AT&T Florida and Request for Expedited	DATED: May 14, 2010
Treatment	

## INTRADO COMMUNICATIONS INC. COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED TREATMENT

Intrado Communications Inc. ("Intrado Comm"), by its attorneys, respectfully submits this Complaint against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida ("AT&T") for its failure to comply with Order No. PSC-08-0798-FOF-TP issued by the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") on December 3, 2008 in Docket No. 070736-TP ("Arbitration Order"). In addition, Intrado Comm requests expedited treatment for its Complaint pursuant to Section 364.058, F.S. and Rule 25-22.0365, F.A.C. Finally, Intrado Comm requests that the CONFIDENTIAL VERSION of its Complaint be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to Section 364.183(1), F.S.

In support of its Complaint and request for expedited treatment, Intrado Comm provides the following information<sup>2</sup> as required by Rule 25-22.0365(3), F.A.C. and states as follows:

### **PARTIES**

1. Intrado Comm holds a certificate of authority to provide competitive local exchange services, certificate number TX607, and is a "telecommunications company" as that term is defined in Section 364.02(14), F.S. Intrado Comm's business address is:

Intrado Communications Inc. 1601 Dry Creek Drive Longmont, CO 80503

CLOS MAY ILE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Intrado Comm makes this request because the parties have signed a non-disclosure agreement (Exhibit 1).

Intrado Comm also provides direct testimony and exhibits as required by Rule 25-22.0365(3), F.A.C.

720-864-5800 (telephone) 720-494-6600 (facsimile) regulatory@intrado.com

All documents filed, served, or issued in this matter should be served upon the following:

Chérie R. Kiser
Angela F. Collins
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP
1990 K Street, N.W., Suite 950
Washington, DC 20006
202-862-8900 (telephone)
202-862-8958 (facsimile)
ckiser@cgrdc.com
acollins@cgrdc.com

Rebecca Ballesteros
Intrado Communications Inc.
1601 Dry Creek Drive
Longmont, CO 80503
720-864-5513 (telephone)
720-494-6600 (facsimile)
rebecca.ballesteros@intrado.com

Floyd R. Self, Esq. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 2618 Centennial Place Tallahassee, Florida 32308 850-425-5213 (telephone) 850-558-0656 (facsimile) fself@lawfla.com

2. AT&T is an incumbent local exchange carrier providing telecommunications

services in Florida. AT&T's business address is:

AT&T Florida 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32303

#### **JURISDICTION**

3. The Commission has jurisdiction to hear this Complaint pursuant to Chapters 120 and 364, F.S., and Chapters 25-22 and 28-106, F.A.C.

### **COMPLAINT**

- 4. On December 3, 2008, the Commission issued its *Arbitration Order* resolving the outstanding arbitration issues between Intrado Comm and AT&T. In the *Arbitration Order*, the Commission made the following statements and findings that are relevant to this dispute:
  - o "Establishing the nature of the service Intrado Comm is offering is important to determine whether Intrado Comm and AT&T should enter into an arrangement under §251(a), a general contract, or § 251(c), an interconnection agreement. Section 251(c) specifically provides for an interconnection agreement between a competitive local exchange carrier and an incumbent local exchange carrier, whereas §251(a) allows for a general contract, commonly referred to as a commercial agreement."<sup>3</sup>
  - o "This docket shall be closed and the parties may negotiate a commercial agreement pursuant to \$251(a)."

On reconsideration, these findings were upheld in Order No. PSC-09-0156-FOF-TP (issued March 16, 2009).

5. \*\*\*START CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

<sup>3</sup> Arbitration Order at 4.

<sup>4</sup> Arbitration Order at 9.

1.142621

b

10.

'6

8

٠.

'9

**LOBFIC AEBSION** 

j

11.

#### \*\*\*END CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

12. As demonstrated by the correspondence between the parties, Intrado Comm attempted to resolve this dispute informally,<sup>5</sup> but has been unsuccessful.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, Intrado Comm now seeks the Commission's expedited assistance to enforce the *Arbitration Order* against AT&T.

### 13. \*\*\*START CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rule 25-22.0365(4)(d), (4)(e)(4), F.A.C.

See Exhibits 4, 5, and 6.

See, e.g., 47 C.F.R. § 51.301(c); see also Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act 1996; Interconnection between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers, 11 FCC Rcd 15499, ¶ 152 (1996) ("Local Competition Order") (intervening history omitted), aff'd by AT&T Corp. v. Iowa Utils. Bd., 525 U.S. 366 (1999).

\*\*\*END

CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\* Thus, not only is AT&T's demand inconsistent with the concept of "good faith" negotiations embodied in the FCC's rules, it also conflicts with the Commission's decision that Intrado Comm is entitled to "negotiate a commercial agreement pursuant to \$251(a)" with AT&T.9

14. In addition, AT&T's actions "are deliberately intended to delay competitive entry." \*\*\*START CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

\*\*\*END

### CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

15. Therefore, Intrado Comm requests that the Commission grant it the following relief:<sup>11</sup> (1) reaffirm its findings in the *Arbitration Order* that Intrado Comm may enter into an agreement with AT&T pursuant to Section 251(a) of the Act; \*\*\*START

CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*END CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

### REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED PROCESS

16. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.0365, F.A.C., Intrado Comm requests that this Complaint be processed on an expedited basis according to the timeframes set forth in the rule. Intrado

<sup>47</sup> C.F.R. § 51.301(c); Local Competition Order ¶ 152.

<sup>9</sup> Arbitration Order at 9.

Local Competition Order ¶ 154.

<sup>11</sup> Rule 25-22.0365(4)(c).

Comm has complied with the requirements of the rule by filing the testimony of Intrado Comm witness, Thomas W. Hicks (**Exhibit 7**). Intrado Comm has simultaneously served its Complaint and its testimony and exhibits on AT&T.

- 17. The expedited process is appropriate for resolution of Intrado Comm's complaint.

  There is only one issue to be resolved between the parties, and resolution of the dispute has no policy implications beyond Intrado Comm and AT&T.<sup>12</sup>
- 18. At this time, Intrado Comm does not contemplate conducting discovery; substantial factual discovery is not necessary because the dispute is purely a legal issue. 13
- 19. As described above, Intrado Comm has diligently attempted to resolve this issue with AT&T. Intrado Comm has engaged in several telephonic conversations with AT&T and has exchanged correspondence on this issue. The parties have been unable to resolve this dispute informally.
- 20. Finally, expedited resolution will also advance competition in the market for services to public safety agencies, which currently have no competitive alternative other than incumbent local exchange carriers like AT&T.

## **CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, Intrado Comm respectfully requests that that Commission resolve the instant Complaint on an expedited basis for the reasons set forth herein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Rule 25-22.0365(4)(e)(1), (2), F.A.C.

Rule 25-22.0365(4)(e)(3), F.A.C.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of May, 2010.

Craig W. Donaldson Senior Vice President, Regulatory & Government Affairs, Regulatory Counsel

Rebecca Ballesteros Associate Counsel

Intrado Communications Inc. 1601 Dry Creek Drive Longmont, CO 80503 720-494-5800 (telephone) 720-494-6600 (facsimile) INTRADO COMMUNICATIONS INC.

Chérie R. Kiser Angela F. Collins

Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP 1990 K Street, N.W., Suite 950

Washington, DC 20006 202-862-8950 (telephone) 202-862-8958 (facsimile)

ckiser@cgrdc.com acollins@cgrdc.com

Floyd R. Self, Esq.
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
2618 Centennial Place
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
850-425-5213 (telephone)
850-558-0656 (facsimile)
fself@lawfla.com

Its Attorneys

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 1 Nondisclosure Agreement (CONFIDENTIAL)
- Exhibit 2 Execution Copy of Agreement (CONFIDENTIAL)
- Exhibit 3 Email correspondence from AT&T to Intrado Comm (CONFIDENTIAL)
- Exhibit 4 Email correspondence from Intrado Comm to AT&T (CONFIDENTIAL)
- Exhibit 5 Email correspondence from AT&T to Intrado Comm (CONFIDENTIAL)
- Exhibit 6 Email correspondence from Intrado Comm to AT&T (CONFIDENTIAL)
- Exhibit 7 Direct Testimony of Thomas W. Hicks (CONFIDENTIAL VERSION).

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served on the following by electronic mail this 14th day of May, 2010.

Colleen O'Grady General Attorney AT&T 525 Market St Ste 2010 San Francisco, CA 94105 co1864@att.com

Michael M. Turbes
General Attorney
Legal Department
AT&T
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 4213
Atlanta, GA 30375-0001
michael.turbes@att.com

Gregory Follensbee AT&T Florida 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32303 greg.follensbee@att.com

Angela (). Collins

Exhibit 1 - Nondisclosure Agreement

## **KEDYCLED**

# Exhibit 2 - Execution Copy of Agreement

# Exhibit 3 - Email correspondence from AT&T to Intrado Comm

# Exhibit 4 - Email correspondence from Intrado Comm to AT&T

# Exhibit 5 - Email correspondence from AT&T to Intrado Comm

# Exhibit 6 - Email correspondence from Intrado Comm to AT&T

# Exhibit 7 - Direct Testimony of Thomas W. Hicks

# **PUBLIC VERSION**

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaint of Intrado Communications Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida and Request for Expedited DATED: May 14, 2010 Treatment

	DOCKET	NO.	
۱			

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS W. HICKS ON BEHALF OF INTRADO COMMUNICATIONS INC.

1	SEC	TION I: INTRODUCTION
2	Q:	MR. HICKS, PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, TITLE, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS
3		FOR THE RECORD.
4	A:	My name is Thomas W. Hicks. My business address is 1601 Dry Creek Drive, Longmont,
5		CO, 80503. I am employed by Intrado Inc. as Director - Carrier Relations. I also serve as
6		the Director - Carrier Relations for Intrado Inc.'s telecommunications affiliate, Intrado
7		Communications Inc. ("Intrado Comm"), which is certified as a competitive local exchange
8		carrier ("CLEC") in Florida.
9	Q:	MR. HICKS, PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES FOR INTRADO
10		COMM.
11	A:	I am responsible for Intrado Comm's carrier relations with incumbent local exchange carriers
12		("ILECs") such as BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida ("AT&T"),
13		CLECs, wireless providers, and Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP") service providers.
14	Q:	MR. HICKS, PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
15		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
16	A:	I joined Intrado Comm in 2004. Prior to that, I worked for Verizon in various technical and
17		managerial positions for 33 years. For over 10 years at Verizon, I was responsible for
18		administration and engineering support of 911 network and data services nationwide. In my

1		final three years at Verizon as a Senior Engineer, I coordinated the company's wireless Phase
2		I and Phase II implementations across the country, which required wireless carriers to
3		provide public safety answering points ("PSAPs") with caller location information and call
4		back numbers in accordance with Federal Communications Commission ("FCC")
5		requirements. I received a "President's Award" for leading Verizon's (formerly GTE's)
6		reengineering team in replacing and updating its nationwide 911 systems. My work
7		experience also includes project management at Sonus (formerly Telecom Technologies,
8		Inc.) for softswitch media gateway development. I attended Indiana University - Purdue
9		University in Fort Wayne, Indiana. I hold an Associate's Degree in GTE Telops.
10	Q:	MR. HICKS, PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND
11		PARTICIPATION IN INDUSTRY ASSOCIATIONS.
12	A:	On February 22, 2010, the FCC invited me to serve on the Best Practices Implementation
13		Working Group (Working Group 6) of the Communications, Security, Reliability and
14		Interoperability Council (CSRIC). CSRIC is a federal advisory committee established to
15		provide recommendations to the FCC to ensure optimal security, reliability and
16		interoperability of communications systems, including public safety, telecommunications and
17		media communications. I am currently serving as Chairperson for the Working Group 6 sub-
18		team chartered to prioritize E911 and physical security best practices and define methods to
19		determine the level of industry adoption of best practices deemed critical to network
20		reliability. The Best Practices Implementation Working Group will develop options and
21		recommendations for CSRIC's consideration regarding the best practices for each
22		communication industry segment that should be implemented by communications service
23		providers in order to enhance the security, reliability, operability and resiliency of

1		communications infrastructures. I am a former National Emergence	y Number Association
2		("NENA") emergency number professional, and have served on ser	veral industry standards
3		bodies for 911, including participating in the Alliance for Telecom	nunications Industries
4		Solutions ("ATIS") Emergency Service Interconnection Forum ("E	SIF") public safety
5		communications standards development efforts since 1999. I am a	recipient of the NENA
6		Lifetime Membership Award for contributing to and leading indust	ry and association efforts
7		that led to the creation of FCC Docket No. 94-102, which addresses	s wireless E911
8		requirements. In 2008, I was awarded the 2008 ATIS Outstanding	Contributions Award
9		during the ATIS Annual Meeting for my contributions to the creation	on of pANI administration
10		guidelines for industry.	
11	Q:	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?	
12	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Florida Public Service	ce Commission
13		("Commission") with information regarding ***START CONFID	ENTIAL***1
14			,
15			***END
16		CONFIDENTIAL***	
17	<u>SEC</u>	TION II: BACKGROUND OF DISPUTE	
18	Q:	***START CONFIDENTIAL***	•
19			
20	A:		
21		e /	
22			1

.1		•							. +	•
2		•				· · · ·		1		
3	Q:									
4										
5										
6	A:									
7									,	
8		:								
9										
10										
11										
12	Q:									
13	A:									
14										
15										
16										
17		•								
18	Q:									
19	A:								•	
20										
21										
22 23	Q:		7. · • • •	· · ·	,		** .			
`,			,					•		

4

1	A:	
. 2		), and the second of the secon
3	Q:	
4		
5	A:	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	Q:	
11	A:	
12		;
13		.***END CONFIDENTIAL***
14	Q:	HOW DOES THE LACK OF AN AGREEMENT WITH AT&T AFFECT
15		INTRADO COMM?
16	A:	Intrado Comm needs to interconnect its network with AT&T's network in order to
17		provide services to Florida customers. Without the agreement currently at issue between
18	•	the parties, Intrado Comm cannot provide services to customers in AT&T's service
19		territory.
20	Q:	DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
21	A:	Yes.