Eric Fryson

From:	Lindo, Jacqueline E. <jacquelinelindo@andrewskurth.com></jacquelinelindo@andrewskurth.com>
Sent:	Friday, May 10, 2013 3:12 PM
То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Subject:	130040-EI Petition to Intervene of WCF Hospital Utility Alliance
Attachments:	HUA Petition to Intervene - 130040-EI.pdf

Importance:

High

Electronic Filing

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Kenneth L. Wiseman Andrews Kurth LLP 1350 I Street, NW Suite1100 Washington, DC20005 202-662-2715 (phone) 202-662-2739(fax)

- b. Docket No. 130040-EI.
- c. Document being filed on behalf of WCF Hospital Utility Alliance (HUA).
- d. There is a total of 10 pages.

e. The document attached for electronic filing is Petition to Intervene of WCF Hospital Utility Alliance

(See attached HUA Petition to Intervene.pdf)

Thank you for your cooperation and attention to this request.

Jacqueline Lindo Legal Secretary

Andrews Kurth LLP 1350 J Street, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 202.662.3030 Phone jacquelinelindo@andrewskurth.com andrewskurth.com





DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02604 MAY 10 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments to it may be legally privileged and include confidential information intended only for the recipient(s) identified above. If you are not one of those intended recipients, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender of that fact by return e-mail and permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments to it immediately. Please do not retain, copy or use this e-mail or its attachments for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its contents to any other person. Thank you

Treasury Circular 230 Disclosure: Any tax advice in this e-mail (including any attachment) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any person, for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the person. If this e-mail is used or referred to in connection with the promoting or marketing of any transaction(s) or matter(s), it should be construed as written to support the promoting or marketing of the transaction(s) or matter(s), and the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$

In re: Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company

Docket No.: 130040-EI Filed: May 10, 2013

PETITION TO INTERVENE OF THE WCF HOSPITAL UTILITY ALLIANCE

The WCF Hospital Utility Alliance ("HUA"), pursuant to Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, and Rules 25-22.039, 28-106.201 and 28-106.205 of the Florida Administrative Code, hereby petitions the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") to intervene in the captioned docket regarding the rates and charges proposed to be charged by Tampa Electric Company ("TECO"). TECO is a public utility that is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction over the rates and service of public utilities in Florida.

In support of its Petition to Intervene, HUA states as follows:

1. The name and address of HUA is:

WCF Hospital Utility Alliance c/o Andrews Kurth LLP 1350 I Street NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: (202) 662-2700 Fax: (202) 662-2739

> INCLIMENT NUMBER-BATE 02604 MAY 10 º FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Same in the

WAS:195466.2

2. All pleadings, orders and correspondence should be directed to Petitioners'

representatives as follows:

Kenneth L. Wiseman Mark F. Sundback Lisa M. Purdy William M. Rappolt Blake R. Urban Andrews Kurth LLP 1350 I Street NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: (202) 662-2700 Fax: (202) 662-2739 kwiseman@ andrewskurth.com msundback@andrewskurth.com lpurdy@andrewskurth.com wrappolt@andrewskurth.com

3. The agency affected by this Petition to Intervene is:

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

4. HUA is an ad hoc group consisting of regional healthcare providers in west central Florida that receive power from, and pay the rates of, TECO. These individual healthcare providers are engaged in providing, *inter alia*, acute healthcare services. Because of the services they render, their load profile, and their concern with service reliability and the cost of electric service, the HUA regional healthcare providers have important concerns regarding TECO's services and rates.

5. <u>HUA Standing</u>: Under Florida law, to establish standing as an association representing its members' substantial interests, a group such as HUA must demonstrate three things:

a. that a substantial number of the entities that comprise the group, although not necessarily a majority, are substantially affected by the agency's decisions;

b. that the intervention by the group is within the group's general scope of interest and activity; and

c. that the relief requested is of a type appropriate for a group to obtain on behalf of its members.¹

6. HUA satisfies all of these standing requirements. First, all of the entities that comprise HUA are located in TECO's service area and receive their electric service from TECO, for which they are charged TECO's applicable service rates. Thus, they will be substantially affected by the Commission's determination of TECO's rates. Second, HUA was created to act as an advocate for its members with respect to the electric services provided and rates charged by TECO. Therefore, intervention is within the group's general scope of interest and activity. Third, the relief requested -- intervention, and with it, the right to seek the lowest reasonable rates consistent with the Commission's governing law and policy -- is the type of relief the Commission can grant and has been the basis for the Commission's grant of standing to many organizations in rate case proceedings. Therefore, the requested relief is of a type appropriate for a group such as HUA to obtain on behalf of its members. As demonstrated, HUA has established standing as an organization representing the substantial interests of a group of TECO ratepayers.

Florida Home Builders Ass'n v. Dep't of Labor and Employment Security, 412 So. 2d 351, 353-54 (Fla. 1982); Farmworker Rights Org. v. Dep't of Health & Rehabilitative Servs., 417 So. 2d 753 (Fla. 1982).

7. <u>Statement of Substantial Interests Affected</u>: This docket was initiated by a letter dated February 4, 2013 from TECO informing the Commission of TECO's intent to file a petition for authority to increase its base rates effective and various charges, with new rates expected to be effective on or after January 1, 2014.

8. The proceeding in this docket thus will examine the rates that TECO will be authorized to charge to its customers. The Commission will necessarily have to decide whether any rate increases or decreases are justified, and if so, the Commission also will have to approve rates and charges in order to implement such increases or decreases. Thus, the disposition of this case will affect the rates charged by TECO, as well as the terms and conditions of service, impacting TECO's customers, including institutions supporting HUA. Institutions represented by HUA require reliable and reasonably-priced electricity. Because institutions supporting HUA will be directly and substantially affected by any action the Commission takes in TECO's current docket, they have a substantial interest in the proceeding that is not adequately represented by other parties to this proceeding.²

9. To demonstrate that substantial interests will be affected by a proceeding, the potential intervenor must show: (a) it is acting on behalf of entities that will suffer injury in fact as a result of the agency action contemplated in the proceeding that is of sufficient immediacy as to warrant a hearing; and (b) the injury suffered is a type against which the proceeding is designed to protect.³ These standards are amply satisfied here. HUA seeks

² Insofar as this is a petition for intervention and because there is presently no agency decision pending in this docket, HUA states that Rule 28-106.201(c) of the Florida Administrative Code is not applicable.

³ See Ameristeel Corp. v. Clark, 691 So. 2d 473, 477 (Fla. 1997). See also Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1981); Florida Home Builders

to protect the substantial interests of its supporters as they will be affected by the Commission's decision in this case, and they face immediate injury if the Commission were to approve TECO's proposed rates, which are not just and reasonable and would be unduly discriminatory. HUA's participation in this rate case is designed to protect against that injury. If granted leave to intervene, HUA will be able to attempt to protect its supporting institutions' substantial interests, including the ability to receive reliable electricity at fair, just and reasonable rates.

10. **Disputed Issues of Material Fact:** Disputed issues of material fact in this proceeding may include, but will not necessarily be limited to, the issues listed below. The following statement of issues is general in nature and HUA reserves the right to identify and develop additional issues and refine those listed below as this docket progresses in accordance with the Commission's rules. HUA expects that numerous additional, specific issues will be identified and developed as this docket progresses.

- <u>Issue 1:</u> Determining appropriate jurisdictional levels of TECO's Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Rate Base for setting TECO's rates.
- <u>Issue 2:</u> Determining appropriate jurisdictional values of TECO's operation and maintenance expenses for setting TECO's rates.
- <u>Issue 3:</u> Determining whether TECO's expenditures sought to be included in the derivation of the cost of service were prudently incurred.
- <u>Issue 4:</u> Determining the appropriate capital structure for TECO for the purpose of setting TECO's rates.
- <u>Issue 5:</u> Determining the appropriate rate of return on equity for TECO for the purpose of setting TECO's rates.
- <u>Issue 6:</u> Determining the appropriate allocation of TECO's costs of providing retail electric service among TECO's retail customer classes.

Ass'n v. Dep't of Labor and Employment Security, 412 So. 2d 351, 353-54 (Fla. 1982); Farmworker Rights Org. v. Dep't of Health & Rehabilitative Servs., 417 So. 2d 753 (Fla. 1982).

- <u>Issue 7:</u> Determining the appropriate rates to be charged by TECO for its services to each customer class.
- <u>Issue 8:</u> Designing rates for recovery of revenue requirements.
- <u>Issue 9:</u> Determining the propriety of TECO's proposed projected twelve-month period ending December 31, 2014 as the test year for the permanent increase in base rates and service charges.

11. <u>Ultimate Facts Alleged</u>: Because HUA and the institutions supporting this filing have substantial interests that are subject to determination in this docket, HUA is entitled to intervene and participate in the proceeding which will determine the fair, just, and reasonable rates to be charged by TECO on or after January 1, 2014.

12. <u>Specific Statutes and Rules</u>: The applicable statutes and rules, include, but are not limited to:

- Chapters 120 and 366 of the Florida Statutes; and
- Florida Administrative Code Chapters 25-22 and 28-106.

13. <u>Relation of Alleged Facts to the Statutes and Rules</u>: Chapter 120 of the Florida Statutes relates to agency decisions which affect the substantial interests of a participant and related procedures.⁴ Chapter 366 of the Florida Statutes declares the Commission's jurisdiction over TECO's rates and provides the Commission the statutory mandate to ensure that TECO's rates are fair, just and reasonable, and that those rates are not unduly discriminatory. The facts alleged here demonstrate that: (1) the Commission's decisions herein will have a significant impact on TECO's rates and charges; (2) TECO's customers, including institutions supporting HUA, will be directly impacted by the Commission's decisions regarding TECO's rates and charges herein; and (3) accordingly, that the statutes herein, among others, provide the basis for the relief requested by HUA.

See Sections 120.569 and 120.57(1), Florida Statutes.

14. Rules 25-22.039 and 28-106.205 provide bases for intervention in administrative proceedings. Both rules also state that a petition to intervene must conform with subsection 28-106.201(2) of the Florida Administrative Code. Because HUA's supporting institutions are TECO electricity customers, they have a substantial interest in the rates determined by the Commission and will be affected by the Commission's decisions in this docket.

15. <u>Conclusion</u>: Consistent with the purposes of the HUA and the substantial interests of its supporting institutions, HUA seeks to intervene in this general rate case docket. Because the elements necessary for standing have been satisfied (*e.g.*, because HUA's supporting institutions have a substantial interest in TECO's proposed rates and charges which will be affected by the proceeding), the Commission should allow the intervention of HUA, as requested herein.

16. <u>Relief Requested</u>: WHEREFORE, HUA respectfully requests that the Commission grant this Petition to Intervene. HUA also respectfully requests that the Commission require that all parties to this proceeding serve copies of all pleadings, notices, and other documents on the HUA representatives indicated in paragraph 2 above.

/s/ Kenneth L. Wiseman Kenneth L. Wiseman Mark F. Sundback Lisa M. Purdy William M. Rappolt Blake R. Urban Andrews Kurth LLP 1350 I Street NW, Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone: (202) 662-2700 Fax: (202) 662-2739

Qualified Representatives for the WCF Hospital Utility Alliance

May 10, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served by

electronic mail, U.S. Mail, or Federal Express, this 10th day of May, 2013, to the following:

Tampa Electric Company

Gordon L. Gillette Paula K. Brown PO Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 Phone: (813) 228-1444 Fax: (813) 228-1770 Email: <u>Regdept@tecoenergy.com</u>

Office of Public Counsel

J.R. Kelly P. Christensen J. McGlothlin c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32393-1400 Phone: (850) 488-9330 Email: Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us

Florida Public Service Commission

Office of the General Counsel Martha Barrera Martha Brown Suzanne Brownless 2450 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

Florida Retail Federation

100 East Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 222-4082 Fax: (850) 226-4082

Florida Industrial Power Users Group

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. c/o Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828 Fax: (850) 681-8788 Email: <u>imoyle@kagmlaw.com</u>

Ausley Law Firm

James D. Beasley PO Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Phone: (850) 224-9115 Fax: (850) 222-7560 Email: jbeasley@ausley.com

Charles Misted

AARP, Associate State Director 200 West College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 577-5190 Email: <u>CMilsted@aarp.org</u>

Florida Consumer Action Network

Bill Newton 3006 W. Kennedy Blvd Suite B Tampa, FL 33609 Phone: (813) 877-6712 Email: <u>billn@fcan.org</u>

Gardner Law Firm

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. La Via 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 Phone: (850) 385-0070 Fax: (850) 385-5416 Email: schef@gbwlegal.com

Macquarie Capital (USA) Inc.

Sunny Kwak Andrew Weisel 125 West 55th Street, Level 23 New York, NY 10019 Phone: (212) 231-1683 Email: Sunny.Kwak@macquarie.com

Federal Executive Agencies

Gregory J. Fike Lt COI, USAF AFLOAruACE-ULFSC 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 TyndallAir Force Base, Florida 32403 Ph: (850) 283-6347 AX: (850) 283-6279 E-mail : gregorv.fi k@tyndall.af.rnil

> <u>/s/ Kenneth L. Wiseman</u> Kenneth L. Wiseman

WAS:195466.2

.