VOTE SHEET

October 18, 2011

Docket No. 100442-SU – Application for certificate to provide wastewater service in Brevard County by TKCB.

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should the Utility's application for a wastewater certificate be approved?

Recommendation: Yes, TKCB should be granted Certificate No. 562-S to serve the territory described in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated October 6, 2011, effective the date of the Commission's vote. The resultant order should serve as TKCB's wastewater certificate and should be retained by the Utility.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 2:</u> What are the appropriate initial wastewater rates?

Recommendation: The monthly wastewater service rates proposed by TKCB and shown on Schedule No. 1 of staff's memorandum dated October 6, 2011, are reasonable and should be approved. TKCB should be required to charge the approved rates until authorized to change them by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

O7 015-11 10, 18, 11 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

PSC/CLK033-C (Rev 03/07)

Vote Sheet

October 18, 2011

Docket No. 100442-SU - Application for certificate to provide wastewater service in Brevard County by TKCB.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 3:</u> Should the Commission order TKCB to show cause, in writing within 21 days, why it should not be fined for operating a wastewater utility without a certificate of authorization in apparent violation of Section 367.031, F.S.?

Recommendation: No. TKCB should not be ordered to show cause for operating a wastewater utility without a certificate of authorization.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 4:</u> Should TKCB be ordered to show cause, in writing within 21 days, why it should not be fined for failure to remit its regulatory assessment fees and file annual reports for 1986 through 2010, in apparent violation of Section 367.145, F.S., and Rules 25-30.120 and 25-30.110(3), F.A.C.?

Recommendation: No. TKCB should not be ordered to show cause for failing to remit regulatory assessment fees and file annual reports from 1986 to 2010.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation:</u> Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issues 1 through 4, this docket should be closed because no further action is necessary.

APPROVED