STATE OF FLORIDA

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL S. CURTIS KISER GENERAL COUNSEL (850) 413-6199

ECEIVED-FPSC 2 JUL -6 PM 3: 07 COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: RONALD A. BRISÉ, CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR ART GRAHAM EDUARDO E. BALBIS JULIE I. BROWN

Aublic Service Commission

July 6, 2012

James D. Beasley Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST TO TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

RE: Docket No. 120153-EI - Petition to recover capital costs of Polk Fuel Cost Reduction Project through the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause, by Tampa Electric Company.

Dear Mr. Beasley

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Tampa Electric Company (TECO or utility) provide responses to the following data requests.

- 1. Please refer to TECO's June 22, 2012 Answers to Staff's First Data Request, Request Numbers 11 and 50. Should the values contained in the "Total" column of Request No. 11 be the same as the values found in the third column of Request No. 50?
 - a) If no, why should the values be different?
 - b) If yes, why are some of the values different?
- 2. Please refer to TECO's June 22, 2012 Answers to Staff's First Data Request, Request Numbers 12 and 50. Should the values contained in the "Total" column of Request No. 12 be the same as the values found in the second column of Request No. 50?
 - a) If no, why should the values be different?
 - b) If yes, why are some of the values different?
- 3. Please refer to TECO's June 22, 2012 Answers to Staff's First Data Request. In the response to Request Number 17(b), TECO asserts that if costs exceed fuel savings for any particular year, those costs "would be treated like other capital projects and recovered through base rates."

- a) Please identify how the Company would recover those costs in base rates. Specify in your response when rates would be changed for the increased revenue requirement.
- b) Please list by Docket or Order Number when the Commission has allowed cost recovery as proposed in this case.
- 4. In its Petition and in TECO's response to Request Number 17(c), TECO proposes cost recovery over a five-year period.
 - a) If the Commission considered an alternative to a five-year recovery period, please discuss the impact of a more accelerated cost recovery period (e.g., a three-year recovery period instead of five). Include in your response whether TECO is opposed to a more accelerated cost recovery period.
 - b) If the Commission considered an alternative to a five-year recovery period, please discuss the impact of a less accelerated cost recovery period (e.g., a seven-year recovery period instead of five). Include in your response whether TECO is opposed to a less accelerated cost recovery period.
- 5. Assuming a five-year cost recovery period was established, would TECO be opposed to continuing the amortization if the total project costs were not recovered in the five-year time frame? Please explain your response.
- 6. Is there a threshold for which the Company would not seek recovery through base rates if the total project costs were not recovered in the five-year time frame? Please explain your response.
- 7. Please refer to TECO's June 22, 2012 Answers to Staff's First Data Request. For each year identified in Request Number 31, provide the following:
 - a) The Return on Investment (ROI) part of the revenue requirement;
 - b) The depreciation component of the revenue requirement;
 - c) The operations and maintenance (O&M) expense component of the revenue requirement;
 - d) The income tax component of the revenue requirement, including the property tax and ad velorum tax portions.
- 8. For each response provided in Question 7 above, please state the capital structure, rate of return, and source.

James D. Beasley July 6, 2012 Page 3

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Friday, July 20, 2012, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6228 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jennifer S. Crawford Attorney Supervisor

JSC/th

cc:

Office of Commission Clerk All parties in Docket No. 120153-EI