

VOTE SHEET

October 4, 2011

Docket No. 110219-EI – Complaint No. 973806E of Casey E. and Allison L. Seaman against Progress Energy Florida, Inc., for alleged improper billing.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant PEF's Motion to Dismiss?

Recommendation: No. The Commission should deny PEF's Motion to Dismiss.

DEFERRED

Issue 2: Should the Seaman's request for relief from the financial responsibility of their electric bill due to an alleged faulty meter be granted?

Recommendation: No. Meter No. 5834154 (old meter) was tested twice, pursuant to Rules 25-6.059 and 25-6.060, F.A.C., and met the Commission's guidelines for accuracy. Therefore, there is no conflict in the accuracy of the meter testing that needs resolution by the Commission at this time.

DEFERRED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in the Majority column]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Staff to bring additional information on the meter resetting process.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07231 OCT-5 =

- Vote Sheet

October 4, 2011

Docket No. 110219-EI – Complaint No. 973806E of Casey E. and Allison L. Seaman against Progress Energy Florida, Inc., for alleged improper billing.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 3: Should the docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission agrees with staff regarding issues 1 and 2, then if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action for Issue 2 files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

DEFERRED