

**Eric Fryson**

---

**From:** Gonzalez, Rocio [rgonzalez@sagetelecom.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2012 10:36 AM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** Kiwanis Curry  
**Subject:** Docket No. 110303-OT - Survey Question Responses  
**Importance:** High  
**Attachments:** FL RAF Rule Data Request 01047-12 Docket 110303-OT.pdf

**Rocio Gonzalez**  
Regulatory Compliance Analyst  
3300 E Renner Road, Suite 350  
Richardson, TX 75082-2800  
214.495.4884  
[rgonzalez@sagetelecom.net](mailto:rgonzalez@sagetelecom.net)

Docket No. 110303-OT

Filed on behalf of: Sage Telecom, Inc.

Number of pgs.: 4

Attached Document Description: Responses to survey questions regarding Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.

---

3/8/2012

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE  
01366 MAR-8 2012  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



Via E-mail...filings@psc.state.fl.us

March 8, 2012

Judy Harlow  
c/o Ann Cole  
Commission Clerk  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Utilities Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

**Re: DOCKET NO. 110303-OT  
RULE 25-4.0161, F.A.C. SURVEY QUESTIONS**

Dear Ms. Harlow:

Enclosed are the responses to survey questions in regard to Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code filed on behalf of Sage Telecom, Inc.

If you have any questions, you may contact me at (214) 495-4884 or via email at rgonzalez@sagetelecom.net.

Sincerely,

/s/ *Rocio Gonzalez*

Rocio Gonzalez  
Regulatory Compliance Analyst

Enclosure

cc: kcurry@psc.state.fl.us

Sage Telecom, Inc.

3300 E. Renner Road, Suite 350

Richardson, Texas 75082-2800

(214) 495-4700

Fax (214) 495-4790

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01366 MAR-8 02

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. – Survey Questions**

The following survey questions apply to **Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies**. For responding to these questions, please refer to Subsection 120.541(2), F.S., and Subparagraph 120.745(1)(b)2, F.S. “Transactional costs” are defined in Subparagraph 120.541(2)(d), F.S., as:

...direct costs that are readily ascertainable based upon standard business practices, including filing fees, the cost of obtaining a license, the cost of equipment required to be installed or used or procedures required to be employed in complying with the rule, additional operating costs incurred, the cost of monitoring and reporting, and any other costs necessary to comply with the rule.

The company’s response data to these survey questions should be provided for the entire rule, unless the response data is available by rule section, in which case we request the response data be provided by rule section. Please present data in annualized format, if possible, and all cost or benefit dollar estimates should be stated in nominal terms.

1. What are the estimated transactional costs resulting from the Company’s compliance of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?
  - a. What are your actual transactional costs resulting from your Company’s compliance with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the period July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011?

**Response: 1. The estimated transactional costs resulting from the Company’s compliance of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011 is \$3,000.**

**Response 1.a. The actual transactional costs resulting from the Company’s compliance with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the period of July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011 was \$600.**

2. What is your estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small businesses (as defined by Section 288.703, F.S.) located in the Company’s service territory, resulting from the compliance of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

**Response 2. Currently Sage Telecom, Inc. (“Sage”) does not have customers in the state of Florida; therefore, the estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small businesses (as defined by Section 288.703, F.S.) located in the Company’s service territory, resulting from the compliance of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011 is not known.**

3. What is your estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small counties and small cities (as defined in Section 120.52, F.S.) located in the Company’s service territory, resulting from the compliance of 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01366 MAR-8 02

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**Response 3. Currently Sage does not have customers in the state of Florida; therefore, the estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small counties and small cities (as defined in Section 120.52, F.S.) located in the Company's service territory, resulting from the compliance of 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011 is not known.**

4. What is your estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on entities located in the Company's service territory other than those specifically identified in Questions 2 and 3, resulting from the compliance of 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

**Response 4. Currently Sage does not have customers in the state of Florida; therefore, the estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on entities located in the Company's service territory other than those specifically identified in Questions 2 and 3, resulting from the compliance of 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011 is not known.**

5. What expected impact do you believe Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., will have on economic growth, private sector job creation or employment, and private sector investment for the five year period July 1, 2011 in the Company's service territory?

**Response 5. Currently Sage does not have customers in the state of Florida; therefore, the expected impact Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., will have on economic growth, private sector job creation or employment, and private sector investment for the five year period July 1, 2011 in the Company's service territory is not known.**

6. What expected impact do you believe Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., will have on business competitiveness, including the ability of persons doing business in the Company's service territory to compete with persons doing business in states other than Florida or other domestic markets, productivity, and innovation, for the five year period July 1, 2011?

**Response 6. Currently Sage does not have customers in the state of Florida; therefore, the expected impact Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., will have on business competitiveness, including the ability of persons doing business in the Company's service territory to compete with persons doing business in states other than Florida or other domestic markets, productivity, and innovation, for the five year period July 1, 2011 is not known.**

7. What are the benefits to your Company associated with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.?

**Response 7. Currently Sage does not have customers in the state of Florida; therefore, the benefits to Sage associated with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. are not known.**

8. Since the December 4, 2011 rule change has your Company revised customer rates in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.? If so, please explain any rate changes that were made.

**Response 8. Currently Sage does not have customers in the state of Florida; therefore, since the December 4, 2011 rule change, the need to revise customer rates in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. was not applicable.**

9. If the Company did revise customer rates after the rule change, what were the specific costs associated with processing and implementing these rate changes?

**Response 9. Since Sage had no customers in the state of Florida, the need to revise customer rates after the rule change was not applicable; therefore, there were no specific costs associated with processing and implementing any rate changes.**

10. Does the Company anticipate having to revise customer rates in the future in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.? If so, please explain any anticipated rate changes for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011.

**Response 10. Since Sage currently has no customers in the state of Florida, the Company does not anticipate having to revise customer rates in the future in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.**

11. If the company anticipates revising customer rates in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., what costs does the company expect to incur to process and implement the rate changes for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

**Response 11. Since Sage currently has no customers in the state of Florida, the Company does not anticipate revising customer rates in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.; therefore, Sage does not expect to incur costs to process and implement the rate changes for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011.**