

COMMISSIONERS:  
RONALD A. BRISÉ, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
ART GRAHAM  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF SAFETY, RELIABILITY &  
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE  
DANIEL M. HOPPE, DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6480

FILED 4/26/2011  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-2011  
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

## Public Service Commission

April 20, 2012

Mr. Al Uber  
2080 NW 118<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Plantation, FL 33323

RE: PSC Inquiry 1061211C

110009-EX

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
\_\_\_Administrative\_\_\_ Parties ☒ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Dear Mr. Uber:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your March 25, 2012, correspondence regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has authority in the telephone industry which is limited to the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. We appreciate the opportunity to assist you.

You expressed concerns about FPL's storm surcharge. The PSC conducted four customer service hearings from March 1, 2006, to March 3, 2006, in connection with Docket Number 060038-EI regarding FPL's petition for issuance of a storm recovery financing order related to the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons. The customer service hearings were held in Fort Myers, Fort Lauderdale, West Palm Beach, and Miami. During the course of the docket proceedings, the PSC also received customer comments by telephone, fax, mail, and email.

On May 16, 2006, the PSC approved a 12-year bond issue, the first approved under a law passed in 2005, to reimburse Florida Power & Light for hurricane losses incurred in 2004 and 2005. The PSC set FPL's recovery at \$708 million, which was a reduction on the requested amount of \$1.050 billion.

On May 22, 2007, the PSC announced the successful sale of \$652 million FPL storm recovery bonds. The bonds were purchased by Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC with an interest rate of 5.23 percent. The bonds are rated Aaa by Moody's, AAA by Standard & Poor's and AAA by Fitch Ratings.

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: <http://www.floridapsc.com>

Internet E-mail: [contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us)

The PSC authorized the securitization financing technique on May 15, 2006, and consistent with its statutory responsibility issued a comprehensive financing order detailing the terms of the bond issuance including a “lowest cost objective.” The PSC included this provision to achieve the lowest storm recovery charges consistent with the law and the prevailing market conditions at the time of the offering and pricing of the bonds.

As required by the PSC’s financing order, a Bond Team was established, comprised of representatives of FPL, the PSC, and both their designated advisors and legal counsel. The Bond Team took the following actions to protect FPL’s customers and achieve a successful result:

- **Received an assurance from FPL that the storm recovery bonds would price at least as well as the most recent and most favorable transactions in this asset class. Specifically, FPL’s storm recovery bonds priced more favorably than both the 2006 American Electric Power securitization transaction in Texas and the 2007 Monongahela Power securitization transaction in West Virginia.**
- **Developed rating agency presentations and worked actively with the rating agencies to achieve Aaa and AAA ratings from the major rating agencies.**
- **Solicited a wide range of potential bidders to pursue competitive pricing for the bonds.**
- **Held group conference calls for potential bidders to describe the legislative, political and regulatory framework and the bond structure.**

The bonds’ scheduled maturity is August 1, 2019. The legal maturity is August 1, 2021. However, the bonds may be paid more swiftly. The PSC receives and reviews monthly progress reports from FPL on its bond repayment schedule.

You expressed a concern about FPL’s petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants. To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10-19, 2011, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants for FPL. FPL’s approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its planned Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 and adding capacity to existing Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. Over the life of the generating units, the additional capacity projects are expected to save customers an estimated



Mr. Al Uber  
Page 3  
April 20, 2012

\$155 million to \$1.5 billion. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,614 MW of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough energy to power 1.4 million homes. I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket Nos. 110009-EI and 120009-EI and regarding FPL's petition for nuclear cost recovery


You also expressed a concern about FPL's petition for a rate increase. I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 120015-EI regarding FPL's petition for an increase in its base rate.

Finally, you expressed a concern about smart meters, which are replacing traditional analog meters. Smart meters are similarly designed to record a customer's electricity consumption in order to accurately bill the customer. Smart meters, however, allow utilities to collect a customer's electricity consumption data remotely through radio frequency. FPSC rules ensure these meters are accurate and the Federal Communications Commission establishes standards for radio frequency.

Complaints serve as a valuable source of information; therefore, your complaint will remain on file with the PSC. We monitor complaints very closely and track any trends which indicate there may be a problem and further action is needed.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,



Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability &  
Consumer Assistance

RR:mep

Utilities  
CAS-Jenn

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR  
OFFICE

March 25, 2012

12 MAR 30 AM 10:20

Governor Rick Scott  
400 S. Munroe St.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Governor Scott:

I am writing to complain about the lack of a response from Florida Public Service Commission.

I wrote to them in November of last year and they ignored me. I have enclosed a copy of the letter.

I am writing to them again about Florida Power & Light's proposed rate increase.

An email response is OK with me ([alcynn@comcast.net](mailto:alcynn@comcast.net)).

I will enclose a copy of this letter also.

Sincerely:



Al Uber  
2080 NW 118<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
Plantation, FL 33323

March 25, 2012

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32390

Dear Florida Public Service Commission:

FP&L DOES NOT DESERVE A RATE INCREASE.

As a captive consumer for FP&L I do not want to pay for any part of their new plants.....unless we get part of their profits as a rate decrease.

We have been paying those turkeys millions over the last few years as a Storm Charge. WHY???

FP&L also makes millions from street lamps that are burned out and not consuming electricity. They have a flat fee with the cities and they get paid even when they are burned out. Our area had 30% of the street lamps burned out until I wrote to the PSC a couple of years back. I was given an email contact from FP&L and now the lamps are repaired in a reasonable time frame.

FP&L has a page on their website to deal with street lamps. However, they will ignore your entries. I know because I tried it. They also want to know how many times you entered a burned out lamp. That question should indicate to anyone who thinks logically that they will delay repair for as long as possible.

When you consider that 20% to 30% of the street lamps are burned out in most of their service area, they are making a lot of money that they shouldn't be.

FP&L has also wasted millions on Smart Meters that are not in the best interest of the consumer.

I believe FP&L should be awarded a RATE DECREASE.

Sincerely,



Al Uber  
2080 NW 118<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
Plantation, FL 33323

9543268813

alcynn@comcast.net

November 5, 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32390

Dear Florida Public Service Commission:

I was disappointed to hear that you have allowed FPL to bill us for building a plant.

If we have to pay for this plant, I would expect to get a share of the revenue this plant generates.

FPL Q-1 profits are up 7%.

FPL is still billing us a storm charge from 2005!!! WHY???

FPL attempted to gouge us for 30% a short while back.

FPL is an untrustworthy company that should be monitored closely.

We deserve a 30% decrease in rates.

Can you do something about these issues?

Sincerely,

Al Uber  
2080 NW 118<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
Plantation, FL 33323

9543268813

## Eric Fryson

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, March 12, 2012 11:18 AM  
**To:** Eric Fryson  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009  
customer correspondence

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>02860-11</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Monday, March 12, 2012 11:10 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1056196C. DHood

---

**From:** linda blythe [mailto:linda.blythe@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 11, 2012 9:17 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** st. pete times special report

I've just finished ready this report and am seeing RED!...how dare you not KILL the advance fee for the Levy project immediately??? do it and DO IT NOW! I'm going to see if I can't file a class action lawsuit against each and every one of you and Progress Energy if you don't do something about this raping of florida citizens to line your pockets and the pockets of Progress Energy. also i'm going to forward this story to national newspapers and media outlets like nbc's Dateline.

L. Blythe

3/12/2012

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FROM THE OFFICE OF  
***Chairman Art Graham***

December 30, 2011

TO: Ann Cole

RE: Resolution from the Town Council of Hypoluxo, Florida  
Regarding Early Cost Recovery for Nuclear Plants

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 DEC 30 PM 3:03  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Please place the attached resolution in docket  
correspondence - consumers and their representatives in  
Docket #110009.

cc: Commissioner Lisa P. Edgar  
Commissioner Ronald A. Brisé  
Commissioner Eduardo E. Balbis  
Commissioner Julie I. Brown  
Braulio Baez  
Curt Kiser

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE


☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11

DISTRIBUTION:

TOWN OF HYPOLUXO

RESOLUTION NO. 11-403



A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF HYPOLUXO, FLORIDA, URGING THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TO DENY APPROVAL OF ANY ADDITIONAL "EARLY COST RECOVERY" AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REPEAL THE "EARLY COST RECOVERY" STATUTE RELATING TO ELECTRIC UTILITY CHARGES FOR THE SITING, DESIGN, LICENSING AND CONSTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS PROVIDING FOR TRANSMITTAL; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**WHEREAS**, in 2006, the Florida Legislature adopted Section 366.93, Florida Statutes, to allow electric utilities to charge customers in advance of operation for the costs of planning, lobbying for and constructing nuclear power plants (known as "Early Cost Recovery"); and

**WHEREAS**, the Early Cost Recovery statute allows a utility to impose and collect a charge, yet never complete the project, thus charging the rate payer for improvements and infrastructure that may never be provided; and

**WHEREAS**, Florida Power and Light ("FPL") has currently charged, collected from its customers and spent approximately \$268 million in Early Cost Recovery funds and is seeking approval from the Public Service Commission for an additional \$186 million in 2012 for new nuclear-related projects, including the construction of two additional nuclear reactors at Turkey Point in south Miami-Dade County, for which it never received approval for a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and

**WHEREAS**, the additional funds requested by FPL may represent a dramatic increase in the customer's monthly utility bill at a time when consumers are struggling to pay current bills; and

**WHEREAS**, FPL executives have stated that nuclear reactors are too expensive to build, yet FPL continues to collect money from rate payers under Early Cost Recovery to finance other infrastructure projects listed under FPL's Nuclear Combined Operating License Application; and

**WHEREAS**, publicly traded utilities receiving money from rate payers under Early Cost Recovery are not obligated to pay back funds received if their nuclear generation projects are not completed; and

**WHEREAS**, publicly traded utilities receiving money from rate payers under Early Cost Recovery can and do use those moneys to lobby and litigate against the public to pursue projects the rate payers oppose; and



1       **WHEREAS**, improvements in domestic energy efficiency are the best energy  
2 investments available, are carbon-free, safe and can provide more jobs to Floridians  
3 than any other investment, yet Early Cost Recovery monies are not permissible for such  
4 investments; and  
5

6       **WHEREAS**, nuclear reactors financed through Early Cost Recovery have  
7 become the most expensive way to produce electricity; and  
8

9       **WHEREAS**, the nuclear reactor designs currently being financed through Early  
10 Cost Recovery have never been deployed and may pose unforeseen risks to citizens of  
11 the southeastern United States.  
12

13       **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN**  
14 **OF HYPOLUXO, FLORIDA, THAT:**  
15

16       **Section 1.** The Town Council hereby urges the Florida Public Service  
17 Commission to deny approval to FPL for any additional Early Cost Recovery funding.  
18

19       **Section 2.** The Town Council hereby urges the Florida Legislature to repeal  
20 Section 366.93, Florida Statutes, relating to Early Cost Recovery.  
21

22       **Section 3.** The Town Council hereby requests that this Resolution be sent  
23 directly to: the Governor of Florida; the President of the Florida Senate; the Speaker of  
24 the Florida House; the Chairman of the Florida Public Service Commission and the  
25 Palm Beach County Legislative Delegation.  
26

27       **Section 4.** This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.  
28

29       **RESOLVED AND ADOPTED** this 21<sup>st</sup> day of Dec., 2011.  
30

31                                   **TOWN OF HYPOLUXO, FLORIDA**


32  
33 BY:

  
Kenneth M. Schultz, Mayor  
34  
35

36 ATTEST:

37   
38  
39 Barbara Lee Searls, Town Clerk  
40

41 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL  
42 SUFFICIENCY:

43   
44  
45 Leonard G. Rubin, Town Attorney  
46  
47

	<b>VOTE:</b>	<b>AYE:</b>	<b>NAY:</b>	<b>ABSENT:</b>
1				
2				
3	VICE MAYOR MICHAEL C. BROWN	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
4				
5	COUNCILMEMBER KAREN C. MILLER	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
6				
7	COUNCILMEMBER DANIEL L. MONAHAN	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
8				
9	COUNCILMEMBER WILLIAM J. SMITH	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>
10				
11	COUNCILMEMBER RICHARD J. RONEY	<u>✓</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>

COMMISSIONERS:  
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE L. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF SAFETY, RELIABILITY &  
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE  
DANIEL M. HOPPE, DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6480

# Public Service Commission

December 29, 2011

Mr. Fred Palensar  
4040 Kibler Lane  
Holiday, FL 34691

RE: PSC Inquiry 1045946C

Dear Mr. Palensar:

FPSC, CLK		CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 DEC 30 AM 8:30  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your correspondence regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about nuclear cost recovery and requested closure of the Crystal River nuclear plant.

By Florida Statute and established Commission policy, electric utilities may recover certain expenses from customers through cost recovery charges adjusted annually by the PSC. Cost recovery is allowed on fuel and purchased power, capacity (including nuclear), conservation, and environmental requirements. Utilities may not, however, make a profit on fuel charges.

On November 22, 2011, the PSC approved Progress Energy's petition to recover replacement power costs for its Crystal River 3 (CR3) nuclear plant outage, subject to refund, and the PSC also approved environmental recovery costs for the utility's self-generated replacement power resulting from the extended outage.

At a hearing in early November, the PSC deferred a decision on Progress Energy's 2012 cost recovery amounts to allow time for all parties to file comments on the utility's CR3 replacement fuel costs. A separate hearing is scheduled for June 11, 2012 to determine whether Progress Energy's decisions on, or associated with, the CR3 steam generator repairs leading up to the October 2, 2009 delamination and resulting outage were reasonable and prudent.

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: <http://www.floridapsc.com>


Internet E-mail: [contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us)

Mr. Fred Palensar  
Page 2  
December 29, 2011

We appreciate your comments regarding the petitions and will add your correspondence to Docket Nos. 110009-EI and 100437-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Randy Roland", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability &  
Consumer Assistance

RR:mep



TAMPAFL 032  
 SAINT PETERSBURG FL  
 12 DEC 2011 17:10Z



OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR  
CITIZEN SERVICES

21 DEC 15 AM 10:00

RECEIVED  
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

11 DEC 14 AM 9:27

329953899

*Lullululu llll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll ll*



From The Desk Of  
Fred Palensar  
Att. Gen. Lett, Palensar

I am writing the letter to you  
very late you also know the  
wheat plant at central river  
since Progress Energy down  
they have the right to do as they  
please & destroy as they wish  
any place & leave the people  
for their mistakes; it is plain in  
human law that they cannot repair it  
lets not leave the people of  
our great nation for the mistakes

Direct of  
Fred Palmer  
400 Kildare  
Holiday Inn 346 91

*"Veterans Serving Veterans"*





UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 15, 2011

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 DEC 20 PM 3:57  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Ms. Ann Cole  
Director, Office of the Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
240 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

110009-EI

FPSC, CLK	CORRESPONDENCE
Administrative <input type="checkbox"/>	Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11	
DISTRIBUTION:	

SUBJECT: NOTIFICATION OF THE AVAILABILITY OF THE COMBINED LICENSE  
APPLICATION FOR LEVY NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 IN ACCORDANCE  
WITH 10 CFR 50.43 REQUIREMENTS

Dear Ms. Cole:

On July 28, 2008, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (PEF), tendered a combined license (COL) application for two AP1000 advanced passive pressurized water reactors to be located in Levy County, Florida. The reactors are to be identified as Levy Nuclear Plant (LNP) Units 1 and 2. The application is currently under review by the NRC staff.

In that July 28, 2008, letter, PEF stated that it was submitting the COL application pursuant to the requirements of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants," Subpart C, "Combined Licenses," and requesting the associated materials licenses under 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, and 70. In addition, PEF stated that the COL application incorporates by reference Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 52 and the Westinghouse Electric Corporation's (Westinghouse's) application for amendment to portions of the Design Control Document (DCD) Revision 16 (submitted May 26, 2007), including Westinghouse Technical Report APP-GW-GLR-134, Revision 1, "AP1000 DCD Impacts to Support COLA Standardization" (submitted December 12, 2007). Since the initial submission of the COL application, PEF has updated it to reference Revision 19 of the AP1000 DCD amendment application.

In accordance with the requirements found in 10 CFR 50.43(a)(1), the staff is providing this written notification to you because the staff has determined that your agency may have jurisdiction over the rates and services that are incident to the possible licensing by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission of LNP Units 1 and 2.

A copy of the application is available on the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/col.html>. The application is also available via the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Other publicly available documents related to the application are also posted in ADAMS.

A. Cole

- 2 -

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (301) 415-9967 or by email at [Brian.Anderson@nrc.gov](mailto:Brian.Anderson@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "B. Anderson", followed by a long horizontal line.

Brian Anderson, Senior Project Manager  
Licensing Branch 4  
Division of New Reactor Licensing  
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-029 and 52-030

cc w/encl: See next page



cc:

Ms. Michele Boyd  
Legislative Director  
Energy Program  
Public Citizens Critical Mass Energy  
and Environmental Program  
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE  
Washington, DC 20003

Ms. Georgia Cranmore  
Assistant Regional Administrator  
NOAA Fisheries Southeast Regional Office  
9721 Executive Center Drive North  
Saint Petersburg, FL 33702

Mr. John Elnitsky  
Vice President  
Nuclear Plant Development  
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.  
P.O. Box 14042  
Saint Petersburg, FL 33733

## COL - Progress Energy - Levy County Mailing List

### Email

APH@NEI.org (Adrian Heymer)  
awc@nei.org (Anne W. Cottingham)  
Bill.Jacobs@gdsassociates.com (Bill Jacobs)  
billn@fcan.org (Bill Newton)  
brian.mccabe@pgnmail.com (Brian McCabe)  
BrinkmCB@westinghouse.com (Charles Brinkman)  
chris.burton@pgnmail.com (Chris Burton)  
CumminWE@Westinghouse.com (Edward W. Cummins)  
cwaltman@roe.com (C. Waltman)  
david.lewis@pillsburylaw.com (David Lewis)  
david.waters@pgnmail.com (Dave Waters)  
Derlinda.Bailey@chguernsey.com (Derinda Bailey)  
ed.burns@earthlink.net (Ed Burns)  
gzinke@entergy.com (George Alan Zinke)  
jim.riccio@wdc.greenpeace.org (James Riccio)  
joe.w.donahue@pgnmail.com (Joe Donahue)  
john.elnitsky@pgnmail.com (John Elnitsky)  
Joseph\_Hegner@dom.com (Joseph Hegner)  
KSutton@morganlewis.com (Kathryn M. Sutton)  
kwaugh@impact-net.org (Kenneth O. Waugh)  
lchandler@morganlewis.com (Lawrence J. Chandler)  
levynuke@ecologyparty.org (Cara Campbell)  
Marc.Brooks@dhs.gov (Marc Brooks)  
maria.webb@pillsburylaw.com (Maria Webb)  
mark.beaumont@wsms.com (Mark Beaumont)  
Mark.Crisp@chguernsey.com (Mark Crisp)  
maryo@nirs.org (Mary Olson)  
matias.travieso-diaz@pillsburylaw.com (Matias Travieso-Diaz)  
media@nei.org (Scott Peterson)  
Mike.Halpin@dep.state.fl.us (Mike Halpin)  
MSF@nei.org (Marvin Fertel)  
nirsnet@nirs.org (Michael Mariotte)  
Nuclaw@mindspring.com (Robert Temple)  
patriciaL.campbell@ge.com (Patricia L. Campbell)  
Paul@beyondnuclear.org (Paul Gunter)  
pbessette@morganlewis.com (Paul Bessette)  
RJB@NEI.org (Russell Bell)  
robert.kitchen@pgnmail.com (Robert H. Kitchen)  
ronald\_m\_bright@bellsouth.net (Ronald Bright)  
sabinski@suddenlink.net (Steve A. Bennett)  
sandra.sloan@areva.com (Sandra Sloan)  
sfrantz@morganlewis.com (Stephen P. Frantz)  
stephan.moen@ge.com (Stephan Moen)  
Tansel.Selekler@nuclear.energy.gov (Tansel Selekle)

COL - Progress Energy - Levy County Mailing List

twinkletoesdms@aol.com (Robert and Deborah Smith)  
Vanessa.quinn@dhs.gov (Vanessa Quinn)

**Catherine Potts**

**From:** Catherine Potts  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 2:40 PM  
**To:** Hong Wang; Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Emails for Docket Nos. 110001-EI, 110009-EI, and 100437-EI.

**Attachments:** RE: Progress Energy's "Proposed" 3% Increase; FW: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



RE:

FW:

**Energy's \* Inquiry -**

Thank you for this information. This has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110001-EI, 110009-EI, and 100437-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 2:34 PM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Emails for Docket Nos. 110001-EI, 110009-EI, and 100437-EI.

Please handle. Thank!

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 2:33 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Emails for Docket Nos. 110001-EI, 110009-EI, and 100437-EI.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket Nos. 110001-EI, 110009-EI, and 100437-EI.

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 11:46 AM  
**To:** Kathy Skawinski  
**Subject:** RE: Progress Energy's "Proposed" 3% Increase

Dear Ms. Skawinski:

Thank you for contacting Governor Rick Scott and sharing your concerns about Progress Energy. The Governor appreciates your concerns and asked me to respond on his behalf.

As you know, the agency that regulates certain public utilities is the Public Service Commission (PSC), which is an arm of the legislative branch of government. To assist you, I forwarded a copy of your letter to the Public Service Commission for their review. If you want to contact the PSC directly please call 1-800-342-3552 or contact them by using the information provided below.

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

The views and concerns of every citizen are very important to Governor Scott. You requested competition in the electric industry in Florida. Florida is not a deregulated state. It would be up to the Florida Legislature to make changes in the Florida Statutes to deregulate the electric industry.

You can influence legislation on this issue by contacting your local legislative delegation. To contact your legislators and track bills as they proceed through the legislative process, please visit [www.leg.state.fl.us](http://www.leg.state.fl.us). The Division of Legislative Information Services is also a helpful resource for information on legislation. That office can be contacted by writing to the address below.

The Division of Legislative Information Services  
111 West Madison Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400  
Toll-free 1(800) 342-1827

The Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) may be able to assist you with your home energy bill. The LIHEAP assists households that have incomes below 150% of the federal poverty income guidelines and need assistance in paying their utility bills. To qualify

you must apply in the county in which you live. Please visit the Department of Economic Opportunity website for answers to questions about the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program and contact information for the service provider in your county. This information may be found at <http://www.floridajobs.org/job-seekers-community-services/community-services/low-income-home-energy-assistance-program>. If you do not have access to the Internet, please call the Department of Economic Opportunity at (850) 717-8450 for additional information.

Thank you again for taking the time to contact the Governor's office.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Britt  
Office of Citizen Services

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathy Skawinski [mailto:skawin@aol.com]  
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2011 10:48 AM  
To: Governor Rick Scott  
Subject: Progress Energy's "Proposed" 3% Increase

From: Kathy Skawinski <skawin@aol.com>

County: Orange

Zip Code: 34786-8800

Message Body: Dear Governor Scott,

The Florida Public Service Commission will undoubtedly approve yet another rate increase (3% on fuel??) for Progress Energy taking effect next month!! Progress Energy charges a whopping \$8.76/month customer charge, which is the highest of all energy companies in the State. We need choice when it comes to our utility companies. We are sick and tired of the constant price gouging that goes on at Progress Energy.

Thank you for your attention...and keep up the good work for our State.

Kathy Skawinski

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 2:08 PM  
**To:** 'skawin@aol.com'  
**Subject:** FW: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated  
**Attachments:** 25-6.100.doc



25-6.100.  
doc (30 KB)

Ms. Kathy Skawinski  
skawin@aol.com

Dear Ms. Skawinski:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's fuel cost.

By Florida Statute and established Commission policy, electric utilities may recover certain expenses from customers through cost recovery charges adjusted annually by the PSC. Cost recovery is allowed on fuel and purchased power, capacity (including nuclear), conservation, and environmental requirements. Utilities may not, however, make a profit on fuel charges.

On November 22, 2011, the PSC approved Progress Energy's petition to recover replacement power costs for its Crystal River 3 (CR3) nuclear plant outage, subject to refund, and the PSC also approved environmental recovery costs for the utility's self-generated replacement power resulting from the extended outage.

At a hearing in early November, the PSC deferred a decision on Progress Energy's 2012 cost recovery amounts to allow time for all parties to file comments on the utility's CR3 replacement fuel costs. A separate hearing is scheduled for June 11, 2012 to determine whether Progress Energy's decisions on, or associated with, the CR3 steam generator repairs leading up to the October 2, 2009 delamination and resulting outage were



reasonable and prudent.

Starting in January 2012, monthly bill charges for Progress Energy's residential customers using 1,000 kilowatt hours will increase from \$119.34 to \$123.19, a change of \$3.85.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket Nos. 110001-EI, 110009-EI, and 100437-EI.

You also expressed a concern about the customer charge. The costs associated with the customer charge can be classified as either customer accounting costs or fixed operating expenses incurred in providing certain transmission and distribution facilities. For instance, meter reading and customer billing are done monthly regardless of the customer's kilowatt-hour consumption level. As a result, Progress Energy bills the usage separately, as this amount may fluctuate each month. Other expenses included are depreciation on certain utility installed equipment such as meters, distribution lines from the pole to the customer's premises, line transformers, and other expenses incurred in the maintenance and operation of these items.

Progress Energy's tariff, 6.120, allows the company to bill a monthly customer charge of \$8.76 to your residential account. You may review the company's tariff by using the following link:

<https://www.progress-energy.com/assets/www/docs/company/RS-1.pdf>

Rule 25-6.100, Florida Administrative Code, requires electric utilities to bill the customer charge separately from the energy charge. I have also enclosed a copy of the rule for your review.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland

Regulatory Program Administrator

Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Catherine Potts  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 2:14 PM  
**To:** Hong Wang; Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Emails for Docket Nos. 110009-EI

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
Administrative Parties ✓ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachments:** FW: [Spam:\*\*\*\*\* SpamScore] Re: Governor Rick Scott's Weekly Newsletter - December 9, 2011; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



FW: *Consume*  
\*\* *SpamScry - Progr*

Thank you for this information. This has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 1:54 PM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Emails for Docket Nos. 110009-EI

Please handle. Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 1:17 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Emails for Docket Nos. 110009-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.

## Catherine Potts

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 11:07 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Subject:** FW: [Spam:\*\*\*\*\* SpamScore] Re: Governor Rick Scott's Weekly Newsletter - December 9, 2011

---

**From:** David Pachucki [mailto:ppapadave@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 09, 2011 9:28 PM  
**To:** Governor Rick Scott  
**Subject:** [Spam:\*\*\*\*\* SpamScore] Re: Governor Rick Scott's Weekly Newsletter - December 9, 2011

When is the Governor going to investigate Progress Energy Rate increase for the Crystal River Nuclear Power Plant.  
Why was Progress Energy granted a RATE INCREASE?

-----Original Message-----

From: Governor Rick Scott <rick.scott@eog.myflorida.com>  
To: ppapadave <ppapadave@aol.com>  
Sent: Fri, Dec 9, 2011 4:15 pm  
Subject: Governor Rick Scott's Weekly Newsletter - December 9, 2011

[View this Email as a Webpage](#)

12/12/2011

## Education and Jobs Top Governor Scott's Budget Priorities


This week, I unveiled my budget recommendations for the 2012 - 2013 fiscal year. This budget year, Florida faced some significant education funding challenges. In addition to the loss of federal one-time stimulus funds for education:

- Florida gained 30,000 more students that will require almost \$200 million more in state funding.
- Florida faces a \$400 million reduction in ad valorem taxes, due to declining property values, and one-time state education funding.

I toured the state and spoke with educators, parents and students and heard them say that in spite of the education shortfall we face, our top priority in this budget should be state funding for K-12 education. This budget submitted to the legislature this week includes:

- Over \$1 billion in new state funds versus last year.
- Increases per student funding to \$6,372.
- The 3rd largest education budget in Florida history.
- And this budget increases per student funding versus last year even though we have 30,000 more children in our public school system and less local funding due to declining property values.

I will not sign into law any budget that does not contain more state dollars for education than we have this year. To everyone who has shared their concerns: Thank you taking time to share your ideas with me. It has been very meaningful and important to me to listen to all of you and hear your concerns and priorities.



*Tell your friends!*



***Promises Made, Promises Kept:***

**Education and Jobs Top Governor Scott's Budget Priorities**  
**Governor Scott, Lt. Governor Carroll, and First Lady Ann Scott**

## Announce 2012 Black History Month Contests


## Governor Scott's Weekly Radio Address

## Governor Scott and Colt's Manufacturing Co. Announce New Jobs Coming to Osceola County

## Florida's Natural Resources: The State's Economic Engine

## Florida breaks through 100,000 Jobs Barrier


---




Earlier this year, First Lady Ann Scott participated in the 2011 Read for the Record campaign in which children and adults join together in reading the same book on the same day. After much tallying, the campaign recently announced that it has broken a world reading record. More than two million people around the globe read *Llama, Llama Red Pajama* by Anna Dewdney on October 6, officially setting a new record and raising awareness for literacy and early education worldwide.



Mrs. Scott read the book with more than 100 kindergarteners all dressed in their pajamas at Central Elementary School in Clewiston.

First Lady Ann Scott is passionate about promoting reading, literacy, and early learning in the state of Florida. She is an avid reader and knows that books can have a profound and lasting impact. Throughout her first year as First Lady, Mrs. Scott has visited many schools across the state, hosted reading events with children at the Florida Governor's Mansion, promoted the work of Florida authors, and participated in a variety of literacy events.




On Wednesday, Lt. Governor Jennifer Carroll had the distinct honor to represent Governor Rick Scott and the people of Florida in honoring and remembering the 70th anniversary of the attack on Pearl Harbor. To commemorate the day, the Lt. Governor was joined by Pearl Harbor Survivors and Florida residents Chuck Ellis, Edmund Kmiec and Duane Reyelts at Naval Station Mayport aboard the USS Underwood. As Navy Veterans themselves, Governor Scott and Lt. Governor Carroll will always remember Pearl Harbor.





This message was sent to [ppapadave@aol.com](mailto:ppapadave@aol.com) from:

Executive Office of the Governor | 400 S Monroe St | Tallahassee, FL 32399



[Manage Your Subscription](#) | [Forward To a Friend](#)

▪

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Monday, December 12, 2011 1:15 PM  
**To:** 'ppapadave@aol.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Mr. David Pachucki  
ppapadave@aol.com

Dear Mr. Pachucki:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held this year on August 10 – 19, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 110009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.



Sincerely,

Randy Roland

Regulatory Program Administrator

Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, December 05, 2011 8:57 AM  
**To:** Katherine Fleming  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Thanks, Katherine. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Katherine Fleming  
**Sent:** Monday, December 05, 2011 8:43 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Kathleen Stewart  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK	CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11	
DISTRIBUTION: _____	

Ann,

Please place the attached email in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming  
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
(850) 413-6028 (Office)  
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gail McGlone [mailto:grmcglone@snail-mail.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, December 04, 2011 7:35 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Gail McGlone  
3510 Dellefield ST  
New pORT rICHEY, FL 34655-3107

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 3:28 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Emails for Docket Nos. 110009-EI & 100437-EI

**Attachments:** FW: rate hikes Pinellas Utilites and Progress Energy; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Corrected Copy)



FW: rate hikes Consumer  
Pinellas Utilit...uiry - Progress

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Dockets 110009-EI & 100437-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 3:19 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Emails for Docket Nos. 110009-EI & 100437-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket Nos. 110009-EI & 100437-EI.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 3:28 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Emails for Docket Nos. 110009-EI & 100437-EI

**Attachments:** FW: rate hikes Pinellas Utilites and Progress Energy; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Corrected Copy)




FW: rate hikes Consumer  
Pinellas Utilit...uiry - Progress

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Dockets 110009-EI & 100437-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 3:19 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Emails for Docket Nos. 110009-EI & 100437-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket Nos. 110009-EI & 100437-EI.

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 3:17 PM  
**To:** 'amp1153@tampabay.rr.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Corrected Copy)

Annette  
amp1153@tampabay.rr.com

Dear Annette:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy rates.

On November 22, 2011, the PSC approved Progress Energy's petition to recover replacement power costs for its Crystal River 3 (CR3) nuclear plant outage, subject to refund, and the PSC also approved environmental recovery costs for the utility's self-generated replacement power resulting from the extended outage.

At the hearing earlier this month, the PSC deferred a decision on Progress Energy's 2012 cost recovery amounts to allow time for all parties to file comments on the utility's CR3 replacement fuel costs. A separate hearing is scheduled for June 11, 2012 to determine whether Progress Energy's decisions on, or associated with, the CR3 steam generator repairs leading up to the October 2, 2009 delamination and resulting outage were reasonable and prudent.

Starting in January 2012, monthly bill charges for Progress Energy's residential customers using 1,000 kilowatt hours will increase from \$119.34 to \$123.19, a change of \$3.85.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket Nos. 110001-EI and 100437-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 2:00 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket No. 110009-EI.  
  
**Attachments:** FW: Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		



FW: Consumer  
Inquiry - Florida.

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 9:06 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket No. 110009-EI.

See attached reply from the customer for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 9:02 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket No. 110009-EI.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

> Starting in January 2012, a monthly electric bill for a FPL  
> residential customer using 1,000 kilowatt hours (kWh) will increase  
> from \$96.54 to \$99.04, a difference of \$2.50. The recovery amounts  
> included in a residential bill using 1,000 kWh will include fuel and  
> purchased power charges of \$37.96, capacity charges of \$9.69,  
> including nuclear, conservation charges of \$2.87; environmental  
> charges of \$1.92, and Gross Receipts Tax of \$2.48.  
>  
> I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No.  
> 110009-EI.  
>  
> If you have any questions, please contact Ellen Plendl at  
> 1-800-342-3552 or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.  
>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
>  
> Randy Roland  
> Regulatory Program Administrator  
> Division of Safety, Reliability& Consumer Assistance Florida Public  
> Service Commission  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>



**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 1:59 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket No. 110009-EI.

**Attachments:** RE: DON'T YOU READ WHAT YOUR CONSTITUENTS HAVE TO SAY?; Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company; Re: Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company; Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company



RE: DON'T Consumer Re: Consumer Consumer  
EAD WHAT YOUiry - Florida Poquiry - Florida,uiry - Florida P

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 9:02 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket No. 110009-EI.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** ULLERY.MELISSA.S04 [ULLERY.MELISSA.S04@flsenate.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2011 4:36 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Subject:** RE: DON'T YOU READ WHAT YOUR CONSTITUENTS HAVE TO SAY?

Hey Ellen,

I just spoke with Carlotta in the Director's office and she shared with me that you could assist me with the email below.

We have responded to her directly indicating that you would be in touch to discuss the surcharge increase being implemented by FPL. I hope that is okay.

If you need anything else, please let me know.

Thanks for your help with this. We really appreciate it!

Melissa Ullery  
Legislative Assistant  
Senator Don Gaetz  
District 4

4300 Legendary Drive, Suite 230  
Destin, Florida 32541  
Phone: (850) 897-5747  
Fax: (850) 833-3910

420 Senate Office Building  
404 South Monroe Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100  
(850) 487-5009

ullery.melissa.s04@flsenate.gov

To subscribe to Senator Gaetz's newsletters, please visit [www.senatordongaetzreports.com](http://www.senatordongaetzreports.com).

Please Note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Your e-mail messages may, therefore, be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2011 11:54 AM  
**Subject:** Re: DON'T YOU READ WHAT YOUR CONSTITUENTS HAVE TO SAY?  
**Importance:** High

Dear Senator Gaetz,

I have forwarded the original email. I'm objecting to the \$2.50/month surcharge that you're allowing FPL to charge. I'm objecting to the huge increase the FDOT is putting on all toll roads. Prasad is essentially raising rates 33% rather than the recommended rate of 11%.

What you people are doing is nickel and diming your constituents and all residents of Florida. Do you really think that people aren't noticing?

Do you really think that they've gotten raises to cover these increased expenses?

And while I'm at it, please fix the maps - what you have currently presented is an abomination. AND THIS IS JUST WRONG!

Linda Drake

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 7:45 AM  
**To:** 'lindad@wirespring.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company

Ms. Linda Drake  
lindad@wirespring.com

Dear Ms. Drake:

Senator Gaetz's office forwarded a copy of your email regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service.

To assist you, please provide us with the following:

- \* Your service address
- \* Your mailing address
- \* Your service telephone number
- \* Your daytime contact telephone number
- \* The name in which your electric bill is addressed
- \* Your account number

You may respond by return e-mail or by calling me at 1-800-342-3552. I will look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Ellen Plendl  
Regulatory Specialist  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Safety, Reliability, & Consumer Assistance  
1-800-342-3552 (phone)  
1-800-511-0809 (fax)

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Linda Drake (WireSpring) [lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 8:18 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Subject:** Re: Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company

Dear Ellen,

Did you even read my email? I'm complaining about the additional \$2.50/mth added to each and every FPL bill for nuclear plants that may or may not be built. FPL can use the monies as long as they prove that it's being spent to investigate the feasibility of additional plants.

My question is WHY, with such a high rate of return, are the shareholders of FPL not paying such costs? WHY ARE YOU ALLOWING FPL TO DING EVERY SINGLE RATEPAYER?

Linda Drake

On 11/30/2011 7:44 AM, Ellen Plendl wrote:

> Ms. Linda Drake  
> lindad@wirespring.com  
>  
> Dear Ms. Drake:  
>  
> Senator Gaetz's office forwarded a copy of your email regarding  
> Florida Power& Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service  
> Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and  
> natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water  
> and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to  
> transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the  
> telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida  
> Relay Service, and pay telephone service.  
>  
> To assist you, please provide us with the following:  
>  
> \* Your service address  
> \* Your mailing address  
> \* Your service telephone number  
> \* Your daytime contact telephone number  
> \* The name in which your electric bill is addressed  
> \* Your account number  
>  
> You may respond by return e-mail or by calling me at 1-800-342-3552. I  
> will look forward to hearing from you.  
>  
> Sincerely,  
>  
>  
> Ellen Plendl  
> Regulatory Specialist  
> Florida Public Service Commission  
> Division of Safety, Reliability,& Consumer Assistance

> 1-800-342-3552 (phone)

> 1-800-511-0809 (fax)

>

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 30, 2011 8:55 AM  
**To:** 'lindad@wirespring.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company

Ms. Linda Drake  
lindad@wirespring.com

Dear Ms. Drake:

This is in response to your inquiry with the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL). You expressed a concern with recovery charges on your monthly electric bill.

On November 2, 2011, the PSC set recovery charges beginning in January 2012 for FPL. By Florida Statute and established PSC policy, electric utilities may recover certain expenses from customers through cost recovery charges adjusted annually by the PSC. Cost recovery is allowed on fuel and purchased power, capacity (including nuclear), conservation, and environmental requirements. Utilities may not, however, make a profit on fuel charges.

The majority of the charges approved by the PSC are related to fuel and are included in the fuel charge on a customer's monthly electric bill. All other approved charges are included in the energy charge, which also includes the utilities' base rate charge.

Starting in January 2012, a monthly electric bill for a FPL residential customer using 1,000 kilowatt hours (kWh) will increase from \$96.54 to \$99.04, a difference of \$2.50. The recovery amounts included in a residential bill using 1,000 kWh will include fuel and purchased power charges of \$37.96, capacity charges of \$9.69, including nuclear, conservation charges of \$2.87; environmental charges of \$1.92, and Gross Receipts Tax of \$2.48.

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.

If you have any questions, please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552 or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

State of Florida



## Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

---

**DATE:**

**TO:** Office of Commission Clerk

**FROM:** Bureau of Consumer Assistance, Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance

**RE:** Customer Correspondence

---

Please add the attached customer correspondence to Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110 009.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>02860-11</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 22 PM 3:19  
COMMISSION  
CLERK



To PSC, Better known AS -  
Progress Service Commission

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2011  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC

11-19-2011

The PSC needs to change its name to Progress Service Commission. You give Progress Energy anything they want. Why is it that the customers have to pay up front for the wait and see who pays game. All progress does is bank the money collect interest then if they lose they pay the customer's back over time at less than 1% percent. If this happens the insurance company nor the investors will have to pay a dime towards anything. I suggest that Progress use the money they have already stolen, money towards the new pretend Nuclear plant. They can't run the plant they have, how are they going to run & build another. Its funny they have millions of dollars to lobby their cause to plunder their customers.

P.S If Progress has to  
pay money back & can  
why do they need it.

Fed Up

Rich Simmons

By the way we are both on "FIXED INCOMES"  
but we know you could care less.



# Power bills likely to rise

**Thanks To - PROGRESS SERVICE Commission! PSC**  
Progress Energy is expected to pass along added costs while a nuclear plant sits idle.

BY IVAN PENN  
Times Staff Writer

Progress Energy customers moved a step closer to having to pay \$140 million next year for electricity the utility purchases while its broken Crystal River nuclear plant sits offline.

The state Public Service Commission's staff recommended this week that Progress customers keep paying instead of waiting to see whether the utility should

shoulder the financial responsibility for the costs caused by a troubled repair project.

Those extra costs are a part of the reason the average Progress customer's bill is expected to increase about 3 percent to \$123.19 for 1,000 kilowatt hours of usage, starting Jan. 1.

The five-member Public Service Commission is expected to vote on the staff recommendation during its meeting Nov. 22.

The state Office of Public Counsel and several other groups advocating for consumers — including the Florida Retail Federation — oppose having customers pay the \$140 million now. They say the commission first should determine whether Progress mishandled a maintenance and upgrade project at the nuclear plant that led to the expenses.

The project was supposed to

cost \$230 million to replace old steam generators. But the concrete nuclear reactor containment building cracked after Progress Energy made the unprecedented decision to manage the project itself, instead of hiring an outside specialist. When the utility tried to repair the building and bring the plant back online, the wall cracked again.

The reactor has sat idle for two years and won't return to service

for at least two more. And the repair bill and related costs are expected to exceed \$2.5 billion, with Progress asking customers to pick up a quarter of those costs, including the \$140 million recommended by the PSC staff for next year.

The PSC will review Progress' handling of the Crystal River project during a hearing in June.

If the commission determines  
» See PROGRESS, 6B

## PSC STAFF BACKS BIGGER PROGRESS ENERGY BILLS

They recommend customers pay an extra \$140 million next year to replace power from the broken Crystal River nuclear plant and decide later what the utility should pay. **Tampa Bay, 1B**

» PROGRESS  
continued from 1B

## Power bills expected to increase

Progress' handling of the project was not "reasonable and prudent," customers would not be responsible for paying for the repairs or the related costs. Those costs would be passed on to Progress' investors. Any money customers have paid would be refunded with interest that would amount to less than 1 percent.

This year, customers are already paying \$110 million toward expenses for what is emerging as one of the costliest nuclear incidents in U.S. history.

"A big concern of ours is the customers keep getting saddled with these costs," said Charles Rehwinkel, deputy public counsel. "It just seems to be stacked against the customers. All the decisions that were made and all the errors that were made were made by the company."

The PSC staff said in its recommendation that past decisions have shown the commission allows utilities to recover reasonable expenses incurred to provide electricity. And in 2010, the commission allowed Progress to charge customers for buying alternative electricity while the nuclear plant was offline. Unless the PSC finds the utility at fault for the broken nuclear plant, the staff said, "the commission's past practice of allowing cost recovery of reasonable projected costs ... should continue."

In addition, the PSC staff noted that paying a little now will help save customers from bigger increases later as more repair bills and additional electricity costs accumulate.

Suzanne Grant, a Progress spokeswoman, said she had not seen the PSC staff recommendation yet, but the utility will carefully review it. She did say if the PSC later determined that money should be refunded, the utility would abide by whatever process the commissioners established.

Rehwinkel said that too many issues regarding the broken Crystal River plant are still unfolding — and are notably unprecedented — to make customers pay in advance. He worried that any refund would likely be paid out in increments, further harming Progress Energy customers.

"The travesty would be, if the customers win this fight, the refund would be spread out over time," Rehwinkel said. "If they have to then get it back in little pieces over a year or two, that's asking a lot."

Ivan Penn can be reached at [ipenn@sptimes.com](mailto:ipenn@sptimes.com) or (727) 892-2332. Follow him on Twitter at [twitter.com/Consumers\\_Edge](https://twitter.com/Consumers_Edge) and find the Consumer's Edge on Facebook.

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC





Richard C. Simmons  
2241 Roselawn Dr.  
Holiday, FL 34691

*Respond to Above.*

TAMPA FL 335  
SAINT PETERSBURG FL  
19 NOV 2011 PM 4 L



*Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL. 32399-0850*

RECEIVED

NOV 21 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC

32399085099



110009-EI

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>02860-11</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you, 350


378-3053

SIGNATURE

SUSAN P. SMITH 6'ville 32608

(Please Print) NAME

CITY



LOUIS COMFORT TIFFANY

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

NOV 22 PM 12:01

RECEIVED-FPSC

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Catherine Potts  
**Sent:** Monday, November 21, 2011 1:48 PM  
**To:** Hong Wang; Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
Administrative Parties ☒ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for this information. This has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence- Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2011 3:36 PM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Please handle. Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2011 11:34 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Susan Isaacs  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 17, 2011 3:53 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009-Response Requested

Copy on file, see 1040042C. S. Isaacs

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 17, 2011 11:24 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact

Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: [contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us) [mailto:[contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us)]

Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 9:38 AM

To: Webmaster

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: daniel torony

Company:

Primary Phone:

Secondary Phone:

Email: [dantorony@yahoo.com](mailto:dantorony@yahoo.com)

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? No

Comments:

Well I guess the state motto should be changed from "Welcome to the sunshine state" TO "WELCOME TO THE PAYOFF/BIG BUSINESS - LOBBYIST STATE' the state for the rich to hell with the working class. Are you proud of your progress energy decision?

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Catherine Potts  
**Sent:** Monday, November 21, 2011 1:47 PM  
**To:** Hong Wang; Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
\_Administrative\_Parties\_ ✓ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for this information. This has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2011 3:35 PM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Please handle. Thanks!

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, November 18, 2011 11:33 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Susan Isaacs  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 17, 2011 3:55 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1040038C. S. Isaacs

---

**From:** Carol Ballew [mailto:ballew@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 5:54 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact; ballew@tampabay.rr.com  
**Subject:** Rate Increase for Progress Energy !

I beg you not to increase the rates for Progress Energy. My budget billing is already \$364/month and I am a single 67-year-old woman in a 1900 sq ft house. I am already considering disabling my heat pump and using a

window AC for my BR only. This is ridiculous. We - those on fixed incomes and those without jobs and those with children to clothe, feed, and educate - cannot afford to pay even more. When/where will there be a public hearing on this and how do I sign up to speak? How many people will the room hold?

Carol S. Ballew  
300 N Isle Dr  
St Pete Beach, FL 33706  
727-637-8273



**Catherine Potts**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, November 21, 2011 8:20 AM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Catherine Potts  
**Subject:** FW: PROGRESS(?) ENERGY

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Monday, November 21, 2011 8:15 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: PROGRESS(?) ENERGY

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket #110009.

Thanks.

---

**From:** SJohns5577@aol.com [mailto:SJohns5577@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 17, 2011 3:21 PM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Subject:** PROGRESS(?) ENERGY

Progress (?) Energy is a FOR PROFIT company is it not? Don't they also enjoy a guaranteed return of 12%?  
So, why should they expect and why should YOU GIVE THEM more?  
I DON'T AGREE that you should allow them to come crawling to us every time they want something. YOU PEOPLE WORK FOR US!!!! WE SAY NO!!!!

11/21/2011

Catherine Potts

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 4:14 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Balbis  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Catherine Potts  
**Subject:** RE: {BULK} Re: FPL Rate Increase

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Office of Commissioner Balbis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 3:55 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: {BULK} Re: FPL Rate Increase  
**Importance:** Low

Ann,  
Please place the e-mail below in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,  
Cristina

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 28, 2011 7:30 AM  
**To:** Randy Roland; Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** {BULK} Re: FPL Rate Increase  
**Importance:** Low

Your justifications allowing FPL to collect such charges are specious!  
There is absolutely no justification at all for allowing the charge to rise from \$.33 per month to \$2.20 per month \*especially\* when there's absolutely no set compulsion requiring FPL to follow through and actually build the plants.

A much fairer approach would be to have the shareholders bear the cost initially and then IF anything is built or added on to, then have a cost recovery from those that will benefit from

such increase in power availability.

The move on the PSC's part is gouging current customers outright plain and simple. You are hurting the ratepayers and a monopoly no less is reaping the benefits! Do you really believe that the ratepayers are stupid especially when you're hitting their pocketbooks in these economic times?

The granting of the increase is just outright WRONG! and it needs to be repealed!

Linda Drake

On 10/27/2011 4:12 PM, Randy Roland wrote:

- > Ms. Linda Drake
- > lindad@wirespring.com <mailto:lindad@wirespring.com>
- >
- > Dear Ms. Drake :
- >
- > The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding
- > Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service
- > Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and
- > natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water
- > and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to
- > transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the
- > telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida
- > Relay Service, and pay telephone service.
- >
- > You expressed a concern about FPL's petition to recover prudently
- > incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.
- >
- > To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature
- > enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear
- > plant project costs during the construction process. The PSC then
- > adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC
- > nuclear cost recovery hearings, held this year on August 10-19, allow
- > Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups,
- > and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.
- >
- > On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy
- > for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction
- > of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear

> plants for FPL. FPL's approved recovery includes costs associated with  
> construction of its planned Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 and adding  
> capacity to existing Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1  
> and 2. Over the life of the generating units, the additional capacity  
> projects are expected to save customers an estimated \$155 million to  
> \$1.5 billion. When completed, these projects will add approximately  
> 2,614 MW of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough  
> energy to power 1.4 million homes.  
>  
> The estimated 2012 nuclear cost recovery is about \$2.20 per month for  
> the first 1,000 kilowatt hours (kwh) for FPL customers. The approved  
> amount for FPL customer bills will be recovered through the fuel and  
> capacity cost recovery charge on customer bills beginning next year.  
>  
> I hope you find this information helpful. I will add your comments to  
> the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.  
>  
> Randy Roland  
> Regulatory Program Administrator  
> Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public  
> Service Commission  
>  
>  
>  
>

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11

DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Gabriella Garcia*  
 SIGNATURE  
 Gabriella Garcia Miami 33018

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
 2540 Shumard Oak  
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
 11 NOV 15 AM 9:04

**Catherine Potts**

---

**To:** Hong Wang; Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: Email for Docket 110009-EI

Thank you for this information. The attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2011 2:47 PM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
Administrative Parties ☒ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Please handle. Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2011 10:36 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## Catherine Potts

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2011 10:36 AM  
**To:** 'flwolff@tampabay.rr.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida

Ms. Joanne Wolff  
flwolff@tampabay.rr.com

Dear Ms. Wolff:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your email regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held this year on August 10 – 19, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

Estimated 2012 nuclear cost recovery is approximately \$2.93 per month for the first 1,000 kilowatt hours for Progress Energy customers. The approved amount for Progress Energy customer bills will be recovered through the fuel and capacity cost recovery charge on customer bills beginning next year.

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland

Regulatory Program Administrator

Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission



**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Britt, Jennifer [Jennifer.Britt@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2011 10:25 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Subject:** FW: Progress Energy

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joanne Wolff [mailto:flwolff@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 10:34 AM  
**To:** Governor Rick Scott  
**Subject:** Progress Energy

**From:** Joanne Wolff <flwolff@tampabay.rr.com>

**County:** Pinellas

**Zip Code:** 34683

**Message Body:** Dear Governor Scott,

Progress Energy is taking our money for a planned nuclear plant that could be used for Florida jobs today. I feel the money needs to be used today by the Florida consumer to fund local activity to prevent the need for this plant in the future.

I would rather have the money to invest in better windows or insulation to reduce the future need for energy. I am very upset the money I need now is being used for a corporation's safety net.

As a registered voter I do not even get to decide on this matter. I feel the large corporation needs to be put in line. We need all those funds used to day to save energy.

I may not live to see my money used. My own children move out of this state because it has become too expensive to live here. My state needs your help to move us out of this mess. One way would to be to get this unheard of payment each month off our electric bill.

Please do what is right for today. We live in the present. Florida will continue to lose educated adults to states that have figured out how to limit the hidden fees that make the state to expensive to live in.

Regards,

Joanne Wolff

110009-EI

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
William Santos  
(Please Print) NAME  
Wenon 33327  
CITY ZIP  
90347 1547  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Guillaume Benet  
(Please Print) NAME  
Mitaka 33327  
CITY ZIP  
90347 1547  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

239 339-5079 (Please Print) NAME *Joe G. Mann* CITY ZIP PHONE 33127 3361978 15675



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

239 339-5079 (Please Print) NAME *Antonio Lino* CITY ZIP PHONE *Levi Mann* *Belch* 33137 3361978 15675



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

Matthew Adams  
(Please Print) NAME

Miami  
CITY

33174  
ZIP

305-457-4571  
PHONE

MIAMI FL 331

PRINCIPAL MAIL



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

Goldmyr I. Anish  
(Please Print) NAME

Tallahassee  
CITY

32301  
ZIP

904-786-7244  
PHONE

MIAMI FL 331

PRINCIPAL MAIL



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Kenia Ortiz*

SIGNATURE

2399365093  
(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

786-488-6247

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Victor Z. Ortiz*

SIGNATURE

1239365093  
(Please Print) NAME

CITY


ZIP

PHONE

786.216.9855

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Desiree Butler*  
SIGNATURE  
Desiree Butler MIAMI 33124 443-8046 2902  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION CLERK

Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Dane Chambois*  
SIGNATURE  
Dane Chambois Sunrise 33511 954-993153  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE

1239 Joseph A. Aron, (305) 333-3378  
(Please Print) NAME


CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE

Tricia Callahan, Miami  
(Please Print) NAME


CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK





Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-6850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK




Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-6850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:28  
COMMISSION  
CLERK



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Alain Engle*

SIGNATURE

Alain Engle Miami FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION CLERK

786-266-5930

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Alma Diaz*

SIGNATURE

Alma Diaz Miami FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
305-613-  
33075  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
33025 954-732-2221  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
Ambrose Pines



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Gabriela Mergosa*  
SIGNATURE  
323 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

MIAMI FL 331

NOV 10 2011 9:29 AM



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

NOV 10 AM 9:29

RECEIVED-FPSC

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Alexis Rebach*  
SIGNATURE  
323 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

MIAMI FL 331

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

NOV 10 AM 9:29

RECEIVED-FPSC



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.

Thank you,



\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
Rosany Fernandez 33116  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
Miami

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

NOV 10 AM 9:29

RECEIVED-FPSC


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.

Thank you,



\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
Rosany Fernandez 33449 SD 1255  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

NOV 10 AM 9:29

RECEIVED-FPSC


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

32399-0850 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

32399-0850 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*R. Mathen*

SIGNATURE

Ryan Mathen Miami 33133 305-771-1774

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-8850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Dis'hawn Vince*

SIGNATURE

Dis'hawn Vince Miami 33133 305-771-1774

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-8850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Chris Cooper  
(Please Print) NAME  
MIAMI  
CITY  
33126  
ZIP  
786-355-7841  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
239085099  
(Please Print) NAME  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ZIP  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29






Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,



Nicole Lopez Miami 33186  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

MIAMI FL 331

NOV 10 2011 9:29 AM



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32309-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,



Stefan Schins Miami 33155  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
(305) 409-0922

MIAMI FL 331

NOV 10 2011 9:29 AM



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32309-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE

**Daniela Rios**  
(Please Print) NAME

**MIAMI, FL 33186**  
CITY ZIP

**786-454-0067**  
PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE

**Rachel L. Johnson**  
(Please Print) NAME

**MIAMI, FL 33173**  
CITY ZIP

PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

2355055053  
(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

786-366-6322



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
JAN 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
JAN 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Leigh Ammon*

SIGNATURE

LEIGH AMMON

(Please Print) NAME

DAVIE

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

781-461-1300

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Ruben Oresada*

SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

878-234-1300

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Alannah Drennan*

SIGNATURE

2340661098  
Alannah Drennan

(Please Print) NAME

MIAMI

CITY

33134

ZIP

305 344 1111

PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32309-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Adrian Delgado*

SIGNATURE

Adrian Delgado

(Please Print) NAME

MIAMI


CITY

33134

ZIP

305 344 1111

PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32309-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
239 331-6911 (Please Print) NAME Hector CITY Tallahassee ZIP 32310 PHONE 904 426-4601

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

NOV 10 AM 9:29

RECEIVED-FPSC




Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Adriana Sanchez (Please Print) NAME Minnie CITY Tallahassee ZIP 32310 PHONE (407) 454-1554

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

COMMISSION  
CLERK

NOV 10 AM 9:29

RECEIVED-FPSC




Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's 2011 FNG L request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Jessenia Gonzalez  
(Please Print) NAME  
Hialeah  
CITY  
33012  
ZIP  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:29  
COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's 2011 FNG L request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Hanaf Aziz  
(Please Print) NAME  
Miami  
CITY  
33131  
ZIP  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC  
NOV 10 AM 9:30  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Catherine Potts

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
\_Administrative\_Parties\_✓Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11

DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 08, 2011 4:20 PM  
**To:** Katherine Fleming  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Catherine Potts  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates - NOT A SINGLE ONE OF YOU HAS HAD THE COURTESY TO REPLY!

Thanks, Katherine. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Katherine Fleming  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 08, 2011 4:18 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Kathleen Stewart  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates - NOT A SINGLE ONE OF YOU HAS HAD THE COURTESY TO REPLY!

Ann,

Please place the following in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming  
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
(850) 413-6028 (Office)  
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.



-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 08, 2011 1:22 PM

To: Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown

Subject: FPL and rates - NOT A SINGLE ONE OF YOU HAS HAD THE COURTESY TO REPLY!

Importance: High

YOUR DECISION TO ALLOW FPL TO RAISE RATES TO "MAYBE" BUILD A NUCLEAR PLANT IS BEYOND OUTRAGEOUS. I'M WRITING RICK SCOTT NOW TO HAVE HIM DISMISS ALL OF YOU. HOW DARE YOU?

Commissioners,

How about in this weeks hearings that you stand up for the residents of Florida? Tell FPL that no, they cannot collect monies from it's captive customers to fund a project that may never be built. Please do the logical thing with FPL. And take care of the residents of Florida.

Remember, the residents and voters of Florida are monitoring your moves and will actively contact their representatives about unseating you if you do not vote in favor of the residents.

Linda Drake  
19861 NW 88 Ave  
Hialeah, FL 33018  
954-548-3300

Hong Wang

110009

**From:** Catherine Potts  
**Sent:** Monday, November 07, 2011 12:38 PM \*\*  
**To:** Hong Wang; Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
 \_\_\_Administrative\_\_\_ Parties ~~X~~ Consumer  
 DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
 DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for this information. The attachments have been printed and placed in  
**Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in  
 Docket 110009-EI.

\*\*

[CLK note: An exhaustive search of the records of the Office of Commission Clerk finds that this document was not appended to the Document Correspondence-Consumer and their Representatives folder, as stated in the November 7, 2011, 12:38 PM e-mail. To correct this deficiency, this correspondence was placed in the correspondence folder on 04/20/12.]

*Ann Cole*  
 -Ann Cole, Commission Clerk

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Monday, November 07, 2011 11:14 AM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Please handle. Thanks.

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, November 07, 2011 9:42 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Monday, November 07, 2011 8:47 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Customer sent two faxes with identical language, both are combined in 1038149C. DH

4/20/2012

## Hong Wang

---

**From:** FaxAdmins  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 2:20 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Fax , 1 page(s)

**Attachments:** FAX.TIF



FAX.TIF (15  
KB)

You have received a new fax. This fax was received by Fax Server. The fax is attached to the message.  
Open the attachment to view your fax.

### Received Fax Details

---

Received On: 11/6/2011 2:19:36 PM  
Number of Pages: 1  
From (CSID): Fax  
From (ANI):  
Sent to DID: 8504136362

Duration of Fax: 0:00:31  
Transfer Speed: 14400

Received Status: Success  
Number of Errors: 0  
Port Received On: IPF\_PORT\_0012

---

November 5, 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32390

Dear Florida Public Service Commission:

I was disappointed to hear that you have allowed FPL to bill us for building a plant.

If we have to pay for this plant, I would expect to get a share of the revenue this plant generates.

FPL Q-1 profits are up 7%.

FPL is still billing us a storm charge from 2005!!! WHY???

FPL attempted to gouge us for 30% a short while back.

FPL is a untrustworthy company that should be monitored closely.

We deserve a 30% decrease in rates.

Can you do something about these issues?



Sincerely,

Al Uber  
2080 NW 118th Ave.  
Plantation, FL 33323

9543268813

## Hong Wang

---

**From:** FaxAdmins  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 2:15 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Fax , 1 page(s)

**Attachments:** FAX.TIF



FAX.TIF (15  
KB)

You have received a new fax. This fax was received by Fax Server. The fax is attached to the message.  
Open the attachment to view your fax.

### Received Fax Details

---

Received On: 11/6/2011 2:14:30 PM  
Number of Pages: 1  
From (CSID): Fax  
From (ANI):  
Sent to DID: 8504136362

Duration of Fax: 0:00:30  
Transfer Speed: 14400

Received Status: Success  
Number of Errors: 0  
Port Received On: IPF\_PORT\_0012

---

November 5, 2010

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32390

Dear Florida Public Service Commission:

I was disappointed to hear that you have allowed FPL to bill us for building a plant.

If we have to pay for this plant, I would expect to get a share of the revenue this plant generates.

FPL Q-1 profits are up 7%.

FPL is still billing us a storm charge from 2005!!! WHY???

FPL attempted to gouge us for 30% a short while back.

FPL is a untrustworthy company that should be monitored closely.

We deserve a 30% decrease in rates.

Can you do something about these issues?

Sincerely, 

Al Uber  
2080 NW 118<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
Plantation, FL 33323

9543268813

**Catherine Potts**

---

**To:** Hong Wang; Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

**Thank you for this information. The attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.**

---

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 03, 2011 9:41 AM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

**FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE**  
**\_\_Administrative\_\_ Parties ✓ Consumer**  
**DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11**  
**DISTRIBUTION:** \_\_\_\_\_

Please handle. Thanks!

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 03, 2011 9:39 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 2:10 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file for docket, see 1037610C. Outage complaint filed, see 1037604E. DH

---

**From:** Mary-Elizabeth McIlvane [mailto:mmcilvane@cfl.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 1:14 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Electrical outages in October 2011

**Good day Commissioners:**

**During October 2011, the area of Altamonte Springs in which I live, experienced four electric power outages. The events happened in good weather, day and night.**

**When the lights go out, I always put a phone call to Progress Energy as I have come to realize, from Progress Energy employees, they do not know when power goes out in a community of about 330 homes.**

**The most recent outage of about 90 minutes on October 27 had me waiting on the line for about 25 minutes before the complaint call was accepted. This area was NOT in an emergency weather condition. Three were rollouts that stopped power flow necessitating consumers adjusting electrical equipment accordingly. (October 9, 17, 27 at 4 am).**

**We were advised one of the reason for outages are squirrels eat wire coverings and, of course, are electrocuted. If Progress**

11/3/2011

**Energy cannot manage this natural problem, how can they possibly handle the complexities of nuclear power generators?**

**Yet with this poor quality of providing electric power, our electric power bills continue to be increased and will continue to do so if we are forced to pay for constructing and making operational safe nuclear power stations.**

**Power companies using investor money for constructing new power sources are obligated to protect investors' investment and provide a reasonable return on investment. However, investors do not want to make their money available on such a highly questionable pursuit.**

**Consumers are legally promised nothing, no accountability or transparency, no nuclear power.**

**When can we expect reasonable responsibility to be evidenced by consumers from Florida electric power companies, at least Progress Energy about to be merged with Duke Energy's Progress Energy? I have lived at this address for almost 30 years and the history of poor service continues.**

**Mary Elizabeth McIlvane Condominium Owner-Resident**

**Mary Elizabeth McIlvane, 504 Orage Drive, #25 Altamonte Springs, FL 32701 407-339-7743**

*Writer, Poet*

*"Planting words of hope and belief for harmony within"*

[www.findingtimothy.org](http://www.findingtimothy.org)

[www.wordpowertruth.org](http://www.wordpowertruth.org)



**Catherine Potts**

---

**To:** Ellen Plendl; Hong Wang  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI

**Attachments:** RE: florida public service commision; Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company



RE: **Consume**  
**ublic servury - Florid**

**FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE**  
**Administrative Parties/Consumer**  
**DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11**  
**DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_**

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hong Wang  
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2011 10:16 AM  
To: Catherine Potts  
Cc: Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
Subject: FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI

Please handle. Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

From: Ellen Plendl  
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2011 10:09 AM  
To: Diamond Williams  
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
Subject: Email for Docket 110009-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 9:41 AM  
**To:** vixton parchment  
**Subject:** RE: florida public service commision

Dear Vixton:

Thank you for contacting Governor Rick Scott and sharing your concerns about the Florida Public Service Commission and the recent rate increase for Florida Power and Light. The Governor asked me to respond on his behalf.

Although we appreciate your concerns, the agency that regulates certain privately-owned utilities is the Public Service Commission, which is an arm of the legislative branch of government and therefore, not under the administrative authority of the Governor's Office. Within the Public Service Commission is a Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer Assistance, which I believe may be able to assist you. To assist you, I forwarded a copy of your letter to the Public Service Commission for their review. If you want to contact the PSC directly please call 1-800-342-3552 or contact them by using the information provided below.

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

The Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) may be able to assist you with your home energy bill. The LIHEAP assists households that have incomes below 150% of the federal poverty income guidelines and need assistance in paying their utility bills. To qualify you must apply in the county in which you live. Please visit the Department of Economic Opportunity website for answers to questions about the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program and contact information for the service provider in your county. This information may be found at <http://www.floridajobs.org/job-seekers-community-services/community-services/low-income-home-energy-assistance-program>. If you do not have access to the Internet, please call the Department of Economic Opportunity at (850) 717-8450 for additional information.

Thank you again for taking the time to contact the Governor's office.

Sincerely,

110009-E1

RECEIVED-FPSC

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative ☒ Const

11 OCT 31 PM 3:10

DOCUMENT NO.  
DISTRIBUTIONCOMMISSION  
CLERK

To Whom it may concern


RECEIVED

OCT 31 2011


Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC

My Name is Deanna L Stafford  
 I live at 6190 62nd ave N Lot 55 Pinel  
 las Park Florida 33781 My Phone nu  
 mber is 727-954-5435 or my cell phone  
 is 727-687-6867 I'm writing you to fin  
 d out why you are always permitt  
 ing Progress Energy Power Company to  
 rise peoples rates we the people did  
 not ask them to buy that nuclear powe  
 er plant in Crystal River nor did we  
 make them break whatever the proble  
 ms are I thought you were supposed  
 to be on the peoples side but now I  
 can see you guys are only to help you  
 rselves and the power companies not  
 looking after the little people who live  
 on social security payments or who don't  
 get much work pays if anything I  
 think Progress Energy should refund  
 all the money people already paid out  
 on that building besides lower the rates  
 of all Electric Costs besides lower the  
 deposits people has to make to get the  
 Electric on ~~for~~ for the first time that is  
 why I want to move out of this state be  
 cause you people only think of yourself not  
 the little people

Deanna L Stafford



TAMPA FL 335  
SAINT PETERSBURG FL  
28 OCT 2011 PM 8 L



RECEIVED

OCT 31 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC

The Florida Public Service  
Commission  
Div of Sec Safety - Consumer Assis  
2540 Sheppard Oak Blvd  
Tallahassee Florida

32399085099

32399-8153

Jennifer Britt  
Office of Citizen Services

-----Original Message-----

From: vixton parchment [mailto:vixtonparchment@comcast.net]  
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 12:33 AM  
To: Governor Rick Scott  
Subject: florida public service commision

From: vixton parchment <vixtonparchment@comcast.net>

County: Broward

Zip Code: 33025

Message Body: Good day Governer Scot i am sending this email to complain about the psc. How they can grant FPL a rate increase when families are finding hard everyday to make ends meet and a rich corporation like FPL with over a billion in profit gets a rate increase without bulding any new power plant that is so wrong in every aspect. FPL got a hurricane increase in 2006 for storm related damages and i call to find how long they are going to keep these storm related charge on we the consumers bill they me told they dont know. so FPL has been collecting that charge with no end insight and now they get another increase for doing nothing the consumers always get shafted governer Scot you need speak out against corporation getting there way at the cost off we the consumer.

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 10:05 AM  
**To:** 'vixtonparchment@comcast.net'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company

Mr. Vixton Parchment  
vixtonparchment@comcast.net

Dear Mr. Parchment:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about FPL's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held this year on August 10-19, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants for FPL. FPL's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its planned Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 and adding capacity to existing Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. Over the life of the generating units, the additional capacity projects are expected to save customers an estimated \$155 million to \$1.5 billion. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,614 MW of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough energy to power 1.4 million homes.

The estimated 2012 nuclear cost recovery is about \$2.20 per month for the first 1,000 kilowatt hours (kwh) for FPL customers. The approved amount for FPL customer bills will be recovered through the fuel and capacity cost recovery charge on customer bills beginning

next year.

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.

You also expressed a concern about about FPL's storm surcharge. The PSC conducted four customer service hearings from March 1, 2006, to March 3, 2006, in connection with Docket Number 060038-EI regarding FPL's petition for issuance of a storm recovery financing order related to the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons. The customer service hearings were held in Fort Myers, Fort Lauderdale, West Palm Beach, and Miami. During the course of the docket proceedings, the PSC also received customer comments by telephone, fax, mail, and email.

On May 16, 2006, the PSC approved a 12-year bond issue, the first approved under a law passed in 2005, to reimburse Florida Power & Light for hurricane losses incurred in 2004 and 2005. The PSC set FPL's recovery at \$708 million, which was a reduction on the requested amount of \$1.050 billion.

On May 22, 2007, the PSC announced the successful sale of \$652 million FPL storm recovery bonds. As a direct result of the bond issuance, FPL reduced the monthly storm cost recovery surcharge each residential customer pays from \$1.65 to \$1.02 per 1000 kilowatt hours. The effective interest rate is 5.23 percent. The interest is remitted to the bond holders. The bonds were purchased by Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC with an interest rate of 5.23 percent. The bonds are rated Aaa by Moody's, AAA by Standard & Poor's and AAA by Fitch Ratings.

The PSC authorized the securitization financing technique on May 15, 2006, and consistent with its statutory responsibility issued a comprehensive financing order detailing the terms of the bond issuance including a "lowest cost objective." The PSC included this provision to achieve the lowest storm recovery charges consistent with the law and the prevailing market conditions at the time of the offering and pricing of the bonds.

As required by the PSC's financing order, a Bond Team was established, comprised of representatives of FPL, the PSC, and both their designated advisors and legal counsel. The Bond Team took the following actions to protect FPL's customers and achieve a successful result:

- Received an assurance from FPL that the storm recovery bonds would price at least as well as the most recent and most favorable transactions in this asset class. Specifically, FPL's storm recovery bonds priced more favorably than both the 2006 American Electric Power securitization transaction in Texas and the 2007 Monongahela Power securitization

transaction in West Virginia.

- Developed rating agency presentations and worked actively with the rating agencies to achieve Aaa and AAA ratings from the major rating agencies.
- Solicited a wide range of potential bidders to pursue competitive pricing for the bonds.
- Held group conference calls for potential bidders to describe the legislative, political and regulatory framework and the bond structure.

The bonds' scheduled maturity is August 1, 2019. The legal maturity is August 1, 2021. However, the bonds may be paid more swiftly. The PSC receives and reviews monthly progress reports from FPL on its bond repayment schedule.

Complaints serve as a valuable source of information; therefore, your complaint will remain on file with the PSC. We monitor complaints very closely and track any trends which indicate there may be a problem and further action is needed.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission



**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Catherine Potts  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 10:21 AM  
**To:** Hong Wang; Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

**FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE**  
**Administrative Parties ☒ Consumer**  
**DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11**  
**DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_**

*Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.*

---

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 9:44 AM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

*Please handle. Thanks!*

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 9:36 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2011 8:10 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as information requests, Docket #110009 and closeout code PR69. DH

11/2/2011

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** delraybuzz [delraybuzz@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 5:02 PM

**To:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** FPL raised rate approval

Is it any wonder there is an Occupy Wall Street demonstration starting all over the country and the world about Huge Business having our legislators safely "in their pocket"? Shareholders know they are gambling when they purchase investments in a monopoly, but the unfortunate victims are the customers held hostage when our "Public" Service Commission bows to requests for more raises in rates from these power companies, without one thought or care about how the customer is supposed to pay out of either meager or non-existent income when the economy is so difficult. Shame on the Commission and on the Legislature for allowing this to be foisted on a helpless voiceless public! You are not our protectors, you are our exploiters, and we will remember this next election day!

Barbara Friedman

14343 Emerald Lake Drive

Delray Beach, FL 33446

**Catherine Potts**

---

**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: Email for Docket 110009-EI

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 9:07 AM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE  
Administrative Parties ✓ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Cathi, per our conversation, please handle.

Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 2:55 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Hong Wang  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 02, 2011 9:07 AM  
**To:** Catherine Potts  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI

**Attachments:** RE: RISING COSTS; Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company



**RE: Consume**  
**RISING COSTS - Florida**

Cathi, per our conversation, please handle.

Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 2:55 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI

*See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.*

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 2:50 PM  
**To:** 'KUTTN7@aol.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company

Stephen  
KUTTN7@aol.com

Dear Stephen:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about FPL's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held this year on August 10-19, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants for FPL. FPL's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its planned Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 and adding capacity to existing Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. Over the life of the generating units, the additional capacity projects are expected to save customers an estimated \$155 million to \$1.5 billion. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,614 MW of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough energy to power 1.4 million homes.

The estimated 2012 nuclear cost recovery is about \$2.20 per month for the first 1,000 kilowatt hours (kwh) for FPL customers. The approved amount for FPL customer bills will be recovered through the fuel and capacity cost recovery charge on customer bills beginning

next year.

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland

Regulatory Program Administrator

Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

**Catherine Potts**

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 01, 2011 2:40 PM  
**To:** STEPHEN  
**Subject:** RE: RISING COSTS

Thank you for contacting Governor Rick Scott and sharing your concerns about health, automobile and homeowners insurance rates, as well as, your concerns about the recent rate increase for Florida Power and Light. The Governor asked me to respond on his behalf.

Governor Scott wants to create a free market environment in Florida that will attract new business and enhance competition among financially solvent insurance companies. The Governor supports insurance companies charging what is necessary to be actuarially sound, ultimately resulting in re-establishing Citizen's Insurance as the insurer of last resort. Establishing a transparent framework that will stabilize the property insurance system and restore a process Floridians can count on is Governor Scott's goal.

With that in mind, the most important step any consumer can take is to shop for the best rate possible. To shop for homeowner's insurance, please visit <http://www.flor.com/choices/> or [www.fmap.org](http://www.fmap.org). You may also wish to contact the Florida Department of Financial Services for additional information. The telephone number is (850) 413-3100, or toll free within Florida 877-693-5236. You can also visit their website about purchasing property insurance at <http://www.myfloridacfo.com/Consumers/purchasingInsurance.htm>. The address is:

Department of Financial Services  
200 East Gaines Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0300

It should also be noted that the Governor and Cabinet make up Florida's Financial Services Commission and appoint the Insurance Commissioner to head the Office of Insurance Regulation. The Financial Services Commission works in conjunction with the Insurance Commissioner to fulfill the duties of the Office of Insurance Regulation. The primary functions of this Office include regulation, compliance and enforcement of statutes relating to the business of insurance and the monitoring of industry markets. The Office pursues its mission of public protection via regulatory oversight of insurance company solvency, policy forms and rates, market conduct performance and monitoring new company entrants into the Florida market. For more information, please contact the Office of Insurance Regulation using the information provided below.

Office of Insurance Regulation  
200 East Gaines Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
Telephone: (850) 413-3140

As you know, agency that regulates certain public utilities is the Public Service Commission (PSC), which is an arm of the legislative branch of government. I understand you at dissatisfied with a recent rate increase for Florida Power and Light. To assist you, I forwarded a copy of your email to the Public Service Commission for their review. If you want to contact the PSC directly please call 1-800-342-3552 or contact them by using the information provided below.

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Thank you again for writing.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Britt  
Office of Citizen Services

-----Original Message-----

From: STEPHEN [mailto:KUTTN7@aol.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 3:31 PM  
To: Governor Rick Scott  
Subject: RISING COSTS

From: STEPHEN <KUTTN7@aol.com>

County: Miami-Dade

Zip Code: 33138

Message Body: I AM WRITING SINCE I HAVE BEEN ON HOLD FOR MORE THAN 10 MINUTES CALLING YOUR OFFICE...

WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO HELP THE MIDDLE CLASS ABOUT SKYROCKETING COSTS OF



HEALTHCARE, AUTO INSURANCE AND HOME OWNERS INSURANCE?

I UNDERTAND THAT THE STATE ALLOWED INSURANCE COMPANIES (HEALTH, AUTO, ETC.) TO RAISE RATES WHILE ALL OF THE COMPANIES HAVE RECORD PROFITS AND ARE NOT STRUGGLING, WHILE US, THE MIDDLE CLASS, ARE TRYING TO MAKE ENDS MEET.

I SEE RICK SCOTT AS A TYPICAL REPUBLICAN POLITICIAN FIGHTING FOR THE FILTHY GREEDY RICH AND STICKING IT TO THE ALREADY STUCK MIDDLE CLASS AND THE POOR. RICK SCOTT IS WROUGHT WITH GREED AND CORRUPTION WHO IS HELL-BENT ON SELLING OUT TO THE CORPORATIONS WHILE LEAVING THE REST OF US OUT-TO-DRY.

I/WE DESERVE BETTER THAN THIS.

AND P.S. THE RECENT PUBLIC SERV COMMISSION THAT JUST APPROVED FPL'S RATE INCREASE IS REPREHENSIBLE. A COMPANY THAT HAS \$140+ MILLION EVERY QUARTER DOES NOT NEED TO RAISE THEIR RATES FOR ANYTHING UNTIL THEY ARE STRUGGLING THEMSELVES. END OF STORY! ONCE AGAIN OR ANOTHER EXAMPLE ON HOW THE RICH GET RICHER WHILE STICKING IT TO THE LITTLE PEOPLE.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, October 28, 2011 10:46 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009  
**Attachments:** FPL rate hike; FW: My contact

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, October 28, 2011 10:36 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Friday, October 28, 2011 8:00 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as information requests, Docket #110009 and closeout code PR69. DH

10/28/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** DCourtney1017@aol.com

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 7:43 PM

**To:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** FPL rate hike

So far, I have seen nothing of "consumer protection" in all the years I have lived in Florida. As far as protecting consumers or anything except their own greediness, Republicans and their entire party would sell America down the river in order to maintain their power, control and obscene profits. As the state regulators, you appear to be in the pockets of those you regulate:

"the fox guarding the henhouse". Why don't you start taking care of our interests for a change.

Doris Courtney

[DCourtney1017@aol.com](mailto:DCourtney1017@aol.com)

10/28/2011

---

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:25 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 21, 2011 4:55 PM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Cc:** chris@cleanenergy.org  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

**Name:** Chris Carnevale  
**Company:** Southern Alliance for Clean Energy **Primary Phone:** (843) 225-2371 **Secondary Phone:**  
**Email:** chris@cleanenergy.org

**Response requested?** No  
**CC Sent?** Yes

Comments:

I am writing to discourage the approval of Progress Energy and Florida Light & Power's requests for ratepayer financing for their new proposed nuclear facilities. Rather than build dangerous nuclear facilities to increase power production, these utilities should focus on demand-side management programs that decrease the demand of electricity, thus mitigating the need for new power plants. Florida ratepayers should not be forced to pay for power plants they do not want or need. Furthermore, if Floridians were to be billed for energy development, utilizing offshore wind resources would be a much smarter investment.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, October 28, 2011 10:44 AM  
**To:** Randy Roland  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Protest Correspondence  
**Attachments:** FW: Progress Energy Rate Increase; Re: Progress Energy; FW: [Spam:\*\*\*\*\* SpamScore] FPL Rate Increase; Re: FPL Rate Increase

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 4:51 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Protest Correspondence

See attached correspondence and PSC response. Please add to the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

10/28/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 3:29 PM  
**To:** Randy Roland  
**Subject:** FW: Progress Energy Rate Increase

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nancy Tomaselli [mailto:msnancycarol@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 10:24 AM  
**To:** Governor Rick Scott  
**Subject:** Progress Energy Rate Increase

**From:** Nancy Tomaselli <msnancycarol@aol.com>

**County:** Citrus

**Zip Code:** 34442

**Message Body:** Dear Governor Scott,

I understand seniors finally received a social security rate increase for 2012. I heard this just a few days before the news about the Progress Energy rate increase to fund nuclear energy plants. So, seniors are back where we started, going backwards financially, not even staying neutral.

You should search for grants to fund this project or increase the progressive tax rate on the wealthy. You govern a state where the majority are seniors live solely on social security. Yes, we need to update our nuclear power plants to keep them safe, but how do the start-up and maintenance costs of alternative energy compare to the costs of four new nuclear power energy plants?

Why load this monster on the backs of the poor, elderly seniors who can't make ends meet as it is? Most do not have a pension or nest egg. Do you really believe seniors will be able to pay this rate increase? They will not be able to make payments and how have you planned to address this deficit?. Your decisions speak to the attitude of "Let them eat cake".

Sincerely,

Nancy Tomaselli

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 4:36 PM  
**To:** 'msnancycarol@aol.com'  
**Subject:** Re: Progress Energy  
Ms. Nancy Tomaselli  
([msnancycarol@aol.com](mailto:msnancycarol@aol.com))

Dear Ms. Tomaselli :

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida (PEF) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC also has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about PEF's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held this year on August 10-19, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. PEF's approved recovery includes cost associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to PEF's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

Estimated 2012 nuclear cost recovery is about \$2.93 per month for the first 1,000 kilowatt hours (kwh) for PEF customers. The approved amount for PEF customer bills will be recovered through the fuel and capacity cost recovery charge on customer bills beginning next year.

I hope you find this information helpful. I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance  
Florida Public Service Commission

10/28/2011





## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 3:15 PM  
**To:** Randy Roland  
**Subject:** FW: [Spam:\*\*\*\*\* SpamScore] FPL Rate Increase

-----Original Message-----

**From:** linda drake [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 3:26 PM  
**To:** Governor Rick Scott  
**Subject:** [Spam:\*\*\*\*\* SpamScore] FPL Rate Increase

**From:** linda drake <lindad@wirespring.com>

**County:** Miami-Dade

**Zip Code:** 33018

**Message Body:** The rate increase that FPL was just granted is so WRONG! How could you be behind their picking the pockets of all Florida residents? FPL is a monopoly and a very profitable one at that. So WHY do they need a rate increase for something they're not obligated to build? WHAT KIND OF THINKING IS THAT?

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 4:12 PM  
**To:** 'lindad@wirespring.com'  
**Subject:** Re: FPL Rate Increase

Ms. Linda Drake  
[lindad@wirespring.com](mailto:lindad@wirespring.com)

Dear Ms. Drake :

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service.

You expressed a concern about FPL's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held this year on August 10-19, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants for FPL. FPL's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its planned Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 and adding capacity to existing Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. Over the life of the generating units, the additional capacity projects are expected to save customers an estimated \$155 million to \$1.5 billion. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,614 MW of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough energy to power 1.4 million homes.

The estimated 2012 nuclear cost recovery is about \$2.20 per month for the first 1,000 kilowatt hours (kwh) for FPL customers. The approved amount for FPL customer bills will be recovered through the fuel and capacity cost recovery charge on customer bills beginning next year.

I hope you find this information helpful. I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance  
Florida Public Service Commission

10/28/2011



**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 4:20 PM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

Thanks, Betty. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-E1.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Betty Leland On Behalf Of Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 4:13 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket # 110009.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 27, 2011 2:37 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

YOUR DECISION TO ALLOW FPL TO RAISE RATES TO "MAYBE" BUILD A NUCLEAR PLANT IS BEYOND OUTRAGEOUS. I'M WRITING RICK SCOTT NOW TO HAVE HIM DISMISS ALL OF YOU. HOW DARE YOU?

Commissioners,

How about in this weeks hearings that you stand up for the residents of Florida? Tell FPL that no, they cannot collect monies from it's captive customers to fund a project that may never be built. Please do the logical thing with FPL. And take care of the residents of Florida.

Remember, the residents and voters of Florida are monitoring your moves and will actively contact their

representatives about unseating you if you do not vote in favor of the residents.

Linda Drake  
19861 NW 88 Ave  
Hialeah, FL 33018  
954-548-3300

**Diamond Williams**


110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 11:14 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 11:12 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 10:57 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1036458C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 10:10 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 7:36 AM

To: Webmaster

Cc: Lynniemiller@aol.com

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Lynn Miller

Company:

Primary Phone: 3528542234

Secondary Phone:

Email: Lynniemiller@aol.com

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

No raises for Progress energy for non working power plants or proposed plants. Let their stockholders pay for them, not utility customers.

You are the "public service" commission. Protect the public. Thank you

**Diamond Williams**


110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 11:09 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:44 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 8:58 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1036407C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:25 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FW: My contact



-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 11:12 AM

To: Webmaster

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Julie Reycroft

Company:

Primary Phone:

Secondary Phone:

Email: bigpeachlobster@msn.com

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? No

Comments:

I was sooo hoping that the PSC would do the RIGHT thing and deny any rate increase for Progress Energy! You are supposed to be a PUBLIC service entity. The economy is not going to get better. Paying in advance for a Nuclear facility that is never going to happen is just wrong, they can't even manage the reactor they have!! That \$5 is a gallon or two of milk out of my food budget!! There is no extra \$\$ anymore. PLEASE rethink your decision. We all know this is just a grab for more revenue since there is no new construction and very few new family's moving to Florida. Across the board this is less money every household will be able to put back into our communities. Think about it.

**Diamond Williams**


110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 11:08 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:43 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:01 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1036410C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:25 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 10:43 AM

To: Webmaster

Cc: bergerb2@justice.com

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: BRUCE Berger

Company:

Primary Phone: 7277863954

Secondary Phone:

Email: bergerb2@justice.com

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

approving nuclear recovery fees, even if a plant is not built, is giving Progress Energy and FPL licenses to steal. Especially in an environment of increasing concerns over nuclear energy safety, as well as declining population and little to no industrial capacity likely in the next 10 - 15 years. This just bleeds residents in a time of economic hardship. With modern technology, the money should be able to go into a escrow account - with sub-accounts that can be redistributed when nothing gets done.

**Diamond Williams**


110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 11:07 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009  
**Attachments:** Florida Power and Light Rate Increase.; The Public Service Commission unanimously approved a request Monday to allow Florida Power & Light to charge customers a fee to pay for a proposed nuclear power plant.; Progress Energy Increase; E-Form Improper Billing TRACKING NUMBER: 30297

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:17 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

**Customer correspondence**

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:45 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as information requests, Docket #110009 and closeout code PR69. DH

10/26/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Brian Elleman [chbrelleman@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 12:30 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Florida Power and Light Rate Increase,

I have just heard that FPL wants to increase my rates by \$2.50 a month. I am opposed to this increase. I know that developing Nuclear power is very important to the future of the state.

I propose that FPL start going after people that are using electricity and not paying for it. I have recently called FPL twice to report people that are using electricity and not paying for it. I have yet to see them do anything about it. I work 6 days a week so that I can make sure my bills are paid. I am tired of all the increases that are taking place in the state of Florida.

With the insurance, electricity, and water bills continuing to climb how do the politicians that run Florida expect anyone to continue to live here.

Please consider having FPL get the money needed for their Nuclear Projects, by cracking down on the many people who are illegally using power.

Thank you for your time,

Florida tax payer and voter.

10/26/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Randy [1kewldude@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 3:12 PM

**To:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** The Public Service Commission unanimously approved a request Monday to allow Florida Power & Light to charge customers a fee to pay for a proposed nuclear power plant.

Enough of this crap - i'm tired of subsidizing millionaires - why the hell cant these companies pay for this themselves instead of raping their own customers....how much does the PSC get as a kickback....what justification do you offer the common man for this decision....

I hope you all choke on your own greed

<http://www.baynews9.com/article/news/2011/october/333626/PSC-approves-nuclear-power-fees-for-FPL,-Progress-Energy>

Randy Cook - pissed off citizen and customer of FPL

10/26/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** rcarter006@tampabay.rr.com  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 5:27 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Progress Energy Increase

This increase is unexplainable, which I suppose is why no one is trying to. If you can rationalize this vote, then you are no longer serving the citizens, but have become self serving. You have becoming professional politicians, and your motives will be revealed some day.

Sincerely, Rus Carter

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 10:23 AM  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** E-Form Improper Billing TRACKING NUMBER: 30297

### CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Name: Stephen ALLEN  
Telephone: 3057585669  
Email: skjunkmailbox@aol.com  
Address: 1040 NE 85th Street Miami FL 33138

### BUSINESS INFORMATION

Business Account Name: Stephen ALLEN  
Account Number:  
Address: 1040 NE 85th Street Miami Florida 33138

### COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Complaint: Improper Billing against Florida Power & Light Company  
Details:

WHAT THE HELL PSC? YOU ARE APPROVING A RIDICULOUS INCREASE IN OUR ELECTRIC RATES FROM 33¢ TO \$2.20/MO. TO SUPPORT RESEARCH FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS? FPL earned \$205 million last QTR, WHICH IS UP 7% from the \$191 million it reported during the same time last year (SUNSENTINEL By Julie Patel April 29, 2011). DONT YOU THINK THERE IS ENOUGH MONEY THERE TO SUPPORT THEIR RESEARCH ALREADY FOR THAT KIND OF PROJECT????????? AND ON TOP OF THAT THEY ARE NOT REQUIRED TO DO ANYTHING WITH THE MONEY????????? ARE YOU GUYS SERIOUS? REALLY??????

I WANT TO KNOW HOW YOU FEEL JUSTIFIED IN ALLOWING AN ALREADY PROFITABLE (MULTI-MILLION\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$) BUSINESS LIKE FPL TO GET MONEY FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE HURTING FINANCIALLY IN THIS STATE OF FLORIDA. I WANT TO KNOW HOW YOU THINK THIS WILL HELP ANYONE BUT FPL.



**Diamond Williams**


110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:35 AM  
**To:** Katherine Fleming  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Docket No. 110009  
**Attachments:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Thank you. The attachments and this e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Katherine Fleming  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:11 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Kathleen Stewart  
**Subject:** Docket No. 110009



Ann,

Please place the attached in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming  
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
(850) 413-6028 (Office)  
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

*Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.*

10/26/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Greg Wilson [wilsailman@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 12:28 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

Nuclear energy will be obsolete before the new plants can be built.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,  
Greg Wilson

Greg Wilson  
530 Roanoke St.  
Dunedin, FL 34698

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Crystal Weaver [crystal.d.weaver@my.sfcollege.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 11:42 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Crystal Weaver  
701 NW 54th Terrace  
Gainesville, FL 32607

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 8:13 AM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

Thanks, Betty. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Betty Leland On Behalf Of Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 8:08 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket No. 110009.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 12:47 PM  
**To:** Linda Drake (WireSpring)  
**Cc:** Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Re: FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

and you Commissioner Balbis evidently don't know what you're talking about:

Commissioner Eduardo Balbis said he is comfortable with allowing the companies to charge customers so they can pursue the option of building a nuclear plant. He said he didn't want to reverse the practice of the PSC for the past two years because "making an irrevocable decision at this time of a project of this magnitude may not be reasonable."

DON'T YOU REALIZE THAT THE PREVIOUS COMMISSIONERS \*WIHT\* THE EXCEPTION OF LISA, WERE THERE TO REPRESENT THE CONSUMERS \*NOT\* THE UTILITIES?

Read more:

[http://www.miamiherald.com/2011/10/24/2470358\\_p2/fpl-rates-will-rise-to-pay-for.html#ixzz1boSDlYy7](http://www.miamiherald.com/2011/10/24/2470358_p2/fpl-rates-will-rise-to-pay-for.html#ixzz1boSDlYy7)

On 10/24/2011 12:33 PM, Linda Drake (WireSpring) wrote:

> YOUR DECISION TO ALLOW FPL TO RAISE RATES TO "MAYBE" BUILD A NUCLEAR  
> PLANT IS BEYOND OUTRAGEOUS. I'M WRITING RICK SCOTT NOW TO HAVE HIM  
> DISMISS ALL OF YOU. HOW DARE YOU?

>

>

>

> Commissioners,

>

> How about in this weeks hearings that you stand up for the residents  
> of Florida? Tell FPL that no, they cannot collect monies from it's  
> captive customers to fund a project that may never be built. Please do  
> the logical thing with FPL. And take care of the residents of Florida.

>

> Remember, the residents and voters of Florida are monitoring your  
> moves and will actively contact their representatives about unseating  
> you if you do not vote in favor of the residents.

>

> Linda Drake

> 19861 NW 88 Ave

> Hialeah, FL 33018

> 954-548-3300

110009-El

Thank you. The attachments and this e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-El.

028600-11

Please add these to the consumer correspondence side of Docket # 110009.

Pamela

10/26/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Greg Wilson [wilsailman@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 12:28 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

Nuclear energy will be obsolete before the new plants can be built.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,  
Greg Wilson

Greg Wilson  
530 Roanoke St.  
Dunedin, FL 34698

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Mark Venzke [Advokitt@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 23, 2011 2:46 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Mark Venzke  
404 SE 2nd St  
Gainesville, FL 32601



## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Jennifer Babjak [jsb10g@my.fsu.edu]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2011 1:30 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jennifer Babjak

Jennifer Babjak  
156 Herlong Dr.  
Apt. 15  
Tallahassee, FL 32310

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Crystal Weaver [crystal.d.weaver@my.sfcollege.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 11:42 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Crystal Weaver  
701 NW 54th Terrace  
Gainesville, FL 32607

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Gail Lopez [galopez1@att.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 23, 2011 12:38 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Gail Lopez  
49 Forest Circle  
Cooper City, FL 33026-1101

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Lys Burden [wpburden@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 12:49 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should NOT be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Lys Burden  
320 S Main St  
320 South Main Street  
High Springs, FL 32643

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Caroline Luley [cluley@cfl.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 21, 2011 6:10 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Caroline Luley  
203 Washington Ave  
Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Alejandro Almirola [aalmi002@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 6:17 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants. We could also invest more in renewable energy especially solar since we are the "Sunshine State" after all.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Alejandro Almirola  
512 sw 20th rd  
Miami, FL 33129

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Karen Arrington [Arringtonkla@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 23, 2011 4:40 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Karen Arrington  
4416 NW 93rd Ave  
Gainesville, FL 32653

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 7:53 AM  
**To:** Pamela Paultre  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW:

[illegible]

**Thank you. The attachments and this e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.**

**From:** Pamela Paultre  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 5:07 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:**

Ann,

**Please add these to the consumer correspondence side of Docket # 110009.**

Thanks,  
Pamela



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Tyler Offeran [tjofferan@eagle.fgcu.edu]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 4:43 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

You will soon be voting on whether or not to extend addition funds to construction of additional nuclear power plants in Florida. I do not support any of the funds that have been taken from Florida citizens through early cost recovery and strongly oppose any additional funding. This energy is dirty, dangerous, and early cost recovery is an affront to taxpayers. With obvious issues with current nuclear facilities, nuclear meltdowns no longer a thing of the past, and obvious energy alternatives such as solar, it is pointless to continue to pour money into the most expensive way to boil water.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Tyler Offeran  
12350 Cannon Ln  
Fort Myers, FL 33912-1433

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Deborah Rubin [mamarubin@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 3:03 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Deborah Rubin  
19160 Dove Creek Drive  
19160 Dove Creek Drive  
Tampa, FL 33647

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Bill Stokes [bstokes4@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 12:38 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Bill Stokes  
301 2nd St. N.  
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Mara Shlackman [marashl@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 9:12 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

It is unconscionable that in the aftermath of Fukushima that expansion of nuclear plants continues, and Ft. Lauderdale residents are within a 50-mile radius of Turkey Point.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Mara Shlackman  
2100 S. Ocean Dr. #8E  
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Elizabeth Fleming [efleming@defenders.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 11:59 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Fleming  
4560 Overlook Drive, NE  
#272  
St. Petersburg, FL 33703

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Jennifer Chadwick [jenniferchadwick@semtribe.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 1:08 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jennifer Chadwick  
30290 Josie Billie Hwy  
PMB 120  
Clewiston, FL 33440

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Ennis Jacobs [jacobsennis50@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 11:14 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Ennis Jacobs  
P.O. Box 1101  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** mike thompson [thompson17mdt@att.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 7:34 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

mike thompson  
1089 volco rd  
Edgewater, FL 32141



## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** loren wieland [lorenlw@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 5:35 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

loren wieland  
19021 acorn rd  
19021 acorn rd  
ft. myers, FL 33967

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Larry Lowman [dustinlowman@semtibe.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 1:03 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Larry Lowman  
201 Kilpatrick Road  
Clewiston, FL 33440-8839

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Michael Mahoney [mmahon13@fau.edu]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 10:40 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Michael Mahoney  
11214 Marina Bay Road  
Wellington, FL 33449

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Diane Whitfield [dwhitfield@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 7:32 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Diane Whitfield  
6740 Abe Whitfield Lane  
Perry, FL 32348

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Laura Sue Wilansky [LauraSue@SilverNightingale.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 4:06 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

I'm writing to ask you to take action on behalf of the residents of our state on a very important issue - CWIP (Construction Work In Progress) Fees for new nuclear construction. I am unalterably opposed to any CWIP fees being charged to consumers for new nuclear plants which we do not need, cannot afford, and which may never even be built, even if we consumers are forced to invest billions of dollars in them! You have the power to keep this from happening!

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

Thank you for your service.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Laura Sue Wilansky  
2251 NE 66 St.  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308-1232

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Amanda Lewis [rebelgirl05@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 1:00 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Amanda Lewis  
201 Kilpatrick Road  
Clewiston, FL 33440-8839

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Corbett Kroehler [corbettkroehler@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 9:30 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Corbett Kroehler  
5104 Stratemeyer Drive  
Orlando, FL 32839

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Tim Steorts [tsteorts@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 10:42 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

This is one of the biggest rip-offs ever perpetrated on the citizens of the state of Florida.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Tim Steorts  
PO Box 67  
Lake Wales, Florida 33859

Tim Steorts  
PO Box 67  
Lake Wales, Florida  
Lake Wales, FL 33859



02860-1110009-E1

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 26, 2011 7:53 AM  
**To:** Pamela Paultre  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: correspondence - nuclear

[illegible]

Thank you. The attachments and this e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

**From:** Pamela Paultre  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 5:01 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** correspondence - nuclear

Ann,

10/26/2011

Please add these to the consumer correspondence side of Docket # 110009.

I don't believe I saw any of these on CMS. I apologize if there is any redundancy.

Thank you,

Pamela

10/26/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** tina gardner [tgardner7@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 7:33 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

tina gardner  
1111 knollwood dr  
safety harbor, FL 34695

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Silvia Hall [silviahall@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:07 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Silvia Hall  
349 NE 31st Street  
Boca Raton, FL 33431

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Michael Hussey [mphussey@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 12:04 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Michael Hussey  
MLC-45  
9601 Miccosukee Road  
Tallahassee, FL 32309

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Cindy Lang [Lyonstpa@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:55 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

This may be the final straw that makes me switch my party affiliation from Republican to Democrat. I have about had enough with how this state has been run since 1996!  
cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Cindy Lang  
12335 lacey Dr.  
12335 Lacey Dr  
New Port Richey, FL 34654

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** h j williams [hali@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:12 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

h j williams  
1515 anchor lane  
merritt island, FL 32952

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Christopher Sullivan [greenkalki@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 12:38 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Christopher Sullivan  
678 Sanctuary Rd  
Naples, FL 34120



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** tye block [tabdolphins@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 11:54 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

tye block  
po box 39254  
po box 39254 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33339  
fort lauderdale, FL 33339

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Bert Taylor [btaylor000@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:44 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Bert Taylor  
1690 Hillview st.  
Sarasota, FL 34239

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Jane Walsh [rainily@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:09 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jane Walsh  
3850 NE 4 Ave.  
Deerfield Beach, FL 33064

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** brian byrne [bbyrne419@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 12:33 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

brian byrne  
6146 forest pines dr.  
6146 forest pines dr  
pensacola, FL 32526

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Peter Burkard [pmborganic@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 11:44 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Peter Burkard  
7614 Linden Lane  
Sarasota, FL 34243

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** john cielukowski [skyblu@cfl.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:31 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

john cielukowski  
9 harbor circle  
9 harbor circle  
cocoa beach, FL 32931

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** george craciun [gcraciun@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:09 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

I urge you to deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

george craciun  
12811 flint creek road  
12811 flint creek road  
thonotosassa, FL 33592-2660

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Lydia Glazer [lGlaz@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 12:20 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Lydia Glazer  
610 sea pine  
greenaces, FL 33415



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Marilyn Blackwell [marilynblackwel@wmconnect.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 10:51 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Marilyn Blackwell  
4812 County Road 381  
4812 County Road 381  
Wewahitchka, FL 32465-6960

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Lesley Cox [dan-lesley@att.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:26 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Lesley Cox  
P.O. Box CC  
P.O. Box CC  
Carrabelle, FL 32322

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Jean Alford [jeanalfordecho@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:51 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors. The last thing we need or want is a nuclear reactor.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jean Alford  
3202 Oakstand LN  
Orlando, FL 32812

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Mary Jo Aagerstoun [mjaagerstoun@mathisnet.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 12:04 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Mary Jo Aagerstoun  
429 30th Street  
West Palm Beach, FL 33407

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** AJ Slobodow [ajs@publicmediaproductions.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 10:42 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

AJ Slobodow  
5113 Stratton Avenue  
5113 Stratton Ave  
Tampa, FL 33624

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Vincent Stalba [vincent\_stalba@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:26 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Vincent Stalba  
18001 Richmond Place Drive  
18001 Richmond Place Apt 127  
Tampa, FL 33647

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Charles Hunt [profit7864@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:26 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Charles Hunt  
7121 Lake Island Drive  
Lake Worth, FL 33467-7953

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Charles Hunt [profit7864@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:26 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Charles Hunt  
7121 Lake Island Drive  
Lake Worth, FL 33467-7953



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Mary Jo Aagerstoun [mjaagerstoun@mathisnet.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 12:04 PM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Mary Jo Aagerstoun  
429 30th Street  
West Palm Beach, FL 33407

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Jay Smith [bureau165@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 10:37 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jay Smith  
P.O. Box 330851  
Miami, FL 33233-0851

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Terry Rutz [terrutz@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:11 AM  
**To:** Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.


Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Terry Rutz  
3802 S. Lancewood Pl  
Delray Beach, FL 33445

**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:32 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Balbis  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Progress Energy

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Office of Commissioner Balbis  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:12 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: Progress Energy

Ann,

Please place the e-mail below in in Docket Correspondence - Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thanks,

Cristina

---

**From:** ESoutherIn@aol.com [mailto:ESoutherIn@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 4:51 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Balbis  
**Subject:** Progress Energy

As a customer of Progress Energy I certainly do not approve of having to pay for Nuclear repairs or new construction before operation. As a retired person on fixed income a increase in my electric bill is difficult  
Please review carefully and do the right thing.  
Ernie S.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 8:36 AM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: FPL and rates

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

FPSC, CLK	CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.	0286011
DISTRIBUTION:	

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Betty Leland On Behalf Of Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 8:17 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

Ann:

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket # 110009.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 12:34 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** FPL and rates  
**Importance:** High

YOUR DECISION TO ALLOW FPL TO RAISE RATES TO "MAYBE" BUILD A NUCLEAR PLANT IS BEYOND OUTRAGEOUS. I'M WRITING RICK SCOTT NOW TO HAVE HIM DISMISS ALL OF YOU. HOW DARE YOU?

Commissioners,



How about in this weeks hearings that you stand up for the residents of Florida? Tell FPL that no, they cannot collect monies from it's captive customers to fund a project that may never be built. Please do the logical thing with FPL. And take care of the residents of Florida.

Remember, the residents and voters of Florida are monitoring your moves and will actively contact their representatives about unseating you if you do not vote in favor of the residents.

Linda Drake  
19861 NW 88 Ave  
Hialeah, FL 33018  
954-548-3300

#110009

JOHN D. RUNKLE  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
POST OFFICE BOX 3793  
CHAPEL HILL, N.C. 27515-3793



October 19, 2011

919-942-0600  
jrunkle@pricecreek.com



Art Graham, Chairman  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

J.R. Kelly, Public Counsel  
Florida Office of Public Counsel  
111 West Main Street, Room 812  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Stan Wise, Chairman  
Georgia Public Service Commission  
244 Washington St. SW  
Atlanta, GA 30334-9052

Edward Finley, Chairman  
N.C. Utilities Commission  
4325 Mail Service Center  
Raleigh, NC 27699-4325

Robert Gruber, Executive Director  
N.C. Utilities Commission Public Staff  
4326 Mail Service Center  
Raleigh, NC 27699-4326

John Howard, Chairman  
Public Service Commission of S.C.  
101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

C. Dukes Scott, Executive Director,  
S.C. Office of Regulatory Staff  
1401 Main Street, Suite 900  
Columbia, SC 29201

Re: Westinghouse-Toshiba AP1000 Delays

Gentlemen:

My client, the AP1000 Oversight Group consists of state, regional and national nonprofit organizations concerned about the safety and reliability of the Westinghouse-Toshiba AP1000 reactor design and operating procedures. Utilities in each of your states have proposed to use this design. I am writing to you to point out a potentially serious design flaw with the reactor and urge you to investigate its cost impacts on your state's ratepayers.

The AP1000 Oversight Group has submitted several sets of comments on the rulemaking certification for the AP1000 reactors before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Docket NRC-2010-0131, pointing out safety issues as soon as we discover them. The attached supplemental comments describe a newly disclosed design flaw in which the larger AP1000 design is being "shoehorned" into the smaller AP600 design. This could have a significant impact on the safety and reliability of the reactor. Outages could be prolonged as even routine maintenance could necessitate major structural changes, even to the point of removing walls and buildings. Any up-front cost savings in using the AP600-designed turbine building could be overwhelmed by the continuing costs of maintaining and operating the reactor. These high costs of maintenance and operation could be forced on ratepayers after the building was constructed.

The "shoehorn" issue is just another unresolved problem with the design that may lead to extended delays in the certification process. In an October 3, 2011 letter to Westinghouse-Toshiba, the NRC stated that Westinghouse-Toshiba has "failed to effectively demonstrate the ability of the shield building to withstand an aircraft impact." This issue has been unresolved for years and cannot be resolved by pushing it into the operating license stage without entailing potentially significant costs and redesign, and again, causing ratepayers to bear the financial burden.

Several other unresolved issues stem from the Fukushima disaster, and lessons learned about containment pressure, loss of ultimate heat sinks, the inability of the so-called passive system to operate if debris is present and the dangers of the densely-packed spent fuel rods in the AP1000 design will potentially lead to other major costly delays and redesigns.



The commissions in the Southeast need to take extreme caution before arbitrarily accepting the AP1000 reactors without full cost analysis. The ratepayers need to be protected from the impacts of uncontrolled costs over the lifetime of the proposed AP1000 reactors.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "John D. Runkle".

John D. Runkle

cc. Bill Jacobs, GDS Associates, Inc. (Georgia)

October 18, 2011

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of	)	
AP1000 Design Certification Amendment	)	NRC-2010-0131
10 CFR Part 52	)	RIN 3150-A181

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS BY THE AP1000 OVERSIGHT GROUP ET AL.  
(NEWLY DISCLOSED DESIGN FLAW)

NOW COME the AP1000 Oversight Group, the North Carolina Waste Awareness and Reduction Network (NC WARN) and Friends of the Earth (collectively the "Oversight Group") with supplemental comments on the certification of the AP1000 reactor design and operating procedures, Docket NRC-2010-0131, relating to a newly disclosed design flaw.

In its Memorandum and Order, CLI-11-05, September 9, 2011, the Commission addressed the Oversight Group's concerns by referring its comments and petitions to the Staff to be resolved in the Rulemaking Docket, NRC-2010-0131. In its Order the Commission ruled that

[we] Refer to the NRC Staff those elements of the Petition that relate specifically to design certification, for consideration as rulemaking comments. Refer to the NRC Staff for resolution as comment sin the AP1000 rulemaking proceeding, all additional filings relevant to the AP1000 rulemaking proceeding.

The Oversight Group has diligently submitted comments into the rulemaking record as issues affecting the safety and reliability of the AP1000 reactors come to our attention.

### NEWLY DISCLOSED DESIGN FLAW

According to newly disclosed information provided to the Oversight Group by a nuclear industry insider, Westinghouse-Toshiba and the NRC have failed to identify a design flaw in the AP1000's turbine building. The information that was received indicated a major structural flaw in the turbine building at the proposed Plant Vogtle reactors, although this flaw relates more broadly to the AP1000 design and its possible certification. The Oversight Group retained Fairewinds Associates and its chief engineer, Arnie Gundersen, to review this new information and these comments reflect his review.

According to the nuclear industry insider, Westinghouse-Toshiba will attempt to install critical safety and generating equipment into the smaller turbine building designed for the AP600, i.e., the AP1000 equipment will be "shoehorned" into the smaller AP600 building. Because the equipment cannot safely fit into the AP600 building, the current AP1000 design ignores safety concerns by limiting access to critical equipment. The reactor designers are allegedly being forced to relocate many essential pieces of equipment into side buildings and add-on buildings not feasible for long-term operations or reliability.

Although the NRC certified the AP600 design, Westinghouse-Toshiba was reportedly unable to sell this smaller-sized reactor to the utility industry, and thus reapplied to the NRC for the scaled up AP1000. In its rush to be eligible for federal subsidies and loan guarantees and in order to lower initial construction costs, Plant Vogtle's turbine building, as well as other proposed reactors utilizing the AP1000 design, was not designed for the expanded AP1000 reactor, and instead Westinghouse-Toshiba has allegedly attempted, albeit unsuccessfully, to shoehorn its equipment into the much smaller AP600 design. According to Mr. Gundersen, the shoehorn design may significantly increase maintenance and repair costs that will be borne by ratepayers of the utilities who wish to use the AP1000 reactors.

According to Mr. Gundersen, the restricted equipment access congruent with the AP1000 redesign would restrict crucial access to the condenser, turbine, and feedwater heater that were integral components of the AP600 design. The shoehorned AP1000 design will limit access to essential equipment, increase operating downtime and outages, and lead to increased ratepayer costs because the shoehorned AP1000 design will make condenser retubing, turbine overhauls, and feedwater heater replacements/repairs impossible without disassembling entire buildings.

Moreover, in addition to higher operating costs and downtime borne by Plant Vogtle's ratepayers, the AP1000 Oversight Group believes shoehorning large AP1000 equipment into the smaller AP600 blueprint would create three unreviewed safety problems the NRC must evaluate:

1. Limited access and cramped equipment may affect the reliability of a nuclear power plant as unreliable systems negatively impact operations by causing frequent breakdowns and burdening safety systems. Frequent plant shutdowns for unanticipated problems challenge reactor safety systems and thus the AP1000 may potentially have its safety systems challenged much more frequently due to the shoehorn design.

2. Shoehorning the larger AP1000 turbine in the smaller AP600 turbine building has the potential for unanalyzed turbine missiles to damage essential safety-related equipment.

3. The auxiliary feedwater system is a nuclear plant's first line of defense to cool the steam generators when it shuts down. With significant portions of the AP1000 auxiliary feedwater system located in the undersized AP600 turbine building the AP1000's auxiliary feedwater system will be challenged to operate as intended.

Finally, in order to conduct a thorough technical analysis of what it believes to be unreviewed and significant safety concerns, the Oversight Group and its consulting engineer attempted to review and analyze building drawings in the AP1000 Design Certification Document Revision 19, Volume 2, Chapter 1, Section 1.2.8 et al., but much

of the detailed descriptions of the turbine building were not available for engineering review because they were marked "Security-Related Information, Withhold Under 10 CFR 2.390d." It is therefore up to the Commission, NRC Staff and Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards to fully investigate these potentially serious safety concerns and promptly determine if the AP1000 is shoehorned into the AP600.

### CONCLUSION

Until the safety issues associated with the impact of the shoehorned AP1000 design are addressed prior to the delivery of the "rulemaking package" by the NRC Staff to the Commission for consideration of certification of the AP1000 reactor design and operating procedures, the Oversight Group requests that approval of the AP1000 design be suspended. As part of this request and prior to any consideration of certification of the AP1000 reactor, all other features of the AP1000 which have been upscaled from the AP600 design should be reviewed in order to guarantee that proper and validated calculations have been made when basing AP1000 design features on the AP600 model. Lastly, the NRC Staff should immediately apprise the public if the serious safety issue we have raised is accurate and if it is, we recommend that an investigation be conducted to determine how any flawed turbine building design could have been overlooked by Westinghouse-Toshiba or the NRC staff during the AP1000 review process.

Respectfully submitted this 18<sup>th</sup> day of October 2011.

/signed electronically by/

John D. Runkle  
Attorney at Law  
Post Office Box 3793  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27515  
telephone: 919-942-0600  
email: jrunkle@pricecreek.com

John D. Runkle  
Attorney at Law  
P.O. Box 3793  
Chapel Hill, NC 27515-3793

RESEARCH TRIANGLE REGION  
NC 276 5 L  
20 OCT 2011 PM



Art Graham, Chairman  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

32399-0850



State of Florida




Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

110009-EI  
RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 OCT 21 PM 4:10  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

---

**DATE:** October 21, 2011  
**TO:** Ann Cole, Commission Clerk - PSC, Office of Commission Clerk  
**FROM:** Cristina Slaton, Executive Secretary to Commissioner Balbis   
**RE:** Docket Correspondence – 110009-EI

---

Ann,

This office has received the attached letter from Richard Kuper, Esq., Executive Director, Miami-Dade County League of Cities, regarding docket no. 110009-EI.

The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Balbis. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as CEO 91-31-July 19, 1001), the attached letter does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Because it is not deemed to be an ex parte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However, in such cases Commissioner Balbis has requested that a copy of the correspondence be placed in the correspondence folder of the docket file.

Attachment

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		





## MIAMI-DADE COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES

226 East Flagler Street • Suite 200 • Miami, FL 33131  
Phone 305.416.4155 Fax 305.416.4157 www.mdclc.org

Richard Kuper, Esq.  
Executive Director

### OFFICERS

President  
Hon. Juan C. Bermudez  
Mayor, Doral  
First Vice President  
Hon. Luis Gonzalez  
Councilman, City of Hialeah  
Second Vice President  
Hon. Deede Weithorn  
Commissioner, Miami Beach  
Third Vice President  
Hon. Cindy Lerner  
Mayor, Pinecrest  
Secretary  
Hon. Jon Burgess  
Councilman, Homestead  
Treasurer  
Hon. Francis Suarez  
Commissioner, Miami

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Hon. Zev Auerbach  
Vice Mayor, Aventura  
Hon. Joni D. Blachar  
Assistant Mayor, Bay Harbor  
Hon. Jordan W. Leonard  
Councilman, Bay Harbor Islands  
Hon. Roxanna Ross  
Mayor, Biscayne Park  
Hon. Rafael Cabrera, Jr.  
Commissioner, Coral Gables  
Hon. Edward MacDougall  
Mayor, Cutler Bay  
Hon. Michael DiPietro  
Councilman, Doral  
Hon. Harold Mathis, Jr.  
Vice Mayor, El Portal  
Hon. R.S. Shiver  
Commissioner, Florida City  
Hon. Judy Lusk  
Councilwoman, Golden Beach  
Hon. Vivian Casals-Munoz  
Councilwoman, Hialeah  
Hon. Yisael De La Cruz  
Mayor, Hialeah Gardens  
Hon. Elvis Maldonado  
Councilman, Homestead  
Hon. Bernard Klepach  
Mayor, Indian Creek  
Hon. Frank Caplan  
Mayor, Key Biscayne  
Hon. Ramon Rodriguez  
Mayor, Medley  
Hon. Wilfredo "Willy" Gort  
Commissioner, Miami  
Hon. Lynda Bell  
Commissioner, Miami-Dade County  
Hon. Dr. Wilbert "Tee" Holloway  
Board Member  
Miami-Dade County School Board  
Hon. Jonah Wolfson  
Commissioner, Miami Beach  
Hon. Oliver Gilbert III  
Councilman, Miami Gardens  
Hon. Michael Pizzi  
Mayor, Miami Lakes  
Hon. Jim McCoy  
Mayor, Miami Shores  
Hon. Xavier Garcia  
Mayor, Miami Springs  
Hon. Corina Esquivarosa  
Mayor, North Bay Village  
Hon. Mane Stenl  
Councilwoman, North Miami  
Hon. George Vallejo  
Mayor, North Miami Beach  
Hon. Myra Taylor  
Mayor, Opa-Locka  
Hon. Shelley Stanczyk  
Mayor, Palmetto Bay  
Hon. Joseph Corradino  
Councilman, Pinecrest  
Hon. Brian Beasley  
Commissioner, South Miami  
Hon. Norman Edelcup  
Mayor, Sunny Isles Beach  
Hon. Joseph Grubart  
Vice Mayor, Surfside  
Hon. Prisca Barreto  
Commissioner, Sweetwater  
Hon. Richard Block  
Councilman, Virginia Gardens  
Hon. Eduardo Muhlila  
Mayor, West Miami

October 14, 2011

The Honorable Eduardo E. Balbis  
Commissioner, Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Dear Commissioner Balbis:

At the Miami-Dade County League of Cities Board of Director's Meeting held on October 6, 2011 the Board of Director's passed Resolution No. 2011-2, "urging the public service commission to deny approval of any further "Early Cost Recovery" and urging the Florida legislature to repeal the "Early Cost Recovery" statute relating to electric utility charges for siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear plants; providing for an effective date", enclosed is a copy of the resolution for your review and consideration

On behalf of the Board members of the Miami-Dade County League of Cities, we hope that you will favorably consider our request. If you have any questions or would like to discuss this matter further please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Richard Kuper, Esq.  
Executive Director

RK/ym

### Immediate Past President

Hon. Michael Blynn  
Councilman, North Miami

### Past Presidents

Hon. Shirley Gibson  
Mayor, Miami Gardens  
Hon. Richard Steinberg  
State Representative, District 105  
Hon. Manuel Maroño  
Mayor, City of Sweetwater  
Hon. Eduardo Gonzalez  
State Representative, District 102  
Hon. Isaac Salver  
Councilman, Town of Bay Harbor Islands  
Hon. R.S. Shiver  
Commissioner, City of Florida City  
Hon. Wilfredo "Willy" Gort  
Commissioner, City of Miami  
Hon. Paul Vogel  
Commissioner, City of North Bay Village  
General Counsel  
Howard B. Lenard, Esq.



**RESOLUTION NO. 2011-2**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC. URGING THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TO DENY APPROVAL OF ANY FURTHER "EARLY COST RECOVERY" AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REPEAL THE "EARLY COST RECOVERY" STATUTE RELATING TO ELECTRIC UTILITY CHARGES FOR SITING, DESIGN, LICENSING, AND CONSTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR PLANTS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

**WHEREAS**, F.S. 366.93, known as "Early Cost Recovery," was adopted by The Florida Legislature in 2006 and provides that utilities may charge customers in advance of operation for the costs of planning, lobbying for, and constructing nuclear power plants; and

**WHEREAS**, the Early Cost Recovery statute provides that the utility may impose and collect the charge but never actually complete the project, thus charging the rate payer for improvements and infrastructure they will never actually provide to customers; and

**WHEREAS**, FPL has currently charged, collected from its customers and spent \$268 million in Early Cost Recovery funds and is seeking approval from the Public Service Commission for an additional \$186 million in 2012 new nuclear-related projects including the construction of two additional nuclear reactors at Turkey Point in South Miami-Dade, but which have never received approval for a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and

**WHEREAS**, the additional funds requested by FPL may represent a dramatic increase in the customer's monthly bill at a time when consumers are struggling to pay current bills; and

**WHEREAS**, FPL executives have stated that nuclear reactors are too expensive to build, yet FPL continues to collect money from the ratepayers under "Early Cost Recovery" to finance other infrastructure projects listed under their nuclear Combined Operating License Application; and

**WHEREAS**, publicly traded utilities receiving money from ratepayers under “Early Cost Recovery” are not obliged to pay back those funds if their nuclear generation projects are not completed; and

**WHEREAS**, publicly traded utilities receiving money from ratepayers under “Early Cost Recovery” can and do use those moneys to lobby and litigate against the public to pursue projects the ratepayers oppose; and

**WHEREAS**, improvements in domestic energy efficiency are the best energy investments available, are carbon-free, safe, and can provide more jobs to Floridians than any other investment, but “Early Cost Recovery” monies are not permissible for such investments; and

**WHEREAS**, nuclear reactors financed through “Early Cost Recovery” have become the most expensive way to produce electricity; and

**WHEREAS**, the nuclear reactor designs currently being financed through “Early Cost Recovery” have never been deployed and may pose unforeseen risks to citizens of the Southeastern United States;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY** Miami-Dade County League of Cities, Inc.


Section 1. That the Miami-Dade County League of Cities, Inc. hereby urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny FPL approval of any further Early Cost Recovery funding.

Section 2. That the Miami-Dade County League of Cities, Inc. hereby urges the Florida Legislature to repeal F.S. 366.93 relating to Early Cost Recovery.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Miami-Dade County  
League of Cities, Inc. at regular meeting assembled this 10<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2011.

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON BURGESS  
Secretary

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JUAN CARLOS BERMUDEZ  
PRESIDENT

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

110009-E1

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 8:40 AM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE:

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-E1.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 8:21 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW:

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket #110009.

---

**From:** James Macaluso [mailto:jimmac529@att.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 10:39 PM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Subject:**

Mr. Graham,

Impressive career. You seem very capable of you position. Is there anything you and your colleagues can do to help protect the consumers from FPL? It feels like whenever they request a rate increase it is approved. FPL is profit based publically traded company. Their investors virtually have no risk. They mismanage projects and then ask for more money for projects that may or may not be completed and according to the PB Post:

"The additional charge includes prepayment for costs including planning and licensing for two new reactors at Turkey Point. Those reactors aren't expected to come on line for at least another decade, but if FPL decides not to build them, customers would not get a refund."

Is this correct?

I would feel better if they "invested" more in wind, solar, hydraulic or anything else.

Disappointed Impotent FPL drone,

Jim Macaluso  
 Juno Beach, FL

10/25/2011

FPSC, CLK	CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 028600-11	
DISTRIBUTION:	

110009-E1

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 8:37 AM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: FPL RULING

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-E1.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 25, 2011 8:19 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: FPL RULING

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket #110009.

Thanks.

---

**From:** andrew toffoli [mailto:altoffoli@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 3:14 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** FPL RULING

You are supposed to be protecting the residents of Florida NOT gouging them!

10/25/2011

**Diamond Williams**


110009 EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 11:14 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 9:33 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 9:07 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1035834. DH

---

**From:** [pgarcia130@aol.com](mailto:pgarcia130@aol.com) [mailto:[pgarcia130@aol.com](mailto:pgarcia130@aol.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 7:27 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** VOTE AGAINST ENERGY RATE INCREASE

REF: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause : RATE INCREASE

Dear Commissioners:

10/24/2011

I urge you to vote against any rate increase proposed by the power companies. Floridians are already struggling with the high cost of energy.

Thank You

Paulino Garcia  
Miami, FL

10/24/2011

---

**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 9:44 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brisé  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: Rate Increase

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---


**From:** Pamela Paultre **On Behalf Of** Office of Commissioner Brisé  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 9:35 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: Rate Increase

Ann,

Please add to the correspondence side of Docket # 110009.

Thank you,

Pamela



---

**From:** pgarcia130@aol.com [mailto:pgarcia130@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 24, 2011 7:29 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brisé  
**Subject:** Rate Increase

REF: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause : RATE INCREASE

Dear Commissioner:

I urge you to vote against any rate increase proposed by the power companies. Floridians are already struggling with the high cost of energy.

Thank You

Paulino Garcia  
Miami, Fl

10/24/2011



11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance** for risky **nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Kristy Frederico*  
SIGNATURE  
Kristy Frederico Clearwater 33759  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



028100-11

11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Aja Sudano*  
SIGNATURE  
Aja Sudano Tampa FL 900-3267  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33634

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



02900-11

11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

CARLA CHRISTMAN 32174  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
ORMOND BEACH

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



11 OCT 24 AM 9:22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Jamie Farnham*  
SIGNATURE  
Jamie Farnham Tampa, FL 33612 813-391-4699  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



02800-11

11 OCT 24 AM 9:22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

Mark Adams Valrico 33596


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



02800-11

11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
Anita Stewart Lutz  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33548



028100-11

11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*John R. Pritchett*  
SIGNATURE  
John R. Pritchett Brooksville 34601  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



11 OCT 24 AM 9:22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Brittini Bradford*  
SIGNATURE  
Brittini Bradford  
CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





02860-11

11 OCT 24 AM 9:22

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**JAMES E CHRISTENSEN** **ORLANDO BEACH FL 32174**  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
**386-677-1176**

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



0280011

11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
CHRISTINE W. LAMPLE  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
Tampa FL 33608  
813. 290 7038



028600-11

11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Jessica Cooper - Tampa 33611  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
813-630-6454

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



11 OCT 24 AM 9: 22

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.

Thank you,

*Robert C. Tamm*  
 (Please Print) NAME SIGNATURE CITY ZIP PHONE  
 Robert C. Tamm 33619 913 6305457

Florida Public Service Commission  
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



We must choose.

110009-E1

02860-11

RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance** for **risky nuclear proposals**, especially with no **guarantees for project completion** or **refunds for abandoned projects**.

Instead, Florida should work toward a **truly clean, safe and affordable energy future** with **efficiency and renewable energy**.

Thank you,

*Bert Morrison*

SIGNATURE

1454 DOE N. ST PETE 33701

(Please Print) NAME

CITY


ZIP

PHONE

727 600 2424

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Tyler Offerman 33912  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
L. CLARK      SIGNATURE      33701  
(Please Print) NAME      CITY      ZIP      PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Aspad R. Brooks*  
SIGNATURE  
33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**STEVE EVERACE 33701**  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

**Dear Commission:**

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward  
a truly clean, safe and affordable energy  
future with efficiency and renewable energy.

Thank you,

Thank you,


R Ward  
SIGNATURE

Rebecca Ward Miami 33183  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

978 223 3896

**Florida Public Service Commission**  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
Henty Broege St. Pete 33711 9784125858  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
maria m. st. pete 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Sheene W. Shum  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
St. Pete

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Joshua Livingston 1498 737 936  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
2497

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED VED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Jim Z...*


SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME

CITY *FL* ZIP *33701* PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE

Michael Dunn St Petersburg 727 320 4986  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33713

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Penny Nasworthy*  
SIGNATURE  
PENNY NASWORTHY  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Joseph Keink  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
St Petersburg 33711

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**Randi Rogers** *St Petersburg 11-3-2021 6297*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33711

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Patricia Bailey*  
SIGNATURE  
Patricia Bailey St. Pete 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK





Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
    
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Melissa Davis*  
SIGNATURE  
Melissa Davis Panama City  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
34501

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward *a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
Bernard Chester SIGNATURE St. Pete 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Emily Behar  
(Please Print) NAME  
St. Petersburg CITY  
33711 ZIP  
832-2016 PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK




Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
  
(Please Print) NAME  
  
CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK






Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
  
(Please Print) NAME  
  
CITY  
  
ZIP  
  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Derek Mathi*  
SIGNATURE  
DEREK MATHI, SALES 33731  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.


Thank you,



SIGNATURE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Peter Markhol  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
SIGNATURE  
ST. PETERS 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
JIM GUSZKIEWICZ BRANDON 33511 8136540084  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Margaret Cusack*  
SIGNATURE  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Michael Paul*  
SIGNATURE  
*Michael Paul*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33710 927-3413  
0495

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-PPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03



COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

St. Pete 53571



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
SIGNATURE  
Carla Parker, Lol, FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*James Denny*  
SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance** for risky **nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Katie VanSant  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

• Thank you,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric J. Brien      33301  
(Please Print) NAME      CITY      ZIP      PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**GERALDINE N. CARSON**  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
St. Petersburg 33711

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward *a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Jessica Moseley St. Pete FL 33710-3123  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Viengchan Garcia  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
St Pete FL 33710

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you  
  
Samantha Juekha  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
St Peter 33713



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Lucille Bowd*  
SIGNATURE  
*Lucille Bowd*

(Please Print) NAME

CITY


ZIP

PHONE

ST 33101 727-895-1416

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-PPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Rob Wilson*  
SIGNATURE  
34219  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Jennifer Bystron 33301  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Michael Hadden* 727 692-1847  
SIGNATURE  
Michael Hadden ST Pete FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33701

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
JOHN W DAVIS ST PETE  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you

*Kelly Hardin*  
SIGNATURE  
Kelly Hardin Riverview 33869  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
Kevin A. Rivers 33569  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

813 677-2394

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Ramon A. Feliciano St. Pete, FL 33711  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE  
*Debra McArthur*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
ST. PET 33704

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Patricia Lucas*  
SIGNATURE  
*Patricia Lucas* 33453  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Reggy Weigand*  
SIGNATURE  
34219  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Catherine Mulligan*  
SIGNATURE  
CATHERINE MULLIGAN  
(Please Print) NAME  
CITY ZIP PHONE  
OLDSMAR 34077

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
LAURIE DAVIS 4461 41ST AVE N  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

St. Pete. FL  
53714



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you

*Sampson Rhynes*  
SIGNATURE  
SAMPSON RHYNES  
(Please Print) NAME  
CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

33711



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*George Johnsen*  
SIGNATURE  
*George Johnsen St. Peter 33701*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Gloria Johnson*  
SIGNATURE  
Gloria Johnson  
(Please Print) NAME  
St Pete 33711  
CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Mathew Burcham*  
SIGNATURE  
Mathew Burcham St. Pete Fl. 33711  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Sarah Boland*  
SIGNATURE  
*Sarah Boland*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33701

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Kyle Cerio ST Petersburg 33701 565 7612  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**KAREN TERRETT** 407-337-1111  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Bobori* *Aljondro & Pete*  
SIGNATURE  
Bobori Aljondro & Pete  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Hannah Springer  
SIGNATURE  
12m02 FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**LEANNE EVANS**  
(Please Print) NAME  
**St. Pete** **33710** **727 819 5295**  
CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
William Carter ST. Petersburg, FL 33711  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you, 727 286-0314

*Arthur Davis*  
SIGNATURE  
ARTHUR DAVIS St Pet 33707  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Golden Roy Kemp*  
SIGNATURE  
Golden Kemp Street 33701 777.565.3575  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*José Gonzalez*  
SIGNATURE  
Tore Gonzalez  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Jessica Keller*  
SIGNATURE ST PETE FL  
Jessica Keller 33714  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Ellen Clayton*  
SIGNATURE  
ELLEN CLAYTON Seminole  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Sheila B. M. Daugherty  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 33704

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Amanda Ball*  
SIGNATURE  
*2603 W. Bayshore Tampa 33611*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Meira Dilday*  
SIGNATURE  
**MEIRA DILDAY ST PETE 33101**  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Hannah Lynch*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
*Hannah Lynch St. Pete 33713*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
727 300 4986

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,

*Amber Wheeler*

SIGNATURE


*SAlete fl 33702*

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

  
SIGNATURE  
Amanda Jeter St. Petersburg, FL 73407  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Niketta Wofford*  
SIGNATURE  
*Niketta Wofford* 337 33  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Cassandra McNamee*

SIGNATURE

Cassandra McNamee Pinellas Park FL 33782

(Please Print) NAME


CITY

ZIP

PHONE

727-492-6772 727-238-1423

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Danielle Becker*

Danielle Becker St. Petersburg 33701 (817) 917-4355


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance** for risky **nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Eddie Monroe*

SIGNATURE

St. Petersburg 33716

(Please Print) NAME


CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Rhakeem Hubbard*  
SIGNATURE  
*Rhakeem Hubbard*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
  
(Please/Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE  
**Troy Hubbard**  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,

*Yasmin Kenny*  
SIGNATURE  
*Yasmin Kenny*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 33611



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,

*Raymond G. McCully*  
SIGNATURE

WAYMOND SLIPPER 3374 727-490-4508  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
J. Moore-Thomas Tampa, FL 33612 813-748-9977  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Emma Guerrero St. Pete 33711  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
801-294-8687

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*G. Thomas*  
SIGNATURE  
Gravin Thomas St. Petersburg  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
33711

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
JOANISA TENREIRO SEITE 33711 239-595-332  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Forest DeLuka St. Petersburg, FL, 33711  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
(608)

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*John & Sarah Phillips*  
SIGNATURE  
John & Sarah St. Pete 73704  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
Phillips

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**DEN HOVEY** CLEARWATER 33762  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*George Bush*  
SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Hillary A. Hue*  
SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
**Sharon Galt** 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance** for risky **nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Haley Ramirez  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 897-644-7152

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
SIGNATURE  
Sharon Hussein Tampa FL (323) 715-2176  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Lauren Adams ST. PETE, FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

33711 614.614.9761





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Philip Russ St. Pete 33704 727-776-1297  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Ricardo Gonzalez*  
SIGNATURE  
Ricardo Gonzalez St Pete 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
James S. Reilly St. Pete  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

219-689-0783



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Phoebe McGuire*  
SIGNATURE  
Phoebe McGuire St. Pete 33711  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
430 3rd Ave St. PETE 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Mike Mahoney*

SIGNATURE

Michael Mahoney Boca Raton 33431 6094778658


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Cara Cooper*  
CITY ZIP PHONE  
CITY ZIP PHONE  
33175

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward *a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

Mauricio Hernandez Mia 33483 305 5861819

(Please Print) NAME


CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



We must choose.



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Sabrina Korenblum  
(Please Print) NAME  
Panama City ZIP 32421  
PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you.

*Lauren Reilly*  
SIGNATURE  
Lauren Reilly CITY ZIP PHONE  
(Please Print) NAME

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Christina Noraton Miami 3318  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
(786) 315-3962

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME


CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*James Alvarez*  
SIGNATURE  
James Alvarez NPR 34655 (727) 711-4897  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Denise Lannerg State 33702  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



COMMISSION  
CLERK





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Megan Demichiel 33713  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
St Pete 33713 954 353 1207

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Nelsy Crane Bradenton, FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
334151 (941) 805-7757

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Kathlin A. Zwingert*  
SIGNATURE  
Kathlin Zwingert Tampa FL 33620  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Mary Armstrong & Per 33711 678-592-9618  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Jerry Holux*  
SIGNATURE


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward *a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
John Bora  
(Please Print) NAME  
Boca Raton 33073 (404) 651-5248  
CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Essence* 33710  
SIGNATURE  
St. Petersburg  
CITY ZIP  
PHONE  
941-825-5307

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Pete Pearce*  
SIGNATURE  
1147 N. 16<sup>th</sup> St St Pete  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
SIGNATURE  
David C. Henderson  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
Gainesville, FL 32606 (352) 375-1927



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

SUE CARL GALT 32614 352-375-2563


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
SIGNATURE  
Lori Riddell Gainesville 32641 352-275-6981  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Erin Murrah  
SIGNATURE  
Erin Murrah Gainesville 32609  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Will Russell*  
SIGNATURE  
Will Russell  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Mandy Hancock*

SIGNATURE

Mandy Hancock Grimesville 32609

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
SIGNATURE  
HERONE WATSON G-VILLE, FLORIDA  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
32605



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Lisa Rojas Gainesville FL 352 2256510  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Kelly Kukacka Seminole, 33777  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
727-608-0624

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Willie Bennett*  
SIGNATURE  
*WILLIE BENNETT ST. POK*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Dalton Boyd*  
Dalton Boyd  
SIGNATURE  
St. Pete 33704  
CITY ZIP  
(Please Print) NAME PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Whitney McNeill*  
SIGNATURE  
Whitney McNeill St. Petersburg, FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*S. Wilson*  
SIGNATURE  
*Sandra Wilson St Pete*  
CITY ZIP PHONE  
(Please Print) NAME

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,

*Connie S. Wallace*  
SIGNATURE  
CONNIE SEAN ST PETER  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
NONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*G. Wells*  
SIGNATURE  
CRAIG WELLS  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Randesha Green  
SIGNATURE  
Randesha Green St. Petersburg 33712  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Julia Bonner  
SIGNATURE  
Julia Bonner St. Pete. 33701  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Carl Balducci*  
SIGNATURE  
*CARL* *ST PETE*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Jonathan Drake St. Petersburg  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:


I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,  
  
SIGNATURE  
Karen W. Cross St. Pete FL 727 896-0840  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

SARAH ANDERSON BRANDON 33511 813 770 2365  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Sherry Steiner Micangy 32667  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
352-375-2563

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Charles Touchstone*  
SIGNATURE  
*Charles Touchstone*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
*Wachua FL 32615*  
*386-462-6372*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Carol W Thomas*

SIGNATURE

CAROL W. THOMAS

(Please Print) NAME

ALACHUA FL


CITY

ZIP

PHONE

32616 - 386 - 418 - 3791

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Anna W. McGahey 32605  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
1352 278-1912

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Corwin Klein Gainesville 32641  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
352-222-2972

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Veronica Arenas*

SIGNATURE

VERONICA ARENAS Gainesville FL 32601


(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

L. Joanne Sanders  
SIGNATURE  
L. Joanne Sanders Starke FL  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
1336 393-2707

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Patch Parsons*  
SIGNATURE  
**PATCH PARSONS** **STATE 32091** **352**  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP **8477**

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
Benjamin Moore Starke 37091  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
KENNETH Z. STERN STARK 32091  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
LINDA KLEIN State 32071 9049445704  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Michelle Rose*

SIGNATURE

Michelle Rose

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP

PHONE

St. Petersburg, FL

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Senny Martin*  
SIGNATURE  
*Senny Martin St. Pete*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Martine Kuleva*  
SIGNATURE  
ZLATUSE LA KACLA  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-PPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

  
SIGNATURE  
GEORGE VALENTIN TAMPA 33625  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Mary Flynn*  
SIGNATURE  
Mary Flynn, St. Pete  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

*Ivate*  
*32710*



RECEIVED-FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9: 03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Christie Abhayathil*  
SIGNATURE  
*Abhayathiele Gainesville FL*  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 32603

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850





RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Thank you,

*Connie McCanney*  
SIGNATURE  
CONNIE MCANNEY *Alachua* P.O. Box 190  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE  
32616



RECEIVED--FPSC

11 OCT 21 AM 9:03

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Gwendolyn Simmons*  
SIGNATURE  
Gwendolyn Simmons Gainesville, Fl. 32607 (352) 367-0529  
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



## Diamond Williams


110009-El

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, October 21, 2011 3:46 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-El.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, October 21, 2011 3:31 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Docket 110009

customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Barbara [mailto:bjletsch@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 21, 2011 2:07 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FPL customer rate raise

Dear Public Services Commission,

I want to very strongly express my disagreement with FPL being able to precharge its customers for the upgrading of the St. Lucie facility and building of the proposed new nuclear power plant in South Florida. It has been well publicized that FPL will not return its customers money if the plant is not built. That is not just. What will happen to that money if the plant does not go forward? You and FPL need to be very specific and accountable about these funds.

I am both against the upgrading of the St. Lucy facility and building a new nuclear power plant on several grounds. First is that it is not a cost effective way to generate power. Were it not for public subsidies, the private sector would not build them. The private sector will not insure nuclear facilities. That again leaves the public on the hook for anything that goes wrong. It is too heavily loaded for public support.

It could not stand on its own as a viable, cost efficient way to generate power. There continues to be no good way to store nuclear waste. How can it be justified to continue to create more when this is the reality? It is irrational to me, to stock pile waste that will be a threat to all of us and our environment for thousands and thousands of years. We cannot say that it is safe to do so nor make adequate provisions for long term storage. This is not a clean energy. Equating its use as so because it does not emit green house gases is foolish. It has too many negative externalities such as those of mining, manufacture, and transport. Finally, Murphy's law is always in effect.

Things can and do go wrong. The consequences of problems are too grave to justify nuclear generated power. I feel you are making a costly error if you back FPL's request. I say that as an FPL shareholder and customer.

Sincerely,

Barbara Letsch

**Diamond Williams**

SPSC, CLK	DOCKET CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumers
DOCUMENT NO.	02810-11
DISTRIBUTION	

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 20, 2011 8:19 AM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: DANGER ALERT new nuke plants at Turkey Point

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Art Graham  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2011 3:36 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: DANGER ALERT new nuke plants at Turkey Point

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket #110009.

---

**From:** Wheatergirl73@aol.com [mailto:Wheatergirl73@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2011 2:35 PM  
**To:** heidi.ellenberger@fpl.com; scott.plakon@myfloridahouse.gov; Lisa Edgar; Ronald Brisé; bill.nelson@senate.gov; Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Marshall Willis; marco.rubio@senate.gov  
**Cc:** mamarubin@msn.com  
**Subject:** DANGER ALERT new nuke plants at Turkey Point

this is DISGUSTING!! reports out of Japan are saying as many as 40% of the babies born after the disaster have been STILLBORN!! terrible, scary, who is looking out for us, the customers, the residents of SoFla?  
hello, public service commission, are you out there?

---

**From:** mamarubin@msn.com  
**To:** marymeckley@me.com, a\_fitgerald@rocketmail.com, sasenarinepersaud@yahoo.com, sa.interiors@comcast.net, zentzg@yaho.com, waybeyond321@hotmail.com, wx3xia@gmail.com, totalinertia0101@hotmail.com, wheatergirl73@aol.com, eanof@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** 10/18/2011 5:03:21 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time  
**Subj:** new nuke plants at Turkey Point

a follow up to the petition I sent a few days ago. Thanks to all who signed.

Debbie

**Miami, Oct. 16** —Headline: 'Fukushima disaster can happen here' Today's Miami Herald has an article warning South Florida residents they "should take little comfort in assurances that a Fukushima-type catastrophe could not happen here." It notes that the Miami-area nuclear power plant, Turkey Point, "is just as reliant as Fukushima on offsite electricity, emergency electrical generators and batteries."

Yet, Florida Power & Light (FPL) is trying to build two new reactors at the site, making a total of four.

These two proposed reactors, the AP1000 design, are essentially "experimental" and "have never been tested or operated commercially at full scale".

10/21/2011

The reactor design has "drawn substantial criticism on safety issues". The article reviews three of these:

- 1) "One major issue is that the steel inner-containment structure is barely strong enough to keep radioactivity from escaping during a 'design basis accident.' This leaves little margin for error when the steel containment structure eventually corrodes and loses strength. Such corrosion is a common problem in older reactors."
- 2) "The British Nuclear Installations Inspectorate has criticized Toshiba/Westinghouse for not sufficiently demonstrating that the outer concrete shield can withstand an external shock, such as an earthquake, hurricane, tornado or impact from a commercial aircraft crash."
- 3) "Perhaps, the most damaging criticism comes from Dr. John Ma, the lead structural reviewer evaluating the outer concrete shield for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). Dr. Ma submitted a 'nonconurrence statement of dissent' stating that the outer shield could shatter like a 'glass cup' in an earthquake or commercial aircraft crash."

Despite these concerns and others, the NRC is rushing to certify this new nuclear reactor design. The American public cannot be confident that the AP1000 will not fail, exactly as these experts predict it could.

To ensure public safety, President Obama should not continue to tacitly approve this flawed certification process. To impose financial discipline, he needs to publicly stop all future loan guarantees for the AP1000 until the designs are thoroughly vetted for safety and all valid criticisms have been addressed.

The cost of building FPL's new nuclear reactors is likely to be astronomical. Guesstimates for the total price tag now range from \$12 billion up to \$25 billion. As a monopoly regulated by the Florida Public Service Commission, FPL's allowed return on equity is 11 percent of the utility's \$17.5 billion adjusted rate base. Thus, if the new Turkey Point reactors cost an additional \$17.5 billion, a large portion of FPL customers' electric bills could double.

At a time when governments, businesses and families are struggling to reduce their debts, it is irresponsible to ask American taxpayers to provide billions of dollars in federal loan guarantees for unsafe nuclear power plants — plants that private investors will not fund because they are just too risky.

As the U.S. economy teeters on the brink of a second recession, President Obama needs to realize how out of step the nuclear loan guarantee has become. We need to do better.

Jerry B. Brown is founding professor of Global and Sociocultural Studies at FIU. Mark Oncavage an environmental activist on energy issues and Everglades restoration.

Read more: <http://www.miamiherald.com/2011/10/16/2454502/fukushima-disaster-can-happen.html#ixzz1b5SyLg8q>

Read more: <http://www.miamiherald.com/2011/10/16/2454502/fukushima-disaster-can-happen.html#ixzz1b5SimNeu>

=

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2011 8:59 AM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: [AP1000] Insider alleges shortcuts with Westinghouse reactor as delays mount

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Art Graham  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2011 7:59 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: [AP1000] Insider alleges shortcuts with Westinghouse reactor as delays mount

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket #110009.

Thanks.

FPSC, CLK	CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumers
DOCUMENT NO.	028600-11
DISTRIBUTION:	

---

**From:** Barry White [mailto:bwtamia@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 12:46 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis; J.R. Kelly; Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar; Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** [AP1000] Insider alleges shortcuts with Westinghouse reactor as delays mount

## Another Insider Raises Concerns about Westinghouse Nuclear Design

*Alleged flaws in turbine building design could cost customers dearly and compromise safety, groups say in legal filing with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and state utility commissions*

Durham, NC – Another insider has signaled concerns about corners being cut with Westinghouse's new nuclear plant design that could compromise safety, lead to construction delays and cause expensive outages if new plants ever become operational. Public interest groups NC WARN and Friends of the Earth today called on the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to confirm whether major structural changes were properly made to the turbine building when the AP1000 was being scaled up from an earlier and smaller Westinghouse plant design.

The allegations follow two sets of extended delays for AP1000 projects revealed last week.

10/19/2011

Today, the groups requested that NRC suspend its review of the AP1000 pending open resolution of multiple problems including the new allegations. Construction and operating licenses for the AP1000 are being sought by Georgia Power, South Carolina Electric and Gas (SCE&G) and three other southeastern utilities. Licensing is contingent upon "certification" of the AP1000 design, and because Georgia Power and SCE&G are already performing extensive pre-licensing construction, the NRC is under great pressure to finalize the design approval despite continuing exposure of design problems.

Today's legal motion cites an industry insider who passed along concerns due to the "wake up call" posed by the Fukushima disaster. The insider, who must remain anonymous, alleges that in order to lower construction costs, Westinghouse intends to cram critical safety and generating equipment into a building that had been designed for the smaller AP600 plant design.

The insider said the turbine building was designed for the AP600 plant and carried forward, without proper scaling, for the AP1000, and that the larger turbine and related equipment simply won't fit in the building. Allegedly, at Georgia Power's Vogtle project, engineers already must redesign the turbine building to relocate equipment into add-on buildings, a problem for which budgeting and licensing implications have not been publicized by either the NRC or Georgia Power.

The allegations cannot be confirmed because Westinghouse claims the related documents are security sensitive, but the watchdog groups believe the source is reliable. They retained nuclear engineer Arnie Gundersen of Fairewinds Associates to review the new information. If the charges are true, Gundersen says, the shoehorned AP1000 design ignores safety concerns involving access to critical equipment, and could significantly increase repair costs that will later be borne by ratepayers.

***"If true, it's as if Westinghouse tried to fit a spare tire from an 18-wheeler into the trunk of a Volkswagen,"*** said Gundersen today, ***"and the Westinghouse's cost-cutting approach will have backfired because additional buildings must now be constructed to house overflowing equipment."*** He said worker access to essential equipment could be restricted, which could increase operating down-time and future costs to ratepayers for maintenance of equipment such as turbines, condensers, and feedwater components.

**MONTHS OF DELAY ALREADY CERTAIN:** The new allegations follow last week's revelations, reported by Reuters, that final design of the AP1000 has been delayed at least three months due to changes that could stem from the Fukushima disaster. The NRC staff had stated in an August 2011 letter that full documentation on the AP1000 would be turned over to NRC commissioners by October 5 for a "certification" vote. That deadline was missed and no new schedule has been established.

Even more problematic is an October 3 NRC "notice of violation" found last week by Friends of the Earth. In it, the NRC said Westinghouse has ***"failed to effectively demonstrate the ability of the shield building to withstand an aircraft impact."*** The company has 30 days to respond, but because it has been haggling with NRC staff over the problem for more than a year, design certification is no longer certain, the watchdogs say.

The insider who passed along the turbine building allegations said the Fukushima disaster was a reminder that severe accidents can happen here, so known problems must be corrected. A number of outside industry experts and insiders from both Westinghouse and the NRC have, over the past year, voiced concerns regarding serious design flaws uncovered with the AP1000 design.

Engineer Gundersen explained that the auxiliary feedwater system is a nuclear plant's first line of defense to cool the steam generators when a plant shuts down, and that if significant portions of the system are within an undersized building, safety systems would be challenged to operate as intended.

***"These safety concerns must be thoroughly and openly analyzed by the NRC,"*** said Gundersen.

The groups also intend to alert utility regulators and Georgia and South Carolina about the latest design problem because, if true, it will likely impact construction schedules and costs to ratepayers.

NC WARN's Jim Warren said today, ***"The industry's attempt to push past numerous design flaws creates***



***immeasurable risk to public safety and public dollars. It looks like the Vogtle project is already suffering serious cost overruns. The NRC must openly resolve all design flaws now – not relegate them to the 'cost plus' mindset that's inevitable after construction officially begins."***

###

[View the legal brief on turbine building allegations here](#)

[View the NRC Inspection Letter and Notice of Violation here](#)

[Letter from NRC to Westinghouse re: projected schedule for the AP1000 design certification amendment rule, August 5, 2011](#)

***Trying to build nuclear plants is making climate change worse – by squandering many years and billions of dollars instead of ramping up energy efficiency and safe, clean generation.***

**Jim Warren**, Executive Director

## **NC WARN**

Waste Awareness & Reduction Network

Durham, NC 919-416-5077 [www.ncwarn.org](http://www.ncwarn.org)

[Reply to sender](#) | [Reply to group](#) | [Reply via web post](#) | [Start a New Topic](#)  
[Messages in this topic \(1\)](#)

### **RECENT ACTIVITY:**

Visit Your Group

### **MARKETPLACE**

**Stay on top of your group activity without leaving the page you're on - Get the Yahoo! Toolbar now.**

**YAHOO! GROUPS**

[Switch to: Text-Only, Daily Digest](#) • [Unsubscribe](#) • [Terms of Use](#)

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 1:21 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Docket No 110009-EI

Thank you. The attachments and this e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer  
 DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
 DISTRIBUTION:

Please insert the attached emails in the correspondence side of the above docket.  
Thanks.  
Marshall

**(850) 413-6914**  
**marshall.willis@psc.state.fl.us**

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Barry White [bwtamia@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 12:46 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis; J.R. Kelly; Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar; Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** [AP1000] Insider alleges shortcuts with Westinghouse reactor as delays mount

## **Another Insider Raises Concerns about Westinghouse Nuclear Design**

***Alleged flaws in turbine building design could cost customers dearly and compromise safety, groups say in legal filing with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and state utility commissions***

Durham, NC – Another insider has signaled concerns about corners being cut with Westinghouse's new nuclear plant design that could compromise safety, lead to construction delays and cause expensive outages if new plants ever become operational. Public interest groups NC WARN and Friends of the Earth today called on the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to confirm whether major structural changes were properly made to the turbine building when the AP1000 was being scaled up from an earlier and smaller Westinghouse plant design.

The allegations follow two sets of extended delays for AP1000 projects revealed last week.

Today, the groups requested that NRC suspend its review of the AP1000 pending open resolution of multiple problems including the new allegations. Construction and operating licenses for the AP1000 are being sought by Georgia Power, South Carolina Electric and Gas (SCE&G) and three other southeastern utilities. Licensing is contingent upon "certification" of the AP1000 design, and because Georgia Power and SCE&G are already performing extensive pre-licensing construction, the NRC is under great pressure to finalize the design approval despite continuing exposure of design problems.

Today's legal motion cites an industry insider who passed along concerns due to the "wake up call" posed by the Fukushima disaster. The insider, who must remain anonymous, alleges that in order to lower construction costs, Westinghouse intends to cram critical safety and generating equipment into a building that had been designed for the smaller AP600 plant design.

The insider said the turbine building was designed for the AP600 plant and carried forward, without proper scaling, for the AP1000, and that the larger turbine and related equipment simply won't fit in the building. Allegedly, at Georgia Power's Vogtle project, engineers already must redesign the turbine building to relocate equipment into add-on buildings, a problem for which budgeting and licensing implications have not been publicized by either the NRC or Georgia Power.

The allegations cannot be confirmed because Westinghouse claims the related documents are security sensitive, but the watchdog groups believe the source is reliable. They retained nuclear engineer Arnie Gundersen of Fairewinds Associates to review the new information. If the charges are true, Gundersen says, the shoehorned AP1000 design ignores safety concerns involving access to critical equipment, and could significantly increase repair costs that will later be borne by ratepayers.

***"If true, it's as if Westinghouse tried to fit a spare tire from an 18-wheeler into the trunk of a Volkswagen,"*** said Gundersen today, ***"and the Westinghouse's cost-cutting approach will have backfired because additional buildings must now be constructed to house overflowing equipment."*** He said worker access to essential equipment could be restricted, which could increase operating down-time and future costs to ratepayers for maintenance of equipment such as turbines, condensers, and feedwater components.

**MONTHS OF DELAY ALREADY CERTAIN:** The new allegations follow last week's revelations, reported by Reuters, that final design of the AP1000 has been delayed at least three months due to changes that could stem from the Fukushima disaster. The NRC staff had stated in an August 2011 letter that full documentation on the AP1000 would be turned over to NRC commissioners by October 5 for a "certification" vote. That deadline was missed and no new schedule has been established.

Even more problematic is an October 3 NRC "notice of violation" found last week by Friends of the Earth. In it, the NRC said Westinghouse has ***"failed to effectively demonstrate the ability of the shield building to withstand an aircraft impact."*** The company has 30 days to respond, but because it has been haggling with NRC staff over the problem for more than a year, design certification is no longer certain, the watchdogs say.

The insider who passed along the turbine building allegations said the Fukushima disaster was a reminder that severe accidents can happen here, so known problems must be corrected. A number of outside industry experts and insiders from both Westinghouse and the NRC have, over the past year, voiced concerns regarding serious design flaws uncovered with the AP1000 design.

Engineer Gundersen explained that the auxiliary feedwater system is a nuclear plant's first line of defense to cool the steam generators when a plant shuts down, and that if significant portions of the system are within an undersized building, safety systems would be challenged to operate as intended.

**"These safety concerns must be thoroughly and openly analyzed by the NRC,"** said Gundersen.

The groups also intend to alert utility regulators and Georgia and South Carolina about the latest design problem because, if true, it will likely impact construction schedules and costs to ratepayers.

NC WARN's Jim Warren said today, ***"The industry's attempt to push past numerous design flaws creates immeasurable risk to public safety and public dollars. It looks like the Vogtle project is already suffering serious cost overruns. The NRC must openly resolve all design flaws now – not relegate them to the 'cost plus' mindset that's inevitable after construction officially begins."***

###

[View the legal brief on turbine building allegations here](#)

[View the NRC Inspection Letter and Notice of Violation here](#)

[Letter from NRC to Westinghouse re: projected schedule for the AP1000 design certification amendment rule, August 5, 2011](#)

***Trying to build nuclear plants is making climate change worse – by squandering many years and billions of dollars instead of ramping up energy efficiency and safe, clean generation.***

**Jim Warren,** Executive Director

**NC WARN**

Waste Awareness & Reduction Network  
Durham, NC 919-416-5077 [www.ncwarn.org](http://www.ncwarn.org)

10/19/2011

[Reply to sender](#) | [Reply to group](#) | [Reply via web post](#) | [Start a New Topic](#)  
[Messages in this topic \(1\)](#)

**RECENT ACTIVITY:**

[Visit Your Group](#)

**MARKETPLACE**

**Stay on top of your group activity without leaving the page you're on - Get the Yahoo! Toolbar now.**

**YAHOO! GROUPS**

[Switch to: Text-Only](#) • [Daily Digest](#) • [Unsubscribe](#) • [Terms of Use](#)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Mark Mohler [Markrmohler@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 1:50 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Mark Mohler  
3520 Poseidon Way  
Indialantic, FL 32903

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Susanne Howell [SusieHowell333@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 16, 2011 7:43 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Susanne Howell  
9601 Miccosukee Road  
Tallahassee, FL 32309

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Paul Walker [Walkerp@eagle.fgcu.edu]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 10:05 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Paul Walker  
16130 VIA SOLERA CIR 106  
FORT MYERS, FL 33908



## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Whitney Blair [waterox13@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 9:24 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Whitney Blair  
1000 FGCU Campus Housing Blvd S  
Fort Myers, FL 33965

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Elise Edwards [islandgirl33956@embarqmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 11:52 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Elise Edwards  
5050 Island Acres Court  
St. James City, FL 33956


Dkt no: 110007-EZ

412 NW Admiral Trail

Madison, Florida 32340

October 14, 2011

Braulio L. Baez, Executive Director, Public Service Commission  
PSC Board Members  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850



Gentlemen:

At approximately 10:05 a.m. today I attempted to confirm that your recommendation is that the state legislature consider \$337,000,000 utilities rate increases, of which \$196.1 million to Florida Power and Light for St. Lucie and Miami-Dade nuclear plants will cost clients \$2.00 for billing at 1000 KWH; and \$140.9 million for Progress Energy toward two new nuclear reactor plants in Levy County to add \$4.68 per monthly billing for the 1,000 KWH rate. This action toward legislative consideration is a red flag to consumers.

In calling for information and confirmation, I could as well have asked a chipmunk with receptionist training. Time to ask a question completely, time to make note of information I received at staccato rate, time to grasp fully what was being asked of her, any perception that she is paid to serve the public: none. I got the staccato re-hash of legislative authority to grant rate increases, and an adequate response describing the machinations to get information from the internet to answer my question.

Not only do I firmly object to the proposed rate increases and to the staff member I encountered; I think you should resign in protest of accommodating this fiscal extraction that the legislature will duly consider as your acquiescence. Corporations able to issue bonds when interest rates are at a historic low flout corporate ethics and human decency in asking Florida citizens to pay for private enterprise, for-profit projects. Legislative approval of such utility rate increases is outrageous provision of corporate funding by extracting money from clients for a necessary service. Approve their bond issues!

Because the information I tried to confirm with the commission was undoubtedly a press release which the electronic newsletter quoted, I am incensed that the commission staff cannot confirm accuracy of public information. Checking a press release should be within the skills of a trained chipmunk.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Marianne Green".

Marianne Green


Copies: Rep. Bembry, Sen. Montford, Senator Dean, Governor Scott

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

*Green*  
412 NW Admiral Tr  
Tallahassee, FL 32340

TALLAHASSEE FL 323

14 OCT 2011 PM 2 L



*Executive Director, PSC*  
*2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.*  
*Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850*

32399\$0650



## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Philip Jelatis [philjel@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 16, 2011 2:00 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Existing nuclear power should be maintained but there should be no new investment in it. We need to invest in renewables. Nuclear, regardless of safety concerns in our sensitive Florida environment, is not now, and will never be economically competitive with other forms of energy.

Philip Jelatis  
15025 N. Spur Dr.  
Miami, FL 33161

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Dana Harris [dcharris@eagle.fgcu.edu]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 8:19 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Dana Harris

Dana Harris  
1468 Lakeview Dr  
Tarpon Springs, FL 34689

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Drew Martin [DMandCH@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 10:55 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Drew Martin  
500 Lake Ave. #102  
Lake Worth, FL 33460

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Reanna Edwards [rjedward@eagle.fgcu.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 11:44 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Reanna Edwards  
5050 Island Acres Court  
St. James City, FL 33956



## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Ben Pino [awd12@12235.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 16, 2011 12:11 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Ben Pino  
16836 McGregor Blvd.  
Fort Myers, FL 33908

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** dECEMBER mCsHERRY [lmcshe2001@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 5:58 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

dECEMBER mCsHERRY  
15212 SW 79 Avenue  
Archer, FL 32618-3201

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Matt Gamel [mrgamel@eagle.fgcu.edu]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 16, 2011 10:21 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

Think back to what happened to japan's reactors. Florida does not need any more reactors!!!! Put that money into building a greener infrastructure.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Matt Gamel  
123 Virginia Ct.  
Englewood, FL 34223

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Jessica Mendes [jemendes@eagle.fgcu.edu]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 15, 2011 9:03 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jessica Mendes  
3225 E Riverside Drive  
Fort Myers, FL 33916

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Lewis Barrett [lewisbarrett03@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 4:47 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Lewis Barrett

Lewis Barrett  
3307 Twilight lane  
Naples, FL 34109

**Diamond Williams**


110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 19, 2011 8:14 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-E1.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 12:08 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 9:51 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1034978C. DH

---

**From:** pgf [mailto:pgf1985@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 8:23 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FPL nuke fee

As a citizen of Florida I must voice my displeasure upon reading an article in the Palm Beach Post this morning reference your staff's recommendation for approval. The people of Fla. are struggling to keep their heads above water while FPL has had banner profits and their chief executive officers and administrators are paid overly well with tremendous bonuses. If FPL wants to expand they need to pay for it themselves not by the their customers. Let FPL use some of their own money, NOT mine for a service/expansion they may not even develop.  
 I say vote NO.

Pat Fleck

10/19/2011

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 8:15 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISPOSITION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 2:27 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 2:24 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1034609C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** The Silver Nightingale [mailto:laurasue@silvernightingale.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 2:11 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Docket 110009

To: Florida Public Service Commission  
Re: Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Please vote against any further rate increases forcing consumers to pay for new nuclear construction in Florida.

We do not need new nuclear plants, nor can we afford them. We especially cannot afford to pay for plants that may not ever even be built - and with no refunds to us, the consumers who are paying billions of dollars for these boondoggles!

Building new nuclear plants at Turkey Point, where they WILL be underwater when the sea level rises a little more, is an insanely dangerous plan, and will make what's happening at Fukushima look like a picnic in the park. Our hard-earned dollars can be better spent on energy technologies that will actually make a difference, and not further pollute our precious environment.

So please vote AGAINST any further rate increases for new nuclear construction in Florida when you vote on October 24, 2011.

Thank you for your service.

Your constituent,  
Laura Sue Wilansky  
Fort Lauderdale

A Florida resident, consumer, ratepayer and voter



**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 10:55 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 10:46 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 10:06 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1034499C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Friday, October 14, 2011 10:02 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FW: My contact

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 11:20 PM

To: Webmaster

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name:

Company:

Primary Phone:

Secondary Phone:

Email:

Response requested? No

CC Sent? No

Comments:

If a mechanic screws up my car when he works on it then who pays for it ??? the mechanic ... if a computer technician screws up my computer then who pays for it ??? the computer technician ... if Progress Energy screws up their power plant then who pays for it ??? the customers ??? that is complete bullshit and I think that everyone of you needs your heads checked if you think I'm going to pay for thei screwup. Make the customers pay and I will get my lawyers involved plain and simple ... just wait and see.

State of Florida



**Public Service Commission**  
CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

RECEIVED-PPSC

OCT 14 AM 10:23

COMMISSION  
CLERK

**-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-**

---

**DATE:**

**TO:** Office of Commission Clerk

**FROM:** Bureau of Consumer Assistance, Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance

**RE:** Customer Correspondence

---

Please add the attached customer correspondence to Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.		02800-11
DISTRIBUTION:		

Rick Simmons  
2241 Roselawn Dr.  
Holiday, FL 34691

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2011

10-9-11

To PSC

RE. Crystal River

Nuclear Plant.

Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC

"Hole Cutting Blunder" by Progress Energy

As I am reading the Sun. St. Pete times paper I can't believe my eyes. There is no way that customers are paying for a botched cutting project done by a bunch of unqualified employees "The Nerve". In fact I don't think their insurance company should pay either. How dumb can they be. How did they get the approval. This is all to save money. I say they got exactly what they deserved. You mean to tell me that equipment can't be designed to go in or out of the "Existing" door. Then they have a company that's never done that type of work to oversee it. How did inexperienced people get the OK from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. This would be illegal reimbursement for a construction crime. If the PSC approves billing the customers for this BLUNDER then the entire PSC board should be FIRED.

NEED A Reply

Sincerely

cc to Progress Energy

Richard E. Simmons

Rick Simmons  
2241 Rosebawn Dr  
Holiday, FL 34691

TAMPA FL 335  
SAINT PETERSBURG FL  
11 OCT 2011 PM 8:1



Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC

32399085099



**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 8:56 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders; Diane Hood  
**Subject:** FW: docket 110009  
**Attachments:** DOC101111-011.pdf

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE	
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02840-11	
DISTRIBUTION:	

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-E1.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 2:17 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders; Diane Hood  
**Subject:** docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 1:12 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** FW: Village of Biscayne Park Early Cost Recovery Resolution

---

**From:** anderson11905@gmail.com [mailto:[anderson11905@gmail.com](mailto:anderson11905@gmail.com)] **On Behalf Of** Commissioner Bob Anderson  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 1:01 PM  
**To:** undisclosed-recipients  
**Subject:** Village of Biscayne Park Early Cost Recovery Resolution

Please forward attached resolution passed by the Village of Biscayne Park to any and all concerned.

Thank you

10/13/2011

Village of Biscayne Park

Commissioner

Bob Anderson

E-Mail [BANDERSON@BISCAYNEPARKFL.GOV](mailto:BANDERSON@BISCAYNEPARKFL.GOV)

PH 305-899-8000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

**RESOLUTION 2011-45**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE OF  
BISCAYNE PARK, FLORIDA, URGING THE  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TO DENY  
APPROVAL OF ANY FURTHER "EARLY COST  
RECOVERY" AND URGING THE FLORIDA  
LEGISLATURE TO REPEAL THE "EARLY COST  
RECOVERY" STATUTE RELATING TO  
ELECTRIC UTILITY CHARGES FOR THE  
SITING, DESIGN, LICENSING, AND  
CONSTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR PLANTS;  
PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

WHEREAS, F.S. 366.93, known as "Early Cost Recovery," was adopted by The Florida Legislature in 2006 and provides that utilities may charge customers in advance of operation for the costs of planning, lobbying for, and constructing nuclear power plants; and

WHEREAS, the Early Cost Recovery statute provides that the utility may impose and collect the charge but never actually complete the project, thus charging the rate payer for improvements and infrastructure they will never actually provide to customers; and

WHEREAS, FPL has currently charged, collected from its customers and spent \$268 million in Early Cost Recovery funds and is seeking approval from the Public Service Commission for an additional \$186 million in 2012 new nuclear-related projects including the construction of two additional nuclear reactors at Turkey Point in South Miami-Dade, but which have never received approval for a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and

WHEREAS, the additional funds requested by FPL may represent a dramatic increase in the customer's monthly bill at a time when consumers are struggling to pay current bills; and

WHEREAS, FPL executives have stated that nuclear reactors are too expensive to build, yet FPL continues to collect money from the rate-payers under "Early Cost Recovery" to finance other infrastructure projects listed under their nuclear Combined Operating License Application; and

WHEREAS, publicly traded utilities receiving money from ratepayers under "Early Cost Recovery" are not obliged to pay back those funds if their nuclear generation projects are not completed; and

WHEREAS, publicly traded utilities receiving money from ratepayers under "Early Cost Recovery" can and do use those moneys to lobby and litigate against the public to pursue projects the rate payers oppose; and

WHEREAS, improvements in domestic energy efficiency are the best energy investments available, are carbon-free, safe, and can provide more jobs to Floridians than any other energy investment, but "Early Cost Recovery" monies are not permissible for such investments; and



1  
2 WHEREAS, nuclear reactors financed through "Early Cost Recovery" have become the  
3 most expensive way to produce electricity; and  
4

5 WHEREAS, the nuclear reactor designs currently being financed through "Early Cost  
6 Recovery" have never been deployed and may pose unforeseen risks to citizens of the  
7 Southeastern United States;  
8

9 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE VILLAGE COMMISSION OF  
10 THE VILLAGE OF BISCAYNE PARK, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:  
11


12 Section 1. That the Village Commission hereby urges the Florida Public Service  
13 Commission to deny FPL approval of any further Early Cost Recovery funding.  
14

15 Section 2. That the Village Commission hereby urges The Florida Legislature to  
16 repeal F.S. 366.93 relating to Early Cost Recovery.  
17

18 Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.  
19


20 PASSED AND ADOPTED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2011.  
21  
22  
23  
24

The foregoing resolution upon being  
put to a vote, the vote was as follows:


25  
26   
27  
28  
29 \_\_\_\_\_  
30 Roxanna Ross, Mayor

Mayor Ross: Yes  
Vice Mayor Anderson: Yes  
Commissioner Bernard: Yes  
Commission Childress: Yes  
Commissioner Cooper: Yes

31 Attest:

32  
33   
34 \_\_\_\_\_  
35 Maria C. Camara, Village Clerk  
36

37 Approved as to form:  
38  
39

40   
41 \_\_\_\_\_  
42 John J. Hearn, Village Attorney  
43

**Diamond Williams**


110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 8:47 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-E1.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 12:07 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 11:23 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1034056C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 11:06 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2011 10:49 AM

To: Webmaster

Cc: THVATH2@YAHOO.COM

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: THOMAS VATH

Company: NONE

Primary Phone: 727-345-2714

Secondary Phone: NONE

Email: THVATH2@YAHOO.COM

Response requested? No

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

WHEN YOU CONSIDER GRANTING AN INCREASE TO PROGRESS ENERGY FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION PLEASE CONSIDER THE OWNERS (SHAREHOLDERS) TO SHARE IN THE COSTS. CONSIDER HOW MUCH THE CO GIVES THE SHAREHOLDERS IN DIVIDENDS. CUSTOMERS AND OWNERS SHOULD SHARE CONSTRUCTION COSTS.

**Diamond Williams**


110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 2:19 PM  
**To:** Katherine Fleming  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Katherine Fleming  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 2:18 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Kathleen Stewart  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009



Ann,

Please place in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming  
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
(850) 413-6028 (Office)  
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dr.Dorothy K. Cinquemani [mailto:dorotea@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 11:08 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Dr.Dorothy K. Cinquemani  
400 Lake Ave NE S210  
S210  
Largo, FL 33771-1684

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 8:51 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI

**Attachments:** Progress Energy's Crystal River Nuclear Plant; FW: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida



Progress FW: Consumer  
Energy's Crystal River Inquiry - Progress

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE	
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11	
DISTRIBUTION:	

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 1:22 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Richard Magda [rich.magda@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 11:35 AM  
**To:** fasano.mike.web@flsenate.gov  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Progress Energy's Crystal River Nuclear Plant

Dear Senator Fasano,

Thank you for standing up for your constituents in the *St. Petersburg Times* article "Progress Energy insists approach to bungled nuclear project was 'reasonable' " printed yesterday October 10, 2011.

I could not agree with you more that management incompetence at Progress Energy caused this problem and consumers should not have to bear the burden of even higher electric bills. I am adding my voice to yours that I do not believe negligently breaking a nuclear plant is "reasonable" and "prudent" action. I am opposed to customers being forced to foot that bill just so the executives at Progress Energy can go home with obscene millions in bonuses at the end of the day. It's time the PSC held Progress Energy accountable for their decisions and actions. Don't put this on the backs of customers. We pay enough already. Including for a new nuclear power plant that may or may not be built some day, maybe, in the future.

Please feel free to share my thoughts with the appropriate agencies at our capitol.

Thank you again Senator Fasano for standing up for your constituents.

Sincerely,  
Richard A. Magda  
New Port Richey, Florida  
727-264-6383

10/12/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 1:21 PM  
**To:** 'rich.magda@gmail.com'  
**Subject:** FW: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida

Mr. Richard A. Magda  
rich.magda@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Magda:

This is in response to your inquiry with the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy). You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

Florida Statute 366.93 indicates that after a petition for determination of need is granted, a utility may petition the commission for cost recovery for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear and integrated gasification combined cycle power plants.

In compliance with the Florida Statute the PSC enacted a rule in February 2007 that adheres to the statute. Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, allows investor-owned utilities to recover costs for nuclear and coal gasification plants. Upon PSC approval of a utility's need for a nuclear plant upgrade or new plant construction, the utility can petition for cost recovery.

Progress Energy's approved cost recovery includes costs associated with the uprate of its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River, and the construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2. These completed projects will add 2,380 MWs of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

The next hearing in which the PSC will decide the nuclear cost recovery for Progress Energy will begin on October 24, 2011. You may use the following link to view and listen to the hearings:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/index.aspx>

After each hearing, the events will be archived and available for three months following the conclusion of the hearings. You may review the archived events by using the following link:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/archives/>

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding Progress Energy's nuclear cost recovery.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator





**Diamond Williams**

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

110009-E1

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 11:18 AM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams

**Subject:** FW: Nuclear Article: Big Risks- Better Alternatives - Levy 1 & 2

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-E1.

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Art Graham  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 10:14 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: Nuclear Article: Big Risks- Better Alternatives - Levy 1 & 2

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket #110009.

Thanks.

**From:** Barry White [mailto:bwtamia@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 7:02 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis; J.R. Kelly; Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar; Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Nuclear Article: Big Risks- Better Alternatives - Levy 1 & 2

<http://www.synapse-energy.com/Downloads/SynapseReport.2011-10.UCS.Big-Risks-Better-Alternatives.10-037.pdf>

By 2021, the Levy project will add at least \$718 per year to the bill of a typical Progress Energy residential customer using 1,100 kWh per month; and

10/11/2011

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION:

RECEIVED-PPSC  
11 OCT 11 AM 11:49  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Maria Bolton-Jonbert

Maria Bolton-Jonbert, Orlando, FL

(Please Print) NAME

CITY

ZIP


PHONE

407 325-4495



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 11:09 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 028600-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-E1.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 9:36 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Please add to file.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 8:43 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1033750C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 7:03 AM  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** E-Form Other Complaint TRACKING NUMBER: 30193

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Name: Thomas Varnum  
Telephone: 727-954-0037  
Email: tdvarnum@hotmail.com  
Address: 17117 Gulf Blvd #447 North Redington Beach FL 33708-1414

#### BUSINESS INFORMATION

Business Account Name: Thomas Varnum  
Account Number:  
Address: 17117 Gulf Blvd #447 North Redington Beach Florida 33708-1414

#### COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Complaint: Other Complaint against Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Details:

Progress reportedly is going to seek relief from their customers for the botched job of trying to replace the nuclear plants steam generators. We customers had no vote in the operation. It is grossly unfair to punish us for their mishandling. It should be Progress Energy and their stockholders who bear the cost of fixing the plant.



**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 11, 2011 11:11 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

PSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 028600-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-E1.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 10:03 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 9:21 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1033533C. DH

---

**From:** A. Muga [<mailto:arias4me@hotmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 7:43 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Re: Reference Docket 110009

☐  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Re: Reference Docket 110009  
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
 Tallahassee, FL 32399

10/11/2011

October 8, 2011

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing to protest the rate increase requested by Progress Energy/Florida Power and Light which is currently before the Commission, on Reference Docket 110009.

In prior years, the Commission has granted two rate increases to Progress Energy/Florida Power and Light to be taken from electricity customers in anticipation of construction of modified nuclear facilities at Turkey Point and two new reactors in Levy County.

As you well know, cost estimates for this type of construction are rising annually. In light of the ongoing events at Fukushima, the issues of public safety are truly grave. The cost of constructing nuclear power facilities will continue to rise dramatically--if such facilities are permitted to be constructed at all. In other words, we may anticipate that in future, nuclear power will not be profitable.

The timeline for the construction of the Levy County reactors has been pushed back twice already, which has increased the projected overall cost of construction. In June of 2010, the President of Florida Power and Light met with the Orlando Sun Sentinel editorial board and admitted that FPL may never build these new reactors due to licensing, economic, design and/or safety issues.

**I am definitely opposed to the rate increase and ask that the request not be approved.**

**Should the Commission decide to grant this increase despite opposition by the public and despite all the circumstances which indicate they ought not to grant it, and if Progress Energy/FPL does not complete all construction in a timely way upon which such rate increases were approved; I ask that the Commission require the repayment of all three rate increases to those from whom they were taken.**

Thank you for taking the time to read my letter.

Sincerely,

/Signed/

Ann W. Muga  
4205 NW 22<sup>nd</sup> Terrace  
Gainesville, FL 32605  
arias4me@hotmail.com  
(352)278-1912

10/11/2011

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 028600-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

110009-EI

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 10:47 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: Four generator failures hit nuclear power plants

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 10:39 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: Four generator failures hit nuclear power plants

Ann, Please place this email in the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI.  
 Thanks.

Marshall

**From:** Barry White [mailto:bwtamia@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 10:08 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** J.R. Kelly; Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar; Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Four generator failures hit nuclear power plants

<http://www.miamiherald.com/2011/10/09/2445869/4-generator-failures-hit-us-uclear.html>

**DOCKET NOS. 110001 - 02,03,04,07,09**

This could not possibly happen during a weather related or accident incident at one of our nuclear plants. That's what they said before Fukushima.

Look to Germany; move away from nuclear to renewable energy. No more advance Nuclear Cost Recovery funds until the licenses are issued, there is a bonded commitment by the power companies to build the reactors and private funding is in place.

Barry J. White  
 Miami



110009-E1

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 OCT 10 PM 4:16  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 028600-11  
DISTRIBUTION: \_\_\_\_\_

Dear Commission:

I urge you to deny Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*Donna Knapp*  
SIGNATURE  
DONNA KNAPP 34408

PLEASE PRINT NAME ZIP PHONE  
239 272 0520



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?



110009-E1

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 OCT 10 PM 4:15  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer  
 DOCUMENT NO. 028600-11  
 DISTRIBUTION:

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

*[Signature]*  
 SIGNATURE 239672  
 34104 9593  
 (Please Print) NAME ZIP PHONE



Florida Public Service Commission  
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

# What future for Florida?





**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 8:22 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Docket No. 110009-EI

**Attachments:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; This is the future of energy in Florida; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Opposition to Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery Funding; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Advance Nuclear Cost Funding; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes -- "Just say 'NO'"; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; vote; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Thank you. The attachments and this e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 8:21 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** Docket No. 110009-EI

Ann please place the attached emails in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.  
 Thanks.

Marshall

Marshall Willis  
 Director  
 Division of Economic Regulation  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

(850) 413-6914  
 marshall.willis@psc.state.fl.us



10/11/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Forest Jahnke [jahnkefm@eckerd.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 1:18 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Forest Jahnke  
4200 54th Ave S  
St Petersburg, FL 33711

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Shelby Norris [johnshelbyreader@att.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 08, 2011 2:51 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Shelby Norris  
1064 Florence Rd  
Lantana, FL 33462-5339

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** DeeVon Quirolo [dquirololo@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 5:20 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Opposition to Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery Funding

Dear Mr. Willis:

Please enter this letter into the record of public comment regarding Reference Docket Nos. 110001-02, 03, 04, 07, 09, the proposal to authorize Progress Energy Florida and/or Florida Power and Light to spend \$20 million and \$196 million respectively in addition to millions already spent by both entities for nuclear power planning to supply energy to Florida.

I am opposed to the use of nuclear power. I am opposed to advance funding of nuclear power facilities in Florida as requested by Progress Energy and PFL. I am a voting resident of Hernando County, Fl. and a Progress Energy customer. My 91-year old mother, Yvonne Meade, is a voting resident of Hollywood, Fl. and an FPL customer and I speak on her behalf as well. Neither she nor I want to prepay for nuclear power.

My opposition is based on the fact that there is no safe way of disposing of nuclear waste and recent events in Japan have proven that nuclear reactors are not safe. Furthermore, it is way too expensive.

We would prefer that this funding be invested in solar power in Florida. This is the Sunshine State--why put millions into something dangerous when we have an abundant source of clean, renewable energy available from the sun? If Germany and other countries can do it, we certainly can tap this abundant source of energy as well. Get with the program. Go renewable!

Thank you for the opportunity to present this viewpoint to you. .

--

DeeVon Quirolo

[www.reefrelieffounders.com](http://www.reefrelieffounders.com)

You must be the change you want to see in the world.  
Mahatma Gandhi

We can do no great things; only small things with great love.  
Mother Theresa

The problems of the world cannot possibly be solved by skeptics or cynics whose horizons are limited by the obvious realities. We need men who can dream of things that never were.  
John Fitzgerald Kennedy

10/11/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Niels Kastor [nielsk@bellsouth.net]

**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 8:49 AM

**To:** Marshall Willis

**Subject:** Advance Nuclear Cost Funding

I am writing to express my opposition to allowing FPL and any other utility company to collect monies from their customers (of which I am one) to fund the possible construction of any nuclear power facilities.

Not only is it a poor decision to build any new nuclear facilities for a plethora of reasons, it is extortion plain and simple for any company to force it's customers to pay to build it's equipment and infrastructure in advance of selling it's product.

Why not promote installing solar thermal plants at existing nuclear facilities? These are proven, readily available technologies, and can go on line in under 6 months. If built on the existing buffer land surrounding the current nuclear facilities, they can utilize existing infrastructure, supplement power at peak demand times, and cost less than any other alternative.

Thank you,

Niels Kastor

2395 Snow Hill Road

Chuluota, FL

32766

10/11/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Lucinda Kennedy [LKennedy22@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 09, 2011 4:32 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Lucinda Kennedy  
2601 Casilla Way South  
St Petersburg, FL 33712



## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Chris Migliaccio [chrismig@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 08, 2011 2:35 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Chris Migliaccio  
18710 Belmont Drive  
Cutler Bay, FL 33157

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Evelyn Doyle [evelynvirginia@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 3:48 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Evelyn Doyle  
4814 NW 48 Av  
Tamarac, FL 33319

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** roseann divicino [rdivicino@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 8:12 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

roseann divicino  
7528 High Pines Court  
7528 High Pines Court  
Port Richey, FL 34668

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Ida Nissen [idanissen1112@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 09, 2011 2:17 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Ida Nissen  
6641 Camelot Dr.  
Milton, FL 32570

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Fred Sklar [sklareenvironmental@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 08, 2011 2:43 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Dr. Fred H. Sklar

Fred Sklar  
73 Pacer Corcle  
Wellington, FL 33414-4049

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Evelyn Doyle [evelynvirginia@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 3:48 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Evelyn Doyle  
4814 NW 48 Av  
Tamarac, FL 33319

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Theresa Waldron [b.jaybird@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 7:46 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Theresa Waldron  
po boc 438  
PO Box 438  
Lecanto, FL 34460

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Barry White [bwtamia@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 09, 2011 11:59 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar; Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** This is the future of energy in Florida  
**Attachments:** Coalition Talking Points-1.pdf

Renewable energy on our homes and businesses (see below). Not Carbuncles On The Bay producing energy that then must be sent over wires as Edison did in 1882. No more funds for Nuclear Cost Recovery unless and until the NRC issues COL's for them, and the utilities bond their commitment to build, and private financing is in place. Until then, no more of the public's money should be spent.

PACE projects and similar ways of financing decentralized production of renewable energy could be worth over \$100 Billion in new business activity within the State of Florida alone. Someone tell this to Governor Scott. Call us for details. Looks like Richard Branson got the message; bless him.

Also, the economy in South Florida is and will be on the skids for a long time. There will be plenty of time in the future to plan and build any required new power plants. With construction now planned 10 to 15 years in the future, there is no reason to advance funds now. There is no need for new plants now or in the foreseeable future.

It is time that the people of this state trumped the business interests before the PSC. No more of the falsification and manipulation of information from the power companies; enough! They are servants of the people; not the reverse.

Barry J. Whjite  
 Vice-President  
 CASE/Citizens Allied for Safe Energy, Inc.  
[www.case-fl.org](http://www.case-fl.org)  
 Miami

barry has sent you the following story:

b

## The Miami Herald

Posted on Saturday, Oct. 08, 2011

### Program will help homeowners pay for energy efficiency

By PERRY STEIN

It's no secret that owners of homes and businesses can save money on electricity by installing new windows, more efficient other household improvements. The trouble is coming up with the cash.

But a new partnership between a national consortium of five private businesses and six South Florida cities promises to off to help property owners make these upgrades, provided that the improvements will pay for themselves in reduced power b

The consortium, set up by British music and airline tycoon Sir Richard Branson, will invest \$550 million in South Florida, w two U.S. markets for the venture. The consortium says its investment will cut energy bills and produce thousands of jobs, i needed to install the upgrades.

[Read More...](#)



## **COALITION AGAINST ADVANCE NUCLEAR COST FUNDING**

### **Suggested Nuclear Cost Recovery (NCR) Talking Points**

**for letters, emails, faxes and phone calls**

**to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) Staff (before Oct 12, 2011)**

**and to the Commissioners (before Oct 24, 2011).**

**1) Nuclear Cost Recovery: Florida law (FL Statute 366.93) authorizes electric utilities to incur expenses such as licensing and planning expenses costs for new nuclear or gas plants and to recover these costs from their customers immediately (over one year) whether or not the plants are ever built. Carrying charges (interest) on infrastructure construction expenses may be charged to customers immediately (for over ten years at least for Turkey Point 6 & 7) until the plant is constructed or the project is cancelled and then the customers pay the full cost of the infrastructure. The cost is paid by the rate payers whether or not the plants are ever built!**

Through 2011, the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) has authorized Florida Power & Light (FPL) to spend \$248 million and Progress Energy Florida (PEF) to spend \$615 million. This year they are requesting another \$196 million (FPL) and \$20 million (PEF) for 2012, a total of \$1.079 Billion dollars **toward nuclear reactors which may never be built but for which rate payers must pay now.** This is in addition to approximately \$205 million which PE is requesting for Crystal River Plant uprate. And all of this occurs with no input or comment from private citizens; organized advocacy groups can petition to comment on the process.

### **2) No True Intent to build the Turkey Point or Levy Reactors**

FPL has set dates of 2022 and 2023 the construction of Turkey Point 6 & 7, over ten years away. PEF says Levy reactors are now scheduled for 2021 and 2023; there is some

information that this might be delayed until 2027! Will they ever be built? See quotes below.

The Statute implies that there should be a true plan and intent by the utility to build the plants for which they are seeking and spending funds well in advance of their planned construction. FPL senior management has stated publicly that Turkey Point 6 & 7 would be so expensive they probably will never be built.

Mr. Lew Hay, chairman and CEO of FPL, said, "If our cost estimates are even close to being right, the cost of a two-unit plant will be on the order of magnitude of \$13 to \$14 billion. That's bigger than the total market capitalization of many companies in the U.S. utility industry and 50 percent or more of the market capitalization of all companies in our industry with the exception of Exelon. ... This is a huge bet for any CEO to take to his or her board. (<http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2008/06/13/202742/nuclear-power-part-2-the-price-is-not-right/>).

That statement was made in 2007. Current cost estimates are much higher making the likelihood that Turkey Point 6 & 7 very slim; and those are overnight costs; you can increase their cost estimates by at least 50% for the actual cost of building the reactors and related infrastructure. And, if the AP1000 reactors are not used, FPL is saying the alternative reactors will cost \$24 Billion. How high is up? How can the PSC continue to spend rate payers money for ghost reactors? Here is further evidence of lack of intent to build Turkey Point 6 & 7:

**Statement From FPL Website:** (<http://www.fpl.com/environment/nuclear/faq.shtml>)

**Will FPL begin construction soon after it receives state and federal approval?**

The state and federal licenses and approvals provide FPL the opportunity, **but not the obligation, to proceed with construction of the project.** (emphasis added). Today's applications are part of a stepwise approach that will create the option to build additional nuclear generation for the benefit of our customers. To that end, we continue to monitor many factors that affect the appropriate timing and cost of the project. Some of the factors include the pace of regulatory reviews; federal and state energy policy initiatives; and the cost and availability of necessary materials, services and labor. At present, the current schedule would have Unit 6 providing electricity by 2022 and Unit 7 by 2023.

**Clearly, FPL is not showing the intent to build. We can only assume that FPL is keeping the possibility alive so they can draw down funds under NCR to finance the building of power lines and the cost of seeking the licenses.**

The cost of natural gas is declining and the technology for renewable energy is moving forward leading us to ask if nuclear energy and fossil fuels have not served their purpose but it is

time to move on to decentralized production of renewable energy. Solar, wind, geothermal, biomass and other evolving ways of producing energy are already having an impact; nuclear and fossil fuels usage is only being sustained by excessive lobbying in and heavy handed political and devious economic pressures. FPL is already one of the largest producers of solar energy in the world but they still persist in seeking licensure for Turkey Point 6 & 7 and an uprate for creaky 40 year old Turkey Point 3 & 4 which are being held together by a wish and a prayer. Some say the concrete might be as brittle as an egg shell. Much has and will change in the economy, technology and demand for energy established years prior to construction, 15 years earlier for Turkey Point. At present Turkey Point is only using 65% of its generating capacity from all sources of energy; PEF is only using 75% of its capacity from all sources. Does this indicate a need for additional production capacity. Current studies show that energy conservation and efficiency will eliminate the need for any more power plants in Florida.

**3) The Feasibility Study related to Turkey Point 6 & 7 is based on questionable calculations.**

In a message to the current writers, the Office of Public Counsel stated:

“In this year’s Nuclear Cost Recovery hearing, our office is challenging, not the feasibility of the uprate projects, but the methodology that FPL has employed in calculating its annual feasibility analysis. The reason we are doing this is because of the rising or increasing costs of the uprate projects. And, coincidentally, the methodology we propose should be used is the same methodology that FPL currently is using for its new nuclear projects. If the PSC accepts our argument, FPL will have to use the new methodology and we can then access the feasibility of the uprates. We are not contesting whether the uprates should be done; we are contesting the feasibility methodology that FPL is employing.”

Utility companies are required to update the justification for nuclear facilities every year projected ten years into the future, termed a feasibility study. The Office of Public Counsel challenges the way FPL does the calculations. The Fort Lauderdale Sun Sentinel reported, August 7, 2011, FPL should not have abandoned getting bids "with price-assured contracts" and other traditional construction practices meant to control costs for construction work related to the proposed expansions of four existing reactors, according to the Office of Public Counsel (OPC), the state's advocate for utility customers. FPL implemented this "fast-tracked" approach to meet its goals for completion but the OPC argues that

the move is leading to "rapidly increasing estimates of the cost to complete the...projects" and they may end up costing more than FPL's alternative plan, not to do them.

The OPC contends that FPL withheld information. The OPC recommends that the PSC fine FPL ... for allegedly violating a rule that requires utilities that want to charge customers for nuclear planning costs to report estimated costs to regulators. An investigator hired by FPL found last year that the utility provided "inaccurate and incomplete" information to regulators about the growing costs of its nuclear expansions. The consumer advocate's office examined the issue itself and found that FPL's cost estimates increased by \$444 million in the months before it testified on costs but it did not report the changes.

(The OPC contends that) Progress Energy Florida is allegedly violating a rule that requires utilities that want to charge customers for planning costs of nuclear projects to actually have an intent to build them, according to the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy. Last year, both PE and FPL announced "delaying major capital expenditures" to build new reactors last year – and instead, just focusing on the getting the required licenses – because of the uncertainty and risk of U.S. nuclear growth, which was exacerbated by the Japan disaster.

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group, a group that represents consumers that are businesses, said utilities should prove the projects are the most reasonable and cost-effective way to serve customers given the uncertainty and risks.

But Commissioner Ron Brisé, who was in charge of deciding which questions to allow the agency to consider, ruled that whether or not the total project costs are "reasonable" should not be considered. A PSC employee said state law doesn't require the commission to consider whether the overall costs of new reactors or proposed reactor expansions are reasonable.

A PSC staff audit in May of FPL's nuclear costs last year found that FPL wanted to charge customers for costs related to going before Miami-Dade County. The utility is not allowed to charge customers for lobbying costs. FPL said the costs were not for political lobbying and were needed to obtain the licenses and approvals needed for its nuclear projects but that it would pay the costs itself anyway.

At the hearings, the PSC will also evaluate \$81 million in nuclear planning costs it approved last year without full hearings. The PSC adopted the costs by a 3 to 2 vote after FPL and consumer groups struck a deal allowing FPL to pass nuclear costs to customers in 2011 before a full hearing. For full explanation, visit:

Link: <http://www.ongo.com/v/1559830/-1/C750F19864B6E20D/fpl-asks-to-charge-196-million-to-plan-nuclear-plant-expansions>

Much has and will change in the economy, technology and demand for nuclear energy, which,

for Turkey Point 6 & 7, was established in 2007 based on earlier figures, years prior to construction, 15 years earlier for Turkey Point. At present Turkey Point is only using 65% of its generating capacity from all sources of energy; PEF is only using 75% of its capacity from all sources. With a 10% increase in energy conservation and efficiency we could avoid the need to ever build another power plant. Decentralized/distributed production of renewable energies on our homes and business is the future of energy, not building monolithic plants and sending energy out on wires.

#### **4) Undue and unjustified burden on the ratepayers.**

Proposed NCR funds being request for 2012 are excessive. FPL is requesting \$196 million in addition to the \$248 million they have already spent. PE is requesting \$20 million in addition to the \$615 million it has already been authorized to spend in NCR funds toward the Levy project to be absorbed by only 1.7 customers; FPL has 4.4 million customers. And these funds are added immediately over 12 months to their customers electric bills. Added together, these two utility companies will have spent \$1.079 Billion in NCR funds toward engineering and infrastructure for reactors which have little chance of ever being built. Elders who will only live another one, two or three decades are being charged now for the cost of power plants that will never provide them with any power. NCR is effectively "delegated" taxation on these people. FPL and PEF should only charge people for services currently being rendered.

Mayor Philip Stoddard, South Miami Florida, wrote: (Published in the Fort Lauderdale Sun Sentinel, August xx, 2011):

“Here in Florida, the big publicly-traded utilities are drawing up nuclear plans to take full advantage of (Nuclear) Cost Recovery, plans that include new four-lane access roads across southeastern Everglades wetlands and new transmission lines across the Everglades and eastern Miami-Dade County. How much could FPL charge ratepayers up front for this project? FPL hasn't said yet, but we can make an educated guess based on how much Progress Energy plans to charge their customers for a similar nuclear project



in Levy County. Progress Energy has submitted an early cost recovery schedule that peaks out at \$69 per 1000 KWH. Adjusted for the difference in number of customers, FPL's monthly rate increases would come to about \$50 per 1000 KWH. This charge would add 48 percent to my family's monthly electric bill."

**5) No COL's (Combined Operating Licenses) have been yet been issued by the NRC for FPL Turkey Point 6 & 7 or for the PE Levy plants.**

There is no guarantee that they will ever be issued; many problems exist with both the sites. So ECR funds are being authorized by the Florida PSC on the assumption that the licenses will be issued. And if they are not? No funds should be authorized or spent until the licenses are issued; these are public funds for a private company. They should not be committed frivolously.

**5) Only ratepayers will finance nuclear.**

Because Wall Street will not finance nuclear energy, it is too risky, it requires Federal loan guarantees to obtain the funds to build nuclear plants in the U.S. And these are only loan guarantees; the loans must eventually be repaid by the utility's customers.

**6) Future need**

Power companies have gone bankrupt because the anticipated need did not materialize. Right now Turkey Point is only using 65% of the energy it can produce from all sources currently on site; Progress Energy is only using 75% of its total production capacity. And current reports show the actual energy usage in the nation is declining. So who pays for the dis-orderly deconstruction of a \$20 to \$35 billion nuclear plant if the need is not there. And who continues to pay for building the un-needed plant? The rate payers, of course, not the utilities.

**7) FL Statute 366.92 requires promotion of renewable energy.**

Is the PSC fulfilling this statutory requirement? Dependency on nuclear and fossil fuels will give way to renewable

energy sources; this is the trend all over the world. By not also encouraging investment in

renewables Florida is committing to fading technology which will be eclipsed within the life time of some existing and all proposed nuclear and fossil fuel plants.

**8) Nuclear is dirty.**

Nuclear is not really the clean source of energy many believe it to be. While most people are not concerned about a nuclear plant blowing up in normal circumstances, the problems come from threats to and from nature due the operation of the nuclear plants and the by-products thereof , terrorism, human error and unforeseen events. The operation of the nuclear plants has impacts on the environment that are anything but neutral. See 9 below. Not one ounce of nuclear waste has been moved from Turkey Point since nuclear operations started in 1972. Disposal of low level radio active waste (equipment, clothes, tools) is a major concern and requires special handling and long term storage. And tons of radio active contaminated earth are being kept on the site at Turkey Point.

**9) Nuclear increases salinity in the aquifer**

The 165 miles of cooling canals have introduced saline water into the aquifer beneath it which supplies the water for Miami-Dade County and the entire Florida keys. And, if they build Turkey Point 6 & 7, it will use 90 Million Gallons per Day (MGD) of reclaimed water (in an area already on severe water restrictions) or 125 MGD of sea water to be drained from under Biscayne Bay with no real prediction of that will do to the interconnected South Florida aquifer; dangerous moves, unknown consequences.

**10) NCR is an investment in 19th century technology.**

Production of energy at one point and sending over wires is the same model T.A. Edison used in 1882 in Manhattan. Today, the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Model is to produce energy at the point of use on homes and business; distributed or decentralized production of energy. ECR is an investment in the past. We should be investing in renewable energies while natural gas

is declining in cost taking advantage of that reduced energy cost as a bridge to moving Florida forward toward decentralized production of renewable energy using emerging technology, an effort which could produce over \$100 billion in new business in Florida! **Governor Scott, here are your jobs.**

## **11) WATER**

South Florida is surrounded by sea water but has little potable water. All of South Florida is on permanent water rationing. Most of the shoreline and much of the aquifer which supplies fresh water for the area is experiencing increased major salt water intrusion. Some communities have had to abandon wells they have depended on for years. Some are using reverse osmosis to provide water for golf courses and municipal parks and land. No board 700 or 1300 miles away should be able to commit limited water resources to nuclear reactors putting the local population at risk of not having sufficient water for everyday needs. The large amount of water proposed to be pulled from the unconfined Florida Aquifer in Levy County on a daily basis would reduce the amount of water available to many springs reducing the potable water supply in the area.

**BOTTOM LINE: Ask the PSC Staff and Commissioners to deny FPL and PEF any new NCR funds until the questions above are answered and the proposed plants are licensed and assured of being built. It's our money, not the utilities! With authority comes responsibility; the PSC must begin to represent the people and not just the utilities. The perception is that the regulators are too closely aligned with the regulated. Economics, technology, need and times have changed; it is time for the PSC to change also.**



This effort is being coordinated by Citizens Allied for Safe Energy, Inc./CASE. All organizations who have signed on to cooperate will be listed at [www.case-fl.org](http://www.case-fl.org). Organizations can sign on on the website or email [info@case-fl.org](mailto:info@case-fl.org)

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Robert Scanlon [rlscanlon@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 9:23 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Robert Scanlon  
3989 Sunhawk Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32309

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Edith Martin [emartin32@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 2:03 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Edith Martin  
805 West Retta Esp.  
Punta Gorda, FL 33950-5412

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Linda Moorhead [lyflov@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 1:05 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Linda Moorhead  
11664 127 th av  
11664 127 av 33778  
largo, FL 33778

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Michelle Turner [goldlotus12@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 09, 2011 10:56 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Michelle Turner  
2946 Louise St  
Sarasota, FL 34237

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Arthur Fisher [art363@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 8:20 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Arthur Fisher  
10225 nikolich av  
Hastings, FL 32145-4657

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Donna Selquist [dselquist@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 1:23 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Donna Selquist  
10530 sw Waterway La  
none  
Port St Lucie, FL 34987-1913

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Stacy Reich [ssr246@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 12:48 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Stacy Reich  
6684 Inland Court  
Jupiter, FL 33458-3718



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Heather Dixey [alycnwonderland@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 11:30 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Heather Dixey  
1824 Kings Way Ct  
Cantonment, FL 32533

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Roxanne Ganley [angiecbc@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 10:22 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Roxanne Ganley  
6861 SW 44th St  
Miami, FL 33155

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 8:09 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: This is the future of energy in Florida - Docket Info  
**Attachments:** Coalition Talking Points-1.pdf

Thanks, Marshall. This attachment and e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 8:06 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: This is the future of energy in Florida - Docket Info

Ann, Please place this email and attachment in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

Marshall

---

**From:** Barry White [mailto:bwtamia@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 3:41 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Fw: This is the future of energy in Florida - Docket Info

Regarding the subject message and attachment, here are the  
DOCKET NOS. 110001 - 02,03,04,07,09

Thank you, Barry White, Miami

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

10/11/2011

## **COALITION AGAINST ADVANCE NUCLEAR COST FUNDING**

### **Suggested Nuclear Cost Recovery (NCR) Talking Points**

**for letters, emails, faxes and phone calls**

**to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) Staff (before Oct 12, 2011)**

**and to the Commissioners (before Oct 24, 2011).**

**1) Nuclear Cost Recovery: Florida law (FL Statute 366.93) authorizes electric utilities to incur expenses such as licensing and planning expenses costs for new nuclear or gas plants and to recover these costs from their customers immediately (over one year) whether or not the plants are ever built. Carrying charges (interest) on infrastructure construction expenses may be charged to customers immediately (for over ten years at least for Turkey Point 6 & 7) until the plant is constructed or the project is cancelled and then the customers pay the full cost of the infrastructure. The cost is paid by the rate payers whether or not the plants are ever built!**

Through 2011, the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) has authorized Florida Power & Light (FPL) to spend \$248 million and Progress Energy Florida (PEF) to spend \$615 million. This year they are requesting another \$196 million (FPL) and \$20 million (PEF) for 2012, a total of \$1.079 Billion dollars **toward nuclear reactors which may never be built but for which rate payers must pay now.** This is in addition to approximately \$205 million which PE is requesting for Crystal River Plant uprate. And all of this occurs with no input or comment from private citizens; organized advocacy groups can petition to comment on the process.

#### **2) No True Intent to build the Turkey Point or Levy Reactors**

FPL has set dates of 2022 and 2023 the construction of Turkey Point 6 & 7, over ten years away. PEF says Levy reactors are now scheduled for 2021 and 2023; there is some

information that this might be delayed until 2027! Will they ever be built? See quotes below.

The Statute implies that there should be a true plan and intent by the utility to build the plants for which they are seeking and spending funds well in advance of their planned construction. FPL senior management has stated publicly that Turkey Point 6 & 7 would be so expensive they probably will never be built.

Mr. Lew Hay, chairman and CEO of FPL, said, "If our cost estimates are even close to being right, the cost of a two-unit plant will be on the order of magnitude of \$13 to \$14 billion. That's bigger than the total market capitalization of many companies in the U.S. utility industry and 50 percent or more of the market capitalization of all companies in our industry with the exception of Exelon. ... This is a huge bet for any CEO to take to his or her board. ( <http://thinkprogress.org/romm/2008/06/13/202742/nuclear-power-part-2-the-price-is-not-right/>).

That statement was made in 2007. Current cost estimates are much higher making the likelihood that Turkey Point 6 & 7 very slim; and those are overnight costs; you can increase their cost estimates by at least 50% for the actual cost of building the reactors and related infrastructure. And, if the AP1000 reactors are not used, FPL is saying the alternative reactors will cost \$24 Billion. How high is up? How can the PSC continue to spend rate payers money for ghost reactors? Here is further evidence of lack of intent to build Turkey Point 6 & 7:

**Statement From FPL Website:** (<http://www.fpl.com/environment/nuclear/faq.shtml>)

**Will FPL begin construction soon after it receives state and federal approval?**

The state and federal licenses and approvals provide FPL the opportunity, **but not the obligation, to proceed with construction of the project.** (emphasis added). Today's applications are part of a stepwise approach that will create the option to build additional nuclear generation for the benefit of our customers. To that end, we continue to monitor many factors that affect the appropriate timing and cost of the project. Some of the factors include the pace of regulatory reviews; federal and state energy policy initiatives; and the cost and availability of necessary materials, services and labor. At present, the current schedule would have Unit 6 providing electricity by 2022 and Unit 7 by 2023.

**Clearly, FPL is not showing the intent to build. We can only assume that FPL is keeping the possibility alive so they can draw down funds under NCR to finance the building of power lines and the cost of seeking the licenses.**

The cost of natural gas is declining and the technology for renewable energy is moving forward leading us to ask if nuclear energy and fossil fuels have not served their purpose but it is



time to move on to decentralized production of renewable energy. Solar, wind, geothermal, biomass and other evolving ways of producing energy are already having an impact; nuclear and fossil fuels usage is only being sustained by excessive lobbying in and heavy handed political and devious economic pressures. FPL is already one of the largest producers of solar energy in the world but they still persist in seeking licensure for Turkey Point 6 & 7 and an uprate for creaky 40 year old Turkey Point 3 & 4 which are being held together by a wish and a prayer. Some say the concrete might be as brittle as an egg shell. Much has and will change in the economy, technology and demand for energy established years prior to construction, 15 years earlier for Turkey Point. At present Turkey Point is only using 65% of its generating capacity from all sources of energy; PEF is only using 75% of its capacity from all sources. Does this indicate a need for additional production capacity. Current studies show that energy conservation and efficiency will eliminate the need for any more power plants in Florida.

**3) The Feasibility Study related to Turkey Point 6 & 7 is based on questionable calculations.**

In a message to the current writers, the Office of Public Counsel stated:

“In this year’s Nuclear Cost Recovery hearing, our office is challenging, not the feasibility of the uprate projects, but the methodology that FPL has employed in calculating its annual feasibility analysis. The reason we are doing this is because of the rising or increasing costs of the uprate projects. And, coincidentally, the methodology we propose should be used is the same methodology that FPL currently is using for its new nuclear projects. If the PSC accepts our argument, FPL will have to use the new methodology and we can then assess the feasibility of the uprates. We are not contesting whether the uprates should be done; we are contesting the feasibility methodology that FPL is employing.”

Utility companies are required to update the justification for nuclear facilities every year projected ten years into the future, termed a feasibility study. The Office of Public Counsel challenges the way FPL does the calculations. The Fort Lauderdale Sun Sentinel reported, August 7, 2011, FPL should not have abandoned getting bids "with price-assured contracts" and other traditional construction practices meant to control costs for construction work related to the proposed expansions of four existing reactors, according to the Office of Public Counsel (OPC), the state's advocate for utility customers. FPL implemented this "fast-tracked" approach to meet its goals for completion but the OPC argues that

the move is leading to "rapidly increasing estimates of the cost to complete the...projects" and they may end up costing more than FPL's alternative plan, not to do them.

The OPC contends that FPL withheld information. The OPC recommends that the PSC fine FPL ... for allegedly violating a rule that requires utilities that want to charge customers for nuclear planning costs to report estimated costs to regulators. An investigator hired by FPL found last year that the utility provided "inaccurate and incomplete" information to regulators about the growing costs of its nuclear expansions. The consumer advocate's office examined the issue itself and found that FPL's cost estimates increased by \$444 million in the months before it testified on costs but it did not report the changes.

(The OPC contends that) Progress Energy Florida is allegedly violating a rule that requires utilities that want to charge customers for planning costs of nuclear projects to actually have an intent to build them, according to the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy. Last year, both PE and FPL announced "delaying major capital expenditures" to build new reactors last year – and instead, just focusing on the getting the required licenses – because of the uncertainty and risk of U.S. nuclear growth, which was exacerbated by the Japan disaster.

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group, a group that represents consumers that are businesses, said utilities should prove the projects are the most reasonable and cost-effective way to serve customers given the uncertainty and risks.

But Commissioner Ron Brisé, who was in charge of deciding which questions to allow the agency to consider, ruled that whether or not the total project costs are "reasonable" should not be considered. A PSC employee said state law doesn't require the commission to consider whether the overall costs of new reactors or proposed reactor expansions are reasonable.

A PSC staff audit in May of FPL's nuclear costs last year found that FPL wanted to charge customers for costs related to going before Miami-Dade County. The utility is not allowed to charge customers for lobbying costs. FPL said the costs were not for political lobbying and were needed to obtain the licenses and approvals needed for its nuclear projects but that it would pay the costs itself anyway.

At the hearings, the PSC will also evaluate \$81 million in nuclear planning costs it approved last year without full hearings. The PSC adopted the costs by a 3 to 2 vote after FPL and consumer groups struck a deal allowing FPL to pass nuclear costs to customers in 2011 before a full hearing. For full explanation, visit:

Link: <http://www.ongo.com/v/1559830/-1/C750F19864B6E20D/fpl-asks-to-charge-196-million-to-plan-nuclear-plant-expansions>

Much has and will change in the economy, technology and demand for nuclear energy, which,

for Turkey Point 6 & 7, was established in 2007 based on earlier figures, years prior to construction, 15 years earlier for Turkey Point. At present Turkey Point is only using 65% of its generating capacity from all sources of energy; PEF is only using 75% of its capacity from all sources. With a 10% increase in energy conservation and efficiency we could avoid the need to ever build another power plant. Decentralized/distributed production of renewable energies on our homes and business is the future of energy, not building monolithic plants and sending energy out on wires.

#### **4) Undue and unjustified burden on the ratepayers.**

Proposed NCR funds being request for 2012 are excessive. FPL is requesting \$196 million in addition to the \$248 million they have already spent. PE is requesting \$20 million in addition to the \$615 million it has already been authorized to spend in NCR funds toward the Levy project to be absorbed by only 1.7 customers; FPL has 4.4 million customers. And these funds are added immediately over 12 months to their customers electric bills. Added together, these two utility companies will have spent \$1.079 Billion in NCR funds toward engineering and infrastructure for reactors which have little chance of ever being built. Elders who will only live another one, two or three decades are being charged now for the cost of power plants that will never provide them with any power. NCR is effectively "delegated" taxation on these people. FPL and PEF should only charge people for services currently being rendered.

Mayor Philip Stoddard, South Miami Florida, wrote: (Published in the Fort Lauderdale Sun Sentinel, August xx, 2011):

“Here in Florida, the big publicly-traded utilities are drawing up nuclear plans to take full advantage of (Nuclear) Cost Recovery, plans that include new four-lane access roads across southeastern Everglades wetlands and new transmission lines across the Everglades and eastern Miami-Dade County. How much could FPL charge ratepayers up front for this project? FPL hasn't said yet, but we can make an educated guess based on how much Progress Energy plans to charge their customers for a similar nuclear project



in Levy County. Progress Energy has submitted an early cost recovery schedule that peaks out at \$69 per 1000 KWH. Adjusted for the difference in number of customers, FPL's monthly rate increases would come to about \$50 per 1000 KWH. This charge would add 48 percent to my family's monthly electric bill."

**5) No COL's (Combined Operating Licenses) have been yet been issued by the NRC for FPL Turkey Point 6 & 7 or for the PE Levy plants.**

There is no guarantee that they will ever be issued; many problems exist with both the sites. So ECR funds are being authorized by the Florida PSC on the assumption that the licenses will be issued. And if they are not? No funds should be authorized or spent until the licenses are issued; these are public funds for a private company. They should not be committed frivolously.

**5) Only ratepayers will finance nuclear.**

Because Wall Street will not finance nuclear energy, it is too risky, it requires Federal loan guarantees to obtain the funds to build nuclear plants in the U.S. And these are only loan guarantees; the loans must eventually be repaid by the utility's customers.

**6) Future need**

Power companies have gone bankrupt because the anticipated need did not materialize. Right now Turkey Point is only using 65% of the energy it can produce from all sources currently on site; Progress Energy is only using 75% of its total production capacity. And current reports show the actual energy usage in the nation is declining. So who pays for the dis-orderly deconstruction of a \$20 to \$35 billion nuclear plant if the need is not there. And who continues to pay for building the un-needed plant? The rate payers, of course, not the utilities.

**7) FL Statute 366.92 requires promotion of renewable energy.**

Is the PSC fulfilling this statutory requirement? Dependency on nuclear and fossil fuels will give way to renewable

energy sources; this is the trend all over the world. By not also encouraging investment in

renewables Florida is committing to fading technology which will be eclipsed within the life time of some existing and all proposed nuclear and fossil fuel plants.

#### **8) Nuclear is dirty.**

Nuclear is not really the clean source of energy many believe it to be. While most people are not concerned about a nuclear plant blowing up in normal circumstances, the problems come from threats to and from nature due the operation of the nuclear plants and the by-products thereof , terrorism, human error and unforeseen events. The operation of the nuclear plants has impacts on the environment that are anything but neutral. See 9 below. Not one ounce of nuclear waste has been moved from Turkey Point since nuclear operations started in 1972. Disposal of low level radio active waste (equipment, clothes, tools) is a major concern and requires special handling and long term storage. And tons of radio active contaminated earth are being kept on the site at Turkey Point.

#### **9) Nuclear increases salinity in the aquifer**

The 165 miles of cooling canals have introduced saline water into the aquifer beneath it which supplies the water for Miami-Dade County and the entire Florida keys. And, if they build Turkey Point 6 & 7, it will use 90 Million Gallons per Day (MGD) of reclaimed water (in an area already on severe water restrictions) or 125 MGD of sea water to be drained from under Biscayne Bay with no real prediction of that will do to the interconnected South Florida aquifer; dangerous moves, unknown consequences.

#### **10) NCR is an investment in 19th century technology.**

Production of energy at one point and sending over wires is the same model T.A. Edison used in 1882 in Manhattan. Today, the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Model is to produce energy at the point of use on homes and business; distributed or decentralized production of energy. ECR is an investment in the past. We should be investing in renewable energies while natural gas

is declining in cost taking advantage of that reduced energy cost as a bridge to moving Florida forward toward decentralized production of renewable energy using emerging technology, an effort which could produce over \$100 billion in new business in Florida! **Governor Scott, here are your jobs.**

## **11) WATER**

South Florida is surrounded by sea water but has little potable water. All of South Florida is on permanent water rationing. Most of the shoreline and much of the aquifer which supplies fresh water for the area is experiencing increased major salt water intrusion. Some communities have had to abandon wells they have depended on for years. Some are using reverse osmosis to provide water for golf courses and municipal parks and land. No board 700 or 1300 miles away should be able to commit limited water resources to nuclear reactors putting the local population at risk of not having sufficient water for everyday needs. The large amount of water proposed to be pulled from the unconfined Florida Aquifer in Levy County on a daily basis would reduce the amount of water available to many springs reducing the potable water supply in the area.

**BOTTOM LINE: Ask the PSC Staff and Commissioners to deny FPL and PEF any new NCR funds until the questions above are answered and the proposed plants are licensed and assured of being built. It's our money, not the utilities! With authority comes responsibility; the PSC must begin to represent the people and not just the utilities. The perception is that the regulators are too closely aligned with the regulated. Economics, technology, need and times have changed; it is time for the PSC to change also.**

This effort is being coordinated by Citizens Allied for Safe Energy, Inc./CASE. All organizations who have signed on to cooperate will be listed at [www.case-fl.org](http://www.case-fl.org). Organizations can sign on on the website or email [info@case-fl.org](mailto:info@case-fl.org)



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

110009-E1

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 8:01 AM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery Funding

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket Nos. 110001, 02, 03, 04, 07, and 09-EI.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Art Graham  
**Sent:** Monday, October 10, 2011 7:52 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery Funding

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket Nos. 110001, 02, 03, 04, 07 and 09.

Thanks.

---

**From:** DeeVon Quirolo [mailto:dquirol@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 5:25 PM  
**To:** Art Graham; Office of Commissioner Brown; Eduardo Balbis; Lisa Edgar; Ronald Brisé  
**Subject:** Opposition to Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery Funding

Chairman Art Graham & Commissioners Julie Brown, Eduardo Balbis, Lisa Edgar, and Ronald Brise:

Please enter this letter into the record of public comment regarding Reference Docket Nos. 110001-02, 03, 04, 07, 09, the proposal to authorize Progress Energy Florida and/or Florida Power and Light to spend \$20 million and \$196 million respectively in addition to millions already spent by both entities for nuclear power planning to supply energy to Florida.

I am opposed to the use of nuclear power. I am opposed to advance funding of nuclear power facilities in Florida as requested by Progress Energy and PFL. I am a voting resident of Hernando County, Fl. and a Progress Energy customer. My 91-year old mother, Yvonne Meade, is a voting resident of Hollywood, Fl. and an FPL customer and I speak on her behalf as well. Neither she nor I want to prepay for nuclear power.

My opposition is based on the fact that there is no safe way of disposing of nuclear waste and recent events in Japan have proven that nuclear reactors are not safe. Furthermore, it is way too expensive.

10/11/2011

We would prefer that this funding be invested in solar power in Florida. This is the Sunshine State--why put millions into something dangerous when we have an abundant source of clean, renewable energy available from the sun? If Germany and other countries can do it, we certainly can tap this abundant source of energy as well. Get with the program. Go renewable!

Thank you for the opportunity to present this viewpoint to you. .

--

DeeVon Quirolo

[www.reefrelieffounders.com](http://www.reefrelieffounders.com)

You must be the change you want to see in the world.

Mahatma Gandhi

We can do no great things; only small things with great love.

Mother Theresa

The problems of the world cannot possibly be solved by skeptics or cynics whose horizons are limited by the obvious realities. We need men who can dream of things that never were.

John Fitzgerald Kennedy

--

DeeVon Quirolo

[www.reefrelieffounders.com](http://www.reefrelieffounders.com)

You must be the change you want to see in the world.

Mahatma Gandhi

We can do no great things; only small things with great love.

Mother Theresa

The problems of the world cannot possibly be solved by skeptics or cynics whose horizons are limited by the obvious realities. We need men who can dream of things that never were.

John Fitzgerald Kennedy

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 3:22 PM  
**To:** Katherine Fleming  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Docket No. 110009-EI

**Attachments:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes -- "Just say 'NO'"; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; PSC vote; Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Thank you, Katherine. These attachments and this e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

**From:** Katherine Fleming  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 3:19 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Kathleen Stewart  
**Subject:** Docket No. 110009-EI



Ann,

Please place the attached in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming  
 Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
 Tallahassee, FL 32399  
 (850) 413-6028 (Office)  
 (850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

*Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.*

10/11/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Edith Martin [emartin32@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 2:03 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Edith Martin  
805 West Retta Esp.  
Punta Gorda, FL 33950-5412



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Stacy Reich [ssr246@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 12:48 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Stacy Reich  
6684 Inland Court  
Jupiter, FL 33458-3718

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Janet Friesecke [janet@cafelaw.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 4:22 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes -- "Just say 'NO'"

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Janet Friesecke  
2627 SW 30th Terrace  
2627 SW 30th Terrace  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Donna Selquist [dselquist@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 1:23 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Donna Selquist  
10530 sw Waterway La  
none  
Port St Lucie, FL 34987-1913

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Roxanne Ganley [angiecbc@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 10:22 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Roxanne Ganley  
6861 SW 44th St  
Miami, FL 33155

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** RICKI SOLTAN [oxyfresh01@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 2:31 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Ricki D. Soltan

RICKI SOLTAN  
7446 W. Mercada Way  
Delray Beach, FL 33446

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Heather Dixey [alycnWonderland@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 11:30 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Heather Dixey  
1824 Kings Way Ct  
Cantonment, FL 32533

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Patricia McDonald [patmcdonald@cfl.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 10:14 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Patricia McDonald  
2348 Summerfield Road  
Winter Park, FL 32792-5009

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Debi Mohan [dmohan@bridgepointhealth.ca]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 2:28 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Debi Mohan  
420 Eglinton Ave  
420 Eglinton Ave  
Miami, FL 33199



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** roseann divicino [rdivicino@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 8:12 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

roseann divicino  
7528 High Pines Court  
7528 High Pines Court  
Port Richey, FL 34668

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** John B. Koch [BANYANJOHN@ATT.NET]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 9:10 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

John B. Koch  
301 Harbour Pointe Way  
Greenacres, FL 33413-2008

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Toby Davidow [tobydavidow@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 12:28 PM  
**To:** marshall@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Ronald Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar; flores.anitere.web@flsenate.gov  
**Subject:** PSC vote

I am writing to urge a NO vote in authorizing Progress Energy and/or Florida Power and Light's plans for new nuclear plants.

Environmental factors are my main concern: despite nuclear wastes being stored (most on plant sites) in especially constructed containers, planted deep into the ground, long term consequences from the waste, are unlikely to be safe from climactic events. And, a detrimental effect upon our precious fresh water resources, already at a premium, is the amount of water needed for cooling yet more reactors.

Costs wise, the many millions - billions - of dollars instead should be used in enhancing the energy efficiency of existing and new houses and buildings (white roofs for all) with a national focus on conserving energy and moving quickly to sustainable, cleaner - and less usage of energy.

If I am asked to pay a higher rate it would rather be for the above mentioned with the added benefit of many jobs being created to accomplish such an effort.

Thank you,  
Mrs. Toby Davidow  
8860 S.W. 87 Street  
Miami, FL 33173

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Theresa Waldron [b.jaybird@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 7:46 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Theresa Waldron  
po boc 438  
PO Box 438  
Lecanto, FL 34460

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Dan Cross [dancross@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 5:48 PM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Dan Cross  
1123 SW 5th. Pl.  
1123 SW 5th Pl  
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33312

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Rain Byars [info@rainorshinepower.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 11:49 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Rain Byars  
PO Box 3891  
St. Augustine, FL 32085

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Linda Moorhead [lyflov@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 1:05 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Linda Moorhead  
11664 127 th av  
11664 127 av 33778  
largo, FL 33778

**Diamond Williams**

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 2:50 PM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Marshall Willis; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Art Graham  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 2:29 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket #110009.

Thanks.

**From:** Niels Kastor [mailto:nielsk@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 07, 2011 8:44 AM  
**To:** willis@psc.state.fl.us; bill@billnelson.senate.gov  
**Cc:** Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Ronald Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar  
**Subject:** Advance Nuclear Cost Recovery

I am writing to express my opposition to allowing FPL and any other utility company to collect monies from their customers (of which I am one) to fund the possible construction of any nuclear power facilities.

Not only is it a poor decision to build any new nuclear facilities for a plethora of reasons, it is extortion plain and simple for any company to force it's customers to pay to build it's equipment and infrastructure in advance of selling it's product.

Why not promote installing solar thermal plants at existing nuclear facilities? These are proven, readily available technologies, and can go on line in under 6 months. If built on the existing buffer land surrounding the current nuclear facilities, they can utilize existing infrastructure, supplement power at peak demand times, and cost less than any other alternative.

Thank you,

Niels Kastor

2395 Snow Hill Road

Fl. 32766

10/11/2011



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable*

*energy.*

Thank you,

*F. Gubert*  
SIGNATURE  
F. GUBERT  
(Please Print) NAME  
33908  
ZIP  
PHONE



RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 OCT -7 PM 1:06  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

10/05

# What future for Florida?



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
DISTRIBUTION:

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a truly clean, safe and affordable energy

future with efficiency and renewable

energy.

Thank you,



SIGNATURE

I. Sisson 33956

Please Print) NAME

ZIP

PHONE

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
11 OCT -7 PM 1:06  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

# What future for Florida?



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		



**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 5:03 PM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: PSC vote

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.



-----Original Message-----

From: Betty Leland On Behalf Of Art Graham  
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2011 2:25 PM  
To: Ann Cole  
Cc: Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Jim Varian  
Subject: FW: PSC vote

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket # 110009.

-----Original Message-----

From: Toby Davidow [mailto:tobydavidow@yahoo.com]  
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2011 12:28 PM  
To: marshall@psc.state.fl.us  
Cc: Art Graham; Eduardo Balbis; Ronald Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown; Lisa Edgar; flores.anitere.web@flsenate.gov  
Subject: PSC vote

I am writing to urge a NO vote in authorizing Progress Energy and/or Florida Power and Light's plans for new nuclear plants.

Environmental factors are my main concern: despite nuclear wastes being stored (most on plant sites) in especially constructed containers, planted deep into the ground, long term consequences from the waste, are unlikely to be safe from climactic events. And, a detrimental effect upon our precious fresh water resources, already at a premium, is the amount of water needed for cooling yet more reactors.

Costs wise, the many millions - billions - of dollars instead should be used in enhancing the energy efficiency of existing and new houses and buildings (white roofs for all) with a national focus on conserving energy and moving quickly to sustainable, cleaner - and less usage of energy.

If I am asked to pay a higher rate it would rather be for the above mentioned with the added benefit of many jobs being created to accomplish such an effort.

Thank you,  
Mrs. Toby Davidow  
8860 S.W. 87 Street

Miami, FL 33173

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☒ Parties ☒ Consumer  
DOCUMENT NO. 102860-11  
DISTRIBUTION

110009-E1

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.

Thank you,


*Loren Wickard*  
SIGNATURE  
Loren Wickard 33867  
(Please Print) NAME  
239-267-1564



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RECEIVED-PPSC  
11 OCT -6 AM 10:02  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

## What future for Florida?




**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 12:27 PM  
**To:** Katherine Fleming  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Thanks, Katherine. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.



-----Original Message-----

**From:** Katherine Fleming  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 10:25 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Kathleen Stewart  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Ann,

Please place the attached in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming  
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
(850) 413-6028 (Office)  
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sara Buchheim [mailto:jbuchheim@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 8:12 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power

& Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

It is very unfair to expect citizenship to make these very large payments and not own even a share in the facilities. Would you vote for a penny sales tax for five years so I can build a chain of sandwich shops? You would not, yet you are doing the same to the very prosperous utilities. Are you expecting large contributions for reelection? The money would come for us, Florida citizens that need the money to pay for groceries and rent!

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Sara Buchheim  
1959 Riveredge Dr  
Tarpon Springs, FL 34689

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 12:22 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Docket No. 110009-EI

[illegible]

Thanks, Marshall. Diamond will print these attachments and this e-mail and place them in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 8:51 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** Docket No. 110009-EI

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer  
 DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
 DISTRIBUTION:

Ann,

Would you please place these attached emails in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI? Thanks.

**Marshall Willis**  
**Director**  
**Division of Economic Regulation**  
**Florida Public Service Commission**  
**2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850**

**(850) 413-6914**  
**marshall.willis@psc.state.fl.us**



## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** donna hovanyetz [dhovy412@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 7:45 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

donna hovanyetz  
3438 sw martin st  
port st lucie, FL 34953

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** William Wilgus [wmwilgus@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:14 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built. Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

William Wilgus  
530 NE 134th Court  
Silver Springs, FL 34488-3936

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Drew Martin [DMandCH@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 6:37 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Drew Martin  
500 Lake Ave. #102  
Lake Worth, FL 33460

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Gabriele Renate Schubert [grschubert@san.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 4:53 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Gabriele Renate Schubert  
2745 Hillview St.  
2345 West Jewett St.  
Sarasota, FL 34239

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Tom Conroy [Tcon1964@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 8:53 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Tom Conroy  
P.o.box913  
Naples, FL 34106

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Diana Ward [dward2236@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 6:11 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Diana Ward  
2401 - 41 Street North  
St. Petersburg, FL 33713

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Barbara Rutherford-Dorris [brutherford26@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 3:28 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Barbara Rutherford-Dorris  
5460 Cape san Blas Road  
Cape San Blas, FL 32456

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** kristi doyne-bailey [reddfish@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 5:54 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

kristi doyne-bailey  
28580 sw 170 ave  
28580 SW 170 Ave  
Homestead, FL 33030



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** JAY ROZNER [JAYROZ@BELLSOUTH.NET]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 8:41 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

JAY ROZNER  
74 VENTNOR D  
DEERFIELD BEACH, FL 334422445

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Dave Dorris [davedorris@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 6:00 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Dave Dorris  
5460 Cape San Blas  
Cape San Blas, FL 32456-4306

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Thomas Eppes [tf16123@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 3:08 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Thomas Eppes  
9401 E. Fowler Avenue  
Thonotosassa, FL 33592

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Ana Alvarez [aairis@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 2:35 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Ana Alvarez  
11500 Brandiwine Ct.  
Clermont, FL 34711-6451

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Martha Vinick [vinickm@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 8:23 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Martha Vinick  
5551 Dunrobin Dr. #4301  
Sarasota, FL 34238

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Charlie Okeefe [p45crok@yahoo.ca]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 5:53 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Charlie Okeefe  
1426 Gardenia Drive  
Sebastian, FL 32976

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Sidney Ziring [smartyz@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:57 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Sidney Ziring  
8921 Echo Lane  
Boca Raton, FL 33496

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Eric Swalley [eswalley@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 12:10 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Eric Swalley  
Chairman, Green Energy and Environmental Committee of Dave

Eric Swalley  
4680 sw 61 ave  
davic, FL 33314



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** jackie mason [mason.jacque@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 6:57 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

jackie mason  
1970 osceola pkwy  
1970 pscepla pkwy  
kissimmee, FL 34743

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** PATTI Constantino-Martin [ppattiplcsam@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 5:51 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

PATTI Constantino-Martin  
17249 Helen K Dr  
17249 Helen K Dr  
spring hill, FL 34610

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Thomas Poulson [tomandliz@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:48 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Thomas Poulson  
318 Marlberry Circle  
Jupiter, FL 33458-2850

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Blanca Alvarez [brclus@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 10:07 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Blanca Alvarez  
7950 SW 108th St  
Miami, FL 33156

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** John Igla [dragon5819@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 6:41 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

John Igla  
4237 Midland Rd.  
4237 Midland Rd.  
Sarasota, FL 34231-6526

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Robert O'Brien [robrien2000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 5:29 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Robert O'Brien  
972 Allamanda DR.  
Delray Beach, FL 33483

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Bob Fay [RFay808700@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:48 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Bob Fay  
4000 24th St.N.  
Lot 1108  
St. Petersburg, FL 33714

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 10:20 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 102860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 9:11 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 8:26 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1033123C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:45 PM  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** E-Form Other Complaint TRACKING NUMBER: 30161

CUSTOMER INFORMATION



Name: Bill Sabarese  
Telephone: 5614341643  
Email:  
Address: 6302 Emerald Sky Lane Greenacres FL 33463

#### BUSINESS INFORMATION

Business Account Name: Bill Sabarese  
Account Number:  
Address: 6302 Emerald Sky Lane Greenacres Florida 33463

#### COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Complaint: Other Complaint against Florida Power & Light Company  
Details:

I would like to protest the additional fee FPL wants to add to our electric bills. This fee is to help FPL to get the extra money for atomic power plants. From my understanding if the atomic power plants are not constructed we consumers will not get any of this money back. It is not fair that large companies can just do what they want when they want. I am just a concerned citizen.

Sincerely,

Bill Sabarese

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 06, 2011 10:20 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI.

**Attachments:** RE: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company & Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



RE: Oppose      Consumer  
e hikes for new nukes - Florida Po

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:48 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Visaggio, Mathew [Mathew.Visaggio@myfloridahouse.gov] on behalf of Aubuchon, Gary [Gary.Aubuchon@myfloridahouse.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:03 PM  
**To:** cveaux@aol.com  
**Subject:** RE: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Mr. Veaux,

Thank you for contacting the office of State Representative Gary Aubuchon to voice your concerns for an item before the vote of the Public Service Commission. As you may know, Representative Aubuchon does not have a vote or influence over the PSC's decisions. For your consideration, I have taken the opportunity to share this email with our liaison at the Commission.

Please do not hesitate to contact us in the future about this or any other matter of importance to you.

Best regards,

Matt Visaggio  
Senior District Aide  
Representative Gary Aubuchon, District 74  
3501 Del Prado Blvd. Ste. 305  
Cape Coral, FL 33904  
(239) 344-4900  
Fax: (239) 344-4901

Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials and staff are considered public record and are made available to the public and the media upon request. Your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Carl Veaux [mailto:cveaux@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 1:51 PM  
**To:** Aubuchon, Gary  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Carl Veaux  
3705 SE 3rd PL  
Cape Coral, FL 33904

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 2:47 PM  
**To:** 'cveaux@aol.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company & Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Mr. Carl Veaux  
cveaux@aol.com

Dear Mr. Veaux:

Florida State Representative Gary Aubuchon's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) and Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service.

You expressed a concern about FPL and Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

Florida Statute 366.93 indicates that after a petition for determination of need is granted, a utility may petition the commission for cost recovery for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear and integrated gasification combined cycle power plants.

In compliance with the Florida Statute the PSC enacted a rule in February 2007 that adheres to the statute. Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, allows investor-owned utilities to recover costs for nuclear and coal gasification plants. Upon PSC approval of a utility's need for a nuclear plant upgrade or new plant construction, the utility can petition for cost recovery.

FPL's approved cost recovery includes costs associated with the uprate of its existing nuclear generating plants, Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2, and the construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Turkey Point Units 6 and 7. These completed projects will add 2,614 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough energy to power 1.4 million homes.

Progress Energy's approved cost recovery includes costs associated with the uprate of its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River, and the construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2. These completed projects will add 2,380 MWs of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

The next hearing in which the PSC will decide the nuclear cost recovery for FPL and Progress Energy will begin on October 24, 2011. You may use the following link to view and listen to the hearings:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/index.aspx>

After each hearing, the events will be archived and available for three months following the conclusion of the hearings. You may review the archived events by using the following link:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/archives/>

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the FPL and Progress Energy's nuclear cost recovery.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

**Diamond Williams**


110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:55 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 11:45 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 11:31 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1032982C. DH

---

**From:** deme varidin [mailto:depvaridin@ij.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 11:19 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:**

*I want to make an issue here and I hope I have the correct email address. I live in St Pete and what I've been hearing about energy prices going UP to help pay for a nuclear plant that may or may not come into existence and not for a long time...why do we have*

10/5/2011

**a public service commission when you people go hand in hand in play and agree with what the energy co. wants...your duty is to the PUBLIC and protect them from GOUGING us...all we hear is how you people are paid "under the table" or whatever else comes in your hands just to pacify "progress energy"...and to pacify yourselves...POLITICS IS NOTHING BUT A DIRTY GAME AND THIS WILL NEVER CHANGE.**

**Ms Deme Varidin  
[depvaridin@jj.net](mailto:depvaridin@jj.net)**



**Diamond Williams**


110009 -EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:54 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009  
**Attachments:** Docket 110009 - Oppose FPL's rate hike proposal; Oppose FPL's rate hike proposal; Vote no ; RE: My contact; RE: My contact

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 10:11 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 9:38 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as information requests, EI802, Docket #110009 and closeout code PR69. DH

10/5/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Gary and Marilyn [delphoi@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 1:26 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Docket 110009 - Oppose FPL's rate hike proposal  
  
**Importance:** High

Dear Public Service Commissioners:

We read the article about FPL's proposed rate hike in today's Palm Beach Post. We're flabbergasted that we would be expected to pay more to FPL to get more nuclear power, maybe, (and no refunds if it doesn't work out). FPL has a lot of nerve asking for that.

The disaster in Japan has shown the world how dangerous nuclear plants can be, no matter what precautions we might take against nature's forces. We should be moving away from nuclear power, not toward more.

We urge you to reject FPL's proposal and use your power to help Floridians, not the power companies.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marilyn and Gary Sanders  
4406 44th Way  
West Palm Beach FL 33407  
561-471-2983

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Gary and Marilyn [delphoi@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 1:24 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Oppose FPL's rate hike proposal

Dear Public Service Commissioners:

We read the article about FPL's proposed rate hike in today's Palm Beach Post. We're flabbergasted that we would be expected to pay more to FPL to get more nuclear power, maybe, and no refunds if it doesn't work out. FPL has a lot of nerve asking for that.

The disaster in Japan has shown the world how dangerous nuclear plants can be, no matter what precautions we might take against nature's forces. We should be moving away from nuclear power, not toward more.

We urge you to reject FPL's proposal and use your power to help Floridians, not the power companies.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marilyn and Gary Sanders  
4406 44th Way  
West Palm Beach FL 33407  
561-471-2983

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** buffycat@juno.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 11:45 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Vote no  
Commissioners:

I read about F P & L's request that Florida residents be asked to pay in advance for nuclear related expenses, including nuclear plants that have yet to be approved and which I personally don't approve of in the first place. And then, of all the unmitigated nerve, for F P & L not even to be required to refund the requested payments if the plants aren't built.

This is nothing more than a bid to fund a private corporation on the backs of Florida taxpayers. If you approve this request it will mean only two things: 1) you are in F P & L's pocket, and 2) you don't deserve to see as a Commissioners whose man purpose is supposed to be looking out for the taxpayer - not a private corporation whose 2010 net profit jumped 14 percent to \$945 million.

Private corporations are supposed to use at least some of their profit for redevelopment not ask their customers to foot the bill.

Claudia Williams, 349 East Lake Road, Palm Springs, FL 33461

---

### **60-Year-Old Mom Looks 27**

Mom Reveals Free Wrinkle Trick That Has Angered Doctors!  
[ConsumerLifestyles.org](http://ConsumerLifestyles.org)

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 2:19 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 1:53 PM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:  
**Name:** Roger Kranich  
**Company:**  
**Primary Phone:**  
**Secondary Phone:**  
**Email:** jkra1070@bellsouth.net

Response requested? No  
CC Sent? No

Comments:

Do not allow FPL to raise our rates to pay for future nuclear plants that may OR MAY NOT ever be built!! We do not need higher rates.

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 2:19 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 1:55 PM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

**Contact Information:**  
**Name:** Janet Kranich  
**Company:**  
**Primary Phone:**  
**Secondary Phone:**  
**Email:**

**Response requested?** No  
**CC Sent?** No

**Comments:**

Do not allow FPL to raise our rates to pay for future nuclear plants that may OR MAY NOT ever be built!! We do not need higher rates.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE  
☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer  
 DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11  
 DISTRIBUTION:

10/5/2011

Would you please place the attached emails to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI. Thanks.

**Marshall Willis**  
**Director**  
**Division of Economic Regulation**  
**Florida Public Service Commission**  
**2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850**

**(850) 413-6914**  
**marshall.willis@psc.state.fl.us**

10/5/2011



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Lisa Kasenow [kasenow@embarqmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 7:09 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Furthermore, nuclear energy is NOT carbon neutral, nor is it clean. Nuclear power plants routinely emit radioactive waste into the water and air.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Lisa Kasenow  
998 Fish Crow road  
998 Fish Crow Road  
Sanibel, FL 33957

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** jerry bohmann [jerrelk@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:14 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

jerry bohmann  
575 seneca oaks cir  
mount dora, FL 32757

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Vivian D'Angio [viviansoup@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:59 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

I urge you to reconsider whether any new nuclear plants should be built in our State. I am seriously concerned about the safety of nuclear plants considering all the threats we face from Mother Nature, cyber terrorists and jihad terrorists as well as climate change. By conserving energy and developing green energy resources we can avoid the need to build new nuclear plants and ensure that the people of Florida will have a safe, less expensive supply of energy.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Vivian D'Angio  
8332 Waterline Dr. #102  
Boynton Beach, FL 33472

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** William Gilbert [wilgilb@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 9:53 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

William Gilbert  
7517 Jomel Dr  
7517 Jomel Dr, 34607  
Weeki Wachee, FL 34607

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Joseph Mustion [jmustion@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 5:59 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Joseph Mustion  
2906 Arrowsmith Rd  
xx  
Wimauma, FL 33598

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** J Campbell [jacnrg@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:05 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Efficiency is still our best option for energy services. We need a more reliable electric transmission grid, not more subsidized nuclear plants that risk our lives. and financial situation state wide.

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

J Campbell  
PO Box 13877  
Tallahassee, FL 32317-3877

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Jessica Wheeler [jwheele2@tulane.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:45 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jessica Wheeler  
6038 Cason Way  
n/a  
Lakeland, FL 33812

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** ray trozzo [rtrozzo102@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 9:47 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

ray trozzo  
3853 kittyhawk dr  
3853 kittyhawk dr  
fort myers, FL 33905



## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Georgeann Calendine [calendine@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 4:51 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Georgeann Calendine  
342 West Temple Court SW  
342 West Temple Court SW  
Vero Beach, FL 32968

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Teresa Jaeger [jotlj@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 12:49 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Teresa Jaeger  
248 E University Blvd, Apt A  
Apt A  
Melbourne, FL 32901-7042

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Joan Balfour [solfjoanb@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:44 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Joan Balfour  
6249 Copper Lake Ct  
Boynton Beach, FL 33437-5504

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Jackie Kennedy [toha328@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 9:43 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Jackie Kennedy  
235 Quiet Oak Court  
235 Quiet Oak Court  
Davenport, FL 33896-6101

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Keith Koelling [offhourrocker@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 3:35 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Keith Koelling  
492 Alice Drive  
Melbourne, FL 32935

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Lucia Maxwell [lomax@nettally.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:10 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

I can't believe you are considering rate hikes from current consumers to pay for future nuclear plants, for both economic and safety reasons. The utilities have enormous profits, and the nuclear reactors are enormously dangerous. Aren't you there to protect the consumers?

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Lucia Maxwell  
9601-54 Miccosukee Road  
Tallahassee, FL 32309

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** John B. Koch [banyanjohn@att.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:36 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

John B. Koch  
301 Harbour Pointe Way  
Greenacres, FL 33413-2008

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Irene Radke [irenelillian@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 9:37 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Irene Radke  
4648 SW 38th Terr  
Dania Beach, FL 33312



**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** David Neral [modeler762@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 3:07 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

David Neral  
444 Island View Cir  
St. Augustine, FL 32095

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** James Brunton [jimbrunton@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:09 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

James Brunton  
12718 Forest Hills Drive  
12718 Forest Hills Drive  
Tampa, FL 33612-4035

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Gina Mondazze [Gdazze@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:12 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Gina Mondazze  
10 sw s river dr  
1101  
Miami, FL 33130

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Mark Donaldson [azathoth-x@cfl.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 9:15 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Mark Donaldson  
1548 Croftwood Drive  
Melbourne, FL 32935

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Valerie Robbin [flower8349@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 05, 2011 1:51 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Valerie Robbin  
730 Palermo Ave  
730 Palermo Ave  
CORAL GABLES, FL 33134

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Nancy Gunther [ken\_nan@bellsouth.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 11:00 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Nancy Gunther  
1717 Homewood Blvd. #252  
Delray Beach, FL 33445-6802

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Carole Fields [Carolefiel@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 10:00 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Oppose rate hikes for new nukes

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

Carole Fields  
402 So. L. St  
Lake Worth, FL 33460-4516

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Monday, October 03, 2011 2:24 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, October 03, 2011 9:28 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Monday, October 03, 2011 8:42 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1032392C. DH

---

**From:** "" [mailto:[runwild00@gmail.com](mailto:runwild00@gmail.com)]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 01, 2011 4:10 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Docket 110009

hi

"Green" meltDown-proof Nuclear Reactors

10/3/2011



on october 1st some protestors ( <http://regressenergy.com/> ) in st. petersburg FL downtown whined about nuke-eh-lurr stuffs. they gave me a piece of paper with email addresses, so here's a video for better fission plants; though i'm not pro-fission but i ams in favor of pro-fission back-ups

to skip video exit out and select to stay on screen so as to read instead of view  
<http://www.angelnexus.com/o/web/28777>

bye

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2011 8:09 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams

FPSC CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 028600-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

10009-E1

**Subject:** RE: Rate Hike for Future FPL Nuclear Plants - Please forward to all Senators

Thanks, Marshall. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-E1.

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 4:14 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: Rate Hike for Future FPL Nuclear Plants - Please forward to all Senators

Ann, Please include the email below in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-E1.  
 Thanks

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 4:13 PM  
**To:** 'TMIMIA@aol.com'  
**Subject:** FW: Rate Hike for Future FPL Nuclear Plants - Please forward to all Senators

Dear Mr. McDermott,

Thank you for your correspondence to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) in which you expressed your concerns about the nuclear cost recovery petition filed by Florida Power & Light. To give the Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns, I have placed your letter on the correspondence side of the current docket file.

**Marshall Willis**  
**Director**  
**Division of Economic Regulation**  
**Florida Public Service Commission**  
**2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850**

**From:** TMIMIA@aol.com [mailto:TMIMIA@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 4:02 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** webmaster@flsenate.gov; zuniga@mylfhouse.gov; ana.logan@floridahouse.gov; bwtamia@bellsouth.net  
**Subject:** Rate Hike for Future FPL Nuclear Plants - Please forward to all Senators

Dear Sir:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to FPL's request to start collecting for added nuclear

9/29/2011

plants at Turkey Point that most likely will not be built in the future.

FPL's existing Turkey plant is only operating at 65% capacity.

People are becoming more energy efficient and buying more energy efficient appliances so usage should taper off if not decrease. I see pool pumps now at the stores that feature new design features that require less power to operate and this is just the start.

People are switching over the more efficient fluorescent bulbs to cut consumption.

LED lighting will become more advanced and will replace the fluorescent bulbs cutting consumption even more in Hospitals, offices, homes and businesses drastically reducing demand.

The environmental damages of nuclear plants cannot continue and will be replaced with more clean burning natural gas that is abundant in this country.

FPL's President and CEO has stated it will be so costly that he doubts that the two new reactors would ever be built.

Our economy is in dire straits with millions out of work and to impose a unjustified and unneeded cost for a plant that will most likely never be built would be criminal. We do not need two new nuclear plants at FPL's Turkey Point facility.

**We do not need to collect for plants that will not be built and the PSC must deny this request from FPL.**

Sincerely,

Donald P. McDermott  
10480 SW 110th Street  
Miami, Florida 33176

PS.

Ms Logan, Why do the senators and representatives show their email address on the FI Gov web site?

9/29/2011

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2011 8:08 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: nuclear power

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thanks, Marshall. This information will also be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 4:09 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: nuclear power

Ann, Please include the email below in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.  
Thanks.

---

**From:** OTRBarb [mailto:otrbarbinpeace@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 10:09 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** nuclear power

The amt of time and money to create a nuclear power plant could create much solar or wind etc. The idea that we must pay for this in advance, when no insurance company would even consider it, is outrageous.

9/29/2011

**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2011 8:08 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: nuclear power

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thanks, Marshall. This information will also be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-E1.

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 4:08 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: nuclear power

Ann, Please include the email below in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-E1. Thanks.

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 4:07 PM  
**To:** 'OTRBarb'  
**Subject:** RE: nuclear power

Thank you for your correspondence to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) in which you expressed your concerns about the nuclear cost recovery petitions filed by Florida Power & Light and Progress Energy Florida. To give the Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns, I have placed your letter on the correspondence side of the current docket file.

**Marshall Willis**  
**Director**  
**Division of Economic Regulation**  
**Florida Public Service Commission**  
**2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850**

**From:** OTRBarb [mailto:otrbarbinpeace@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 10:01 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** nuclear power

Please realize there is no such thing as "safe" or "clean" nuclear power. The waste will always be a problem. And you can't plan for every possibility, or account all human error or malicious intent.

Thank you for your attention, B. Laxon

9/29/2011

110009-61

September 26, 2011

Progress Energy  
12005 N. Florida Ave.  
Dunnellon, FL 34434

RECEIVED-FPSC

11 SEP 28 PM 3:44

COMMISSION  
CLERK

FPSC, CLK	RESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.	02860211
DISTRIBUTION	

Gentlemen;

Stop the request, why should we Seniors and others pay for the mistakes of the project engineers, heads of the construction division, the inspectors, sub-contractors and contractors of the Crystal River plant.

Fire those responsible.

Why don't you supervise more closely the workers in the field. Why do they need these large pick up trucks, what is wrong with a 4 cylinder?

It has been years since we have gotten our cost of living increase from S.S., can we call and get a fuel increase. We still have to go shopping and live. Hold all salaries, no raises, clear out the dead wood.

Stop the merger with Duke Power. We don't want to be part of the largest Power Company, it's like more power for you to take advantage, it won't do a thing for the rate payers. It will only help the board members, stock holders and the big brass.

You all know Levy County's Nuclear Plants are a joke. Maybe in 2090. No one should pay before they are built.

Concerned Citizen

*He Campeau*

Howard R. & JoAnn Campeau  
1220 Van Nortwick Road  
Lecanto, FL 34461

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SSC

Cc: Public Service Commission  
Senator Mike Fasano

# PSC to rule on future nuke plant charge

**JIM HUNTER**  
*Special to the Chronicle*

If Progress Energy gets its request for a fuel costs adjustment approved by state regulators, customers will see a 5 percent increase in their bills beginning in January. They can blame it on the Crystal River nuclear plant — which has not been running for the past two years.

The increase would be about \$6 a month for a 1,000 kilowatt-hour residential bill, raising that current bill for 1,000 watts a month from \$119 to about \$125. The average increase for commercial customers would be 4 percent to 5 percent and for industrial customers 5 percent to 7 percent.

The fuel charges are the actual cost of fuel during the year, which can turn out to be more or less than were originally anticipated when the current rates were set by regulators last year.

When fuel costs are higher than planned, the company is allowed to file to recover some or all of that cost in an annual settling-up process through the new rates for the coming year. The fuel costs cannot include a profit.

This year's increased fuel costs are mostly because of the extended outage of the Crystal River nuclear plant, which has been down since late 2009.

The plant has remained offline

## *Progress Energy gets approval to buy power from biomass plant*

**JIM HUNTER**  
*For the Chronicle*

State regulators have approved a deal between Progress Energy Florida and U.S. EcoGen Polk LLC, in which the electric utility would purchase 60 megawatts of power from a planned EcoGen biomass plant.

The Florida Public Service Commission approved Progress

since a crack was found in the containment building wall during a scheduled outage. The company has said it will repair the wall, but the plant won't be back online until 2014.

Nuclear plants generate electricity that is cheaper to produce and results in a lower rates than electricity produced by fossil fuels. When the company has to use natural gas or coal plants to pick up the slack when the nuclear plant is down, or when they have to buy power generated by those two fuels to meet demand, the price of electricity goes up.

The company said most of the increased fuel costs in this case are for the cost of natural gas, which is

Energy buying power from the plant, which will burn waste wood.

The plant will be in Polk County and will provide enough power for about 10,000 homes.

The company may look at growing crops for additional biomass in the future.

Progress Energy Florida serves about 1.6 million customers in central and north Florida, including parts of Citrus County.

the second least expensive fuel. Coal is the most expensive fuel of the three.

The company termed the requested increase as modest and noted that it would be coming after two years of decreases.

Progress Energy Florida, which serves 45,000 customers in Citrus County, filed the request with the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) as it normally does to recover the extra costs for fuel and purchased power, and the commission is scheduled to hold hearings on the request Nov. 1 to 3, after which the finalized bills for next year will be set.

Before that, however, on Oct. 24, the PSC will rule on another charge

in customers' bills, one for nuclear generation, which has created a controversy.

The controversy is over whether Progress Energy should be allowed to bill customers for planned future nuclear facilities that wouldn't come on line for many years.

The state legislature approved that charge a few years ago, and the company is in the preliminary stages of approval for two nuclear units in Levy County, but critics of the charge have protested in recent PSC hearings, saying that it is not even certain whether the plants will become a reality.

The company is still asking for the fee but has filed for a lesser amount than it has been charging, which amounts to 85 cents less per 1000 kilowatts (from \$5.53 to \$4.68). Critics want larger reductions and some legislators such as Sen. Mike Fasano, R-New Port Richey, have said they will attempt in the next legislative session to repeal the law allowing the charge.

Progress Energy is also allowed to file to recover investments in environmental compliance projects. That proposed charge would add another 92 cents to the 1000 kilowatt bill if approved — which would basically offset the proposed reduction in the nuclear generation fee in bills, if both were approved.

An example of environmental

See PSC/Page A4



# PSC

Continued from **Page A1**

projects would be improvements at the two largest coal-fired units at the Crystal River plant, units 4 and 5. The company said it now uses less expensive coal while reducing the plant's average annual emissions by 80 percent (nitrogen oxides by approximately 93 percent and sulfur dioxide by approximately 97 percent).

It has also been working to install new technology that would increase the nuclear unit's output by 20 percent.

The company also filed for its energy-efficiency programs Sept. 13. That request projected a slight decrease from the current \$2.99 charge to \$2.88 per 1000 kilowatts.

Progress Energy Florida is a subsidiary of Progress Energy, a North Carolina company which is currently proposing to merge with Duke Power, a move that will make the new company the largest electric utility in the nation, serving 7.1 million customers in six states. Shareholders have ap-

proved the merger and the proposal is being considered by the appropriate North Carolina and federal agencies.

The company has said it expected a merger would not to change the Florida operations much except for giving better efficiencies from the economy of scale, and greater financial strength for capital projects such as the Levy nuclear project.

For more information from the company about rates, go to [www.progress-](http://www.progress-energy.com/floridarates)

## ON THE NET

■ [www.progress-energy.com/floridarates](http://www.progress-energy.com/floridarates)

[energy.com/floridarates](http://www.progress-energy.com/floridarates). The PSC website is <http://www.psc.state.fl.us/>.

*Jim Hunter can be reached at [jimhunter.chronicle@gmail.com](mailto:jimhunter.chronicle@gmail.com).*





Howard R. Campeau  
1220 Van Nortwick Road  
Lecanto, Florida 34461

GAINESVILLE/GNV  
FL 326 1 1  
26 SEP 2011 PM



RECEIVED

SEP 28 2011

Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of SOC

Florida Public Service Commission  
2546 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

32311+7843



COMMISSIONERS:  
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF SAFETY, RELIABILITY &  
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE  
DANIEL M. HOPPE, DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6480

## Public Service Commission

September 28, 2011

Mr. & Mrs. Howard R. Campeau  
1220 Van Nortwick Road  
Lecanto, FL 34461

RE: PSC Inquiry 1031828C

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Campeau:

This is in response to your inquiry with the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy). You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

Florida Statute 366.93 indicates that after a petition for determination of need is granted, a utility may petition the commission for cost recovery for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear and integrated gasification combined cycle power plants.

In compliance with the Florida Statute the PSC enacted Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, in February 2007. This rule allows investor-owned utilities to recover costs for nuclear and coal gasification plants. Upon PSC approval of a utility's need for a nuclear plant upgrade or new plant construction, the utility can petition for cost recovery.

The next hearing in which the PSC will set the 2011 cost recovery charge will begin on November 1, 2011. You may use the following link to view and listen to the hearings:

**<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/index.aspx>**

After each hearing, the events will be archived and available for three months following the conclusion of the hearings. You may review the archived events by using the following link:

**<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/archives/>**

---

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: <http://www.floridapsc.com>

Internet E-mail: [contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us)

Mr. & Mrs. Howard R. Campeau

Page 2

September 28, 2011

We will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the nuclear cost recovery.

Complaints serve as a valuable source of information; therefore, your complaint will remain on file with the PSC. We monitor complaints very closely and track any trends which indicate there may be a problem and further action is needed.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Randy Roland".

Randy Roland

Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability &  
Consumer Assistance

RR:mep

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 10:08 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-14		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 11:15 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 11:12 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1031591C. DH

---

**From:** [bonnigrrl@aol.com](mailto:bonnigrrl@aol.com) [mailto:[bonnigrrl@aol.com](mailto:bonnigrrl@aol.com)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 10:40 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** 3 letters

THE NO NUKE LETTER 7-5-11

We get 0.0 % energy from truly clean sources!

9/28/2011

For 35 years St Lucie Nuclear Plant has contaminated our environment and endangered health with daily radioactive releases. Releases are reported to the [nrc.gov](http://nrc.gov) "2010 St Lucie Units 1 and 2 Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report" <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1106/ML110670415.pdf>

Radiation is confirmed in air, water, shoreline, crustaceans, fish, vegetation and groundwater at [nrc.gov](http://nrc.gov) "2010 St Lucie Units 1 and 2 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report".  
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1111/ML11117A190.pdf>

"Strontium-90 is a fission waste-product of uranium and plutonium from nuclear reactors. Exposure to Strontium-90 is linked to cancers and leukemia."  
 -EnvironmentalProtectionAgency

Cancer is higher in St Lucie County as reported in "Environmental Radiation from Nuclear Reactors and Childhood Cancer in SE Florida". [http://www.unplugsalem.org/environmental\\_radiation\\_from\\_nuc.htm](http://www.unplugsalem.org/environmental_radiation_from_nuc.htm)  
 Federal regulating agencies stopped testing for carcinogenic Strontium-90 in 1990.

Highly radioactive spent fuel rods continue accumulating, over-capacity, on site. No one wants this million year Rad-Waste. Now, this aged plant, that can kill us, has renewed its licenses until 2036 and 2043.

There is no "safe" dose of radiation. Releases of radiation and spent nuclear fuel, are not "clean". Endless safety, security and forever storage, are not "cost saving". We blindly pay rate and taxpayer subsidies, insurance and storage for this grossly inefficient and short-sighted industry. As FPL and NextEra defend this "safe", "clean" and "cost saving" nightmare, the public has become "pawns for profit".

We live in fear of nuclear accidents; this industry can never "ensure" public safety. "They told us nuclear was "safe" -- as Japan's ignored catastrophe continues.

Our nuclear plant has become a radioactive waste dump. Nuclear and black energies don't "serve" me, they "threaten" me.

Our energy is 65% gas. Halliburton pulverizes rock with huge diesel compressors contaminating incredible amounts of precious fresh water worth more than the black Un-natural gas obtained. [Un-naturalgas.org](http://Un-naturalgas.org)

If the public truly comes first, let's pool our talents and harness obviously safe and low-cost sources. The Sun beats intensely, the Winds are bountiful, the Ocean is opportunity.

### "The New Attitude Letter"

7-31-11

St Lucie County still gets 0.0% from truly clean energy!

The Nuclear Plant continues its daily releases of radioactive waste into air, water and earth. This plant draws 976,000 gpm from the ocean, one billion gallons everyday... [nrc.gov](http://nrc.gov) "License Renewal Application – St Lucie Units 1 & 2" ... and is permitted to kill 100 endangered sea turtles yearly. [www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) Nuclear Power "License to Kill" Chlorine, hot water and radioactive waste are discharged back into the sea. [nrc.gov](http://nrc.gov) "License Renewal Application - St Lucie Units 1 & 2" We're asking the ocean to dilute Rad-Waste from 442 plants worldwide.

"A nuclear plant generates 25 tons of high level radioactive waste annually." [www.nei.org](http://www.nei.org) "Nuclear Waste" St Lucie has two reactors and this aged plant designed for 40 years, has licenses extended to 60 years. There is concern about corroded pipes under the reactors leaking tritium into the aquifer.

[www.huffingtonpost.com](http://www.huffingtonpost.com) "Radioactive Tritium Leaks from 75% of Nuclear Plants"

FPL calls nuclear clean! "Even the stages of the nuclear cycle – mining, milling,

transport, enrichment, fuel fabrication, decommissioning and waste management – use fossil fuels and emit carbon dioxide”... Nuclear Energy: Assessing the Emissions - Kurt Kleiner ... and radiation. After the 60 years permitted to decommission this plant, it will remain hazardous for thousands of years and costs will continue.

If the inevitable goal is safe, clean and cost-saving energy, why are we wasting precious dollars on “black” energies that are threatening our existence? Even Wall Street considers nuclear to great a risk to invest in. We don’t have to get our energy from catastrophic black sources.

The U.S. has fallen behind having been misled into Black Oil, Black Coal and Black Natural Gas. Un-naturalgas.org

Solar, Wind and Water are thoughtful choices we don’t have to fear and go to War over. The technology grows ever more durable and efficient.

Ft Pierce is blessed with relentless sun, substantial 13.8 mph winds and our Tidal Inlet, where the immense power of the ocean comes to us. Of course we can replace the 19% nuclear with clean sources. It’s being done all over the world.

We now have no clean energy options.

St Lucie County can be an example to the rest of the state, boldly moving ahead into a future we can all be proud of.

#### “BLACK ENERGY BAMBOOZLE” 8-19-11

We have been misled by Black Energies who only do what is profitable for them. Their #1 goal is profit, not what is best for the whole, the environment or health.

FPL/NextEra claim, 96% energy from clean and renewable sources. But 67% comes from Black Un-natural Gas, 23% from Black Nuclear, 10% from Black Coal and the US spends one billion \$ daily on Black foreign Oil. All of Florida gets 0.1% from clean Solar, Wind and Water. – “NextEra Energy, Inc - Aug 2011 Profile”

FPL/NextEra defend nuclear. As the secreted radioactive cycle emits fossil fuels and highly radioactive fuel rods are stored over-capacity on site, daily radioactive releases contaminate our environment, accumulating, lasting tens of thousands of years. “Fossil fuels are the leading cause of climate change and are the greatest challenge USFWS has ever faced in conserving fish, wildlife and habitats”. USFWS Wind Turbine Guidelines Mar 2010 Our very humanity is reflected in this urgency.

Endangered Species Act – “prohibits the “take”, wounding or killing of endangered species. Violators can be heavily fined and imprisoned”. This plant is permitted to kill 100 turtles every year, for 35 years. [www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) Nuclear Power “License to Kill” Turtle walks do not mitigate this slaughter.

Nuclear can never be made clean, safe or protect you, no matter the billions of dollars thrown at it. It is the most inefficient source of energy and such blatant deceit strips the public of an informed choice for truly safe and clean energy.

What’s the choice? Not Nuclear! UnNatural Gas contaminates more fresh water than the gas is worth. Filthy Coal? War for Oil? These are profiteers of unsustainable resources, with irreversible effects, manipulating the public away from sensible sources and hiding the dangers to garnish support. Nuclear is a destructive industry, accumulating radioactive by-products that no one can deal with nor wants. The long-terms impacts are staggering.

A wind turbine signifies a progressive society. Solar proves consideration for our Earth’s resources. Reliable tidal

currents wait to be harnessed in our Inlet. Elsewhere turbines are accepted as part of the landscape with grace and benevolence. Residential turbines and solar provide ample power allowing us independence from the monopolized paradigm of a centralized grid.

We are not too small to be a leader in moving away from Black Energies. May we remain steadfast in our determination to bring clean energy to our homes, businesses, our county and our nation.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:11 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: PEF and FPL

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thanks, Marshall. This information will also be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:08 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: PEF and FPL

Ann, Please include the email below in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.  
 Thanks.

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:07 AM  
**To:** 'Nellie Lee'  
**Subject:** RE: PEF and FPL

Dear Ms Lee,

Thank you for your correspondence to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) in which you expressed your concerns about the nuclear cost recovery petitions filed by Florida Power & Light and Progress Energy Florida. To give the Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns, I have placed your letter on the correspondence side of the current docket file.

**Marshall Willis**  
**Director**  
**Division of Economic Regulation**  
**Florida Public Service Commission**  
**2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850**

**From:** Nellie Lee [mailto:nellielee1@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 26, 2011 10:05 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** PEF and FPL

As a long time resident of Miami Dade County I urge you to deny PEF and FPL any new NCR funds until the proposed plants are licensed and ready to build.  
 Nellie Lee, 6666 SW 115 Court, Miami, FL. 33173

9/28/2011



☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11

DISTRIBUTION:

110009-EI

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:11 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Pre-paying for FPL's nuclear ambitions? No thank you -Docket numbers 110001-02, 03, 04, 07, 09.

Thanks, Marshall. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:09 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: Pre-paying for FPL's nuclear ambitions? No thank you -Docket numbers 110001-02, 03, 04, 07, 09.

Ann, Please include the email below in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.  
Thanks.

---

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:08 AM  
**To:** 'Bonnie Daniels'  
**Subject:** RE: Pre-paying for FPL's nuclear ambitions? No thank you -Docket numbers 110001-02, 03, 04, 07, 09.

Dear Ms. Daniels,

Thank you for your correspondence to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) in which you expressed your concerns about the nuclear cost recovery petition filed by Florida Power & Light. To give the Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns, I have placed your letter on the correspondence side of the current docket file.

**Marshall Willis**  
**Director**  
**Division of Economic Regulation**  
**Florida Public Service Commission**  
**2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850**

---

**From:** Bonnie Daniels [mailto:bonnieleedaniels@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2011 4:52 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** Pre-paying for FPL's nuclear ambitions? No thank you -Docket numbers 110001-02, 03, 04, 07, 09.

9/28/2011

Dear Mr. Willis -

We are absolutely opposed to the construction of more nuclear power plants - in general, and in particular on the shores of Biscayne Bay. Hopefully, the people who decide such matters will eventually come to their senses, take a lesson from Japan's recent experience and appreciate that a similar disaster can well occur here given FL 's exposure to hurricanes and its future threat from global warming.

So why are we customers being asked to pay now for plans that hopefully will never materialize? We respectfully object and urge you to reject FPL's requests for these rate increases .

Bonnie Daniels

Adam Lawrence

Miami, FL

9/28/2011

---

☐ Administrative ☐ Parties ☒ Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11

DISTRIBUTION:

110009-E1

**Diamond Williams**

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:10 AM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams

**Subject:** RE: FPL Request for prepayment of Nuclear power plant planing charges

Thanks, Marshall. Diamond will place this information in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thanks, Diamond.

---

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:06 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FPL Request for prepayment of Nuclear power plant planing charges

Ann, Please include the email below in the correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.  
Thanks.

---

**From:** Marshall Willis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2011 8:03 AM  
**To:** 'kipnisd@atlanticbb.net'  
**Subject:** RE: FPL Request for prepayment of Nuclear power plant planing charges

Dear Captain Kipnis,

Thank you for your correspondence to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) in which you expressed your concerns about the nuclear cost recovery petition filed by Florida Power & Light. To give the Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns, I have placed your letter on the correspondence side of the current docket file.

**Marshall Willis**  
**Director**  
**Division of Economic Regulation**  
**Florida Public Service Commission**  
**2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.**  
**Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850**

---

**From:** Captain Dan Kipnis [mailto:kipnisd@atlanticbb.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 26, 2011 3:55 PM  
**To:** Marshall Willis  
**Subject:** FPL Request for prepayment of Nuclear power plant planing charges

Dear Mr. Marshall,

Re: DOCKET NOS. 110001 - 02,03,04,07,09

9/28/2011

On October 24, 2011 the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) will vote on whether or not to authorize Florida Power & Light (FPL) to spend \$196 million for licensure, planning and infrastructure for nuclear plants at least 10 years in the future. This is in addition to \$248 million approved previously for FPL. If all amounts are approved, the total spent in advance for FPL nuclear plants will total \$444 million. All of these funds are billed to the ratepayers; some immediately, some interest only and then the principal, whether or not the plants are ever built.


FPL's monthly rate increases would come to about \$50 per 1000 KWH. This charge would add 48 percent to my family's monthly electric bill."

There is no guarantee that FPL will ever be allowed to build the reactors at Turkey Point, especially following the Fukushima Nuclear disaster and Turkey Point's precarious position at sea level on Biscayne Bay. Projected sea level rise will place the plant at even greater risk.

There really is no additional energy need for FPL or its customers. Turkey point is only operating at 65% and FPL regionally at 75%. Bringing online two new reactors at a cost of \$24 Billion, really socks it to the ratepayer. Energy efficiency would achieve even greater results with less harm to the environment. Over the life of the plants, sea level is expected to rise at least three feet in Miami Dade County, displacing over a million of FPL's customers. There will be significantly less demand for electricity in the region.

I would ask you to inform the Commissioners of the reality of the situation and ask them to do the responsible thing. Look out for the people of Florida rather than the utilities that they are sworn to regulate. Deny FPL's request. DO THE RIGHT THING!!

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Daniel C. Kipnis". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Captain Dan Kipnis  
3156 Royal Palm Ave.  
Miami Beach, Fl. 33140  
305-672-3807

ART GRAHAM  
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF FLORIDA



Capital Circle Office Center  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850  
(850) 413-6040

## Public Service Commission

September 22, 2011

Ms. Nkenga "Nikki" Payne, CMC  
Deputy City Clerk  
City of South Miami  
6130 Sunset Drive  
South Miami, Florida 33143

Dear Ms. Payne:

Thank you for sharing the views of the City of South Miami on the Early Cost Recovery case that will come before the Public Service Commission. The facts and opinions of customers, civic groups and the business community are a valued part of the process.

Your e-mail and resolution has now been placed in the correspondence file for Docket #110009. It is available to the public for review at our website or in the Commission Clerk's office. Although Commissioners can't comment on the input we receive before formally taking up the case, as with all material that properly comes before the Commission, your information will be carefully considered in rendering the PSC's decision in this proceeding.

Again, thank you for your input on this case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Art Graham".

Art Graham  
Chairman

AG/jvl

cc: Commissioner Lisa Polak Edgar  
Commissioner Eduardo E. Balbis  
Mr. J. R. Kelly  
Mr. Curt Kiser

Commissioner Ronald A. Brisé  
Commissioner Julie I. Brown  
Mr. Chuck Hill  
Ms. Katherine Pennington

**Betty Leland**

---

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, September 19, 2011 9:19 AM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Early Cost Recovery  
**Attachments:** Res No 147-11-13461.pdf

Thank you. This attachment and e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-El.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Monday, September 19, 2011 9:02 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff  
**Subject:** FW: Early Cost Recovery

Ann:

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket No. 110009.

Thanks

---

**From:** Payne, Nkenga [mailto:NPayne@southmiamifl.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 09, 2011 11:42 AM  
**To:** Payne, Nkenga  
**Subject:** Early Cost Recovery

Good Morning,

Attached please find Resolution No. 147-11-13461, sponsored by Mayor Philip Stoddard and adopted by our City Commission regarding Early Cost Recovery. This resolution is urging the Florida Legislature to repeal the Early Cost Recovery statute relating to electric utility charges for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear power plants.

The City Commission also urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny FPL approval of any further Early Cost Recovery funding.

Thanks for your attention to this matter,

*Nkenga "Nikki" Payne, CMC*  
*Deputy City Clerk*  
*City of South Miami*  
*6130 Sunset Drive*  
*South Miami, FL 33143*  
*(305)663-6340 office*  
*(305)663-6348 fax*  
[npayne@southmiamifl.gov](mailto:npayne@southmiamifl.gov)  
[www.southmiamifl.gov](http://www.southmiamifl.gov)

Please note: The state of Florida has a very broad public records law. Written communications, including emails, are therefore subject to disclosure to the public and media upon request.

9/22/2011



RESOLUTION NO. 147-11-13461

**A Resolution by the City of South Miami urging the Florida Public Services Commission to deny approval of any further Early Cost Recovery and urging the Florida Legislature to repeal the Early Cost Recovery statute relating to electric utility charges for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear power plants.**

**WHEREAS**, F.S. 366.93, known as Early Cost Recovery, was adopted by the Florida Legislature in 2006 and provides that utilities may charge customers in advance of operation for the costs of planning, lobbying for, and constructing nuclear power plants; and

**WHEREAS**, the Early Cost Recovery statute provides that the utility may impose and collect the charge but never actually complete the project, thus charging the rate payer for improvements and infrastructure they will never actually provide to customers; and

**WHEREAS**, FPL has currently charged, collected from its customers and spent \$268 million in Early Cost Recovery funds and is seeking approval from the Public Service Commission for an additional \$186 million in 2012 new nuclear-related projects including the construction of two additional nuclear reactors at Turkey Point in South Miami-Dade, but which have never received approval for a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and

**WHEREAS**, Progress Energy submitted an Early Cost Recovery schedule that reaches \$60/month/1000 KW, and the additional funds requested by FPL may represent a similarly dramatic increase in the customer's monthly bill at a time when consumers are struggling to pay current bills; and

**WHEREAS**, generation and transmission infrastructure paid for by rate payers under Early Cost Recovery becomes the property of the utility's corporate share-holders who invested nothing in that infrastructure but who will receive 10% annual interest on the value of that infrastructure from the rate payers who paid for it in the first place; and

**WHEREAS**, executives of FPL have stated that nuclear reactors are too expensive to build, yet FPL continues to collect money from the rate-payers under Early Cost Recovery to finance ancillary infrastructure, such as transmission lines, listed under their nuclear Combined Operating License Application; and

**WHEREAS**, publicly traded utilities receiving money from rate payers under Early Cost Recovery are not obliged to pay back those funds if their nuclear generation projects are not completed; and

**WHEREAS**, Early Cost Recovery money is used by the utilities to promote and litigate for the same nuclear and transmission line projects the rate payers may oppose; and

**WHEREAS**, improvements in domestic energy efficiency are the best energy investments available, are safe, carbon-free, and can provide more jobs to Floridians than any other energy investment, but Early Cost Recovery monies are not permissible for such investments; and

**WHEREAS**, nuclear reactors financed through Early Cost Recovery have become the most expensive way to produce electricity; and

**WHEREAS**, the nuclear reactor designs currently being financed through Early Cost Recovery have never been deployed and may pose unforeseen risks to citizens of the Southeastern United States.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SOUTH MIAMI, FLORIDA, THAT:**


**Section 1.** The City Commission hereby urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny FPL approval of any further Early Cost Recovery funding.

**Section 2.** The City Commission hereby urges the Florida Legislature to repeal F.S. 366.93 relating to Early Cost Recovery.


**Section 3.** This resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of September, 2011.

ATTEST:

  
CITY CLERK

READ AND APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
LANGUAGE, LEGALITY AND  
EXECUTION THEREOF

  
CITY ATTORNEY

APPROVED:

  
MAYOR

COMMISSION VOTE:	4-1
Mayor Stoddard:	Yea
Vice Mayor Newman:	Yea
Commissioner Beasley:	Yea
Commissioner Palmer:	Nay
Commissioner Harris:	Yea



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Monday, September 19, 2011 9:19 AM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Early Cost Recovery  
**Attachments:** Res No 147-11-13461.pdf

Thank you. This attachment and e-mail will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 110009-EI.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Monday, September 19, 2011 9:02 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff  
**Subject:** FW: Early Cost Recovery

Ann:

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket No. 110009.

Thanks

---

**From:** Payne, Nkenga [mailto:NPayne@southmiamifl.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 09, 2011 11:42 AM  
**To:** Payne, Nkenga  
**Subject:** Early Cost Recovery

Good Morning,

Attached please find Resolution No. 147-11-13461, sponsored by Mayor Philip Stoddard and adopted by our City Commission regarding Early Cost Recovery. This resolution is urging the Florida Legislature to repeal the Early Cost Recovery statute relating to electric utility charges for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear power plants.

The City Commission also urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny FPL approval of any further Early Cost Recovery funding.

Thanks for your attention to this matter,

*Nkenga "Nikki" Payne, CMC*  
*Deputy City Clerk*  
*City of South Miami*  
*6130 Sunset Drive*  
*South Miami, FL 33143*  
*(305)663-6340 office*

9/19/2011

(305)663-6348 fax

[npayne@southmiamifl.gov](mailto:npayne@southmiamifl.gov)

[www.southmiamifl.gov](http://www.southmiamifl.gov)

Please note: The state of Florida has a very broad public records law. Written communications, including emails, are therefore subject to disclosure to the public and media upon request.

**RESOLUTION NO. 147-11-13461**

**A Resolution by the City of South Miami urging the Florida Public Services Commission to deny approval of any further Early Cost Recovery and urging the Florida Legislature to repeal the Early Cost Recovery statute relating to electric utility charges for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear power plants.**

**WHEREAS**, F.S. 366.93, known as Early Cost Recovery, was adopted by the Florida Legislature in 2006 and provides that utilities may charge customers in advance of operation for the costs of planning, lobbying for, and constructing nuclear power plants; and

**WHEREAS**, the Early Cost Recovery statute provides that the utility may impose and collect the charge but never actually complete the project, thus charging the rate payer for improvements and infrastructure they will never actually provide to customers; and

**WHEREAS**, FPL has currently charged, collected from its customers and spent \$268 million in Early Cost Recovery funds and is seeking approval from the Public Service Commission for an additional \$186 million in 2012 new nuclear-related projects including the construction of two additional nuclear reactors at Turkey Point in South Miami-Dade, but which have never received approval for a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and

**WHEREAS**, Progress Energy submitted an Early Cost Recovery schedule that reaches \$60/month/1000 KW, and the additional funds requested by FPL may represent a similarly dramatic increase in the customer's monthly bill at a time when consumers are struggling to pay current bills; and

**WHEREAS**, generation and transmission infrastructure paid for by rate payers under Early Cost Recovery becomes the property of the utility's corporate share-holders who invested nothing in that infrastructure but who will receive 10% annual interest on the value of that infrastructure from the rate payers who paid for it in the first place; and

**WHEREAS**, executives of FPL have stated that nuclear reactors are too expensive to build, yet FPL continues to collect money from the rate-payers under Early Cost Recovery to finance ancillary infrastructure, such as transmission lines, listed under their nuclear Combined Operating License Application; and

**WHEREAS**, publicly traded utilities receiving money from rate payers under Early Cost Recovery are not obliged to pay back those funds if their nuclear generation projects are not completed; and

**WHEREAS**, Early Cost Recovery money is used by the utilities to promote and litigate for the same nuclear and transmission line projects the rate payers may oppose; and

**WHEREAS**, improvements in domestic energy efficiency are the best energy investments available, are safe, carbon-free, and can provide more jobs to Floridians than any other energy investment, but Early Cost Recovery monies are not permissible for such investments; and

**WHEREAS**, nuclear reactors financed through Early Cost Recovery have become the most expensive way to produce electricity; and

**WHEREAS**, the nuclear reactor designs currently being financed through Early Cost Recovery have never been deployed and may pose unforeseen risks to citizens of the Southeastern United States.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SOUTH MIAMI, FLORIDA, THAT:**


**Section 1.** The City Commission hereby urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny FPL approval of any further Early Cost Recovery funding.

**Section 2.** The City Commission hereby urges the Florida Legislature to repeal F.S. 366.93 relating to Early Cost Recovery.


**Section 3.** This resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of September, 2011.

ATTEST:

  
CITY CLERK

READ AND APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
LANGUAGE, LEGALITY AND  
EXECUTION THEREOF

  
CITY ATTORNEY

APPROVED:

  
MAYOR

COMMISSION VOTE:	4-1
Mayor Stoddard:	Yea
Vice Mayor Newman:	Yea
Commissioner Beasley:	Yea
Commissioner Palmer:	Nay
Commissioner Harris:	Yea

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EL

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 14, 2011 1:17 PM  
**To:** Betty Leland  
**Cc:** Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** FW: Early Cost Recovery/FPL Application - Docket #110009  
**Attachments:** 2011-56.pdf

Thanks, Betty. This attachment and this e-mail will be printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EL.

---

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 14, 2011 12:35 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Commissioner Edgar & Staff; Commissioner Brisé & Staff; Commissioner Balbis & Staff; Commissioner Brown & Staff; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: Early Cost Recovery/FPL Application - Docket #110009

Ann:

Please add the attached resolution docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in docket #110009.

Thanks.

---

**From:** Guido H. Inguanzo, Jr. (OVC) [mailto:inguanzo@pinecrest-fl.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 14, 2011 12:06 PM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Cc:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** Early Cost Recovery/FPL Application


Chairman Graham and Commissioners:

The attached resolution, relating to Early Cost Recovery and FPL's pending application, which was adopted by the Pinecrest Village Council on September 6, 2011, is provided for your information.

**Guido H. Inguanzo, Jr., CMC**  
**Village Clerk**

Office of the Village Clerk  
 Village of Pinecrest  
 12645 Pinecrest Parkway  
 Pinecrest, Florida 33156  
 t 305.234.2121 | f 305.234.2131  
[clerk@pinecrest-fl.gov](mailto:clerk@pinecrest-fl.gov)  
[www.pinecrest-fl.gov/clerk](http://www.pinecrest-fl.gov/clerk)

 [pinecrest-fl.gov](http://pinecrest-fl.gov)



9/14/2011

## **RESOLUTION 2011-56**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE OF PINECREST, FLORIDA, URGING THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TO DENY APPROVAL OF ANY FURTHER "EARLY COST RECOVERY" AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REPEAL THE "EARLY COST RECOVERY" STATUTE RELATING TO ELECTRIC UTILITY CHARGES FOR THE SITING, DESIGN, LICENSING, AND CONSTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR PLANTS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

WHEREAS, F.S. 366.93, known as "Early Cost Recovery," was adopted by The Florida Legislature in 2006 and provides that utilities may charge customers in advance of operation for the costs of planning, lobbying for, and constructing nuclear power plants; and

WHEREAS, the Early Cost Recovery statute provides that the utility may impose and collect the charge but never actually complete the project, thus charging the rate payer for improvements and infrastructure they will never actually provide to customers; and

WHEREAS, FPL has currently charged, collected from its customers and spent \$268 million in Early Cost Recovery funds and is seeking approval from the Public Service Commission for an additional \$186 million in 2012 new nuclear-related projects including the construction of two additional nuclear reactors at Turkey Point in South Miami-Dade, but which have never received approval for a license by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and

WHEREAS, the additional funds requested by FPL may represent a dramatic increase in the customer's monthly bill at a time when consumers are struggling to pay current bills; and

WHEREAS, FPL executives have stated that nuclear reactors are too expensive to build, yet FPL continues to collect money from the rate-payers under "Early Cost Recovery" to finance other infrastructure projects listed under their nuclear Combined Operating License Application; and

WHEREAS, publicly traded utilities receiving money from ratepayers under "Early Cost Recovery" are not obliged to pay back those funds if their nuclear generation projects are not completed; and

WHEREAS, publicly traded utilities receiving money from ratepayers under "Early Cost Recovery" can and do use those moneys to lobby and litigate against the public to pursue projects the rate payers oppose; and

WHEREAS, improvements in domestic energy efficiency are the best energy investments available, are carbon-free, safe, and can provide more jobs to Floridians than any other energy investment, but "Early Cost Recovery" monies are not permissible for such investments; and

WHEREAS, nuclear reactors financed through "Early Cost Recovery" have become the most expensive way to produce electricity; and

WHEREAS, the nuclear reactor designs currently being financed through "Early Cost Recovery" have never been deployed and may pose unforeseen risks to citizens of the Southeastern United States;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF PINECREST, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the Village Council hereby urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny FPL approval of any further Early Cost Recovery funding.

Section 2. That the Village Council hereby urges The Florida Legislature to repeal F.S. 366.93 relating to Early Cost Recovery.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.


PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of September, 2011.

  
Cindy Lerner, Mayor

Attest:

  
Guido H. Inguanzo, Jr., CMC  
Village Clerk

Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

  
Cynthia A. Everett  
Village Attorney



Motion by: Vice Mayor Ross  
Second by: Councilmember Harter

Vote: Councilmembers Corradino, Cutler, Harter, Vice Mayor Ross, and Mayor Lerner voting Yes

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 14, 2011 12:40 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

**Attachments:** RE: My contact; RE: My contact

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 14, 2011 10:19 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 13, 2011 4:36 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as information requests, EI801, Docket #110009 and closeout code PR69. DH

9/14/2011



## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Monday, September 12, 2011 8:12 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 11, 2011 3:46 PM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

**Contact Information:**  
**Name:** EUGENE Simpson  
**Company:**  
**Primary Phone:**  
**Secondary Phone:**  
**Email:**

**Response requested?** No  
**CC Sent?** No

**Comments:**  
D0not approve the request of floida progres to raise the rates to build the power plant.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Monday, September 12, 2011 3:20 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 12, 2011 3:01 PM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

**Contact Information:**  
**Name:** Marilyn Simpson  
**Company:**  
**Primary Phone:**  
**Secondary Phone:**  
**Email:**

**Response requested?** No  
**CC Sent?** No

**Comments:**

I would like to express that I do NOT want a nuclear power plant to be built. My husband and I have not had an increase in Social Security for 3 years. If I wanted to build a new house, would they be willing to help me pay for it? I think not. I think they need to set aside funds to do thier own building. Not add expesnes to us. We have taken extreme measures to cut our electric cost for our home. We keep our thermostat set at 80 degrees just to cut cost and so that we do not go over the 1,000 kilowatt hours.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 07, 2011 11:30 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI.

**Attachments:** RE: Progress energy; FAX.TIF

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 06, 2011 2:13:41 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

9/7/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 06, 2011 11:08 AM  
**To:** erichammondart@gmail.com  
**Subject:** RE: Progress energy

Dear Mr. Hammond:

Thank you for contacting Governor Rick Scott and sharing your concerns about Progress Energy . The Governor appreciates your concerns and asked me to respond on his behalf.

As you know, the agency that regulates certain public utilities is the Public Service Commission (PSC), which is an arm of the legislative branch of government. Within the Public Service Commission is a Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer Assistance, which I believe may be able to assist you. To assist you, I forwarded a copy of your letter to the Public Service Commission for their review. If you want to contact the PSC directly please call 1-800-342-3552 or contact them by using the information provided below.

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Governor Scott wants to know how Floridians feel about the many critical issues we face. You can influence legislation on this topic by contacting your local legislative delegation. The Division of Legislative Information Services is a helpful resource for information on legislation. That office can be contacted by writing to the address below or you can find contact information for your legislators and track bills as they proceed through the legislative process at [www.leg.state.fl.us](http://www.leg.state.fl.us).

The Division of Legislative Information Services  
111 West Madison Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400  
Toll-free 1(800) 342-1827

Thank you again for taking the time to contact the Governor's office.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Britt  
Office of Citizen Services

-----Original Message-----

**From:** erichammondart@gmail.com [mailto:erichammondart@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 01, 2011 6:07 PM  
**To:** Governor Rick Scott  
**Subject:** Progress energy

Eric Hammond  
13621 Biscayne Grove Lane  
Grand Island, FL 32735-9647

September 1, 2011

The Honorable Rick Scott  
Governor of Florida  
The Capitol, 400 South Monroe Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0001

Governor Scott:

What can be done about Progress Energy's theft of funds from utility customers? They have no right whatsoever to the money they have taken and will continue to take to build a power plant that will never exist. What

will be done to get that money back to taxpayers and prevent Progress Energy from being able to do this in the future? Who specifically is responsible for giving them the ability to do that?

What will you do to right this wrong and set standards that prevent lawmakers from making similarly asinine choices in the future?

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Eric Hammond  
352-357-8420

Are you a registered voter?: Yes

Did you vote in the last election?: Yes

Age: 46

Gender: Male

Would you like your elected official(s) to reply to your message?: Yes

Have you visited their website(s)?: Yes

Eric Hammond sent this message via Congress.org, which uses the Capwiz\*XC system. Congress.org is a free public service of Capitol Advantage and Knowlegis, LLC. You may access Congress.org here:  
<http://congress.org>

## STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:  
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE I. BROWN



DIVISION OF SAFETY, RELIABILITY &  
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE  
DANIEL M. HOPPE, DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6480

**Public Service Commission**

September 6, 2011

Mr. Eric Hammond  
13621 Biscayne Grove Lane  
Grand Island, FL 32735-9647

RE: PSC Inquiry 1027817C

Dear Mr. Hammond:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

Florida Statute 366.93 indicates that after a petition for determination of need is granted, a utility may petition the commission for cost recovery for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear and integrated gasification combined cycle power plants.

In compliance with the Florida Statute the PSC enacted Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, in February 2007. This rule allows investor-owned utilities to recover costs for nuclear and coal gasification plants. Upon PSC approval of a utility's need for a nuclear plant upgrade or new plant construction, the utility can petition for cost recovery.

The next hearing in which the PSC will set the 2011 cost recovery charge will begin on November 1, 2011. You may use the following link to view and listen to the hearings:

**<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/index.aspx>**

Mr. Eric Hammond  
Page 2  
September 6, 2011

After each hearing, the events will be archived and available for three months following the conclusion of the hearings. You may review the archived events by using the following link:


<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/archives/>

We will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the nuclear cost recovery.

Complaints serve as a valuable source of information; therefore, your complaint will remain on file with the PSC. We monitor complaints very closely and track any trends which indicate there may be a problem and further action is needed.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,



Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Safety, Reliability &  
Consumer Assistance

RR:mep

**Diamond Williams**


110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 30, 2011 10:10 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: Progress Energy Levy County nuclear plant- Response requested

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence- Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, August 29, 2011 4:18 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Progress Energy Levy County nuclear plant- Response requested

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Monday, August 29, 2011 9:37 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** Progress Energy Levy County nuclear plant- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1026544C. Filed as protest to Nuclear energy. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** tsteorts@juno.com [mailto:tsteorts@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, August 27, 2011 7:53 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Progress Energy Levy County nuclear plant

Greetings,

1. How can I comment on, and see the status and timeline, of the request by Progress Energy to keep stealing money to pay for their never-to-be-built new nuclear plant boondoggle? Sorry for the commentary, but that's



how I feel about it. I know the Legislature allowed this rip-off (paying in advance for power plants to be built in the future), but that doesn't make it right.

2. What is the status and timeline of the approval/denial process of the nuclear plant itself (Levy County)?
3. Are there any open cases, requests by Progress Energy, or upcoming hearings on the Crystal River nuclear plant?

Thank you

---

57-Year-Old Mom Looks 25

Mom Reveals \$5 Wrinkle Trick That Has Angered Doctors!

<http://thirdpartyoffers.juno.com/TGL3131/4e598387e4af391b02fst05vuc>

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 18, 2011 11:31 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009  
**Attachments:** Progress Energy rate hike demand. Urgent; Progress Energy Rate Hike demand Urgent; Nuclear Plant; RE: My contact; RE: My contact; RE: My contact

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 18, 2011 11:30 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 18, 2011 10:29 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as information requests, EI801, docket #110009, closeout code PR69. DH

8/18/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** scuddonfletcher [nudzh@tampabay.rr.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 5:03 PM

**To:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** Progress Energy rate hike demand. Urgent

This is utterly inappropriate & must be denied. I vehemently opposed the previous proposed rate hike at a PSC public meeting in Clearwater Courthouse I belive in 2009 or 2010, & also strongly oppose this one.

1. Completion of these new nuclear plants may not be till 2027, even that is questionable based on their record, including re Crystal River repairs/permanent shut down. We are supposed to pay in advance for what may not even take place? Appalling cheek!

2. Geographically, this is a stupid idea, lacking thought re safety. The recent Japanese disaster created chaos, immediate damage, & high potentially dangerous future radiation effects for the area's population, both in the air, water etc, which affects food eventually for that country. Is Progress Energy unaware of the possibility of hurricanes & their effects affecting these semi coastal ares? Do they not understand or care about these risks?

3. Congress cannot even get together to decide how to deal with the budget deficits. As a result, retirement savings have less value, & we are still facing potential cuts in Medicare & Social Security benefits into which we have paid specific additional taxes. Medicaid is also included in this. It is becoming increasingly hard for us to live decently. Progress Energy however incredibly seems to think that we can afford a rate hike.

4. There is a solar energy project starting in Florida- at last! There are also other options to reduce dependence on foreign oil. It is high time that that efforts such as these be supported, instead of robbing us to fund Progress Energy's corporate greed & planning incompetence.

5. Jobs are very limited in Florida. Progress Energy is now a part of a Carolina Co. It occurs to me that they may well use people from that area to fill related positions. To what point is that going to benefit Florida? How are the jobless supposed to pay?. The counties etc. are strapped for money, & there are insufficient funds to assist with energy bills.

In summary, **not only no, but h\*\*I no!** Please remember your position as a go between between from the utility to the consumer who is hurting these days, & who also pays your salaries as part of our taxes.

Thank you for listening & acting accordingly.

Susan Cuddon-Fletcher

8/18/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** scuddonfletcher [nudzh@tampabay.rr.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 5:12 PM

**To:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** Progress Energy Rate Hike demand Urgent

This is utterly inappropriate & must be denied. I vehemently opposed the previous proposed rate hike at a PSC public meeting in Clearwater Courthouse I belive in 2009 or 2010, & also strongly oppose this one.

1. Completion of these new nuclear plants may not be till 2027, even that is questionable based on their record, including re Crystal River repairs/permanent shut down. We are supposed to pay in advance for what may not even take place? No!

2. Geograhically, this is a stupid idea, lacking thought re safety. The recent Japanese disaster created chaos, immediate damage, & high potentially dangerous future radiation effects for the area's population, both in the air, water etc, which affects food eventually for that country. Is Progress Energy unaware of the possibility of hurricanes & their effects affecting these semi coastal ares? Do they not understand or care about these risks?

3. Congress cannot even get together to decide how to deal with the budget deficits. As a result, retirement savings have less value, & we are still facing potential cuts in Medicare & Social Security benefits into which we have paid specific additional taxes. Medicaid is also included in this. It is becoming increasingly hard for us to live decently. Progress Energy however incredibly seems to think that we can afford a rate hike.

4. There is a solar energy project starting in Florida- at last. It is high time that efforts such as these & others should be supported, instead of robbing us to fund Progress Energy's corporate greed & planning incompetence.

5. Jobs are very limited in Florida. Progress Energy is now a part of a Carolina Co. It occurs to me that they may well use people from that area to fill related positions. To what point is that going to benefit Florida? How are the jobless supposed to pay? The counties etc. are stapped for money, & there are insufficient funds to assist with energy bills.

In summary, **not only no, but h\*\*l no!**

Please remember that the PSC is the liason between the utilities & the consumer, paid primarily by taxes from the consumer. Thank you for listening & acting accordingly.

Susan Cuddon-Fletcher

8/18/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Mike Zeimetz [mzeimetz@tampabay.rr.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 9:00 AM

**To:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** Nuclear Plant

Can someone explain to me why we are paying the construction costs for Progress Energy to build a Nuclear Power Plant.

If I am not mistaken, they will PROFIT from this. If I am investing in this project, while paying high electric bills, when can I see a return on my investment?

Or, do I just pay them to build and continue to pay high electric bills?

I do not understand!

"Public Service Commission"? It seems to me that Progress Energy is in a Win Win Win situation.

The pass construction costs to us, when the plant opens, they profit, and if it never opens: THEY KEEP ALL THE MONEY.

Meanwhile my bill continues to go up.

It is like me having to pay for my neighbor to build his house, and then have to pay his bills, then he sells and walks off with the profit. Sound like a great deal for him, not so good for me.

Perplexed,  
Mike Zeimetz

8/18/2011

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 1:10 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 11:22 AM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: THOMAS VATH

Company:

Primary Phone:

Secondary Phone:

Email: THVATH2@YAHOO.COM

Response requested? No

CC Sent? No

Comments:

I SURE HOPE YOU DON'T GIVE PROGRESS ENERGY AN OK TO BILL CURRENT CUSTOMERS FOR THEIR NEW PLANT WHICH WILL NOT BE IN SVC UNTIL 2027. IFFIN Y'ALL WANT TO OK THIS FIASCO PLEASE LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF RETURN TO THE OWNERS - THE SHAREHOLDERS - YA HEAR??????????

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 1:10 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 11:20 AM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Cc:** bberger@bellsouth.net  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

**Name:** Sarah or "Betty" Berger  
**Company:** retired from Florida Power  
**Primary Phone:** 352-447-2736  
**Secondary Phone:** as above  
**Email:** bberger@bellsouth.net

**Response requested?** Yes  
**CC Sent?** Yes

Comments:

Progress-Energy Florida has stated in their minutes they WILL NOT build nuclear in the next 20 years, but will use gas for their Levy County site. They propose an increase of rates to over \$3.00 from \$.60 cents used for the last 10 years. If given a contact number I can send documents about this. ALSO: Levy nuclear plans to DEEPEN the Barge Canal by 5 feet to take their cooling water to the Crystal River Plant. The depth of the Barge Canal was stopped in 1991 at 12 feet by Gov. Chiles and the Cabinet - required by the Feds to give the land to Florida. The Feds WILL sue Florida if the requirement is broken.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 1:10 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 10:21 AM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

**Name:**  
**Company:**  
**Primary Phone:**  
**Secondary Phone:**  
**Email:**

Response requested? No

CC Sent? No

Comments:

After tens of Billions spent on Yucca mountain,we are starting over.All that money wasted,nothing accomplished.The next Yucca will not be nearly as cheap,and is decades away.What to do with the waste untill then?Dry cask is expensive.Vitrification more so.Pools already nearing overcrowding in Fla.I feel certain that whatever numbers the indusrty throws out,will be,judging by past history,woefully underestimated.Add to that the looming safety regs following the Japanese fiasco.Thiese will only exponentialy increas the costs to existing reactors as well as proposed reactors.Triple whatever numbers the industry provides and you will,most likely,be closer to the true costs of safety/storage/long term disposal of high level nuclear waste.



**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 4:00 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 2:03 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 1:35 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1024664C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 1:10 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2011 10:16 AM

To: Webmaster

Cc: slambert@cci.edu

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Sharon Lambert

Company:

Primary Phone: 407.654.9556

Secondary Phone:

Email: slambert@cci.edu

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

I ask my Public Service Commissioners to reject Progress Energy FL--my investor-owned monopoly's brazen request to charge "customers" to build their for-profit business. They need find an underwriter to "float" bonds to interested investors. Charging their customers for future construction projects is nothing more than EXTORTION plain and simple. Customers cannot choose a power provider. If we could, power companies charging their customers to build FUTURE infrastructure would likely not occur.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 11:08 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI.

**Attachments:** FW: Fees imposed by Progress energy; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



FW: Fees  
Consumer  
used by Progress Energy - Progress E

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 028160-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 9:41 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 9:18 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Subject:** FW: Fees imposed by Progress energy

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Timothy R Barker [mailto:timothybarker1@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 14, 2011 4:35 PM  
**To:** Governor Rick Scott  
**Subject:** Fees imposed by Progress energy

**From:** Timothy R Barker <timothybarker1@gmail.com>

Progress Energy should only be charging customers for future expansion in Levy County once it gets the Federal permits to build the nuclear plant. Otherwise, they should return the imposed fees they have collected WITH a loan interest comparable to what they would have paid on the open market.

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 17, 2011 9:22 AM  
**To:** 'timothybarker1@gmail.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Mr. Timothy R. Barker  
timothybarker1@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Barker:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your email regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout Florida, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's nuclear cost recovery.

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the nuclear cost recovery.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact me at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Ellen Plendl  
Regulatory Specialist  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Safety, Reliability, & Consumer Assistance  
1-800-342-3552 (phone)  
1-800-511-0809 (fax)

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EL

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 4:31 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EL.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 4:13 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 3:19 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1024468C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 3:08 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 2:17 PM

To: Webmaster

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Ralph Shannon

Company:

Primary Phone: 7278639555

Secondary Phone: 7278637479

Email: Rmsmsa@earthlink.net

Response requested? No

CC Sent? No

Comments:

Have you need of another contribution in order vote correctly on the needs regarding crystal river? This program only needs a boost and then the expenses will only affect those who will not know. Please vote to increase the rates

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 4:30 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 2:05 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 1:33 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1024410C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 1:23 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact



-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 11:47 AM

To: Webmaster

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name:

Company:

Primary Phone:

Secondary Phone:

Email:

Response requested? No

CC Sent? No

Comments:

Has the industry and the commission considered the certain increases in regulations, and the associated costs therein, regarding the fallout (intended) of the Fukushima disaster? The spent fuel pools will require additional safety measures on existing and proposed plants. This will result in a much greater cost. Please consider this. There is still no federal depository for spent fuel. After nearly \$20 Billion spent already, we are starting from scratch. This cost will only increase exponentially. Please keep this in mind. The groundswell of anti-nuclear/pro-alternative, and as well pro-conventional energy sources is also on the upswing. This means costs go up for the nuclear industry as they litigate ever more cases, and even better funded and motivated opposition. Please remember this.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 4:30 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI.

**Attachments:** Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Nuclear Cost Related Rate Increase Request; Consumer Inquiry  
- Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated




Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Nuclear Cost Related Rate Increase Request; Consumer Inquiry - Progress E

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 1:55 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: Email for Docket 110009-EI.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Roland Docal, J.D. [rdocal@tampabay.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 1:27 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** 'FASANO.MIKE.S11'; 'KELLY.JR'  
**Subject:** Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Nuclear Cost Related Rate Increase Request

Dear Ms. Plendl,

Please file this e-mail message in the appropriate docket and present same to the Commissioners on our behalf and that of the residents of Pinellas County, Florida.

We incorporate herein Roland Docal's Oral Testimony before the Commission and the Public Petition submitted to the Commission at Public Hearing held at the City of Saint Petersburg concerning Progress Energy Florida, Inc's (hereinafter "PEF") 31.5% base rate increase request. This e-mail message, together with the Oral Testimony and Public Petition previously presented, opposes any PEF rate increase for nuclear power production on four grounds:

1. It is improper to approve current electric rate increases for the construction of new nuclear facilities or expansion of existing nuclear facilities when said construction of new nuclear facilities or expansion of existing nuclear facilities has not been approved or permitted by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
2. The possibility of a construction permit is not a guarantee. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission has not approved a nuclear plant construction permit since January 27, 1978. The post-tsunami nuclear disaster in Japan is reverberating across the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and communities in the U.S. where nuclear power plants are planned or under consideration. The environment for NRC approval of PEF's nuclear plans is not favorable and at high risk of NEVER coming to fruition.
3. Until construction or expansion is approved by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the costs and risks of nuclear plant planning and permitting are more properly borne by PEF shareholders who ultimately stand to profit from said construction or expansion.
4. Generally accepted accounting principles for U.S. commercial corporations (and most companies throughout the world, as well) do not allow revenues to be recognized until a product or service has been delivered to the customer. Florida's utilities should not be exempted from the application of this principle. PEF should not be granted a nuclear construction or expansion rate increase until PEF is able to deliver (i.e., place online to the existing power distribution grid) a fully functional new nuclear power plant or the successful expansion of an existing plant.

Roland Docal  
Lori Docal  
2050 Tanglewood Way NE  
Saint Petersburg, FL 33702-4752

8/16/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 1:50 PM  
**To:** 'Roland Docal, J.D.'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Mr. Roland Docal  
rdocal@tampabay.rr.com

Dear Mr. Docal:

This is in response to your inquiry with the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy). You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's nuclear cost recovery.

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the nuclear cost recovery.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact me at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Ellen Plendl  
Regulatory Specialist  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Safety, Reliability, & Consumer Assistance  
1-800-342-3552 (phone)  
1-800-511-0809 (fax)

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 8:33 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009  
**Attachments:** Progress Energy Increase

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 4:46 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 4:20 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as information requests:EI801, Docket number 110009 and closeout code of PR69. DH

8/16/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** SJS72146@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2011 8:20 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Progress Energy Increase

I'm as many others are on SSI and with an increase like this I won't be able to use air conditioning, or have my lights on in the evening.

You might ask them how much profits they have made in the past years.

With the economy the way it is we just can't afford this. I keep my air on 85 now and my bill is \$150.00 a month for a 950 sq foot condo. I remember paying \$80.00 a month a couple of years ago. They don't care that people are struggling to make ends meet all they care about is the mighty \$\$\$ profits at our expense.

I would like to know why we can't use what power company we want? In Pinellas County we have to use Progress Energy, which isn't an energy company it purchases energy from elsewhere. We should have a choice on which power company we want to use, as it is we have no choice. You would make many happy in Pinellas County by doing this.

Please do not allow anymore increases.

**Sandie**

8/16/2011

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 8:32 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

**Attachments:** PROGRESS ENERGY PENDING RATE INCREASE REQUESTS; RE: My contact

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 4:45 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 4:19 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been filed with Docket 110009 and close out code PR69. I have file one with the company code EI801, the others did not provide an address to justify the company code.

8/16/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** James Gillespie [jrbgille@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 14, 2011 5:52 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** PROGRESS ENERGY PENDING RATE INCREASE REQUESTS

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION MEMBERS:**

**NEWS REPORTS INDICATE THAT YOU APPARENTLY ARE PREPARED TO FRONT LOAD PROGRESS ENERGY'S RATE REQUESTS FOR NUCLEAR POWER AT FIGURES VERY COSTLY TO RATE PAYERS OVER THE YEARS. I FAVOR DEVELOPMENT OF NUCLEAR POWER IN FLORIDA AND AMERICA BUT FINANCING A UTILITY'S FRONT COSTS FOR THE PROJECTS STRIKES ME AS ABSURD IN A REGULATED BUSINESS WITH AN ASSURED ROR. EVEN UTILITIES SHOULD SHARE EQUALLY IN THE RISK OF AN INVESTMENT THEY BELIEVE IN. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER YOU ARE BALANCED OR MORE PRO RESPECTING INDUSTRY OR RATE PAYERS. THE FACT WE NEED A PUBLIC COUNSEL IN SUCH CASES IS HARDLY REASSURING.**

**I SIMPLY ASK THAT THE UTILITY NOT RECEIVE ALL IT WANTS IN DIFFICULT ECONOMIC TIMES THAT EVEN THE FEDERAL RESERVE SEES LASTING SEVERAL YEARS. FLORIDA ALREADY HAS A WEAK ECONOMY HEAVILY RELIANT ON TOO MANY LOW PAYING SERVICE JOBS.**

**JAMES R. GILLESPIE  
4804 WINDMILL PALM TER NE  
ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33703  
727-522-2597 (OFFICE)**

8/16/2011



## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 8:50 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

**From:** contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 6:20 AM  
**To:** Webmaster  
**Subject:** My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

**Name:**  
**Company:**  
**Primary Phone:**  
**Secondary Phone:**  
**Email:**

**Response requested?** No  
**CC Sent?** No

Comments:

The reasons to move beyond nuclear power are multitude. Not the least of which is the low cost of natural gas. Natural gas is cheaper than nuclear, and will only continue to fall in cost as fracking expands. Natural gas power stations are cheaper and much quicker to build, operate and decommission. Nuclear power is neither cheap, green, or safe.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-El

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 10:50 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-El.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 10:26 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 10:14 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009-Response requested

Copy on file, see 1024008C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2011 8:50 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Saturday, August 13, 2011 11:05 AM

To: Webmaster

Cc: jweit@cfl.rr.com

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Jack Weitnauer

Company:

Primary Phone: 407 327-0077

Secondary Phone:

Email: jweit@cfl.rr.com

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

Do not approve requests for rate increases for nuclear power plant construction without absolute positive date of construction and online guarantee are included in request. Money is tight enough and forcing residents to pay for something that may never happen is unconscionable at this time. After Obama is out of office and the economy is back on track then readdress the proposal.

Thank you.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, August 12, 2011 11:56 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02800-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-E1.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, August 12, 2011 11:32 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Friday, August 12, 2011 10:17 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1023790C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Friday, August 12, 2011 8:18 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2011 5:51 PM

To: Webmaster

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: FREDRIC JONES

Company:

Primary Phone: 727 397 9988

Secondary Phone:

Email: jonesfredric@yahoo.com

Response requested? No

CC Sent? No

Comments:

I AM AGAINST THE POWER COMPANIES ASKING ME TO PREPAY FOR NUCLEAR ENERGY. I'M 70 YEARS OLD. A PROGRESS ENERGY CUSTOMER.  
HAVE THEM SELL BONDS LIKE MANY MUNICIPALITIES.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, August 12, 2011 11:22 AM  
**To:** Bev DeMello; 'DiamondtelDeb@aol.com'  
**Subject:** RE: No new Nukes or fossil fuels in Florida-Future of FL Nuclear Plants' Unclear

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Bev DeMello  
**Sent:** Friday, August 12, 2011 10:53 AM  
**To:** 'DiamondtelDeb@aol.com'  
**Cc:** Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: No new Nukes or fossil fuels in Florida-Future of FL Nuclear Plants' Unclear

**REF: Docket No. 110009-EI**

Good morning, Deb:

Thank you for taking the time to write, and I hope all is well. I'll make sure this is entered on the correspondence side of the docket.

Bev DeMello  
 Assistant Director

---

**From:** DiamondtelDeb@aol.com [mailto:DiamondtelDeb@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 07, 2011 10:43 PM  
**To:** Bev DeMello  
**Cc:** diamondteldeb@aol.com  
**Subject:** No new Nukes or fossil fuels in Florida-Future of FL Nuclear Plants' Unclear

Dear Bev: Please be sure to get my comments in regarding the nuclear advance recovery costs that the PSC has already approved and needs to rescind. It is illegal and unconstitutional for a monopoly private utility like FPL or Progress to collect fees for a future project that is not cost-effective, prevents distributed solar energy and steals money from ratepayers and taxpayers alike for boondoggles that may never come on line. This is a TAX and an illegal one at that. Democratize the grid with distributed rooftop solar energy like they have so successfully in cloudy Germany, Gainesville and Ontario. Watch the jobs and economy boom. The power companies will benefit as well if they get with the program and act as battery backup and Smart Grid for their new customers/suppliers!

8/12/2011

Thanks,

Deb and Arne Arnason  
12 Dill St, Alva, FL 33920 239-728-3147

---

Miami Herald

State leaders see nuclear power as inevitable to Florida's energy future

By Mary Ellen Klas  
Herald/Times Tallahassee Bureau

Every time you pay your energy bill, a portion of it goes to build a nuclear power plant that won't produce power for at least another decade and, if opponents have any say, never will.

The state Legislature, the governor and the head of the state's utility board all say that Florida's future will include new nuclear facilities, setting aside concerns about the meltdown of the Fukushima reactor in Japan, reports of imperfect new reactor designs and rising construction costs.

In the next two weeks, the Florida Public Service Commission must decide in public hearings how much the state's two largest utilities - Florida Power & Light and Progress Energy Florida - will charge customers in 2012 for nuclear plants of tomorrow. It will be the fourth year the utilities will be allowed to collect money for nuclear costs. Together, they are asking customers for \$337 million next year.

FPL wants \$2.09 a month from each customer using an average of 1,000 kilowatt hours of electricity. Progress Energy wants more than twice that - \$4.80 per month for 1,000 kilowatt hours, about a 20-cent decrease from what customers are currently paying for nuclear projects.

The utility companies say that while it takes a long time to build a nuclear plant, the net savings to customers will be in the billions because the cost of nuclear fuel will be much lower. They also note that the construction process creates jobs.

But conservation groups, large commercial power users and lawyers representing consumers say it's time for regulators to hit the brakes and scale back how much the electric utilities are allowed to charge for uncertain projects. They argue that soaring costs, delayed timelines and safety concerns make it less likely that the power plants will be as cost-effective as projected.

"We believe the outlook for nuclear in Florida is dimmer now than when we started this," said Charles Rehwinkel of the Office of Public Counsel, which represents consumers before the state Public Service Commission, which regulates utilities. "The most customers are looking at is getting the opportunity to get a certificate [to build a plant] but to get one built cost-effectively doesn't look good."

What the PSC is not required to do is determine whether pursuing nuclear power is a good idea. The

Florida Legislature determined that in 2006 when, in the midst of a once-booming economy, it wanted to encourage utility companies to invest in nuclear power development to meet Florida's then-growing energy needs.

The Legislature ordered utility regulators to impose a new fee on electric bills to pay for the lengthy process of locating and constructing new nuclear plants - but it offered what few other states do: letting the power companies collect the money before, not after, the work was done.

The Legislature required the PSC to approve only "prudently incurred costs" for location, design, licensing and construction of nuclear power plants, but, at the urging of the utility companies, it sweetened the deal and did not require for the plants to ever be built.

The utilities have said that because of the Legislature's action, the PSC has an obligation to let them charge customers for the future plants, and it's not the agency's role to determine whether the plants are ultimately feasible or not.

It's a blank check that Sen. Mike Fasano, R-New Port Richey, and conservation groups bitterly oppose. Fasano says he now regrets his vote to support the 2006 law and has filed legislation in the past few years to repeal the so-called "nuclear cost recovery" provisions. But because of the industry's lobbying power, he said the measure never gets a hearing.

"The electricity demand they thought they are going to need in the future is not going to be there," Fasano said. He is hoping that the anti-tax Legislature will realize the added costs imposed on utility customers "are back-door taxes and fees."

Both FPL and Progress Energy "have belatedly acknowledged the great uncertainty and risk surrounding the feasibility of ever completing these projects," wrote lawyers for the non-profit Southern Alliance For Clean Energy in documents filed before the PSC. But with public uncertainty as a result of the Fukushima disaster, "ratepayers are on the hook for billions of dollars spent on reactors which likely will never be constructed," they said.

The state Office of Public Counsel agrees and is asking utility regulators to cut Progress Energy's nuclear fees in half and deny FPL's fees until it proves it intends to actually build the plants.

Progress Energy is seeking a license to build a new nuclear power plant in Levy County and another to expand its existing Crystal River plant. In 2006, the company projected that the cost of the Levy plant was \$4 billion to \$6 billion, with the first reactor going online in 2016. Today, the project costs have exploded and estimates indicate it will cost from \$17 billion to \$22 billion, and not be online until 2021.

As of June, Progress Energy had spent \$795 million on the Levy project and collected \$471 million from customers. In 2012, it wants customers to pay another \$141 million.

FPL's projects include upgrading nuclear plants in St. Lucie and building two new reactors at Miami Dade's Turkey Point plant by 2023. Since 2008, the estimated cost of the project has risen from \$1.45 billion to \$2 billion and the company is asking for \$196 million next year.

Also contributing to the rising cost and risks of nuclear power is the fact that the utilities have no permanent place to store nuclear waste. Right now, depleted nuclear fuel rods are stored on site at both FPL and Progress Energy's facilities. FPL and Progress Energy are storing 2,664 metric tons of



spent nuclear fuel in storage ponds on their sites, until a permanent national repository can be found.

Opponents say that the broad language in Florida's law has discouraged companies from acting prudently. FPL, for example, accelerated the pace of its project, raising costs unnecessarily, the public counsel argues.

Leon Jacobs of the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy also argues that the PSC is basing its cost projections on flawed assumptions. For example, it has not taken into account whether technology, alternative energy sources and aggressive conservation may provide Florida with cheaper long-term energy options than building multi-billion dollar nuclear plants.

Florida's key decision makers so far appear to be convinced the advantages of new nukes outweigh the costs.

Gov. Rick Scott's energy advisor, Mary Bane, told a panel on Florida's nuclear future last week that it is a resource that's unavoidable in a state with few energy options. Scott has said he supports continued development of nuclear power. And Art Graham, chairman of the Public Service Commission, told the St. Petersburg Times editorial board last week that "nuclear is good, clean energy. It's cleaner than coal - but we have to figure out a way to keep that cost down."

The PSC hearings begin in Tallahassee on Wednesday.

Read more: <http://www.miamiherald.com/2011/08/06/v-print/2347427/state-leaders-see-nuclear-power.html#ixzz1UN31gAHI>

---

George Cavros  
Florida Climate Alliance  
<http://floridacclimatealliance.groupsites.com/>

Think this email is off topic?

Tell the Manager:

[http://floridacclimatealliance.groupsites.com/main/report\\_abuse/fd415-863d-M303200-f54adda-ECL53O6EEYUPR67WFZX2QRKLWE](http://floridacclimatealliance.groupsites.com/main/report_abuse/fd415-863d-M303200-f54adda-ECL53O6EEYUPR67WFZX2QRKLWE)


You have received this email because you joined Florida Climate Alliance, a Groupsites powered by Groupsites.com.


Update your notification preferences to change the frequency or type of emails you receive from this group:

<http://floridacclimatealliance.groupsites.com/my/prefs>

**Florida Climate Alliance**  
New Email Blast

Think this email is off topic?

 [Update your notification preferences](#)

 [Tell the Manager](#)



*George Cavros wants you to know about the following*  
[\(View George Cavros's profile\)](#)

Hi all,

Below is a good article on the ongoing debate in Florida over new nuclear reactors.

Thanks,

George

**Miami Herald**

#### **State leaders see nuclear power as inevitable to Florida's energy future**

By Mary Ellen Klas  
[Herald/Times Tallahassee Bureau](#)

Every time you pay your energy bill, a portion of it goes to build a nuclear power plant that won't produce power for at least another decade and, if opponents have any say, never will.

The state Legislature, the governor and the head of the state's utility board all say that Florida's future will include new nuclear facilities, setting aside concerns about the meltdown of the Fukushima reactor in Japan, reports of imperfect new reactor designs and rising construction costs.

In the next two weeks, the Florida Public Service Commission must decide in public hearings how much the state's two largest utilities - Florida Power & Light and Progress Energy Florida - will charge customers in 2012 for nuclear plants of tomorrow. It will be the fourth year the utilities will be allowed to collect money for nuclear costs. Together, they are asking customers for \$337 million next year.

FPL wants \$2.09 a month from each customer using an average of 1,000 kilowatt hours of electricity. Progress Energy wants more than twice that - \$4.80 per month for 1,000 kilowatt hours, about a 20-cent decrease from what customers are currently paying for nuclear projects.

The utility companies say that while it takes a long time to build a nuclear plant, the net savings to customers will be in the billions because the cost of nuclear fuel will be much lower. They also note that the construction process creates jobs.

But conservation groups, large commercial power users and lawyers representing consumers say it's time for regulators to hit the brakes and scale back how much the electric utilities are allowed to charge for uncertain projects. They argue that soaring costs, delayed timelines and safety concerns make it less likely that the power plants will be as cost-effective as projected.

"We believe the outlook for nuclear in Florida is dimmer now than when we started this," said Charles Rehwinkel of the Office of Public Counsel, which represents consumers before the state Public Service Commission, which regulates utilities. "The most customers are looking at is getting the opportunity to get a certificate [to build a plant] but to get one built cost-effectively doesn't look good."

What the PSC is not required to do is determine whether pursuing nuclear power is a good idea. The Florida Legislature determined that in 2006 when, in the midst of a once-booming economy, it wanted to encourage utility companies to invest in nuclear power development to meet Florida's then-growing energy needs.

The Legislature ordered utility regulators to impose a new fee on electric bills to pay for the lengthy process of locating and constructing new nuclear plants - but it offered what few other states do: letting the power companies collect the money before, not after, the work was done.

The Legislature required the PSC to approve only "prudently incurred costs" for location, design, licensing and construction of nuclear power plants, but, at the urging of the utility companies, it sweetened the deal and did not require for the plants to ever be built.

The utilities have said that because of the Legislature's action, the PSC has an obligation to let them charge customers for the future plants, and it's not the agency's role to determine whether the plants are ultimately feasible or not.

It's a blank check that Sen. Mike Fasano, R-New Port Richey, and conservation groups bitterly oppose. Fasano says he now regrets his vote to support the 2006 law and has filed legislation in the past few years to repeal the so-called "nuclear cost recovery" provisions. But because of the industry's lobbying power, he said the measure never gets a hearing.

"The electricity demand they thought they are going to need in the future is not going to be there," Fasano said. He is hoping that the anti-tax Legislature will realize the added costs imposed on utility customers "are back-door taxes and fees."

Both FPL and Progress Energy "have belatedly acknowledged the great uncertainty and risk surrounding the feasibility of ever completing these projects," wrote lawyers for the non-profit Southern Alliance For Clean Energy in documents filed before the PSC. But with public uncertainty as a result of the Fukushima disaster, "ratepayers are on the hook for billions of dollars spent on reactors which likely will never be constructed," they said.

The state Office of Public Counsel agrees and is asking utility regulators to cut Progress Energy's nuclear fees in half and deny FPL's fees until it proves it intends to actually build the plants.

Progress Energy is seeking a license to build a new nuclear power plant in Levy County and another to expand its existing Crystal River plant. In 2006, the company projected that the cost of the Levy plant was \$4 billion to \$6 billion, with the first reactor going online in 2016. Today, the project costs have exploded and estimates indicate it will cost from \$17 billion to \$22 billion, and not be online until 2021.

As of June, Progress Energy had spent \$795 million on the Levy project and collected \$471 million from customers. In 2012, it wants customers to pay another \$141 million.

FPL's projects include upgrading nuclear plants in St. Lucie and building two new reactors at Miami Dade's Turkey Point plant by 2023. Since 2008, the estimated cost of the project has risen from \$1.45 billion to \$2 billion and the company is asking for \$196 million next year.

Also contributing to the rising cost and risks of nuclear power is the fact that the utilities have no permanent place to store nuclear waste. Right now, depleted nuclear fuel rods are stored on site at both FPL and Progress Energy's facilities. FPL and Progress Energy are storing 2,664 metric tons of spent nuclear fuel in storage ponds on their sites, until a permanent national repository can be found.

Opponents say that the broad language in Florida's law has discouraged companies from acting prudently. FPL, for example, accelerated the pace of its project, raising costs unnecessarily, the public counsel argues.

Leon Jacobs of the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy also argues that the PSC is basing its cost projections on flawed assumptions. For example, it has not taken into account whether technology, alternative energy sources and aggressive conservation may provide Florida with cheaper long-term energy options than building multi-billion dollar nuclear plants.

Florida's key decision makers so far appear to be convinced the advantages of new nukes outweigh the costs.

Gov. Rick Scott's energy advisor, Mary Bane, told a panel on Florida's nuclear future last week that it is a resource that's unavoidable in a state with few energy options. Scott has said he supports continued development of nuclear power. And Art Graham, chairman of the Public Service Commission, told the St. Petersburg Times editorial board last week that "nuclear is good, clean energy. It's cleaner than coal - but we have to figure out a way to keep that cost down."

The PSC hearings begin in Tallahassee on Wednesday.

Read more: <http://www.miamiherald.com/2011/08/06/v-print/2347427/state-leaders-see-nuclear-power.html#xzz1UN31qAHl>

You have received this email because you joined Florida Climate Alliance, a Groupsites powered by Groupsites.com.

[Update your notification preferences](#) to change the frequency or type of emails you receive from this group.



**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, August 12, 2011 8:20 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

**Attachments:** Against Nuclear Power; E-Form Other Complaint TRACKING NUMBER: 29673

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2011 5:11 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2011 3:59 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

These have been entered as info requests, docket number 110009, EI802 and PR69 closeout code.

8/12/2011

**Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Sage Kamiya [thesageman@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 9:25 PM

**To:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** Against Nuclear Power

PSC,

I just recently heard about the requested increase in rates to cover costs for nuclear power and nuclear power plants.

I am a Florida native and respectfully request that you do not increase funding for anything that would support increasing nuclear power plants in our beautiful and unique state of the union.

Those that are asking will quickly leave our state if something goes wrong and the rest of us will be left holding the bag.

Please do not approve any additional monies for nuclear power.

Sincerely,

Sage

8/12/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2011 8:10 AM  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** E-Form Other Complaint TRACKING NUMBER: 29673

### **CUSTOMER INFORMATION**

Name: Sandra Safran  
Telephone: 5616767717  
Email: sandrasafran@mac.com  
Address: 3107 Black Oak Ct Boynton Beach FL 33436

### **BUSINESS INFORMATION**

Business Account Name: Sandra Safran  
Account Number:  
Address: 3107 Black Oak Ct Boynton Beach Florida 33436

### **COMPLAINT INFORMATION**

Complaint: Other Complaint against Florida Power & Light Company

Details:

Beside the point that I am against nuclear energy plants until there is some viable way to safely store used uranium rods, I have to ask - Why does the public pay for nuclear energy related costs when investors reap the profits?


**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2011 2:02 PM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Cc:** Chuck Hill; Curt Kiser; Jim Varian; Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Diamond Williams  
**Subject:** RE: Hearing 8-10-20011

Thanks, Betty. This e-mail will be placed in *Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives*, in Docket 110009-EI.

**From:** Betty Leland **On Behalf Of** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2011 8:45 AM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Chuck Hill; Curt Kiser; Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: Hearing 8-10-20011



Please add to docket #110009 Consumer Correspondence.

Thanks.

**From:** charles wood [mailto:chasw@fairpoint.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 6:00 PM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Subject:** Hearing 8-10-20011

Mr. Chairman;

Please forward to whom this would concern. In watching this meeting where Mr. Jones FPL stated that a tool was left in the generator (human erred) caused a major problem in the plant.

Sir, I'm not a engineer, but have worked in shout down situation in major production plants as a millwright. Sir, both party was at liable in this matter.

The reason I say this is I was involved in a similar situation where a bolt was lost on a machine and the supervision would not let the machine start up until the bolt was found. Four days the company Louisiana Pacific lost big money for (human erred). It was a good thing the company had a policy in place that all tools are numbed and all equipment be in place before a machine is started.

In this situation I could not vote of any cost passed on to the public, and hope that the commission will do the same.

Charles Wood

8/11/2011

**Diamond Williams**


110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 11, 2011 10:38 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Brown; Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite  
**Cc:** Ann Cole; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: FPL and rates

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Carol Purvis On Behalf Of Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 4:41 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates

Please handle

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 4:06 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Kathleen Stewart  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates

Ann,

Please place the following in Docket No. 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming  
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown



Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
(850) 413-6028 (Office)  
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2011 11:39 AM  
To: Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown  
Subject: FPL and rates

Commissioners,

How about in this weeks hearings that you stand up for the residents of Florida? Tell FPL that no, they cannot collect monies from it's captive customers to fund a project that may never be built. Please do the logical thing with FPL. And take care of the residents of Florida.

Remember, the residents and voters of Florida are monitoring your moves and will actively contact their representatives about unseating you if you do not vote in favor of the residents.

Linda Drake  
19861 NW 88 Ave  
Hialeah, FL 33018  
954-548-3300

**Diamond Williams**


110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 2:54 PM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Graham; Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite  
**Cc:** Ann Cole; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: FPL and rates

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence- Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Carol Purvis On Behalf Of Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 2:28 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Ann Cole; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates

Please handle

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Betty Leland On Behalf Of Office Of Commissioner Graham  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 1:43 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Cc:** Jim Varian  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates

Please add to Docket #110009 Consumer Correspondence.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 11:39 AM  
**To:** Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown  
**Subject:** FPL and rates

Commissioners,

How about in this weeks hearings that you stand up for the residents of Florida? Tell FPL that no, they cannot collect monies from it's captive customers to fund a project that may never be built. Please do the logical thing with FPL. And take care of the residents of Florida.

Remember, the residents and voters of Florida are monitoring your moves and will actively contact their representatives about unseating you if you do not vote in favor of the residents.

Linda Drake  
19861 NW 88 Ave  
Hialeah, FL 33018  
954-548-3300

**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 2:53 PM  
**To:** Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite  
**Cc:** Ann Cole; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: FPL and rates

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 028100-11		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Carol Purvis On Behalf Of Ann Cole  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 12:36 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Hong Wang; Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates

Please handled

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Office Of Commissioner Edgar  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 12:11 PM  
**To:** Ann Cole  
**Subject:** FW: FPL and rates

Please place the following in Docket No. 110009-EI. Thank you.

Roberta

Roberta S. Bass  
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Edgar  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399

(850) 413-6016 (Office)  
(850) 413-6017 (Facsimile)  
(850) 559-7291 (Mobile)  
roberta.bass@psc.state.fl.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Drake (WireSpring) [mailto:lindad@wirespring.com]

Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2011 11:39 AM

To: Office of Commissioner Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown

Subject: FPL and rates

Commissioners,

How about in this weeks hearings that you stand up for the residents of Florida? Tell FPL that no, they cannot collect monies from it's captive customers to fund a project that may never be built. Please do the logical thing with FPL. And take care of the residents of Florida.

Remember, the residents and voters of Florida are monitoring your moves and will actively contact their representatives about unseating you if you do not vote in favor of the residents.

Linda Drake  
19861 NW 88 Ave  
Hialeah, FL 33018  
954-548-3300

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 12:04 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in **Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives**, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
 Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
 Office of Commission Clerk  
 Florida Public Service Commission  
 Email: [diwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:diwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
 Phone: 850-413-6094

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 11:55 AM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 11:19 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009

Copy on file, see 1023397C. DH

---

**From:** bruce cummings [mailto:[spirited1bc@yahoo.com](mailto:spirited1bc@yahoo.com)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 10:33 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Docket 110009

docket 110009 referring to allowing FPL to up our rates to cover future costs of nuclear facilities!! I am totally opposed to this and want us to get into less costly and more efficient ways to energize the state. Nuclear facilities are only providing about 20% of our energy if that and I think it's very foolish to take those risks (such as wwe have seen in other parts of the world) for only 20% of our energy. For heavens sake, let go of your potential rewards and take decisive action to invest in alternative energy which will harm no one if anything goes wrong and does not need to have remote places to store waste etc. PLEASE STOP THIS PROLIGERATION!!!

8/10/2011

fort lauderdale

8/10/2011

---

**Diamond Williams**

110009-E1

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 09, 2011 9:52 AM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** RE: To CLK Docket 110009

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-E1.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Monday, August 08, 2011 2:28 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 110009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Monday, August 08, 2011 2:01 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 110009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1022980C. DH

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Webmaster  
**Sent:** Monday, August 08, 2011 1:23 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: My contact



-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 1:07 PM

To: Webmaster

Cc: PanellaM@aol.com

Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Michael Panella

Company:

Primary Phone: 561-271-7165

Secondary Phone:

Email: PanellaM@aol.com

Response requested? No

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

Please do not approve any FPL requests for future nuclear costs unless the stockholders bear at least 1/2 that cost. This is for something that may never be built or expanded and it's unfair for only the customers to shoulder the burden of these costs while the stockholders reap the benefits with no risk.

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, August 05, 2011 4:33 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** FW: Email for Docket 110009-EI.

**Attachments:** FW: Progress Energy; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated




FW: Progress Energy  
Consumer Inquiry - Progress E

Thank you for this information. This attachment has been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094



Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Friday, August 05, 2011 1:10 PM  
**To:** Diamond Williams  
**Cc:** Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang  
**Subject:** Email for Docket 110009-EI.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Consumer Contact  
**Sent:** Friday, August 05, 2011 12:56 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Subject:** FW: Progress Energy

Customer indicates that he contacted Rep. Dean.

---

**From:** Stanley C [mailto:stanleyc@embarqmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 05, 2011 10:57 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Progress Energy

According to the St. Petersburg Times, Friday Aug. 5, 2011 construction of the new Levy Co. Nuclear plant is being paid for by the consumers of their energy, which I use all the time. this is not fair for the user, this should be paid for by the company or their investors, I understand I am paying about \$5.00 to \$6.00 now, and I was against it when that happened, I went to Rep. Fazano's meetings and agreed with him 100% several times. I would like to know what you people are doing about this, and get this \$49.29 in 2020 stopped now. How can you let this happen. I also contacted Sen. Deans office and complained. and will contact Rep. Smith if he ever answer's his phone.

8/5/2011

## **Diamond Williams**

---

**From:** Ellen Plendl  
**Sent:** Friday, August 05, 2011 1:08 PM  
**To:** 'stanleyc@embarqmail.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Mr. Stanley C.  
stanleyc@embarqmail.com

Dear Stanley:

This is in response to your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) you sent to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

Florida Statute 366.93 indicates that after a petition for determination of need is granted, a utility may petition the commission for cost recovery for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear and integrated gasification combined cycle power plants.

In compliance with the Florida Statute, in February 2007 the PSC enacted a rule that adheres to the statute. Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, allows investor-owned utilities to recover costs for nuclear and coal gasification plants.

Upon PSC approval of a utility's need for a nuclear plant upgrade or new plant construction, the utility can petition for cost recovery. Progress Energy's approved cost recovery includes costs associated with the uprate of its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River, and the construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2. These completed projects will add 2,380 MWs of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

The next hearing in which the PSC will set the 2012 fuel adjustment charge will begin in November 2011. You may use the following link to view and listen to the hearings:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/index.aspx>

After each hearing, the events will be archived and available for three months following the conclusion of the hearings. You may review the archived events by using the following link:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/archives/>

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the nuclear cost recovery.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact me at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Ellen Plendl  
Regulatory Specialist  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Safety, Reliability, & Consumer Assistance

1-800-342-3552 (phone)

1-800-511-0809 (fax)

**Diamond Williams**

110009-EI

**From:** Diamond Williams  
**Sent:** Monday, June 06, 2011 9:15 AM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Cc:** Dorothy Menasco; Matilda Sanders  
**Subject:** FW: Emails for Docket 110009-EI

**Attachments:** FW: Nuclear Power Surcharge (Progress Energy); Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



FW: Nuclear Consumer  
ver Surcharge (Jury - Progress E

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 110009-EI.

Thank you,

Diamond Williams  
Comm. Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Email: diwillia@psc.state.fl.us  
Phone: 850-413-6094

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 02860-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ellen Plendl  
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2011 1:46 PM  
To: Diamond Williams  
Cc: Dorothy Menasco; Matilda Sanders  
Subject: Emails for Docket 110009-EI

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 110009-EI.

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 02, 2011 12:50 PM  
**To:** Ellen Plendl  
**Subject:** FW: Nuclear Power Surcharge (Progress Energy)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Jerry Pankey [mailto:jwpankey1@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 31, 2011 11:00 AM  
**To:** Governor Rick Scott  
**Subject:** Nuclear Power Surcharge (Progress Energy)

**From:** Jerry Pankey <jwpankey1@gmail.com>

Governor Scott,

It does not make sense that Progress Energy should be allowed to bill for the construction of a Nuclear Power in Leavy county. The factors that lead to the approval of the charges do not exist today. The plant is not needed and the fees collected are ending up in a fund the rate payers know nothing about.

The surcharges are added on too already high costs for electricity and is putting a burden on Progress Energy customers. The surcharge is like a tax with no benefit to ratepayers. The fact that customers are paying into a fund without any representation is enough for the State to stop this practice and refund all moneys.

I have been a customer of Progress Energy ( Formerly Florida Power) since 1983 and have witnessed rate increases. Progress Energy has doubled the costs of electricity since the buy out.

The chance of Progress Energy obtaining a permit is slim to none. The fact that the Federal Waste site in Nevada is closed, the Japan disaster and the current technology is in question. The surcharge should be suspended and the refunded to the current rate payers.

There is a process under REA (Rural Electric Authority) to raise funds for expanding their capabilities.

One last request: Have Progress Energy to publish a report on how much money has been raised and where was it spent.

Thank you for taking the tough steps to right Florida's futures.

Jerry Pankey

## Diamond Williams

---

**From:** Randy Roland  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 02, 2011 1:44 PM  
**To:** 'jwpankey1@gmail.com'  
**Subject:** Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Mr. Jerry Pankey  
jwpankey1@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Pankey:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, natural gas, and telecommunications utilities throughout Florida, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

Florida Statute 366.93 indicates that after a petition for determination of need is granted, a utility may petition the commission for cost recovery for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear and integrated gasification combined cycle power plants.

In compliance with the Florida Statute, in February 2007 the PSC enacted a rule that adheres to the statute. Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, allows investor-owned utilities to recover costs for nuclear and coal gasification plants.

Upon PSC approval of a utility's need for a nuclear plant upgrade or new plant construction, the utility can petition for cost recovery. Progress Energy's approved cost recovery includes costs associated with the uprate of its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River, and the construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2. These completed projects will add 2,380 MWs of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

The next hearing in which the PSC will set the 2012 fuel adjustment charge will begin in November 2011. You may use the following link to view and listen to the hearings:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/index.aspx>

After each hearing, the events will be archived and available for three months following the conclusion of the hearings. You may review the archived events by using the following link:

<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/archives/>

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the nuclear cost recovery.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,



Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Service, Safety & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

# CONSUMER

*Agony Corp*  
*CAS Jennifer*

Wade and Mary Lou Roberts  
Phone 727-347-2691  
6180 - 36th Terrace North  
St Petersburg, FL 33710-1716

RECEIVED-FPSC

11 APR 21 PM 3:32

19 FEB 11

COMMISSION  
CLERK

Dear Gov. Scott,

Last night I was watching an old movie on TV. A character on TV told the people to put their head out of the window and yell, "I'm mad as hell and am not take it any more."

Me too - mad as h and don't want to take it any more.

THANK YOU for axeing the high speed rail. I think only 2 or 3 % of Floridians would even use it.

Any way, it is time government got out of supporting and running private interprise. Let the people who want it, invest in company stocks or bonds to support it! Keep up the good work.

Bye the bye, I am (we are) natives of "Mayberry" and we did not vote for Alex Sink.

As an aside, is Alex Sink still on the Public Service Commission? Can we rescind the order that Progress Energy can have us (next)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02860 APR 26 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

to pay now for a power plant  
that might go on line 20 years  
from now? Private interest  
should provide the funds. I  
am 76 and don't think I will  
be around 20 years from now.

Would I go to a car dealership  
and start paying for a auto that  
I would get in 20 years, or even  
10 years, from now. I think not.

Thanks for listening.

Sincerely,

Shade Roberts

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:  
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE I. BROWN



DIVISION OF SERVICE, SAFETY &  
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE  
DANIEL M. HOPPE, DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6480

## Public Service Commission

April 22, 2011

Mr. & Mrs. Wade Roberts  
6180 36<sup>th</sup> Terrace North  
St. Petersburg, FL 33710-1716

RE: PSC Inquiry 1004922C

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Roberts:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your correspondence regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, natural gas, and telecommunications utilities throughout Florida, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

Florida Statute 366.93 indicates that after a petition for determination of need is granted, a utility may petition the commission for cost recovery for the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear and integrated gasification combined cycle power plants.

In compliance with the Florida Statute, in February 2007 the PSC enacted a rule that adhere to the statute. Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, allows investor-owned utilities to recover costs for nuclear and coal gasification plants.

Upon PSC approval of a utility's need for a nuclear plant upgrade or new plant construction, the utility can petition for cost recovery. The PSC held the first annual nuclear cost recovery evidentiary hearings on September 11 and 12, 2008, where commissioners heard testimony from utility companies, consumer groups, and the public. Costs are currently recovered from customers through the capacity cost recovery charge on their monthly electric bills. The PSC's decision was based on evidence developed during the September 2008 nuclear cost recovery hearings.

Progress Energy's approved cost recovery includes costs associated with the uprate of its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River, and the construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2. These completed projects will add 2,380

---

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: <http://www.floridapsc.com>

Internet E-mail: [contact@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us)

Mr. & Mrs. Wade Roberts  
Page 2  
April 22, 2011

megawatts of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

The next hearing in which the PSC will set the 2011 nuclear cost recovery will begin during the first week of November 2011. You may use the following link to view and listen to the hearings:

**<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/index.aspx>**

After each hearing, the events will be archived and available for three months following the conclusion of the hearings. You may review the archived events by using the following link:

**<http://www.floridapsc.com/agendas/audiovideo/archives/>**

I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 110009-EI regarding the nuclear cost recovery.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,



Randy Roland  
Regulatory Program Administrator  
Division of Service, Safety &  
Consumer Assistance

RR:mep