#### **VOTE SHEET**

### July 13, 2010

**Docket No. 100313-WS** – Application for authority to collect non-sufficient funds charges, pursuant to Sections 68.065 and 832.08(5), F.S., by Utilities, Inc.

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should UI's request for approval of an NSF fee be granted?

Recommendation: Yes. UI's requested NSF fee should be approved. The NSF fee should be effective on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Furthermore, the fees should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The Utility should provide proof of the date the notice was given no less than 10 days after the date of the notice.

## **APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

#### **COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES**

<b>MAJORITY</b>	<b>DISSENTING</b>
ne of the	
8. Jan	
Jan Eco	

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** 

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05759 JUL 13º

Vote Sheet

. July 13, 2010

Docket No. 100313-WS – Application for authority to collect non-sufficient funds charges, pursuant to Sections 68.065 and 832.08(5), F.S., by Utilities, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 2:** Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** No. If a protest is filed by a substantially affected person within 21 days of issuance of the order, the tariffs should remain in effect, with any revenues held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket will become final upon the issuance of a consummating order. However, this docket should remain open to allow staff to verify that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by UI and approved by staff. Once staff has verified that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by UI and approved, the docket should be closed administratively.

# **APPROVED**