STATE OF FLORIDA



GENERAL COUNSEL S. CURTIS KISER (850) 413-6199

RECEIVED-EPSO

10 DEC 15 PM 3: 30

COMMISSION

Hublic Service Commission

December 15, 2010

James D. Beasley, Esq. J. Jeffry Wahlen, Esq. Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST

Re: Docket No. 100435-EG – Petition for approval of extending the small general service price responsive load management program pilot, by Tampa Electric Company.

Dear Mr. Beasley:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Tampa Electric Company (TECO) provide responses to the following data requests.

Questions 1 through 3 contain tables which reflect figures from TECO's December 7, 2010 responses to staff's First and Supplemental First Data Requests.

Based on TECO's responses, it appears that TECO has already overspent in 3 categories 1. (Marketing, Installation & Maintenance, and Equipment). Please provide a clear and detailed justification for the current expenditures being over the initially approved amounts for Marketing, Installation & Maintenance, and Equipment.

Category	Initially	Expended to	Remaining Current	Current	Term
	Approved	Date	Term Expenditures	Totals	
Marketing	\$750	\$2,951	\$0	\$2,951	
Installation & Maintenance	\$27,560	\$39,782	\$500	\$40,282	
Equipment	\$36,013	\$55,288	\$0	\$55,288	

- 2. The additional \$10,000 for Installation & Maintenance and the additional \$10,000 for Equipment that TECO is now requesting would bring the total amount in each category to almost twice the originally estimated costs.
 - Please provide a clear and detailed explanation of the reasons that these costs are a. expected to be higher than the originally estimated amount.

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

James D. Beasley, Esq. J. Jeffry Wahlen, Esq. Page 2 December 15, 2010

- b. What equipment remains to be purchased?
- c. What remains to be installed?

Category	Initially Approved	Current Term Totals	Projected Additional Expenditures	Expected Total Expenditures
Installation & Maintenance	\$27,560	\$40,282	\$10,000	\$50,282
Equipment	\$36,013	\$55,288	\$10,000	\$65,288

3. TECO is now requesting \$56,000 in additional payroll expenses for an additional 6 months, when slightly less than \$35,000 will be expended on payroll for the first 18 months. Please provide a clear and detailed explanation of the reasons for these costs being less than expected for the first 18 months and then being relatively high for the next 6 months.

Category	Initially Approved	Current Totals	Term	Projected Additional Expenditures	Expected Total Expenditures
Payroll	\$67,677	\$34,357		\$56,000	\$90,357

- 4. Please provide a detailed explanation of the work performed by University of South Florida in this program.
 - a. Are they currently collecting data?
 - b. Have they provided any analysis or reporting to date?
 - c. Is their work completed?
 - d. If not, what remains to be done?
 - e. How is the work performed by University of South Florida different from the "additional company monitoring and evaluation of program results" to be performed by TECO?

James D. Beasley, Esq. J. Jeffry Wahlen, Esq. Page 3 December 15, 2010

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information no later than Wednesday, December 29, 2010, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6185 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lee Eng Tan

Senior Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

TLT/gdr

cc: Office of Commission Clerk

Paula Brown, Tampa Electric Company

Division of Regulatory Analysis (Traci Matthews)