BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **DOCKET NO. 130040-EI** IN RE: TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S PETITION FOR AN INCREASE IN BASE RATES AND MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF **STEVEN P. HARRIS ON BEHALF OF TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY**

COM

AFD

GCI

CLK

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

0 688 APR-5 º

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI IN RE: TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S PETITION FOR AN INCREASE IN BASE RATES AND MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT

OF

STEVEN P. HARRIS

ON BEHALF OF TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY



1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		STEVEN P. HARRIS
5		ON BEHALF OF TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8		
9	A.	My name is Steven P. Harris. My business address is
10		EQECAT, INC. ("EQECAT"), 475 14th Street, Oakland,
11		California 94612.
12		
13	Q.	Who is your employer and what is your position?
14		
15	A.	I am a Vice President with EQECAT, Inc., an affiliated
16		company of ABS Consulting, both of which are subsidiaries
17		of the ABS Group of Companies. Together these two
18		companies are leading global providers of catastrophic
19		risk management services, including software and
20		consulting, to major insurers, re-insurers, corporations,
21		governments and other financial institutions. In
22		addition, these companies develop and license
23		catastrophic underwriting, pricing, risk management and
24		risk transfer models that are used extensively in the
25		insurance industry. The companies provide the financial, DOCUMENT NO. DATE

OI688-13 4/5/13

insurance and brokerage communities with a science and technology-based source of independent quantitative risk information.

Q. Please describe your educational background and business experience.

8 A. I received Bachelors and Masters Degrees in engineering from the University of California at Berkeley. 9 I am a licensed civil engineer in the State of California. 10 Over the past 30 years, I have conducted and supervised 11 independent risk and financial studies for 12 public 13 utilities, insurance companies and other entities both regulated and unregulated. My areas of expertise include 1415 natural hazard risk analysis, operational risk analysis, risk profiling and financial analysis, insurance loss 16 analysis, loss prevention and control, 17 business continuity planning and risk transfer. 18

19

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

A significant portion of my consulting experience has involved the performance of multi-hazard risk studies, including earthquake, ice storm and windstorm perils, for electric, water and telephone utility companies, as well as insurance companies.

	I III	
1		I have performed or supervised windstorm (tropical storm
2		or hurricane) loss, and reserve analyses for utilities
3		including Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or
4		"company"), Florida Power & Light, Progress Energy
5		Florida, Gulf Power Company, and others. Additionally, I
6		have performed loss analyses for earthquake hazard for
7		utilities including the Los Angeles Department of Water
8		and Power, the Sacramento Municipal Utility District, and
9		British Columbia Hydro.
10		
11		For energy companies that have assets in a wide array of
12		geographic locations, I have performed or supervised
13		multi-peril analyses for all natural hazards, including
14		earthquakes, windstorms and ice storms.
15		
16	Q.	Are you sponsoring an exhibit in this case?
17		
18	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No (SPH-1), entitled
19		"Exhibit of Steven P. Harris on Behalf of Tampa Electric
20		Company", which was prepared under my direction and
21		supervision. It consists of one document, "Transmission
22		and Distribution Assets - Storm Loss and Reserve
23		Performance Analysis".
24		
25	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
	-	

1	A .	My direct testimony presents the results of EQECAT's
2		independent analyses of risk of uninsured losses to Tampa
3		Electric's transmission and distribution assets and
4		insurance retentions from hurricanes and tropical storms.
5	1	These studies include storm loss analysis and reserve
6		performance analysis.
7	1	
8	Q.	Please briefly describe the studies performed for Tampa
9		Electric.
10		
11	A.	EQECAT performed two analyses relative to the reserve:
12		The Storm Loss Analysis ("Loss Analysis"), and The
13		Reserve Performance Analysis ("Performance Analysis").
14		The Loss Analysis is a probabilistic windstorm analysis
15		that uses proprietary software to develop an estimate of
16		the expected annual amount of uninsured windstorm losses
17		to which Tampa Electric is exposed. The Reserve
18		Performance Analysis is a dynamic financial simulation
19		analysis that evaluates the performance of the reserve in
20		terms of the expected balance of the reserve and the
21		likelihood of positive reserve balances over a five-year
22		prospective period, given the potential uninsured losses
23	· .	determined from the Loss Analysis, at various annual
24		accrual levels.

2		
3	A.	The Loss Analysis was performed to estimate the level of
4		annual damage that Tampa Electric is exposed to from
5		hurricanes and tropical storms. The Reserve Performance
6		Analysis was performed to test three levels of possible
7		annual accrual to the reserve. This analysis tests the
8		performance of the reserve against the potential storm
9		losses determined from the Loss Analysis. The accrual
10		levels tested are the company's current \$8 million per
11		year accrual as well as two other higher levels of \$12
12		million and \$20 million. The study estimated the total
13		expected average annual uninsured cost to Tampa Electric
14		from all storms to be \$21.9 million.
15		
16		The Reserve Performance Analysis demonstrated that an
17		accrual level of \$8 million would result in an expected
18		reserve deficit of negative (\$5.6 million) and a
19		probability of negative reserve balances of 32 percent
20		within the five-year simulation time horizon. The
21		Reserve Performance Analysis also demonstrated that an
22		accrual level of \$12 million would result in an expected
23		reserve balance of \$14 million and a probability of
24		negative reserve balances of 26 percent within the five-
25		year simulation time horizon. Finally, the Reserve

Q. Please summarize the results of your analyses.

Performance Analysis demonstrated that an accrual level of \$20 million would result in an expected reserve balance of \$55 million and a probability of negative reserve balances of 18 percent within the five-year simulation time horizon.

7 **LOSS ANALYSIS**

8

9

18

21

0.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Please summarize the Loss Analysis.

Α. The Loss Analysis determined 10 the expected annual 11 magnitude of windstorm losses to Tampa Electric's transmission and distribution ("T&D") system. 12 Windstorm losses include costs associated with service restoration 13 and repair of Tampa Electric's T&D system as a result of 14 hurricanes and tropical storms. 15 Also included are estimates of the costs of windstorm insurance deductibles 16 attributable to non-T&D assets. 17

19 Q. Please describe the computer software used to perform the
20 Loss Analysis.

22 A. USWIND[™] is a probabilistic model designed to estimate
 23 damage and losses due to the occurrence of storms.
 24 EQECAT's proprietary computer software USWIND[™] is one of
 25 only four models evaluated and determined acceptable by

the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection 1 Methodology for projecting hurricane loss costs. 2 3 Probabilistic annual damage and loss is computed using the results of over 100,000 random variable storms. 5 Annual damage and loss estimates are developed for each 6 aggregated to overall individual site portfolio 7 and USWIND[™] climatological models damage and loss amounts. 8 National Oceanic and Atmospheric 9 are based on the Administration's ("NOAA") National Weather Service 10 Technical Reports. 11

4

12

15

21

24

25

USWIND™ Q. Does take into account storm frequency 13 and severity? 14

The analysis is based on storm frequency Α. Yes. and 16 severity distributions developed from the entire 109-year 17 historical record. USWIND[™] also allows the estimation of 18 frequency of storms in the current period of heightened 19 hurricane activity. 20

22 Q. Please describe the current period of heightened 23 hurricane activity.

Hurricanes are known to occur in multi-year cycles. The Α.

recent decades of the 1970s through the mid-1990s had significantly lower activity than the 109-year long-term average. Other decades have had periods of higher activity. NOAA has expressed its belief that we entered a period of increased hurricane formation around 1995.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

There is the emerging consensus that changes in the El Niño/Southern Oscillation and North Atlantic Oscillation variables indicate we have entered a more active period for hurricane formation, like that experienced in the 1920s and 1940s. Therefore, Tampa Electric may expect to experience higher damage to its T&D assets over the next several years than would be predicted by the long-term hurricane hazard.

The Loss Analysis is based on hurricane frequency and 16 17 severity distributions that are reflective of the relatively more active periods of the 1920s and 1940s. 18 19 The length of these active periods is thought to be about 25 to 40 years or more, and the recent period of higher 20 activity is believed to have begun over a decade ago. 21

The hurricane hazard cases analyzed therefore represent frequencies associated with the current period that may be associated with a higher frequency of hurricane

1 formation. If the view held by NOAA and other 2 meteorological experts is correct, we may expect to see larger numbers of hurricanes form and larger numbers of 3 landfalls in the coming decades than we have in the pre-4 1995 period. 5 6 Q. 7 Do the storm frequency assumptions include the possibility of having multiple hurricane landfalls within 8 9 Florida in any given year? 10 USWIND[™] does include the possibility of having 11 Α. Yes. multiple hurricane landfalls within Florida in any given 12 13 year, including the impact of such landfalls on aggregate losses, consistent with the 2004 hurricane season when 14 multiple landfalls in Florida occurred. 15 16 17 Q. Did the Loss Analysis take into account the frequency of 18 storms during the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons? 19 20 A. The current analysis takes into account the hurricane history including the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons. 21 22 What were the results of the Loss Analysis? 23 Ο. 24 25 The total expected annual uninsured Α. cost to Tampa

Electric's system from all storms is estimated to 1 be \$21.9 million. 2 3 4 Q. What does this expected annual loss estimate represent? 5 The expected annual loss estimate represents the average 6 A. annual associated with damage 7 cost to T&D assets, insurance deductibles for damage to other assets such as 8 9 generating plants and substations, and service 10 restoration activities resulting from windstorms over a 11 long period of time. 12 Is the Loss Analysis performed for Tampa Electric the 13 Q. same analysis performed for insurance companies to price 14 an insurance premium? 15 16 The natural hazards loss modeling and analysis 17 Α. Yes. 18 would be similar for an insurance company, electric The expected annual loss is utility or other entity. 19 also known as the "pure premium". 20 When insurance is available, the pure premium is the insurance premium 21 level needed to pay just the expected losses. 22 Although insurance companies would add their expenses and profit 23 margin to the pure premium to develop the premium charged 24 to customers, those costs are not reflected in EQECAT's 25

analyses results.

3 RESERVE PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

Q. Please summarize the Reserve Performance Analysis.

EQECAT performed a dynamic financial simulation analysis 6 Α. of the impact of the estimated windstorm losses on the 7 8 reserve for specified levels of annual funding. The starting assumption for the Reserve Performance Analysis 9 was a reserve balance of \$50.2 million. This Performance 10 Analysis performed 10,000 simulations of windstorm losses 11 within the Tampa Electric service territory, 12 each 13 covering a five-year period, to determine the effect of the charges for loss on the reserve. 14

16 The analysis technique used relies on repeated sampling to model multiple storm seasons and simulates variable 17 storm losses consistent with the results of the Loss 18 Analysis. Because storm seasons and losses are highly 19 20 variable, 10,000 five-year simulations are performed to estimate the performance of the reserve with various 21 accrual levels and ensure an adequate number of samples 22 of rare storm events. Monte Carlo simulations were used 23 to generate damage samples for the analysis. 24

25

15

1

2

4

5

The simulations 1 were used to generate loss samples consistent with the expected annual loss from the Loss 2 \$17.6 million of the \$21.9 million 3 Analysis results. Expected Annual Loss determined in the Loss Analysis is 4 5 assumed to be an obligation of the reserve annually. The analysis provides the expected balance of the reserve in 6 each year of the simulation accounting for the annual 7 accrual and losses using a financial model. 8 9 0. How are the results of the Loss Analysis used in the 10 Reserve Performance Analysis? 11 12 Α. Both the likelihoods and amounts of uninsured annual 13 Loss Analysis are 14losses determined in the used to simulate losses in each the five years 15 of in the Performance Analysis in order to determine the likelihood 16 of the reserve having positive balances. 17 18 Q. Please describe the assumptions that were included in the 19 Reserve Performance Analysis. 20 21 A. All computations were performed with an initial reserve 22 balance of \$50.2 million and all results are shown in 23 constant 2012 dollars. The analysis also assumed future 24 25 growth of the customer base and system assets and

inflationary cost increases for new T&D assets of 4.5 1 2 percent annually. 3 4 Q. Please summarize the results of the Reserve Performance Analysis. 5 6 Reserve performance can be viewed 7 Α. in terms of the 8 expected or mean balance of the reserve and the likelihood of positive reserve balances occurring within 9 the five-year period. 10 Based on the simulated loss 11 distributions, there is some likelihood of negative reserve balances for each of the annual accrual levels 12 13 analyzed. Higher accrual levels will result in a lower probability of negative reserve balances, and will have a 14 higher probability of a positive reserve balance at the 15 16 end of the five-year simulation period. If the annual accrual levels are smaller, there is a greater chance of 17 18 negative reserve balances, especially in the early years. 19 TAMPA ELECTRIC'S RECOMMENDED ACCRUAL 20 Did you make a recommendation for Tampa Electric's annual 21 Q. level of accrual? 22 23 My role was not to recommend an annual level of 24 Α. No. 25 accrual. It was to present probabilities to Tampa

Electric regarding reserve performance based on various 1 There are large uncertainties 2 levels of annual accrual. associated with the hurricane hazard and the specific 3 storm outcomes have large variances. There could be 4 hurricane seasons with no loss at all and hurricane 5 seasons with hundreds of millions of dollars in losses. 6 The Performance Analysis presents information about the 7 likelihood of the adequacy of funding that can be used to 8 9 make decisions about the reserve. 10 11 Q. Did you analyze a range of annual accrual levels in your evaluation? 12 13 A. Yes. My evaluation included analyses of the reserve 14 performance at the current annual accrual level of \$8 15 million, and at the annual accrual levels of \$12 million 16 and \$20 million. 17 18 19 What is the likelihood of the company's reserve having an Q. 20 inadequate balance at the current annual accrual level of \$8 million? 21 22 At the current annual accrual level of \$8 million, 23 Α. the 24 likelihood of the reserve having negative balances within the five-year period is 32 percent, and it is estimated 25

that the reserve would have a deficit of negative (\$5.6 1 2 million) at the end of five years. 3 Q. What did your evaluation show with respect to 4 \$20 а million accrual? 5 6 At an annual accrual level of \$20 million, the likelihood 7 Α. 8 of the reserve having negative balances within the five-9 year period is 18 percent, and the expected balance of the end of five 10 the reserve at years would be approximately \$55 million. 11 12 Q. Would a \$20 million accrual cover all potential storm 13 loss outcomes? 14 15 The expected or mean balance of \$55 million has a 50 16 A. No. 17 percent chance of being exceeded. The analysis also provides estimates of the fifth percentile and ninety-18 19 fifth percentile reserve balances. At. the fifth 20 percentile reserve balance, only five percent of the simulated outcomes have smaller values. 21 Similarly, for 22 the ninety-fifth percentile reserve balance, only five 23 percent of simulated outcomes have values which would be 24 greater than that value. The fifth percentile represents 25 an extremely adverse five years of storm experience where

the losses would far the 1 exceed reserve levels. 2 Conversely, the ninety-fifth percentile line would represent an extremely favorable five years of 3 storm experience where only five percent of simulated reserve 4 outcomes would be greater than the estimated balance, or 5 6 five years of very small or no storm damage. 7 8 Q. What is your conclusion with respect to the \$8 million 9 annual level of accrual selected by Tampa Electric? 10 11 Α. My analysis indicates that, with an expected annual loss obligation of \$17.6 million and an annual accrual of \$8 12 13 million, the balance of the reserve at the end of five years is expected to be a negative (\$5.6 million). 14 This represents a significant decrease in reserve from the 15 initial balance of \$50.2 million. 16 There is about a one in three chance that storm losses would create a deficit 17 in the reserve within five-year 18 the period. 19 Additionally, even with an extremely favorable five-year 20 storm experience there is no chance that the reserve balance would reach \$100 21 million. Tampa Electric's 22 recommendation appears reasonable and appropriate. 23 24 Does this conclude your direct testimony? **Q**. 25

1	A.	Yes.	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

EXHIBIT

OF

STEVEN P. HARRIS

ON BEHALF OF TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI WITNESS: HARRIS

Table of Contents

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	Transmission And Distribution Assets - Storm Loss And Reserve Performance Analysis	20

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 1 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013



Tampa Electric



Storm Loss and Reserve Performance Analysis



DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 2 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

Table of Contents

<u>Page</u>

	RISK PROFILE	iv
1.	STORM LOSS ANALYSIS	1-1
2 .	HURRICANE HAZARD	2-1
3.	STORM LOSS ANALYSIS RESULTS	3-1
4.	HURRICANE LANDFALL ANALYSES FOR SSI RANGES	4-1
5.	RESERVE PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	5-1
6.	REFERENCES	6-1

Tables

1-1	Distribution Asset Replacement Values by County	1-2
1-2	Transmission Asset Replacement Value	1-2
3-1	Tampa Electric T & D Assets Aggregate Damage Exceedance Probabilities	
5-1a	Reserve Accruals and Reserve Balances for Annual Accrual Cases	4-2
5-1b	Reserve Accruals and Probability of Reserve Balances	4-2

Figures

1-1	Distribution Asset Values by Zip Code	1-3
1-2	Transmission Asset Values by Zip Code	1-4

i

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 3 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

Figures (Continued)

4 -1	Figure 1: Hurricane Landfall Mileposts	4-2
4-2	Frequency Weighted Average Transmission & Distribution Damage from Single SSI 1 Landfalls	4-3
4-3	Frequency Weighted Average Transmission & Distribution Damage from Single SSI 2 Landfalls	4-4
4-4	Frequency Weighted Average Transmission & Distribution Damage from Single SSI 3 Landfalls	4-5
4-5	Frequency Weighted Average Transmission & Distribution Damage from Single SSI 4 Landfalls	4-6
5-1 :	\$50.2 million initial balance, \$8 million Annual Accrual;	5-4
5-2 :	\$50.2 million initial balance, \$12 million Annual Accrual;	5-5
5-3:	\$50.2 million initial balance, \$20 million Annual Accrual;	5-6

January 2013

i

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 4 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

DISCLAIMER

THE RECIPIENT OF THIS "RISK PROFILE MEMORANDUM" RECOGNIZES THE INHERENT RISKS THAT ARE ATTENDANT WITH THE RISK ANALYSIS WHICH IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS MEMORANDUM. IN PERFORMING ITS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, EQECAT HAS PERFORMED IN A WORKMANLIKE MANNER CONSISTENT WITH INDUSTRY STANDARDS.

EQECAT BELIEVES THE DATA AND METHODOLOGIES DESCRIBED IN THE MEMORANDUM TO BE ACCURATE; HOWEVER, THE DATA AND METHODOLOGY DESCRIBED HEREIN, AND THE ANALYSES AND SERVICES PROVIDED HEREIN, ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY OR GUARANTY OF ANY KIND. NEITHER EQECAT NOR ANY OF ITS OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AGENTS, SUBSIDIARIES OR AFFILIATES GUARANTEES OR WARRANTS THE CORRECTNESS, COMPLETENESS, CURRENTNESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF THE ANALYSIS PROVIDED HEREUNDER. BY ACCEPTING THIS MEMORANDUM, THE RECIPIENT RECOGNIZES THAT METEOROLOGICAL, TOPOGRAPHICAL, ENVIROMENTAL, AND STRUCTURAL CONDITIONS CAN VARY FROM THOSE ENCOUNTERED WHEN AND WHERE EQECAT HAS OBTAINED ITS DATA, AND THAT THE LIMITED NATURE OF THE DATA NECESSARILY CAUSES A LEVEL OF UNCERTAINTY. CONSEQUENTLY, ANY SOFTWARE USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES MAY NOT INCLUDE DATA PERTAINING TO THE MOST RECENT NATURAL CATASTROPHES.

A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF UNCERTAINTY EXISTS IN KEY ANALYSIS PARAMETERS THAT CAN ONLY BE ESTIMATED. PARTICULARLY, SUCH UNCERTAINTIES EXIST IN, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO: STORM SEVERITY AND LOCATIONS; ASSET VULNERABILITIES, REPLACEMENT COSTS, AND OTHER COMPUTATIONAL PARAMETERS, ANY OF WHICH ALONE CAN CAUSE ESTIMATED LOSSES TO BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT THAN LOSSES SUSTAINED IN SPECIFIC EVENTS.

ii

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 5 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

Risk Profile

The following is a summary description of analyses performed by EQECAT of Tampa Electric storm loss exposure and reserve performance. This report is intended to be used solely by Tampa Electric and the Florida Public Service Commission for estimation of potential future Tampa Electric losses to the reserve and the estimation of the performance of the reserve.

OWNER	Tampa Electric	
ASSETS	Transmission and Distribution (T & D) System: Transmission towers, and conductors; Distribution poles, transformers, conductors, lighting and other miscellaneous assets; Non-recovered property insurance policy deductibles.	
LOCATION	All T & D assets located wi	thin the State of Florida,
ASSET VALUE	Normal replacement value is approximately \$ 4.1 billion, of which approximately 11% is transmission and 89% is distribution	
LOSS PERILS	Hurricane Windstorm (SSI	1 to 5), Tropical Storms
EXPECTED ANNUAL LOSS (T&D and deductibles)	\$21.9 million	
1% AGGREGATE DAMAGE EXCEEDANCE VALUE	\$357 million	
	Reserve Per	formance
Reserve Analysis Cases \$50.2 m initial balance	Expected balance at 5 years	Probability of negative balance within 5 years
\$8 million Annual Accrual	(\$5.6 million)	32%
\$12 million Annual Accrual	\$14 million	26%
\$20 million Annual Accrual	\$55 million	18%

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 6 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

1. Storm Loss Analysis

Tampa Electric transmission and distribution (T & D) systems and general property are exposed to and in the past have sustained damage from hurricanes and tropical storms. The exposure of these assets to storm damage is described and potential losses are quantified. Loss analyses were performed by EQECAT, using an advanced computer model simulation program WORLDCATenterprise[™] developed by EQECAT, an ABS Group Company. All results which are presented here have been calculated using WORLDCATenterprise, and the Tampa Electric provided T & D asset portfolio data.

The storm exposure is analyzed from a probabilistic approach, which considers the full range of potential storm characteristics and corresponding losses. Probabilistic analyses identify the probability of damage exceeding a specific dollar amount. WORLDCATenterprise is a probabilistic model designed to estimate damage and losses due to the occurrence of hurricanes. EQECAT proprietary computer software WORLDCATenterprise is one of only four models evaluated and determined acceptable by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology (FCHLPM) for projecting hurricane loss costs (Reference 1).

Probabilistic Annual Damage & Loss is computed using the results of thousands of random variable storms. Annual damage and loss estimates are developed for each individual site and aggregated to overall portfolio damage and loss amounts. Damage is defined as the cost associated with repair and/or replacement of T & D assets necessary to promptly restore service in a post-storm environment. This cost is typically larger than the costs associated with scheduled repair and replacement programs.

Factors considered in the analysis include the location of Tampa Electric's overhead and underground T & D assets, the probability of storms of different intensities and/or landfall points impacting those assets, the vulnerability of those assets to storm damage, and the costs to repair assets and restore electrical service.

Transmission and Distribution asset data are provided in the Tables 1-1 and 1-2 below. Distribution and transmission asset values by zip code are shown in Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2 respectively.

1-1

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 7 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

1. Storm Loss Analysis

Table 1-1

DISTRIBUTION ASSET REPLACEMENT VALUES BY COUNTY

County	Replacement Value (\$000)
Hardee	\$2,194
Hillsborough	\$2,898,510
Manatee	\$6,987
Pasco	\$134,212
Pinellas	\$68,539
Polk	\$488,833
Total	\$3,599,275

Table 1-2

TRANSMISSION ASSET REPLACEMENT VALUE

e (\$000)

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 8 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

1. Storm Loss Analysis



Figure 1-1: Distribution Asset Values by Zip Code

1-3

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 9 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

1. Storm Loss Analysis



Figure 1-2: Transmission Asset Values by Zip Code

January 2013

1-4

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 10 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

1. Storm Loss Analysis

Transmission and Distribution Asset Vulnerabilities

The Tampa Electric loss history from the 2004 Hurricanes Charley, Frances, and Jeanne were considered in the calibration of the storm loss model. These hurricanes provide data on recent storm recovery costs from low intensity winds. The 2004 storm loss experience includes the effects of many factors including the post hurricane costs of labor and other factors associated with the storm restoration process utilized by Tampa Electric. The 2004 loss history is believed to be most reflective of the current Tampa Electric storm restoration practices and cost experience.

Insured Property Policy Deductibles

Tampa Electric insured property was also modeled for hurricane loss potential. The insured property consisted of power plants, general buildings and substations. The model analyzed the property exposures and the Tampa Electric insurance policy which requires the insured's retention of up to the first \$25 million loss per storm occurrence. These non-recovered deductible losses were estimated using WORLDCATenterprise and a methodology similar to that described above.

Loss Estimation Methodology

The basic components of the hurricane risk analysis include:

- Assets at risk: define and locate
- Storm hazard: apply probabilistic storm model for the region
- Asset vulnerabilities: severity (wind speed) versus damage
- Portfolio Analysis: probabilistic analysis -damage/ loss

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 11 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

2. Hurricane Hazard

Hurricane Exposure

The hurricane exposure is analyzed from a probabilistic approach, which considers the full range of potential hurricane characteristics and corresponding losses. Probabilistic analyses identify the probability of damage exceeding a specific dollar amount. WORLDCATenterprise is a probabilistic model designed to estimate damage and losses due to the occurrence of hurricanes. EQECAT, Inc. proprietary computer software WORLDCATenterprise is one of only four models evaluated and determined acceptable by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology (FCHLPM) for projecting hurricane loss costs (Reference 1).

The historical annual frequency of hurricanes has varied significantly over time. There are many causes for the temporal variability in hurricane formation. While stochastic variability is a significant factor, many scientists believe that the formation of hurricanes is also related to climate variability.

One of the primary climate cycles having a significant correlation with Hurricane activity is the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO). It has been suggested that the formation of hurricanes in the Atlantic Ocean off the coast of Africa is related to the amount of rainfall in the Western African Sahel region. Years in which rainfall is heavy have been associated with the formation of a greater number of hurricanes. The AMO cycle consists of a warm phase, during which the tropical and sub-tropical North Atlantic have warmer than average temperatures at the surface and in the upper portion relevant to hurricane activity, and a cool phase, during which these regions of the ocean have cooler than average temperatures. In the period 1900 through 2010, the AMO has gone through the following phases:

January 2013

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 12 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

2. Hurricane Hazard

1900 through 1925	Cool	(Decreased Hurricane Activity)
1926 through 1969	Warm	(Increased Hurricane Activity)
1970 through 1994	Cool	(Decreased Hurricane Activity)
1995 through 2010	Warm	(Increased Hurricane Activity)

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) believes that we entered a warm phase of AMO around 1995 which can be expected to continue for at least several years; historically, each phase of AMO has lasted approximately 25 to 40 years. This view of the current period of increased hurricane activity is reflected in the analyses.

Probabilistic Annual Damage & Loss is computed using the results of thousands of random variable hurricanes. Annual damage estimates are developed for each individual site and aggregated to overall portfolio damage amounts. Damage is defined as the total cost including the operations and maintenance (O&M) and capital components associated with repair and/or replacement of T & D assets necessary to promptly restore service in a post storm environment. This cost is typically larger than the costs associated with scheduled repair and replacement programs.

Factors considered in the analysis include the location of Tampa Electric's overhead and underground T & D assets, the probability of hurricanes of different intensities and/or landfall points impacting those assets, the vulnerability of those assets to hurricane damage, and the costs to repair assets and restore electrical service.

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 13 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

3. Storm Loss Analysis Results

Aggregate Loss Exceedance and Expected Annual Loss

A probabilistic database of T&D and insured property deductible losses is developed using the storm hazard, assets at risk and their vulnerabilities. The analysis reflects the current view that we are in a period of heightened hurricane formation. For each hurricane, the center, shape, geographical orientation, track and wind speeds were defined. The wind field for each storm is integrated with the asset vulnerability and the asset locations to compute the damage. The annual frequency and the portfolio damage for each is simulated. By manipulating this database of thousands of hurricane losses, various loss exceedance or non-exceedance distributions are generated.

The frequencies and computed damage for all hurricanes are combined to calculate the expected annual loss (EAL) and the annual aggregate exceedance relations.

Aggregate damage exceedance calculations are developed by keeping a running total of damage from *all possible events* in a year. At the end of year, the aggregate damage for all events is then determined by probabilistically summing the damage distribution from each event, taking into account the event frequency. The process considers the probability of having zero events, one event, two events, etc. during a year.

A series of probabilistic analyses were performed, using the vulnerability curves derived for Tampa Electric assets and the computer program WORLDCATenterprise. A summary of the analysis is presented in Table 3-1, which shows the aggregate loss exceedance probability for damage layers between zero and over \$360 million dollars.

For each damage layer shown, the probability of damage exceeding a specified value is shown. For example, the probabilities of loss exceeding \$100 million in one year is 4.43%. The analysis calculates the probability of damage from all storms and aggregates the total.

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 14 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

3. Storm Loss Analysis Results

Tables 3-1 provides the aggregate loss exceedance probabilities for the Tampa Electric T & D damage and property deductibles analyzed for a series of layers. Each layer has a layer amount of \$20 million, except for the final layer which represents all damage \$360 million and greater. The value in the first column, labeled Loss Layer, is the attachment point for each layer, with the exception of the last layer, for which the attachment point is \$360 million.

The second column of the table, labeled 1 year Exceedance Probability, provides the annual modeled probability of penetrating each layer, i.e. the probability that the total damage from all events in a 1 year period will exceed the attachment point of the layer.

The expected annual loss (EAL) from hurricane and tropical storm damage to T&D and insured property deductibles is \$21.9 million This value represents the average loss from all simulated storms. The EAL is not expected to occur each and every year. Some years will have no damage from storms, some years will have small amounts of damage and a few years will have large amounts of damage. The EAL represents the average of all storm years over a long period of time.

It should be noted that the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) believes that in 1995 we entered a period of heightened hurricane formation in the Atlantic Basin and near term frequencies of hurricanes over the coming decade should be expected to be significantly higher than those over the long term.

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 15 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

3. Storm Loss Analysis Results

Table 3-1

TAMPA ELECTRIC T & D ASSETS AND DEDUCTIBLES AGGREGATE LOSS EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITIES

Loss Layer (\$millions)	1 Year Exceedance Probability	
(≥ 0.5)	58.6%	
20	24.5%	
40	14.2%	
60	8.68%	
80	5.90%	
100	4.43%	
120	3.56%	
140	2.98%	
160	2.57%	
180	2.26%	
200	2.02%	
220	1.82%	
240	1.65%	
260	1.50%	
280	1.37%	
300	1.26%	
320	1.16%	
340	1.07%	
>360	0.99%	

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 16 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

4. Hurricane Landfall Analyses for SSI Ranges

In order to provide further insight into Tampa Electric's risk profile, the full set of stochastic hurricane events were analyzed by landfall for four hurricane intensities, SSI 1 through 4. The landfall locations are at mileposts from about 1090 to 1300 on the Gulf Coast. The Figure below illustrates the landfall locations. The mileposts extend east from Cross City, FL near milepost 1090 to Fort Meyers near milepost 1290 in 10 mile intervals.

The full set of stochastic hurricanes within each SSI category was analyzed for Tampa Electric's T&D portfolio. For each milepost and SSI category, the frequency-weighted average damage was computed from all stochastic hurricanes making landfall within 10 nautical miles of a given milepost and within that SSI category. Figures 4-2 through 4-5 provide these results.

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 17 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

4. Hurricane Landfall Analyses For SSI Ranges



Figure 4-1: Hurricane Landfall Milepost

January 2013



Figure 4-2: Frequency Weighted Average Transmission & Distribution Damage from Single SSI 1 Landfalls



Figure 4-3: Frequency Weighted Average Transmission & Distribution Damage from Single SSI 2 Landfalls





Figure 4-4: Frequency Weighted Average Transmission & Distribution Damage from Single SSI 3 Landfalls

4. Hurricane Landfall Analyses For SSI Ranges





January 2013

PAGE FILED:

21

T NO. 1 OF 29 04/05/2013

DOCUMENT WITNESS: EXHIBIT DOCKET NO.

N N O

(SPH-1)

130040-EI

HARRIS

40

4-9

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 22 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

5. Reserve Performance Analysis

A probabilistic analysis of losses from hurricanes was performed for Tampa Electric to determine their potential impact on the reserve. The analysis included transmission and distribution (T & D) damage as well as estimates of insurance deductibles paid on insured property assets.

Analysis

The Reserve Performance Analysis consisted of performing 10,000 iterations of hurricane loss simulations within the Tampa Electric service territory, each covering a 5-year period, to determine the effect of the charges for damage on the Tampa Electric reserve. Monte Carlo simulations were used to generate damage samples for the analysis. The analysis provides an estimate of the reserve assets in each year of the simulation, accounting for the annual accrual and storm damage using a dynamic financial model.

The analyses consider three accrual cases, each with an initial \$50.2 million reserve balance. The cases have annual accruals of \$8 million, \$12 million and \$20 million over the five year period.

Assumptions

The analyses performed included the following assumptions:

- An initial reserve balance of \$50.2 million for all cases.
- Storm losses are assumed to increase by 4.5% per year as replacement values of T&D increase due to inflation and system growth.
- \$17.6 million of the \$21.9 million Expected Annual Loss, determined in the Loss Analysis, is assumed to be an obligation of the reserve annually.
- Storm losses include estimates of property insurance policy deductibles up to the policy limit of \$25 million per occurrence.

The results for the cases analyzed are shown in Tables 5-1a and b below. The results show the annual reserve accrual amount, the mean (expected) reserve

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 23 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

5. Reserve Performance Analysis

balance as well as the probability that the reserve balance will be negative in any one or more of the five years of the simulated time horizon.

Table 5-1a

RESERVE ACCRUALS AND RESERVE BALANCES FOR ANNUAL ACCRUAL CASES (\$ Millions)

Reserve Balance at the end of 5 years					
Expected Annual Loss	\$17.6				
Accrual	5%ile	Mean	95%ile		
\$8	(\$285)	(\$5.6)	\$83		
\$12	(\$271)	\$14	\$103		
\$20	(\$224)	\$55	\$144		

Table 5-1b

RESERVE ACCRUALS AND PROBABILITY OF RESERVE BALANCES (\$ Millions)

Accrual	Mean Reserve Balance at the end of 5 years	Probability of Balance <\$0 in 5 years	Probability of Balance >\$100m in 5 years
\$8	(\$5.6)	32%	0%
\$12	\$14	26%	8%
\$20	\$55	18%	56%

Figures 5-1 through 5-3 show the results of the \$50.2 million initial balance, and \$8 million, \$12 million and \$20 million contribution cases. These results show the mean (expected) reserve balance as well as the 5th and 95th percentile reserve balances.

For example, given an initial reserve balance of \$50.2 million and the specified \$8 million, Figures 5-1 illustrates the expected performance of the reserve. The reserve has a mean (expected) Balance of negative (\$5.6 million) at the end of the five-year period. The 5th percentile and 95th percentile 5 year ending reserve balances are negative (\$285

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 24 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

5. Reserve Performance Analysis

million) and \$83 million respectively. The reserve has a 32% chance of negative balances in one or more years of the five-year simulation.

The annual accrual of \$8 million is less than the Expected Annual Loss to the reserve of \$17.6. Therefore with each passing year, the reserve ending balance has a decreasing likelihood of accumulating surpluses and an increasing likelihood of negative balances. The expected (mean) reserve balance declines rapidly over the five-year simulation to negative values

Figures 5-2 through 5-3 below show the results of the \$12 million and \$20 million annual accrual cases. The annual accruals of \$12 million to \$20 million for these cases are nearer to the Expected Annual Loss to the reserve of \$17.6. The EAL would be expected to grow at a 4.5% annual rate due to inflation and system growth to about \$21 million at the end of the five year period. At the end of the five year period, the EAL value would also be close to the \$20 million accrual level. Therefore, for the \$20 million accrual case, with each passing year, the reserve ending balance has an increasing likelihood of accumulating surpluses and a decreasing likelihood of negative balances. The expected (mean) reserve balance increases slowly over the five-year simulation from the initial balance of \$50.2 million to \$56 million, for the highest \$20 million accrual case, shown in Figure 5-3.

5. Reserve Performance Analysis



January 2013

44

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. _____(SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 25 OF 29



5. Reserve Performance Analysis

Figure 5-2: \$50.2 million initial balance, \$12 million annual accrual



PAGE 26

DOCUMENT WITNESS

NO.

Р

HARRIS

EXHIBIT

NO

130040-EI . ____ (SPH-1)

DOCKET

NO.

45



5. Reserve Performance Analysis

Figure 5-3: \$50.2 million initial balance, \$20 million annual accrual

January 2013

DOCUMENT WITNESS EXHIBIT DOCKET

NO.

130040-EI

NO.

(SPH-1)

HARRIS

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 28 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013

6. References

 "Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology", EQECAT, an ABS Group Company, May 17, 2011.

DOCKET NO. 130040-EI EXHIBIT NO. (SPH-1) WITNESS: HARRIS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 29 OF 29 FILED: 04/05/2013



FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT EQECAT.:

AMERICAS HEADQUARTERS PHONE 510-817-3100

NEW JERSEY PHONE 201-287-8320

IRVINE PHONE 714-734-4242

UNITED KINGDOM PHONE 44 207 265 2030

FRANCE PHONE 33 1 44 79 01 01

JAPAN PHONE 81-3-5322-1370

