

110200-WU

①

Marguerite McLean

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 1:10 PM
To: Marguerite McLean
Cc: Hong Wang
Subject: RE: 08022-12

FPSC CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
~~Administrative Parties Consumer~~
DOCUMENT NO. 03977-11
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Marguerite, if you can print the check from the CD and scan it into I:\CLK-Unredacted Documents, you may return the CD to me. One less thing...

Thanks, Ann

*CD given to Ann.
12.10.12 M McLean*

From: Ruth Nettles
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 10:45 AM
To: Ann Cole; Marguerite McLean
Cc: Theresa Farley; Ruth McGill
Subject: RE: 08022-12

Ms. Ann,

I had to give Marguerite the CD, because that CD is protected and I could not do anything to edit the check act. from OPC's CD.

But, online, in CMS everything is fine. The DN has already updated in the website.

Ruth

From: Ruth Nettles
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 10:05 AM
To: Theresa Farley; Marguerite McLean
Cc: Ruth McGill; Ann Cole
Subject: 08022-12

Theresa,

The following Divisions were in my distribution.

Commissioners 5 copies
 AFD 6 copies
 APA 1 copy
 ECO 1 copy
 ENG 1 copy
 GCL 2 copies
 CLK 1 copy (Reporters copy)

Per our conversation, you will give all the CDs to Marguerite.

*10 copies
of CDs destroyed.
12.10.12
M McLean*

Marguerite, PDF page 739, has been redacted and I will bring you the original for your files.

DN 08022-12, has been placed on-line with redaction to Exhibit 30.

Ruth Nettles

12/10/2012

Marguerite McLean

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 1:11 PM
To: Hong Wang; Marguerite McLean
Cc: Ruth Nettles
Subject: FW: Docket 110200-WU, WMSI rate case
fyi.

From: Jennifer Crawford
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 11:44 AM
To: Martha Barrera; Michael Lawson; Mary Anne Helton; Ann Cole; Ruth Nettles
Cc: Todd Brown; Bart Fletcher; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Marshall Willis; Andrew Maurey; Lynn Deamer; Theresa Farley
Subject: Docket 110200-WU, WMSI rate case

It's my understanding that the Clerk's office discovered that at least one page of OPC's testimony and exhibits filed on Friday contained unredacted, exempt information (a bank account number, which is exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Section 119.071(5)(b), F.S.).

I called Erik Saylor and had a very detailed conversation regarding this matter. I requested that he certify to us, in writing (email should be fine), as quickly as possible, whether there was any additional information in the testimony or exhibits which should be redacted as either exempt under Ch. 119 or confidential under Ch. 367.

I explained that we were holding off on publishing the testimony and exhibits electronically until he could provide assurance that no more exempt or confidential information would be released through publishing the testimony and exhibits online, per our normal procedures.

I'll provide any updates as soon as they're available; please advise if you have any questions in the meantime.

Eric Fryson

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 3:25 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input type="checkbox"/> Consumer DOCUMENT NO. <u>03977-11</u> DISTRIBUTION: _____
--

Subject: FW: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony

Please place this e-mail in administrative correspondence, for Docket No. 110200-WU.
 Thank you.

From: Jennifer Crawford
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 3:10 PM
To: 'Saylor, Erik'; 'Martin Friedman'
Cc: Vandiver, Denise; Gene D. Brown; Kelly, JR; Mcglothlin, Joseph; Michael Lawson; Roberts, Brenda; Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Clarence Prestwood; Debra Dobiac; Martha Barrera; Laura King; Lisa Harvey; Lynn Deamer; Mark Cicchetti; Michael Springer; Nancy Harrison; Patti Daniel; Paul Stallcup; Stan Rieger; Theresa Farley; Todd Brown; Vandiver, Denise; Ann Cole; Mary Anne Helton
Subject: RE: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony

Erik, thank you for your response and quick turn-around in reviewing the information OPC filed on Friday. For the sake of clarity, the basis for the redaction is not confidentiality pursuant to Chapter 376, but Section 119.071(5)(b), F.S., which provides that account numbers and debit, charge, and credit card numbers held by an agency are exempt from public disclosure. I understand your response to mean you've reviewed the testimony and exhibits filed on Friday to ensure no further redaction is necessary under either Chapters 119 or 367. If this is not correct, please advise.

Marty, would you prefer an opportunity to review the information as well? If not, please advise; the Clerk is waiting on our go-ahead to scan the testimony and exhibits and place them online, per our normal procedure.

Thank you both for your assistance.

-Jennifer

From: Saylor, Erik [mailto:SAYLER.ERIK@leg.state.fl.us]
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 2:39 PM
To: Martha Barrera; 'Martin Friedman'
Cc: Vandiver, Denise; Gene D. Brown; Kelly, JR; Mcglothlin, Joseph; Michael Lawson; Roberts, Brenda; Ann Cole; Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Clarence Prestwood; Debra Dobiac; Jennifer Crawford; Laura King; Lisa Harvey; Lynn Deamer; Mark Cicchetti; Michael Springer; Nancy Harrison; Patti Daniel; Paul Stallcup; Stan Rieger; Theresa Farley; Todd Brown; Vandiver, Denise; Ann Cole
Subject: RE: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony
Importance: High

Martha, Marty, et al,

With respect to the check from Gulf State Bank shown on page 88 of 247 in Exhibit HWS-30 (attached to Schultz's testimony), OPC notes that this information was provided to staff in discovery (POD No. 3) without any redactions or claims of confidentiality, and thus was overlooked in our review for confidential documents when we filed this exhibit. This was inadvertent. However, OPC is glad that the Clerk's Office noticed that the check was unredacted and has since redacted the bank routing information. Thank you.

12/10/2012

In response to Staff's request, we have reviewed all the other exhibits attached to Ms. Vandiver's and Mr. Schultz's testimony. None of them to our knowledge contains any bank routing information or other information that wasn't already redacted. In an abundance of caution, the utility should also review the exhibits based on their non-confidential discovery responses and confirm that no additional information that should be redacted is inadvertently disclosed.

Thanks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Erik

From: Martha Barrera [mailto:MBarrera@PSC.STATE.FL.US]
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 11:59 AM
To: Saylor, Erik
Cc: Vandiver, Denise; Gene D. Brown; Kelly, JR; Mcglothlin, Joseph; Martin S. Friedman; Michael Lawson; Roberts, Brenda; Ann Cole; Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Clarence Prestwood; Debra Dobiac; Jennifer Crawford; Laura King; Lisa Harvey; Lynn Deamer; Mark Cicchetti; Michael Springer; Nancy Harrison; Patti Daniel; Paul Stallcup; Stan Rieger; Theresa Farley; Todd Brown
Subject: RE: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony

I imagine you already spoke with Jennifer regarding this.

From: Saylor, Erik [mailto:SAYLER.ERIK@leg.state.fl.us]
Sent: Saturday, December 08, 2012 10:32 AM
To: Martha Barrera
Cc: Vandiver, Denise; Gene D. Brown; Kelly, JR; Mcglothlin, Joseph; Martin S. Friedman; Michael Lawson; Roberts, Brenda; Ann Cole; Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Clarence Prestwood; Debra Dobiac; Jennifer Crawford; Laura King; Lisa Harvey; Lynn Deamer; Mark Cicchetti; Michael Springer; Nancy Harrison; Patti Daniel; Paul Stallcup; Stan Rieger; Theresa Farley; Todd Brown
Subject: Re: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony

Hi Martha

The clerks office has all the testimony but I don't see OPC witness Vandiver's testimony online. I'm guessing it will be updated in Monday.

Best

Erik.

Sent from my iPhone...please pardon any typos.

On Dec 7, 2012, at 1:54 PM, "Martha Barrera" <MBarrera@PSC.STATE.FL.US> wrote:

Hello Erik,

So the answer is yes the clerk has all the exhibits and testimony with the confidential materials and we should have the disk with the testimony and redacted exhibits today.

If the exhibits are on disk then we don't need the emails as long as the disk is complete and legible. I have not gotten copies yet.

Thanks

12/10/2012

From: Saylor, Erik [mailto:SAYLER.ERIK@leg.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2012 1:45 PM
To: Martha Barrera; Vandiver, Denise; Gene D. Brown; Kelly, JR; Mcglothlin, Joseph; Martin S. Friedman; Michael Lawson; Roberts, Brenda; Ann Cole
Cc: Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Clarence Prestwood; Debra Dobiac; Jennifer Crawford; Laura King; Lisa Harvey; Lynn Deamer; Mark Cicchetti; Michael Lawson; Michael Springer; Nancy Harrison; Patti Daniel; Paul Stallcup; Stan Rieger; Theresa Farley; Todd Brown
Subject: RE: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony

Hi Martha,

Schultz's confidential testimony & exhibits was filed yesterday along with Vandiver's testimony & exhibits. The disk with Vandiver's testimony was supposed to include the redacted copy of Schultz's testimony, but some technical glitch prevented the files from being copied to the disk. Don't know why or what happened, and the inadvertent omission was caught and corrected today, and a disk was filed at the Clerk's office and a disk hand delivered to GCL. Disks for the Utility with Schultz's redacted testimony and exhibits are being mailed today. By separate email, I will send the redacted exhibits that I can given the megabit (MB) size limitations of our network because some of the files might exceed that size limitation.

Staff should have all the testimony as well as access to the confidential testimony. The non-confidential disks were hand delivered, one to the Clerk's office yesterday that has Vandiver's testimony & exhibits (and was supposed to have the redacted testimony and exhibits of Schultz) and a second disk to GCL today c/o Michael Lawson / Martha Barrera with Schultz redacted testimony and exhibits. Please let me know if you did not receive the disks.

As for the confidential testimony and exhibits, similar to what was used in the FPL and Gulf rate cases, the Utility will review our filing and respond with the appropriate filing as needed.

<http://www.psc.state.fl.us/library/FILINGS/12/08010-12/08010-12.pdf>

Best regards,

Erik

From: Martha Barrera [mailto:MBarrera@PSC.STATE.FL.US]
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2012 10:59 AM
To: Saylor, Erik; Vandiver, Denise; Gene D. Brown; Kelly, JR; Mcglothlin, Joseph; Martin S. Friedman; Michael Lawson
Cc: Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Clarence Prestwood; Debra Dobiac; Jennifer Crawford; Laura King; Lisa Harvey; Lynn Deamer; Mark Cicchetti; Michael Lawson; Michael Springer; Nancy Harrison; Patti Daniel; Paul Stallcup; Stan Rieger; Theresa Farley; Todd Brown
Subject: RE: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony

Hello Erik,

I am now confused. Did you finish filing all the testimony and exhibits with the clerk (including the confidential information and the motion for protective order)? If not, please do so and copy all via email.

I would like an emailed copy of all testimony and exhibits (except the confidential information, of course).

Thanks!

Martha F. Barrera

Senior Attorney

Florida Public Service Commission

mbarrera@psc.state.fl.us

850 413-6212

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail

message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: Sayler, Erik [mailto:SAYLER.ERIK@leg.state.fl.us]

Sent: Friday, December 07, 2012 10:45 AM

To: Martha Barrera; Vandiver, Denise; Gene D. Brown; Kelly, JR; Mcglothlin, Joseph; Martin S. Friedman; Michael Lawson

Cc: Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Clarence Prestwood; Debra Dobiac; Jennifer Crawford; Laura King; Lisa Harvey; Lynn Deamer; Mark Cicchetti; Michael Lawson; Michael Springer; Nancy Harrison; Patti Daniel; Paul Stallcup; Stan Rieger; Theresa Farley; Todd Brown

Subject: 110200-WU - OPC Redacted Testimony

Hi All,

Yesterday, when OPC filed and served its direct testimony and exhibits, it included two disks – a confidential disk containing the confidential testimony and exhibits of OPC witness Schultz, and a non-confidential disk that contained the testimony and exhibits of OPC witness Vandiver. The parties were served by US Mail or hand delivery. The non-confidential disk was also supposed to contain the redacted testimony and exhibits of OPC witness Schultz.

OPC discovered this omission this morning and apologize for the inconvenience this might have caused. We will correct this omission today and serve all parties the redacted testimony and exhibits of OPC witness Schultz by US Mail or hand delivery.

In the mean time, attached to this email is the redacted testimony of OPC witness Schultz. If you would the redacted exhibits via email, please let me know. (Note: Due to the large file size of the redacted exhibits, it will take several emails to do so.) Thanks.

Sincerely,

Erik

Erik L. Sayler

Associate Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

111 West Madison Street, Room 812

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

850-717-0337

850-487-6419 Fax

<image001.gif>

Docket Index for August 2, 2012, Commission Conference Agenda

- **PLEASE NOTE:** This list is assembled for the administrative convenience of CLK personnel and does not represent the final agenda product. Persons who rely on this list for any purpose do so at their own risk.

1. Minutes
May 22, 2012, Regular Commission Conference

2. Consent Agenda

A) 120180-TX

3. 120150-TL

4. 110141-WS

5. 110260-WS

6. 110165-SU

✓ 7. 110200-WU

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
~~X~~Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 03977-11
DISTRIBUTION: _____

FAXED - 1
MAILED - 4
EMAILED - 18

ITEM NO.

CASE

7**PAA

Docket No. 110200-WU – Application for increase in water rates in Franklin County by Water Management Services, Inc.

Critical Date(s): 5-Month Effective Date Waived Through 08/02/12.

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners
Prehearing Officer: Brown

Staff: AFD: T. Brown, Cicchetti, Fletcher, Maurey
ECO: King, Draper, Kummer, Stallcup
ENG: Rieger; Ballinger
GCL: Jaeger, Barrera

(Proposed Agency Action - Except for Issue Nos. 26 and 27.)

Issue 1: Is the quality of service provided by Water Management Services, Inc. considered satisfactory?

Recommendation: Yes, the overall quality of service provided by the Utility should be considered satisfactory.

Issue 2: Should the audit adjustments to which the Utility and staff agree be made?

Recommendation: Yes. Based on the audit adjustments agreed to by the Utility and staff, operations and maintenance (O&M) expenses should be reduced by \$877.

Issue 3: Should any audit adjustments contested by the Utility be made to rate base?

Recommendation: Yes. WMSI's test year rate base should be adjusted as follows: plant should be increased by \$3,426, and accumulated depreciation should be increased by \$1,420. The following corresponding adjustments should also be made: depreciation expense should reflect a net decrease of \$23,811, and taxes other than income should be decreased by \$1,647.

Issue 4: Should any additional test-year plant adjustments be made?

Recommendation: Yes. Miscellaneous expenses should be decrease by \$9,320 plant should be increased by \$9,320 to reclassify items that should have been capitalized to plant. Accordingly, a corresponding adjustment should be made to increase depreciation expense by \$298.

ITEM NO.

CASE

7**PAA

Docket No. 110200-WU – Application for increase in water rates in Franklin County by Water Management Services, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: Should adjustments be made to the Utility's pro forma plant additions and associated expenses?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that a phased-in approach is appropriate. All of WMSI's pro forma plant and land costs should be removed from Phase I. Staff's recommended Phase II adjustments are reflected in the staff analysis portion of staff's recommendation dated July 20, 2012. The Utility should be allowed to implement Phase II rates only after all pro forma items have been completed, placed in commercial service, and copies of the final invoices and cancelled checks have been provided. Once verified by staff, the rates should be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. The rates should not be implemented until notice has been received by the customers. WMSI should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days of the date of the notice. If the Utility encounters any unforeseen events that will impede the completion of the pro forma items, the Utility should immediately notify the Commission in writing.

Issue 6: What are the used and useful percentages of the Utility's water system?

Recommendation: WMSI's water treatment plant (WTP) and storage facilities should be considered 100 percent used and useful (U&U). The Utility's transmission and distribution (T&D) mains should be considered 100 percent U&U, except for the distribution lines serving the Plantation subdivision that are less than 8 inches in diameter. The distribution lines in the Plantation that are less than 8 inches in diameter should be considered 60.9 percent U&U. Accordingly, rate base, depreciation expense, and property taxes should be reduced by \$18,023, \$1,833, and \$154, respectively.

Issue 7: What is the appropriate amount of unamortized rate case expense?

Recommendation: The appropriate unamortized rate case expense (URCE) is \$176,850.

Issue 8: What is the appropriate working capital allowance?

Recommendation: The appropriate working capital allowance is zero, which results in a reduction in the Utility's working capital allowance of \$39,885.

Issue 9: What is the appropriate rate base for the test year period ended December 31, 2010?

Recommendation: Consistent with other recommended adjustments, the appropriate rate base for the test year ended December 31, 2010, for Phase I is \$3,729,581. The appropriate rate base for Phase II is \$7,091,463.

ITEM NO.

CASE

7**PAA

Docket No. 110200-WU – Application for increase in water rates in Franklin County by Water Management Services, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 10: What is the appropriate return on equity?

Recommendation: Based on the Commission leverage formula currently in effect, the appropriate return on equity (ROE) is 11.16 percent. Staff recommends an allowed range of plus or minus 100 basis points be recognized for ratemaking purposes. However, it has no effect on the amount of the proposed rate increase because the Utility's capital structure consists of only long-term debt and customer deposits.

Issue 11: What is the appropriate weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts, and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the test year ended December 31, 2010?

Recommendation: The appropriate weighted average cost of capital for the test year ended December 31, 2010 is 4.44 percent for Phase I and 5.51 percent for Phase II.

Issue 12: Should any adjustments contested by the Utility be made to test year O&M expenses?

Recommendation: Yes. WMSI's test year O&M expenses should be reduced by \$70,982. In addition, plant should be increased by \$6,465. Further, accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense should be increased by \$148 and \$323, respectively.

Issue 13: Should any adjustments be made to the Utility's contractual services – accounting expense?

Recommendation: Yes, contractual services – accounting expense should be reduced by \$5,883.

Issue 14: What is the appropriate amount of rate case expense?

Recommendation: The appropriate amount of rate case expense is \$124,519. This expense should be recovered over four years for an annual expense of \$31,130. Therefore, annual rate case expense should be reduced by \$23,870.

ITEM NO.

CASE

7**PAA

Docket No. 110200-WU – Application for increase in water rates in Franklin County by Water Management Services, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 15: Have the Utility's cash advances to WMSI's President and associated companies in the amount of \$1.2 million, represented by Account 123, affected the Utility's ability to meet its financial and operating responsibilities? If so, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

Recommendation: Yes. The Utility's cash advances to WMSI's President and associated companies in the amount of \$1.2 million have impaired the Utility's ability to meet its financial and operating responsibilities. The Commission should accept the Utility's proposal to escrow, on a monthly basis, the amount necessary to pay its annual debt service as described in the staff analysis. In conjunction with this payment arrangement, staff also recommends that WMSI be required to file an affidavit with the Commission signed by a representative of the Utility attesting that the payment has been made within 5 working days of each payment. Finally, staff recommends the Utility President's salary be reduced for managerial imprudence. The amount of the salary reduction should be \$33,688 per year. As part of this adjustment, pensions and benefits expense should be reduced by \$8,176 and payroll taxes should be reduced by \$2,577. The total adjustment is \$44,441 (\$33,688 + \$8,176 + \$2,577).

Issue 16: How should the net gain on sale of land and other assets be treated?

Recommendation: The gain on sale of land and other assets of the Utility should be amortized over five years. The annual amortization is \$1,159.

Issue 17: What is the appropriate revenue requirement?

Recommendation: The following revenue requirement should be approved:

	Test Year		Revenue	
	Revenues	\$ Increase	Requirement	% Increase
Phase I	\$1,305,587	\$132,908	\$1,438,495	10.18%
Phase II	\$1,437,866	\$346,491	\$1,784,357	24.10%

Issue 18: What are the appropriate billing determinants for the historical test year ending December 31, 2010?

Recommendation: The appropriate test year billing determinants before repression are those listed in the MFR Schedules E-2 and E-14.

Commission Clerk

Subject: Agenda Notice (Aug02-12.agn.pdf) (Email ID = 894422)

Attachments: AUG02-12.AGN.pdf

The attachment has been issued by the Public Service Commission.

If you have any problems opening this attachment, please contact the Office of Commission Clerk by reply email or at 850-413-6770.

When replying, please do not alter the subject line; as it is used to process your reply.

Thank you.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA

CONFERENCE DATE AND TIME: Thursday, August 2, 2012, 9:30 a.m.

LOCATION: Betty Easley Conference Center, Joseph P. Cresse Hearing Room 148

DATE ISSUED: July 20, 2012

NOTICE

Persons affected by Commission action on certain items on this agenda may be allowed to address the Commission, either informally or by oral argument, when those items are taken up for discussion at this conference. These items are designated by double asterisks (**) next to the agenda item number.

To participate informally, affected persons need only appear at the agenda conference and request the opportunity to address the Commission on an item listed on agenda. Informal participation is not permitted: (1) on dispositive motions and motions for reconsideration; (2) when a recommended order is taken up by the Commission; (3) in a rulemaking proceeding after the record has been closed; or (4) when the Commission considers a post-hearing recommendation on the merits of a case after the close of the record. The Commission allows informal participation at its discretion in certain types of cases (such as declaratory statements and interim rate orders) in which an order is issued based on a given set of facts without hearing.

See Rule 25-22.0021, F.A.C., concerning Agenda Conference participation and Rule 25-22.0022, F.A.C., concerning oral argument.

Agendas, staff recommendations, vote sheets, transcripts, and conference minutes are available from the PSC Web site, <http://www.floridapsc.com>, by selecting *Agenda & Hearings* and *Agenda Conferences of the FPSC*. By selecting the docket number, you can advance to the *Docket Details* page and the Document Index Listing for the particular docket. If you have any questions, contact the Office of Commission Clerk at (850) 413-6770 or e-mail the clerk at Clerk@psc.state.fl.us.

In accordance with the American with Disabilities Act, persons needing a special accommodation to participate at this proceeding should contact the Office of Commission Clerk no later than five days prior to the conference at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, via 1-800-955-8770 (Voice) or 1-800-955-8771 (TDD), Florida Relay Service. Assistive Listening Devices are available at the Office of Commission Clerk, Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110.

The Commission Conference has a live video broadcast the day of the conference, which is available from the PSC's Web site. Upon completion of the conference, the video will be available from the Web site by selecting *Agenda and Hearings* and *Audio and Video Event Coverage*.

Table of Contents
Commission Conference Agenda
August 2, 2012

1	Approval of Minutes May 22, 2012, Regular Commission Conference.....	2
2**	Consent Agenda	2
3**	Docket No. 120150-TL – 2013 State Annual certification of rural telecommunications carriers pursuant to 47 C.F.R. 54.314, High Cost Universal Service.....	3
4**PAA	Docket No. 110141-WS – Application for staff-assisted rate case in Polk County by Grenelefe Resort Utility, Inc.....	4
5**PAA	Docket No. 110260-WS – Application for staff-assisted rate case in Lee County by Useppa Island Utilities Co., Inc.	9
6**PAA	Docket No. 110165-SU – Application for staff-assisted rate case in Highlands County by Utility Corporation of Florida, Inc.	10
7**PAA	Docket No. 110200-WU – Application for increase in water rates in Franklin County by Water Management Services, Inc.	14

Eric Fryson

PSC-12-0316-PCO-WU

From: Terry Holdnak
Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2012 2:08 PM
To: CLK - Orders / Notices
Cc: Ralph Jaeger; Martha Barrera
Subject: Order / Notice Submitted

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 03977-11		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Date and Time: 6/19/2012 2:05:00 PM
Docket Number: 110200-WU
Filename / Path: 110200.Order denying OPC Motion to Establish-Compel Discovery.rvj.doc
Order Type: Signed / Hand Deliver

ORDER DENYING OPC'S MOTION TO ESTABLISH DISCOVERY PROCEDURES AND MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES

Signed by Commissioner Brown requesting order be issued today.
Docket No. 110200-WU

Terry K. Holdnak
Commission Deputy Clerk II
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
850-413-6738

FAXED -
MAILED -
EMAILED -

RECEIVED-FPSC
12 JUN 19 PM 2:12
COMMISSION
CLERK

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
PARTICIPATING EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR DOCKET 110200**

PARTY NAME	COMPANY CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS	ADDRESS IN MASTER COMMISSION DIRECTORY
Office of Public Counsel (11d) R		kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us	No
Office of Public Counsel (12e)		sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us	No
Sundstrom Law Firm (12)		MFriedman@sflaw.com	No
Water Management Services, Inc.	WS236	gdb5@comcast.net	No

Commission Clerk

Subject: FPSC E-service of Document NO. 04006-12 in Docket 110200-WU (Email ID = 562279)

The document described below has been issued by the Florida Public Service Commission and filed with the Office of Commission Clerk. As a party of record at the time of issuance or, if applicable, an interested person, you are being provided this information electronically.

Should you have any problems accessing this document, please contact the Office of Commission Clerk by reply e-mail. Do not alter the subject line, as it is used for processing.

DOCUMENT NO.	DESCRIPTION	
04006-12 (4 pgs.)	Order PSC-12-0316-PCO-WU denying OPC's motion to establish discovery procedures and motion to compel discovery responses.	Yes

Matilda Sanders

110200-WU

From: Terry Holdnak
Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2012 9:20 AM
To: CLK - Orders / Notices
Cc: Ralph Jaeger; Martha Barrera; Jane Faurot; Mary Macko
Subject: Order / Notice Submitted


Date and Time: 5/24/2012 9:16:00 AM
Docket Number: 110200-WU
Filename / Path: 110200.Notice Oral Argument.rrj.doc

ccs / man

NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT

To be issued.
Docket No. 110200-WU

Terry K. Holdnak
Commission Deputy Clerk II
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
850-413-6738



Fax: 0
Mail: 1
E-mail: 4

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
PARTICIPATING EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR DOCKET 110200**

PARTY NAME	COMPANY CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS	ADDRESS IN MASTER COMMISSION DIRECTORY
Office of Public Counsel (11d) R		kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us	No
Office of Public Counsel (12e)		sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us	No
Sundstrom Law Firm (12)		MFriedman@sflaw.com	No
Water Management Services, Inc.	WS236	gdb5@comcast.net	No

Commission Clerk

Subject: FPSC E-service of Document NO. 03296-12 in Docket 110200-WU (Email ID = 177697)

The document described below has been issued by the Florida Public Service Commission and filed with the Office of Commission Clerk. As a party of record at the time of issuance or, if applicable, an interested person, you are being provided this information electronically.

Should you have any problems accessing this document, please contact the Office of Commission Clerk by reply e-mail. Do not alter the subject line, as it is used for processing.

DOCUMENT NO.	DESCRIPTION
<u>03296-12 (2 pgs.)</u>	Notice of oral argument to be held 6/5/12 at 1:00 p.m., Rm 148, Betty Easley Conference Center, 4075 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee.

Matilda Sanders

PSC-12-0231-PCO-WU

From: Terry Holdnak
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2012 1:27 PM
To: CLK - Orders / Notices
Cc: Ralph Jaeger; Martha Barrera
Subject: Order / Notice Submitted

Date and Time: 5/10/2012 1:24:00 PM
Docket Number: 110200-WU
Filename / Path: 110200.TPO.OPC 1st Pod.rvj.doc
Order Type: Signed / Hand Deliver

RECEIVED-FPSC
12 MAY 10 PM 1:40
COMMISSIONER
CLERK

TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER

Docket No. 110200-WU
Signed by Commissioner Brown

Terry K. Holdnak
Commission Deputy Clerk II
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
850-413-6738

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>03977-11</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Fax: 0
Mail: 1
E-mail: 3

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
PARTICIPATING EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR DOCKET 110200**

PARTY NAME	COMPANY CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS	ADDRESS IN MASTER COMMISSION DIRECTORY
Office of Public Counsel (12e)		sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us	No
Sundstrom Law Firm (12)		MFriedman@sflaw.com	No
Water Management Services, Inc.	WS236	gdb5@comcast.net	No

Commission Clerk

Subject: FPSC E-service of Document NO. 03003-12 in Docket 110200-WU (Email ID = 156321)

The document described below has been issued by the Florida Public Service Commission and filed with the Office of Commission Clerk. As a party of record at the time of issuance or, if applicable, an interested person, you are being provided this information electronically.

Should you have any problems accessing this document, please contact the Office of Commission Clerk by reply e-mail. Do not alter the subject line, as it is used for processing.

DOCUMENT NO.	DESCRIPTION
<u>03003-12 (3 pgs.)</u>	Order PSC-12-0231-PCO-WU granting WMSI's motion for temporary protective order of information and documents provided in response to OPC's 1st request for PODs (No. 32), pending final determination of their use before the Commission, at which time a determination of their confidentiality will be made.

Dorothy Menasco

110200-WU

From: Todd Brown
Sent: Thursday, April 26, 2012 1:58 PM
To: Dorothy Menasco
Cc: Hong Wang; Bart Fletcher
Subject: RE: Docket 110200-WU - Correspondence

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 03977-11
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Sorry for the confusion Dorothy. Please place the memo and attachments in Consumer Correspondence. Place 02087-12 and 02195-12 in Consumer Correspondence as well. Thanks for your help.

Todd

DNS 02087-12 + 02195-12 removed on
Administrative correspondence in Dkts 120065-Tx
+ 120030-W5.

From: Dorothy Menasco
Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2012 12:02 PM
To: Todd Brown
Cc: Hong Wang; Bart Fletcher
Subject: Docket 110200-WU - Correspondence

Hi Todd,

CLK received a memo from you today with attached e-mail correspondence for docket file. Please clarify if you would like the memo and attachments to be placed in Consumer Correspondence or placed in the docket file (document numbered).

I note that there are two other documents that have been placed in the docket file per the memo's instructions, but they appear to be Consumer Correspondence as well. Please take a look at 02087-12 and 02195-12 and advise if they should be placed in Consumer Correspondence or left as document numbered items.

For future reference, when sending down these types of memos, please specify if they are to be placed in Consumer Correspondence, Parties Correspondence, or the docket file.

Thank you for your help!

Dorothy

4/26/2012

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
PARTICIPATING EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR DOCKET 110200**

PARTY NAME	COMPANY CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS	ADDRESS IN MASTER COMMISSION DIRECTORY
Office of Public Counsel (11d) R		kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us	No
Office of Public Counsel (12e)		sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us	No
Sundstrom Law Firm (12)		MFriedman@sflaw.com	No
Water Management Services, Inc.	WS236	gdb5@comcast.net	No

*FAXED - 0
MAILED - 0
EMAILED - 4*

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 03977-11
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Commission Clerk

Subject: FPSC E-service of Document NO. 01829-12 in Docket 110200-WU (Email ID = 114813)

The document described below has been issued by the Florida Public Service Commission and filed with the Office of Commission Clerk. As a party of record at the time of issuance or, if applicable, an interested person, you are being provided this information electronically.

Should you have any problems accessing this document, please contact the Office of Commission Clerk by reply e-mail. Do not alter the subject line, as it is used for processing.

DOCUMENT NO.	DESCRIPTION
<u>01829-12 (8 pgs.)</u>	RECOM for 4/10/12 Commission conference, Item __, from GCL and ECR staff.

Matilda Sanders

PSE-12-0222-PCO-WU

From: Terry Holdnak
 Sent: Friday, April 27, 2012 8:27 AM
 To: CLK - Orders / Notices
 Cc: Ralph Jaeger; Martha Barrera; Shalonda Hopkins
 Subject: Order / Notice Submitted

 Date and Time: 4/27/2012 8:25:00 AM
 Docket Number: 110200-WU
 Filename / Path: 110200.4-10-12 Agenda Procedural Order.rvj.doc

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>03977-11</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

ORDER DENYING OPC'S MOTION FOR FORMAL HEARING

Docket No. 110200-WU

Terry K. Holdnak
 Commission Deputy Clerk II
 Office of the General Counsel
 Florida Public Service Commission
 850-413-6738

Fax: 0
 Mail: 0
 E-mail: 4

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
PARTICIPATING EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR DOCKET 110200**

PARTY NAME	COMPANY CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS	ADDRESS IN MASTER COMMISSION DIRECTORY
Office of Public Counsel (11d) R		kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us	No
Office of Public Counsel (12e)		sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us	No
Sundstrom Law Firm (12)		MFriedman@sflaw.com	No
Water Management Services, Inc.	WS236	gdb5@comcast.net	No

Commission Clerk

Subject: FPSC E-service of Document NO. 02677-12 in Docket 110200-WU (Email ID = 307790)

The document described below has been issued by the Florida Public Service Commission and filed with the Office of Commission Clerk. As a party of record at the time of issuance or, if applicable, an interested person, you are being provided this information electronically.

Should you have any problems accessing this document, please contact the Office of Commission Clerk by reply e-mail. Do not alter the subject line, as it is used for processing.

DOCUMENT NO.	DESCRIPTION
<u>02677-12 (7 pgs.)</u>	Order PSC-12-0222-PCO-WU denying OPC's motion for administrative hearing on WMSI's application for rate increase; docket to remain open for continued processing of WMSI's application.

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
RONALD A. BRISÉ, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
ART GRAHAM
EDUARDO E. BALBIS
JULIE I. BROWN



MARSHALL WILLIS, DIRECTOR
DIVISION OF ECONOMIC REGULATION
(850) 413-6900

Public Service Commission

March 16, 2012

RECEIVED-FPSC
12 MAR 19 AM 8:13
COMMISSION
CLERK

Mr. Eric Roberts
SGI Civic Club
P.O. Box 451
Eastpoint, Florida 32328

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. _____
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Re: Docket No. 110200-WU – In re: Application for increase in water rates in Franklin County by Water Management Services, Inc.

Dear Mr. Roberts:

This letter is confirm our reservation of the St. George Island Volunteer Fire House for Wednesday, April 25, 2012, from 4:30 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. We are planning to hold a general customer meeting beginning at 6:00 p.m. We may finish the general customer meeting before 10:00 p.m. depending on the number of attendees and the number of comments received. We are also confirming that there are no charges incurred to use these facilities.

Please see the attached exhibit indicating the requirements for the customer meeting area. If you have any questions, please contact Todd Brown at (850) 413-6550. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Bart Fletcher
Public Utilities Supervisor

BF/ao
Enclosure

Division of Economic Regulation (Maurey, Fletcher, T. Brown, Cichetti, Daniel, Reiger, Stallcup, Thompson)
Office of the General Counsel (Barrera, Jaeger)
Office of Commission Clerk (Docket No. 110200-WU)


MEETING ROOM SETUP

Seating

If at all possible, the head table should be on an elevated platform in front of the audience. A raised bench such as we have at the Commission is best. A table may only be used if the front and sides are covered with a modesty skirt. Folding tables with an open front are appropriate only when using a modesty skirt.

A separate podium or table to one side of and facing the head table (away from the audience) is required for customers. Another table may be necessary if a court reporter is required.

The meeting set up should appear as shown below:



Public Address System

If possible, a minimum of two microphones (three are preferred), one for the head table and one for the customer podium, is required. The public address system should be sufficient to ensure that the audience can hear what is being said in normal speaking voices.