FILED JUL 12, 2013 DOCUMENT NO. 03968-13 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 130140-EI



TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF MICHAEL T. O'SHEASY

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Prepared Direct Testimony of
2		Michael T. O'Sheasy Docket No. 130140-El
5		In Support of Rate Relief
4		Date of Filing: July 12, 2013
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, business address and occupation.
7	Α.	My name is Mike O'Sheasy. My business address is 5001 Kingswood
8		Drive, Roswell, Georgia 30075. I am a Vice President with Christensen
9		Associates, Inc.
10		
11	Q.	State briefly your education background and experience.
12	Α.	I received a Bachelor's of Industrial Engineering from The Georgia Institute
13		of Technology in 1970. In 1974, I earned a Master's in Business
14		Administration from Georgia State University. From 1971 to 1975, I was
15		employed by the John W. Eshelman Company—Division of the Carnation
16		Company—as a plant superintendent in their Chamblee, Georgia operation.
17		From 1975 to 1980, I worked for the John Harland Corporation, initially as
18		an assistant plant manager and then as a plant manager in their
19		Jacksonville, Florida plant, and finally as their plant manager in Miami,
20		Florida. I joined Southern Company Services in 1980 as an engineering
21		cost analyst and progressed through various positions to the position of
22		supervisor, during which time I began serving as an expert witness in
23		costing. I testified as Gulf Power Company's (Gulf or the Company) cost-of-
24		service witness and provided other support to Gulf in matters before the
25		Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or the Commission).

1		In 1990, I became Manager of Product Design for Georgia Power Company
2		and have testified before the Georgia Public Service Commission as an
3		expert witness on rate design and pricing. I retired from Georgia Power
4		Company on May 1, 2001 and became a consultant with Christensen
5		Associates.
6		
7	Q.	Please identify the specific dockets in which you have previously testified
8		before the FPSC.
9	Α.	I testified before the FPSC on behalf of Gulf as their cost-of-service witness
10		in their last rate case filing, Docket No. 110138-EI, and in prior rate cases in
11		Docket Nos. 010949-EI, 891345-EI and 881167-EI. I was extensively
12		involved in the preparation of exhibits and Minimum Filing Requirements
13		(MFRs) in those cases. Also, I was the back-up cost-of-service witness for
14		Gulf in its 1984 rate case, Docket No. 840086-EI, where I helped prepare
15		the related analyses. I also testified in Docket No. 850673-EU regarding
16		standby back-up electric service.
17		
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
19	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the development and results of
20		the cost-of-service study for Gulf.
21		
22	Q.	Do you have any exhibits that contain information to which you will refer in
23		your testimony?
24	Α.	Yes. My Exhibit MTO-1 (consisting of Schedules 1 through 3) and
25		Exhibit MTO-2 (containing Schedules 1 through 6) were prepared under my

1		supervision and direction by the Costing and Energy Analysis Team of SCS,
2		which is the service company in the Southern electric system (SES), and
3		the Costing and Load Research Engineer at Gulf. SCS provides
4		engineering and other technical support for Gulf and the other SES
5		operating companies. I have thoroughly reviewed the schedules in my
6		exhibits and agree with their content.
7		
8	Q.	Are you the sponsor of certain MFRs?
9	А.	Yes. The MFRs which I am sponsoring, in part or in whole, are listed on
10		Schedule 1 of Exhibit MTO-1. To the best of my knowledge, the information
11		contained in these MFRs is true and correct.
12		
13	Q.	Please describe the contents of your Exhibit MTO-2.
14	Α.	My Exhibit MTO-2 consists of a number of schedules that set forth the
15		analyses and results of the cost-of-service study used as a basis for this
16		case. Page 1 of MTO-2 provides an index to the Schedules contained in
17		my exhibit. Each schedule was prepared in the manner approved by the
18		Commission In its final order for Gulf's last retail rate case, Docket No.
19		110138-EI. That approved study utilized the Minimum Distribution System
20		methodology, which is designed to properly account for customer-related
21		costs.
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I. COST-OF-SERVICE METHODOLOGY
2		
3	Q.	What is a cost-of-service study?
4	Α.	A cost-of-service study is a tool used to separate a utility's total electric
5		investments, revenues and expenses first among the regulatory jurisdictions
6		which an electric utility serves (jurisdictional separation) and then among
7		the rate classes within each jurisdiction.
8		
9	Q.	Why is a cost-of-service study necessary?
10	Α.	Gulf is regulated by the FPSC for retail sales and by the Federal Energy
11		Regulatory Commission (FERC) for wholesale sales. Costs and revenues
12		must be divided between the two jurisdictions using assignments and
13		allocations so that each respective commission can evaluate the rates over
14		which it has authority. In order for each regulatory commission to review
15		the utility's earnings and to evaluate the contribution made by rate classes
16		within its jurisdiction, it is also necessary to analyze the costs to serve the
17		respective rate classes.
18		
19		Gulf, like other electric utilities, maintains its books and records in
20		accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts as directed by the FERC
21		and this Commission. Although this system of accounting reveals
22		company-wide information, it does not separate the Company's
23		investments, revenues and expenses by Jurisdiction or by rate classes
24		within jurisdictions. The cost-of-service study that has been performed for
25		Gulf accomplishes this objective.

1 Q. What is the goal of a cost-of-service study?

2 Α. The goal of a cost-of-service study is to identify what costs are incurred to provide service to certain groups of customers. If it is performed well, it can 3 be a useful (and often times the primary) tool for determining the adequacy 4 5 of current rates. For those rate classes which the cost-of-service study 6 reveals have inadequate returns at current rate levels, the cost-of-service study is an appropriate tool for helping determine what rate changes should 7 8 be made. On the other hand, if a cost-of-service study is not performed well, erroneous conclusions can be drawn with resulting negative 9 10 consequences if it influences subsequent rate design. Although there are 11 other ways to allocate costs, the Company's proposed methodology is 12 objective, consistent with the methodology used In numerous prior cases, 13 and provides the most accurate information.

14

Q. 15 How was the cost-of-service study used by Gulf in this retail rate filing? 16 Α. The jurisdictional separations of rate base and net operating income 17 resulting from the study were used by Gulf Witness Ritenour to determine 18 the proposed jurisdictional revenue increase needed in order to achieve the 19 requested rate of return. These jurisdictional separation factors were 20 calculated according to accepted cost-of-service principles and followed the 21 methodology accepted by the Commission in Gulf's previous filing, Docket No. 110138-EI, and prior Gulf filings. The retail jurisdiction was further 22 23 divided into the respective rate classes using sound cost-causative 24 methodologies. The resultant rate class information from the cost-of-service 25

- study was then considered by Gulf Witness Thompson as a basis for the
 design of proposed rates in this docket.
- 3

4 Q. In preparing a cost-of-service study, is there some overall guiding principle
5 or concept that should be followed?

A. Yes. The overall objective of a cost-of-service study is to assign or allocate
costs fairly and equitably to all customers. This objective is accomplished
when the resulting cost-of-service study reflects "cost causation," i.e., those
customers who caused a particular cost to be incurred by the Company in
providing them service should be responsible for that cost.

11

12 When certain costs are readily identified with a particular customer group 13 (rate class), the assignment of those costs to that group clearly reflects cost causation and is fair and equitable to all customers. However, most parts of 14 15 an electric system are planned, designed, constructed, operated and 16 maintained to serve all customers. Most of Gulf's costs have been incurred 17 to serve all customers. These costs are referred to as joint or common 18 costs. Joint or common costs must be allocated to customer groups based 19 on the nature (i.e., drivers) of the costs incurred and the aggregate requirements and service characteristics of the customers that caused the 20 21 costs to be incurred. By adhering to this fundamental and essential 22 principle of cost causation, the results of the cost-of-service study will be fair 23 and equitable to all customers.

24

1 Q. How is a cost-of-service analysis performed?

2 Α. in order to determine the costs to serve each group of customers in a fair 3 and equitable manner, the utility company's records are analyzed to determine how each group of customers influenced the actual incurrence of 4 5 costs by the utility. This review discloses certain direct costs that should be assigned to the specific rate class for which these costs were directly 6 7 incurred. This review also discloses costs which are incurred to perform a function within the electric system for multiple customer rate classes, 8 9 referred to as common costs. These common costs are then allocated 10 among those rate classes using an allocator that appropriately reflects the underlying cost causative relationship(s). 11

12

13 Q. Please elaborate on the distinctions between various types of direct and
14 allocated costs.

A. Certain costs are directly associated with one particular group of customers
 and are, therefore, directly assigned to that group. An example is FERC
 Account 373 – Street Lighting. All costs associated with this account will be
 assigned to the outdoor service rate class OS.

19

The majority of costs, however, are incurred jointly to serve numerous
customer rate classes. An example of common costs is FERC Account
312 – Boiler Plant Equipment, which serves all rate classes. In order to
allocate the various common costs like Account 312 to the rate classes,
consideration must be given to the type and classes of customers, their load

characteristics, their number, and various other expense and investment
 relationships in order to find the cost causative link.

4 Research of cost causative relationships reveals that costs normally 5 possess one or more of three attributes that identify the driving linkage 6 between customer and company. This cost categorization or componentization can be viewed as: (1) customer-related, which are costs 7 8 that vary with the number of customers or the fact that customers must be 9 able to receive service; (2) energy-related, which pertain to costs that vary 10 with energy consumption (kWh); and (3) demand-related, which are costs 11 that are incurred to serve peak needs for electricity (kW). Each of these 12 three "drivers" has its own separate and appropriate allocators to spread its 13 respective costs to the associated rate class and jurisdiction.

14

3

15 Once the various common accounts have been analyzed to identify their appropriate cost component(s), the corresponding allocator(s) can be 16 17 applied to apportion common costs to the area of responsibility. By 18 summing the allocated common costs and the assigned direct costs by 19 jurisdiction and rate class, the rate of return for each group can be 20 determined. If conducted upon a sound basis of cost causation, the cost-of-21 service study can be the benchmark to determine the adequacy of current 22 rates and how well rate groups are covering their costs.

23

24 Q. Please expand on the importance of accurate cost allocation.

25 A. The goal of a cost-of-service study is to identify what costs are incurred to

provide service to certain groups of customers. It is based upon the
 principle of cost causation. As stated in the National Association of
 Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) *Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual*, "The total revenue requirement of the utility is attributed to the
 various classes of customers in a fashion that reflects the cost of providing
 utility services to each class" (pg. 13).

7

8 Q. Please give an example of the consequences of proper and improper
9 allocations in a cost-of-service study.

10 Α. In general, a meter is necessary to measure the amount of electricity 11 provided to a customer, but the meter can operate adequately regardless of 12 the maximum demand or the overall quantity of electricity consumed. The 13 cost of the meter incurred by the utility to serve the customer does not vary 14 with the quantity of electricity consumed by the customer; it is driven by the 15 fact that each customer needs a meter. As a result, utilities will usually consider meters to be customer-related, and allocate meter costs to the 16 17 various rate classes using an allocator which reflects the number of 18 customers in each rate class.

19

If meters were misclassified as kWh related, then the corresponding kWh
allocator would spread more meter costs to large customers and less meter
costs to small customers despite the fact that the large customers and the
small customers both required the same meter and imposed the same costs
on the utility. The large customers' overall cost responsibility would

1		ultimately be overstated and that of the smaller customers would be
2		understated.
3		
4		
5		II. GULF'S COST-OF-SERVICE STUDY
6		
7	Q.	Please explain Schedule 1 of your Exhibit MTO-2.
8	Α.	Schedule 1.00, pages 2-3, of Exhibit MTO-2 is the result of the cost-of-
9		service study in summary form for the test year utilizing the Company's
10		present rates. It shows the Company's total rate base, revenues, expenses,
11		and net operating income, along with the corresponding responsibilities of
12		the retail jurisdiction, as well as the rate classes within the retail jurisdiction.
13		The column denoted "Wholesale" represents full requirements wholesale,
14		which is under the jurisdiction of the FERC. Unit Power Sales (UPS) is a
15		wholesale contract in which Gulf-owned pieces of Plant Scherer are sold to
16		other electric utilities.
17		· ·
18		Schedule 1.01, pages 4-5, is similar to Schedule 1.00 except that it shows
19		revenues by rate class that would produce equal rates of return by rate
20		class at the present retail rate of return. Schedule 1.10, pages 6-7, is
21		similar to Schedule 1.00 except that it is based upon the Company's
22		proposed revenues and related expenses by rate class. Schedule 1.11,
23		pages 8-9, states what would be the revenues and related expenses that
24		enable each rate class to achieve the same rate of return as will the retail
25		

1		jurisdiction under the Company's total retail proposed revenues and related
2		expenses.
3		
4	Q.	What are the rate classes in the retail jurisdictional cost-of-service study for
5		Gulf?
6	Α.	The rate classes in Gulf's retail jurisdictional cost-of-service study are:
7		Rate Class Residential
8		Rate Class GS (Small Business)
9		Rate Class GSD/GSDT (Medium Business)
10		Rate Class LP/LPT (Large Business)
11		Rate Class Major Accounts (Very Large Business)
12		Rate Class Outdoor Service (OS)
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of Schedule 2 of Exhibit MTO-2?
15	Α.	Schedule 2 of Exhibit MTO-2 analyzes investment related accounts and
16		either assigns or allocates them to the appropriate jurisdiction and then to
17		rate class within the retail jurisdiction. It includes Gross Plant Schedule
18		2.10, pages 10-14, Accumulated Depreciation Reserve Schedule 2.20,
19		pages 15-17, Materials and Supplies Schedule 2.30, pages 18-19, Other
20		Working Capital Schedule 2.40, pages 20-23, and Other Rate Base Items
21		Schedule 2.50, pages 24-26. Together these schedules flow to the
22		summary Schedule 1 to provide rate base by jurisdiction and rate class.
23		
24	Q.	What is shown on the remaining schedules of Exhibit MTO-2?
25	Α.	Schedule 3.00, pages 27-28, provides the Analysis of Revenues.

1		Schedule 4 displays the Analysis of Expenses. Schedule 4.10, pages
2		29-40, details the allocation of Operations and Maintenance (O&M)
3		expenses to jurisdiction and rate classes. Schedule 4.20, pages 41-43,
4		describes the Depreciation expense allocation, and Schedule 4.30, pages
5		44-46, presents the Analysis of Taxes Other Than Income Taxes. Schedule
6		5.0, pages 47-49, contains the Table of Line Allocators and Percentages.
7		The results of these various schedules are summarized in Schedule 1.
8		Schedule 6 shows the development of the Minimum Distribution System.
9		
10	Q.	Please identify the steps that were undertaken in preparing the cost-of-
11		service study shown in your Exhibit MTO-2.
12	А.	The development began with the collection and analysis of load research
13		data. This research provided the number of customers and their respective
14		demand and energy sales by voltage level of service which were then used
15		to produce the allocators.
16		
17		The load research data for the test year was supplied by Mr. Thompson.
18		He also provided total territorial supply and losses for annual energy and
19		demand. In addition, Mr. Thompson provided annual energy sales, monthly
20		coincident peak (MCP) demands, annual non-coincident peak (NCP)
21		demands, and the average number of customers for the test year by rate
22		class and voltage level. These inputs were then used to calculate the "12-
23		MCP," "NCP", " "energy," and "number of customers" allocators.
24		
25		

.

Q. 1 Please describe the 12-MCP and NCP concepts and why they are used. 2 Α. The 12-MCP demand is the sum of the highest kilowatt load predicted to 3 occur in each month of the test year divided by twelve. This 12-MCP concept recognizes the fact that Gulf's system is planned and operated for 4 5 the purpose of meeting these demands for electricity every month of the 6 year. It also reflects consideration of scheduled maintenance, firm sales and purchase commitments, and reliance on interconnections. In addition, 7 8 12-MCP has traditionally been the FERC's preferred allocation technique for 9 determining the wholesale jurisdictional obligation. The 12-MCP demand allocator has been used to help make the split between retail and 10 11 wholesale. Within the retail jurisdiction it is used to allocate generation level 12 demand-related costs and costs for transmission step-up substations, 13 transmission lines, and substations linking transmission with distribution. 14 15 The NCP demand for each retail rate class is the highest demand occurring 16 for that rate class during the test year. The NCP demand allocator was 17 used to allocate distribution demand costs at Level 4 (primary distribution) 18 and Level 5 (secondary distribution) and was similarly applied in Gulf's last 19 rate case. 20 Q. 21 Please explain the steps that were used in developing the demand and 22 energy allocators. 23 Balanced system load flows for demand and energy were first developed Α.

25 voltage level. These levels, which are defined in more detail in MFR E-10,

24

through a load flow program, which spreads total system losses to each

are used to describe the flow of electricity from generation, through the
 various transformations, across the various transmission and distribution
 lines, to the eventual delivery to the customer.

5 The load flow process begins by taking the total energy sales at Level 5, the 6 secondary distribution level, multiplying these sales by the loss percentage 7 at Level 5, and then combining these calculated losses and sales. This 8 amount is then added to the sales at Level 4, and this new total is, in turn, 9 multiplied by the loss percentage at Level 4. This procedure is continued up 10 through Level 1, the generation level. The program adjusts the loss 11 percentages at each level and then iterates the above process until the sum of the losses at each level matches the total system losses and a balanced 12 13 flow is produced. These total system loss percentages are then applied to 14 the rate classes by voltage level, thus computing energy allocators for each 15 respective voltage level. A similar process is used to calculate the 12-MCP 16 demand allocators. The NCP demand allocators for Levels 4 and 5 are 17 developed similarly and use the loss percentages calculated by the 12-MCP 18 demand flow, since there is no territorial input for NCP with which to 19 balance.

20

4

Q. What other types of allocators were used besides demand and energy?
A. Customer-related allocators were also used in order to allocate customerrelated costs.

24

1	Q.	What was the next step in the development of Gulf's cost-of-service study?
2	Α.	Ms. Ritenour provided the financial information for the projected test year.
3		These investment, revenue, and expense items were then assigned to
4		jurisdiction and rate class if a direct cost causative relationship was known,
5		or allocated to jurisdiction and rate class using the previously developed
6		allocators.
7		
8	Q.	How were the allocations made between the wholesale and retail
9		jurisdictions?
10	Α.	Where costs were identified as serving only the retail or wholesale
11		jurisdictions, they were assigned to that respective jurisdiction. Where costs
12		were common and served both jurisdictions, they were allocated. The
13		jurisdictional separation for demand costs was based upon the 12-MCP
14		allocation. A kWh allocator was employed for the allocation of energy-
15		related costs. Again, this methodology is consistent with the one approved
16		in Gulf's last rate case. The methodology also conforms to MFR E-1.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe the analysis within the retail jurisdiction.
19	Α.	Where known to serve a particular rate class, revenues and costs were
20		directly assigned. For example, residential revenues were assigned to the
21		residential rate class and outdoor lighting fixture costs were assigned to the
22		outdoor service rate class. The majority of costs were common and
23		therefore allocated. Generation level costs were allocated on the basis of
24		12-MCP & 1/13 kWh (energy). Energy-related accounts were allocated
25		upon the kWh allocator. Transmission, subtransmission and substations

1		were allocated upon the 12-MCP concept. Primary and secondary
2		distribution demand-related costs were apportioned on the corresponding
3		NCP allocators, and customer-related costs were allocated upon the
4		respective customer allocator.
5		
6		
7		III. COST-OF-SERVICE METHODOLOGY COMPARED
8		TO LAST GULF FILING
9		
10	Q.	How does the cost-of-service methodology proposed by Gulf in this case
11		compare to the methodology approved in Gulf's last retail base rate
12		proceeding?
13	Α.	It is the same methodology filed and approved by stipulation in the
14		Company's last rate proceeding. The study methodology uses 12-MCP &
15		1/13 kWh for allocation of generation capital cost, 12-MCP for allocation of
16		transmission cost, non-coincident peak demand for allocation of distribution
17		cost, and the Minimum Distribution System for separating distribution cost
18		into demand and customer components.
19		
20		Although the Company does not agree that the use of 12-MCP & 1/13 kWh
21		is a better allocator of generation level costs than a pure 12-MCP allocator
22		would be, Gulf nevertheless prepared its study in this case using the
23		Commission-approved methodology. Gulf continues to believe that a pure
24		12 MCP factor for generation results in a more accurate cost allocation.
25		However, using the Commission's preferred method does not result in major

1 variances in cost allocation from the pure 12-MCP approach and does not significantly impair Gulf in designing efficient rates. 2 3 4 Q. Please describe the Minimum Distribution System methodology and why 5 Gulf believes it is important. Α. 6 As I discuss in more detail later, some inherent, intrinsic costs of the 7 distribution system besides the customer meter and service drop do not vary with customers' use of electricity. These costs are necessary simply for 8 9 a customer to be "hooked-up" and able to receive service. The Minimum Distribution System (MDS) methodology is necessary to accurately 10 11 determine and subsequently allocate these customer-related distribution 12 costs. 13 14 Q. Where are customer-related costs found? 15 Α. Basically, they can be found in Customer Assistance, Customer Service and 16 the FERC mass distribution accounts. They relate to the costs of being capable of providing electric service. In other words, regardless of the 17 quantity of electricity demanded, the mere fact that the utility must be 18 19 prepared to provide service at any time causes those costs to be incurred. 20 These customer-related costs are driven by the simple fact that each 21 customer must have the ability to receive service. 22 23 This cost category which Gulf designates as "customer-related" includes 24 those distribution costs which do not vary with demand use. Some may vary directly with the number of customers to be served while others are a 25

1		fixed requirement necessary for a distribution system regardless of quantity
2		of usage. An example would be protective devices (found in FERC Account
3		368), which operate in the same manner with or without load on the system
4		in order to keep the lines available to as many customers as possible.
5		
6	Q.	Which FERC accounts require cost classification scrutiny to identify their
7		customer-related component?
8	Α.	Accounts 364-370 usually require an analysis to properly apportion their
9		overall costs into those which are customer-related and those which are
10		demand-related.
11		
12	Q.	What harm can occur if these accounts are not classified properly into
13		demand and energy using MDS?
14	Α.	The misclassification of costs that results from not using the MDS
15		methodology sends inaccurate price signals to customers. This
16		misclassification also results in different customer rate classes bearing more
17		or less cost than their cost-causative share of distribution costs. It is
18		therefore important to examine these customer-related costs and classify
19		them appropriately, which the MDS methodology enables us to do.
20		
21	Q.	Does NARUC advocate accurate cost classification and the allocation of
22		these accounts?
23	Α.	Yes. Its official guidebook, the Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual, offers
24		clear instructions. The following is an excerpt from page 90 of its January
25		1992 edition:

~

.

1		Distribution plant Accounts 364 through 370 involve demand
2		and customer costs. The customer component of
3		distribution facilities is that portion of costs which varies with
4		the number of customers. Thus, the number of poles,
5		conductors, transformers, services, and meters are directly
6		related to the number of customers on the utility's system.
7		As shown in table 6-1, each primary plant account can be
8		separately classified into a demand and customer
9		component. Two methods are used to determine the
10		demand and customer components of distribution facilities.
11 .		They are, the minimum-size-of-facilities method, and the
12		minimum-intercept cost (zero-intercept or positive-intercept
13		costs, as applicable) of facilities.
14		
15	Q.	Does the NARUC manual require that the cost-of-service study be done in a
16		certain manner?
17	А.	No, the NARUC manual is a guide that offers reasonable and logical
18		methodologies for cost allocation. The manual only discusses the major
19		costing methodologies and acknowledges those that are acceptable.
20		
21	Q.	Can you expand on the logic of a customer-related component for
22		distribution accounts?
23	Α.	Yes. Schedule 2 of Exhibit MTO-1 depicts a simple distribution network.
24		Now, imagine three different usage scenarios of this network:
25		

1 Scenario I: Imagine that houses A-E all have about the same load usage. Now imagine that houses A and B become unoccupied due to impacts of a 2 3 downturn in the economy or a rental or vacation home now experiencing 4 high vacancy rates. The result is that load on the system goes down, yet the cost of the distribution network remains the same. 5 6 7 Scenario II: Now imagine that all 5 houses are occupied with like load 8 usage. Next, houses C & D employ energy efficiency improvements. Load 9 on the system diminishes, yet the cost of the distribution network remains 10 the same. 11 12 Scenario III: Next imagine that all 5 houses are occupied with like load 13 usage. Now imagine that houses C, D, & E add energy efficiency improvements, but a new house F is added to the network with a load equal 14 to what the energy efficiency improvements were for houses C, D, & E. The 15 result is that the total load on the system remains the same, yet the cost of 16 the distribution network must be expanded for new poles and lines. 17 18 19 In each scenario, one can see that the cost of the distribution network is influenced by the number of customers served, not by any changes in total 20 21 demand or energy usage. Therefore allocating these customer-related 22 costs on a basis other than a customer allocator would result in an inaccurate cost classification and allocation. Assuming that an underage in 23 24 properly defining customer cost is absorbed in demand cost, this inaccurate classification could lead to a demand or energy charge that is larger than its 25

true cost. The customer receives a resultant price signal that is larger than
 it should be.

Even if rate designs do not exactly follow cost of service, it is crucial to have
a cost-causative cost-of-service study. It is important that both rate
designers and policy makers have an accurate cost benchmark so rate
excursions from true costs can be observed and considered. Otherwise,
rate decisions will be based on inaccurate information about true cost
responsibility and impacts.

IV. HOW THE MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM METHODOLOGY IS PERFORMED

14

10

11

12

13

3

How do you determine the customer-related costs of distribution? 15 Q. 16 Α. The process of identifying customer-related costs uses the concept mentioned in the NARUC manual called the Minimum Distribution System. 17 18 (MDS). This concept is based on the fact that in order to simply connect a 19 customer to the power system, a minimum amount of facilities and 20 equipment are necessary. The minimum distribution facilities, along with 21 meters and service drops, make up the plant investment portion of 22 customer-related costs. The distribution facilities in excess of the minimum 23 are classified as demand-related costs because they relate to capacity. 24

1	Q.	How does one determine this minimum amount of facilities and equipment?
2	Α.	There are two common ways to do so: (1) minimum size (MS) and (2) zero-
3		intercept (ZI). The philosophy of MS is that in order to simply connect a
4		customer to the system, a minimum size of equipment is necessary. The
5		cost of this minimum size equipment is then categorized as a customer-
6		related cost. For example, suppose that a 15 kVA line transformer
7		represents the smallest size transformer normally used. In this case the
8		unit installed costs of a 15 kVA transformer would be employed as the basis
9		for the customer cost of transformers, with the residual transformer costs
10		treated as demand-related. This methodology, although logical, has a
11		weakness because even the smallest standard size equipment such as the
12		15 kVA transformer is capable of carrying load, i.e., it has capacity. This
13		capacity is demand-related and should therefore be embedded within
14		another price component. The second method, Zero-Intercept (ZI) is an
15		improved technique for determining customer-related costs that, by
16		definition, removes any ability of carrying load.
17		
18		Mr. Lawrence J. Vogt in his published treatise, Electricity Pricing:
19		Engineering Principles and Methodologies (2009) identified the zero-
20		intercept and minimum system analysis. Mr. Vogt writes as follows:
21		
22		The concept of a minimum distribution system recognizes
23		that the primary and secondary distribution system has both
24		customer-related and demand-related attributes. As
25		discussed previously, the customer cost component is

1		associated with no-load conditions, whereas the demand
2		cost component is associated with load conditions
3		
4		When a single device has both customer-related and
5		demand-related attributes, its total cost must be allocated.
6		The minimum intercept or zero-intercept methodology
7		provides a rational basis for separating the cost of a device
8		between its customer and demand components. (Id. at pp.
9		498-500.)
10		
11	Q.	How does the Zero-Intercept method work?
12	Α.	The ZI method is based on a regression analysis of equipment costs. The
13		y-axis is based upon equipment unit cost and the x-axis is based upon sizes
14		of equipment. This analysis creates a regression equation with acceptable
15		confidence intervals that provides cost projections for equipment having
16		load capacities outside the range of existing equipment. This allows a cost
17		analyst to extrapolate back to a level of zero (i.e., no-load) capacity referred
18		to as the y-intercept. The equation thereby identifies a value of unit cost for
19		equipment with zero load capacity. This avoids any double counting of load
20		with MDS. This can be observed in Schedules 6.1 and 6.2 of Exhibit
21		MTO-2.
22		
23	Q.	When using different sizes of equipment, did you employ all sizes in use by
24		Gulf?
25	Α.	No, we used the equipment which Gulf now purchases and anticipates

Docket No. 130140- El

Page 23

continuing to purchase and avoided use of antiquated equipment sizes. For
 example, to use 7.5 kVA or 10 kVA transformers in the analysis would
 produce misleading results since Gulf has no plans to continue use of small
 transformers like these.

5

6 Q. If the unit cost is based upon a concept of equipment with no-load
7 capability, do you consider the MDS to be an unrealistic or fictional concept
8 as has sometimes been claimed?

9 A. No. MDS is no more of a fictional concept than is a deposit requirement for
10 a vacation rental on Pensacola Beach or a simple retainer fee. A deposit is
11 required to preserve the ability to occupy the rental space for future use.
12 Likewise, the retainer fee is required to secure the right of future service

13 regardless of the magnitude of additional services to be rendered. Similarly,

14 the MDS is the cost required to ensure the availability of service to a

15 customer premise whether or not any electricity is ever actually consumed.

16

17 Q. Is any equipment built to zero load specifications?

18 Α. No, there is none to my knowledge. Likewise, there is no generating plant 19 that is built with exactly 1/13 of its capital cost to minimize fuel cost as 20 required by one of the MFRs for allocation of production costs. This does 21 not mean, though, that ZI is an illogical concept and therefore not to be 22 used. Even though no equipment is built to serve zero load, the ZI concept 23 is still a valid method of identifying customer-related cost because ZI 24 recognizes the Intrinsic cost of providing service - the necessary elements 25 to merely enable service to be provided.

Docket No. 130140- El

Page 24

Q. How does one account for inflation when developing the ZI regression
 equation?

3 Α. Equipment is regressed and analyzed using current replacement costs. 4 This is necessary since some equipment in service for Gulf has a more current vintage than others. Once the ZI unit costs for the customer-related 5 piece are computed, these costs are multiplied by the number of units in 6 7 service to develop the aggregate amount. The remainder of "current 8 replacement cost" is the demand-related costs. This resultant split of 9 replacement cost into a customer piece and a demand piece is then used to 10 allocate the embedded vintage cost for the equipment into appropriate 11 customer and demand component costs. This is done for all the various 12 types of equipment which possess both customer-related and demand-13 related characteristics within their inherent make-up. Any equipment which has either a strictly demand-only make-up (for example, substation 14 equipment) or a strictly customer-only make-up (for example meters) is 15 16 directly assigned to the respective component. An appropriate customer allocator then allocates customer-related costs to rate classes in the 17 18 cost-of-service study. Demand-related costs are similarly allocated to rate 19 classes using a demand-related allocator.

20

Q. What FERC mass distribution accounts are split and classified in this
manner?

A. Distribution Accounts 365, 366, 367, and 368 use this ZI methodology.
For FERC Account 364, we used the average of the smallest, most
frequently used poles since the unit cost of different sized poles did not lend

Docket No. 130140- El Page 25 Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy

1		itself to regression analysis. Accounts 369 and 370 are considered as all
2		customer-related. Any related expense accounts (for example depreciation
3		expense) then utilize the corresponding 364-368 accounts to appropriately
4		split expenses into customer and demand-related costs. The computation
5		of the splits for Accounts 364-370 are shown in Schedules 6.3 to 6.9 of
6		Exhibit MTO-2, pages 52-60.
7		
8	Q.	Are Account 369 (Service Drops) and Account 370 (Meters) usually
9		classified as 100 percent customer-related?
10	A.	Yes, this has been the traditional treatment for most utilities. Service Drops
11		are the lines that provide the service connection between the secondary
12		level distribution transformer and the customer's meter and enable the
13		customer to receive service. The meter, as previously mentioned,
14		measures the amount of electricity that the customer consumes and is used
15		for billing.
1 6		
17	Q.	What are the resultant customer/demand splits that Gulf is proposing?
18	Α.	The customer-related analysis performed for Gulf results in the
19		customer/demand splits shown on Schedule 3 of Exhibit MTO-1. These are
20		the splits which Gulf is proposing.
21		
22	Q.	Do any other electric utilities use MDS to determine the customer-related
23		costs?
24	Α.	Yes. In fact, two other operating companies in the Southern electric
25		system, Georgia Power Company and Mississippi Power Company, use

Docket No. 130140- El Page 26 Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy

1		MDS to determine the customer-related costs. Some other utilities that
2		employ MDS include Kentucky Utilities, LG&E, Tennessee Valley Authority
3		(TVA), Wisconsin Public Service, and Virginia Electric Power.
4		
5	Q.	Other than approving the stipulation to use MDS in Gulf's last base rate
6		proceeding, has this Commission ever approved MDS?
7	Α.	Yes, it was approved for Choctawhatchee Electric Cooperative Inc.
8		(CHELCO) in Docket No. 020537-EC. The Commission stated four basic
9		reasons for accepting MDS for CHELCO: (1) customer density, (2) rural
10		customer make-up of much of CHELCO, (3) number of accounts versus
11		number of customers, and (4) financial hardship.
12		
13	Q.	How do these conditions apply to Gulf?
14	Α.	In some cases these conditions are similar and in some cases they vary.
15		
16		(1) Density is considered in terms of the number of customers served within
17		the distribution network and does influence cost per customer but is not a
18		primary driver of cost. CHELCO was requesting a customer charge for
19		customer related distribution cost recovery of \$24/customer/month. The
20		distribution unit cost for Gulf in the last case was \$20/customer/month which
21		is not significantly different from CHELCO's request. In fact Gulf's
22		requested customer charge equivalent, base charge, in their last case was
23		actually only \$15/customer/month which was about the customer-related
24		unit cost that would occur for Gulf without the use of MDS. However,
25		density is not the primary driver that causes cost to be incurred. As

previously noted, the primary drivers that cause cost to be incurred are
 number of customers, amount of demand, and the amount of energy
 required. Finally whether unit costs are \$24/customer or some other
 number, a cost-of-service study should allocate cost based upon cost
 causation regardless of the unit cost value that results.

6

7 (2) CHELCO has a more rural characteristic than Gulf although Gulf too 8 has many rural customers. An emphasis upon rural versus urban customer base may be appropriate to acknowledge that rural customers' load and 9 electricity bills are likely to be more variable and volatile than those of urban 10 11 customers. However, the issue of moderating revenue volatility for the 12 utility is a rate design issue – not a cost-of-service issue. Cost of service 13 should be based upon cost causation. The rate designer and the regulators 14 have the flexibility to vary from pure unit cost for many reasons, but it is important for them to know how far they are departing from pure unit cost in 15 16 rate design and the overall revenue target. Only a cost-of-service study 17 based upon cost causation can tell them that.

18

(3) Apparently CHELCO has more accounts than customers. This may be
due to rural customers having one account for their house and additional
accounts for other activities. These "other accounts" require cost to be
incurred by the utility that would not be required if there were only one
account. A large base charge might discourage a customer from requesting
multiple accounts thereby avoiding unnecessary cost for the utility. Once
again, this is a rate design issue and not a cost-of-service issue. If the utility

1 and regulator wish to discourage multiple accounts for the same customer, 2 they can do so in the rate design process, but this should not direct the cost-of-service analyst into misallocating cost in the cost-of-service study. 3 4 (4) CHELCO was incurring serious financial hardship and in fact had a 5 6 negative rate of return. Although Gulf's rate of return is not negative, Gulf is 7 earning below the bottom of its authorized rate of return. In any event, the 8 financial condition of the utility does not affect the need to use cost 9 causation principles to allocate cost properly when conducting a cost-ofservice study. Whether a utility is financial healthy or suffering, cost should 10 11 be allocated based on cost causation. 12 13 In summary, there are both similarities and differences between CHELCO's 14 situation and Gulf's. However, regardless of these differences, there are important cost causation principles that justify the use of MDS in this case. 15 16 Q. 17 An occasional criticism of MDS is the statement that utilities generally do

not know precisely which pieces of equipment serve which rate classes. Is
this a valid criticism?

A. No. While it is true that many utility systems are so large that they cannot
 feasibly track which equipment serves which rate classes, utilities like Gulf
 are able to determine where the equipment is located by service levels (like
 secondary service) and which rate classes are served at each one of these
 respective service levels. This is adequate and reasonable detail to allocate
 cost and use MDS in a cost-of-service study.

Docket No. 130140- El Page 29 Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy

1	Q.	Will the use of MDS allocate a disproportionate share of cost to the
2		residential and small commercial rate classes?
3	Α.	No. Using MDS and including the resultant customer component in the
4		distribution accounts will increase the costs allocated to the residential rate
5		class and small commercial rate class, and usually it will decrease the costs
6		allocated to large business classes. However, this is appropriate, since it
7		better reflects the cost to serve these rate classes. It is not
8		"disproportionate" but simply more accurate. For instance, if the majority of
9		secondary customers and load are from a particular rate class, that rate
10		class causes the majority of secondary cost and this is more precisely
11		revealed with the use of MDS.
12		
13	Q.	If MDS results in the base charge increasing, will this have more impact on
14		small customers than large customers?
15	Α.	Since the overall revenue target and rate design applies to all customers
16		within the class, a large fixed component will impact small users more than
17		a volume-based component. But, once again, this is a rate design issue -
18		not a cost-of-service issue. When determining the cost of providing service
19		to customers, who benefits should not be the deciding factor - cost
20		causation should. In addition to causing intra-class inequity, not recognizing
21		MDS in cost of service also causes inter-class inequity. In the past when
22		this MDS customer component was not recognized in cost of service, large
23		business rates were inappropriately allocated higher costs than appropriate.
24		Even though the MDS methodology causes cost allocation to decrease for
25		large business rates and customers and to increase for smaller rates and

,

Docket No. 130140- El Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy

Page 30

- 1 customers, it does so for rational reasons and properly allocates the costs
- 2 to those customers who caused them to be incurred by the utility.
- 3
- Q. What effect does including this customer-related component have for
 seasonal homes and vacation apartments?
- 6 Α For months in which seasonal homes and vacation apartments are 7 unoccupied yet still in service, cost allocation would be higher in cost-of-8 service studies with MDS than if these customer-related costs were 9 misclassified in the demand component and there was no demand from the 10 unoccupied premise. However, this is indeed a proper reflection of costs, 11 since even during months of vacancy Gulf must have its distribution system 12 ready to provide service whenever the renter arrives. The seasonal 13 customer should have the same cost responsibility as the year-round 14 resident for these customer-related costs. Without the use of the MDS 15 methodology, year-round customers would be allocated more than their fair 16 share of these costs.
- 17
- Q. It appears that you have included a customer-related component only for
 distribution equipment and not for transmission and subtransmission
 equipment. Why shouldn't transmission and subtransmission include
 customer components?
- A. One could make the argument that transmission and subtransmission
 should have customer components. However, transmission and
 subtransmission equipment is much larger and operates at higher voltage
 levels than distribution equipment. Consequently, imputing a customer-

1		related piece would likely result in a very small portion of the transmission
2		and subtransmission being identified as customer-related. As a result, it
3		has been common convention in the electric industry to stop calculating a
4		customer component at the distribution level.
5		
6	Q.	Does the NARUC manual propose a customer component for transmission
7		or does it stop at distribution?
8	Α.	The NARUC manual stops at distribution for classifying costs as customer-
9		related.
10		
11	Q.	Do you recommend continuing to use MDS for Gulf in this case?
12	Α.	Yes, I do. I believe that this methodology provides the most appropriate
13		cost assignments to assess rate class returns and to serve as a basis for
14		rate design.
15		
16	Q.	Even though you are recommending the use of a MDS cost-of-service study
17		in this case, is a non-MDS study included in the MFRs which you are
18		sponsoring?
19	Α.	Yes, that is included in MFR E-1.
20		
21	Q.	In your opinion, are the results of the recommended cost-of-service study
22		accurate representations of the rates of return by jurisdiction and rate class?
23	Α.	Yes. The results shown on Schedule 1 of the cost-of-service study in
24		Exhibit MTO-2 are indeed fair and accurate statements of cost causation.
25		The rates of return produced by jurisdiction and by rate class for Gulf's test

Docket No. 130140- El Page 32 Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy

year are fair and accurate indications of how the rate classes are covering costs. Does this conclude your testimony? Q. Yes, it does. Α.

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF GEORGIA) COUNTY OF COBB

Docket No. 130140-EI

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Michael T. O'Sheasy, who being first duly sworn, deposes, and says that he is a Vice President with Christensen Associates, Inc. and that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

_day of _

Michael T. O'Sheasy Vice President

Sworn to and subscribed before me this _____

2013.

Notary Public, State of Georgia at Large

Commission No.

My Commission Expires 1-29-2017

Personally Known OR Produced Identification XType of Identification Produced DL # 000961809

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-1) Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

Responsibility for Minimum Filing Requirements

<u>Schedule</u>

<u>Title</u>

Jurisdictional Separation Factors – Rate Base
Jurisdictional Separation Factors – Net Operating Income
Cost of Service Studies
Explanation of Variations from Cost of Service Study Approved in Company's Last Rate Case
Cost of Service Study – Allocation of Rate Base Components to Rate Schedule
Cost of Service Study – Allocation of Expense Components to Rate Schedule
Cost of Service Study – Functionalization and Classification of Rate Base
Cost of Service Study – Functionalization and Classification of Expenses
Source and Amount of Revenues – At Present and Proposed Rates
Cost of Service Study – Unit Costs, Present Rates
Cost of Service Study – Unit Costs, Proposed Rates
Cost of Service – Load Data
Cost of Service Study – Development of Allocation Factors
Development of Coincident and Non-Coincident Demands for Cost Study
Customers by Voltage Level
Demand and Energy Losses
Energy Losses
Demand Losses
Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-1) Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1

Illustration of Simple Distribution Network



Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-1) Schedule 3 Page 1 of 1

MDS Customer/Demand Percentages by FERC Account

Account	%Customer	%Demand
364	65.9%	34.1%
365	16.3%	83.7%
366	3.9%	96.1%
367	4.6%	95.4%
368	25.4%	74.6%
369	100%	0%
370	100%	0%

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-2) Page 1 of 60

Index of Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit MTO-2

Page <u>Numbers</u>

Index	1
Schedule 1	2-9
Schedule 1.00 - Present Rate Summary	2-3
Schedule 1.01 - Equal ROR Summary – Present Rates	4-5
Schedule 1.10 - Proposed Rate Summary	6-7
Schedule 1.11 - Equal ROR Summary – Proposed Rates	8-9
Schedule 2	10-26
Schedule 2.10 - Analysis of Gross Plant	10-14
Schedule 2.20 - Analysis of Accumulated Depreciation Reserve	15-17
Schedule 2.30 - Analysis of Materials and Supplies	18-19
Schedule 2.40 - Analysis of Other Working Capital	20-23
Schedule 2.50 - Analysis of Other Rate Base Items	24-26
Schedule 3	27-28
Schedule 3.00 - Analysis of Revenues	27-28
Schedule 4	29-46
Schedule 4.10 - Analysis of Operations and Maintenance Expense	29-40
Schedule 4.20 - Analysis of Depreciation Expense	41-43
Schedule 4.30 - Analysis of Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	44-46
Schedule 5	47-49
Schedule 5.00 - Line Allocators and Percentages	47-49
Schedule 6	50-60
Schedule 6.1 - Account 365 – Overhead Regression	50
Schedule 6.2 - Account 368 – Single Phase Transformer	
Regression	51
Schedule 6.3 - Account 364 – Poles, Towers and Fixtures Analysis	52
Schedule 6.4 - Account 365 – Ovhd Conductors & Devices Analysis	53
Schedule 6.5 - Account 366 – Underground Conduit Analysis	54
Schedule 6.6 - Account 367 – Underground Conductors Analysis	55
Schedule 6.7 - Account 368 – Line Transformer Analysis & Cutouts	
and Arresters Analysis	56-58
Schedule 6.8 - Account 369 - Services Analysis	59
Schedule 6.9 - Account 370 – Meters Analysis	60

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 1.00 - PRESENT RATE SUMMARY (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	INVESTMENT											
1	ELECTRIC GROSS PLANT ACCUMALATED DEPRECIATION	3,391,076 1,398,044	1,720,955 725,208	99,776 41.095	590,715 240,957	225,780 94,574	227,889 98,249	89,073 43,236	2,944,168 1 243, 319	55,729 24,730	391,179 129,995	
3	NETPLANT	1,993,032	995,747	68,681	339,758	131,186	129,640	45,837	1,700,849	30,999	261,104	
4	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	154,358	70,673	3,801	33,712	14,556	15,953	2,316	141,011	3,614	9,633	
5	OTHER WORKING CAPITAL	12,616	7,602	490	2,608	1,122	1,140	249	13,311	316	(1,011)	
6	CONST. WORK IN PROGRESS	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	
2		33,647	15,090	739	5,543	2,236	2,479	490	26,656	634	6,567	
9	INAMORT PLANT ACO. ADJUST	1,430	2,003		1,146				5,2/6	109	1 002	
10	INJURIES AND DAMAGES RESERVE	(3.354)	(2.027)	(163)	(555)	(194)) (204)	(69)	(3.202)	(54)	(98)	
11	TOTAL ELECTRIC INVESTMENT	2,197,837	1,090,168	63,752	382,112	149,412	149,596	48,061	1,883,901	35,868	278,068	
12	REVENUE FROM SALES	510 734	296 890	20,637	102.785	33 933	29,452	14,896	498 493	12 241	٥	
13	OTHER OPERATING REVENLES	69,171	37.651	2.359	11.161	9.377	3.320	1.401	65.278	3,693	ŏ	
14	REVENLE-NONASSICIATED SALES	65,602	3,389	186	1,780	784	907	99	7,127	214	58,261	
15	ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENLE	(42,247)	(26,162)	(1, 740)	(8,711)	(2,676)) (2,496)	(1,262)	(42,247)	(0)	0	
16	TOTAL ADJUSTED REVENUE	603,260	312,768	21,353	106,995	41,218	31,183	15,134	628,66 1	16,348	68,261	
	EPPDSE											
17		200 600	13 10	12 640	54 500	24	~	5.000	200 100	E 747	40.000	
18	DEPRECIATION	114 402	61 471	3 747	51,302 20 162	7 659	20,427	5,5222 3,761	290,189	1 922	7 975	
19	AMORT. OF INV. TAX CREDIT	(1.224)	(517)	(91)	(169)	(64)	(65)	(32)	(878)	(16)	(330)	
20	OTHER AMORTIZATION	0	0	0	0	0	, Č	Ϋ́	0	Ő	0	
21	FIEAL & PERSONAL PROP. TAX	26,010	13,696	758	5,040	2,083	2,245	495	24,515	580	915	
22	PAYROLL TAX	6,917	4,106	332	1,180	415	437	127	6,599	114	204	
23	REVENUE TAX	402	239	17	83	27	24	12	402	0	0	
24		41,572	24,744	1,709	8,569	2,635	2,471	1,233	41,561	11	0	
26	EXPENSES EXCL. INC. TAX	(41,100) 465.627	(24,514) 254,594	(1,0290) 17,383	(8,487) 77,990	(2,802) 35,024) (2,431) 30,824	(1,230) 9.969	(41,160) 425,743	0 8 328	0 21.456	
_					11,000	00,02.1		3,000		0,020		
27	OPERATING INCOME	147,733	68,174	3,970	29,065	6,194	369	5,146	102,908	8,020	36,805	
28	STATE & FEDERAL INCOME TAX	34,675	10,689	856	7,163	606	(1,446)	1,467	19,735	2,714	12.227	⊑≥;;%τ
29	INTEREST SYNCHRONZATION	6,925	3,943	231	1,382	540	541	177	6,814	111	0	╺╺┖ ╴ C
30	TUTAL INCOME TAXES	41,601	14,632	1,067	8,545	1,346	(905)	1,644	26,549	2,825	12,227	
31	NET OPERATING INCOME	106,132	43,342	2,883	20,620	4,848	1,264	3,602	76,359	5, 195	24,578	୍ କ ଅନ୍ମ ଅନ୍ୟ
32	RATE OF RETURN	4.83%	3.98%	4.62%	5.37%	3.24%	0.64%	7.17%	4.05%			
33	RATE OF RETURN INDEX		98.09%	111.67%	132.49%	80.05%	20.85%	176.83%	100.00%			

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2)

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 3 of 60 Schedule 1.00

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY PRESENT RATE SUMMARY

Line	<u>Etot</u>	
No.	Label	Description
1	(A)	From "Analysis of Gross Plant"
2	(B)	From "Analysis of Accumulated Depreciation Reserve"
4	(C)	From "Analysis of Materials and Supplies"
5	(D)	From "Analysis of Other Working Capital"
6	(E)	From "Analysis of Other Rate Base Items"
7	(E)	
8	(E)	
9	(E)	
10	(E)	
12	(F)	From "Analysis of Revenues"
13	(F)	
14	(F)	
15	(F)	
17	(G)	From "Analysis of Operations and Maintenance Expense"
18	(H)	From "Analysis of Depreciation Expense"
19	(I)	Allocated per Depreciation Expense; UPS directly assigned
20	(J)	Allocated per Total Production Gross Plant excluding UPS
21	(K)	From "Analysis of Taxes Other Than Income Taxes"
22	(K)	
23	(K)	
24	(K)	
25	(K)	
28	(L)	Income Taxes allocated per formula t = Rc - KI : where t = Total Income Taxes,
		R = Operating Income, c = Combined Effective Tax Rate of 0.38575, I = Total Electric
		Investment, and K = Income Tax Deduction factor of 0.0105957953; UPS directly assigned.
29	(M)	Retail portion allocated per Retail Rate Base; Total All Other and UPS directly assigned.
32	(N)	Rate of Raturn equals Net Operating Income Divided by Total Electric Investment.
33	(O)	Each Rate Class Rate of Return divided by Total Retail Service Rate of Return

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 1.01 - EQUAL RATE OF RETURN SUMMARY - PRESENT RATES (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (8)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)
1	EQUAL RATE OF RETURN	4.05%	6 4.05%	4.05%	4.05%	4.05%	4.05%	4.05%
2	PRESENT SYSTEM OPERATING INCO	ME 76,359	44,188	2,584	15,488	6,056	6,063	1,980
3	CURRENT OPERATING INCOME	76,359	43,342	2,883	20,520	4,848	1,264	3,502
4	CHANGE IN OPERATING INCOME	(0) 646	(299)	(5,032)	1,208	4,799	(1,522)
5	CHANGE IN INCOME TAXES	C	53 1	(188)	(3,160)	759	3,014	(956)
6	CURRENT INCOME TAXES	26,548	14,832	1,087	8,545	1,346	(905)	1,644
7	CHANGE IN EXPENSES	() 4	(2)	(30)	7	30	(9)
8	CURRENT EXPENSES	425,743	254,594	17,383	77,930	35,024	30,824	9,988
9	REV REQ - EQUAL SYSTEM ROR - PR	ESENT RATES 528,651	314,149	· 20,864	98,773	43,192	39,026	12,647
10	PRESENT REVENUE REQUIREMENTS	S 528,651	312,768	21,353	106,995	41,218	31,1 8 3	15,134
11	REVENUE EXCESS / DEFICIENCY	(0) 1 ,38 1	(489)	(8,222)	1,974	7,843	(2,487)
12	REV REQ INDEX - EQUAL SYSTEM RC	OR - PRES, RATES 100.009	6 99.56%	102.34%	108.32%	95,43%	79.90%	119.66%

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 4 of 60 Schedule 1.01

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 5 of 60 Schedule 1.01

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY EQUAL RATE OF RETURN SUMMARY - PRESENT RATES

Line	Etat	• • • •
NO.	Labe	Description
1	(A)	From "Present Rate Summary", Total Retail Service Rate of Return
2	(B)	Line 1 times Total Rate Base - "Present Rate Summary"
3	(C)	From "Present Rate Summary"
4	(D)	Line 2 minus Line 3
5	(E)	Line 4 times the combined effective tax rate divided by 1 minus the combined effective tax rate
6	(C)	
7	(F)	Line 4 plus Line 5 times the Proposed Expense Factor divided by 1 minus the Proposed Expense Factor
8	(C)	
9	(G)	Line 2 plus Lines 5 - 8.
10	(C)	
11	(H)	Line 9 minus Line 10
12	(I)	Line 10 divided by Line 9

_

GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTH'S ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS NETHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 1.10 - PROPOSED RATE SUMMARY (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS FESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCT9 (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERMICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)
1	TOTAL ELECTRIC INVESTMENT	2,197,837	1,090,168	63,762	382,112	1 49 ,412	149,596	48,861	1,683,901	35,868	278,068
	REVENLE										
2 3 4	PRESENT REVENUE PROPOSED REVENUE TOTAL REVENUE	603,260 74,393 677,653	312,768 44,303 357,071	21,363 2,372 23,725	108,995 13,194 120,189	41,218 7,104 48,322	31,183 8,570 37,753	15,134 850 15,964	528,651 74,393 603,044	16,348 0 16,348	68,261 0 68,261
	EXPENSE										
5 6 7	PRESENT OPERATING EXPENSES PROPOSED EXPENSE INCREASE TOTAL EXPENSES	455,627 272 455,799	254,694 182 254,758	17,383 9 17,382	77,930 48 77,978	36,024 28 36,060	30,624 24 30,648	9,968 3 9,991	425,743 272 426,015	6.328 0 6,328	21,458 0 21,456
8	OPERATING INCOME	221,854	102,315	6,333	42,211	13,272	6,905	5,993	177,029	8,020	36,805
	INCOME TAXES										
9 10 11	PRESENT INCOME TAXES PROPOSED INC. TAX INCREASE TOTAL INCOME TAXES	41,601 28,592 70,193	14,832 17,027 31,859	1,087 912 1,999	8,645 5,071 13,616	1,346 2,730 4,076	(906) 2,625 1,620	1,644 327 1,971	26,549 28,552 55,141	2,625 0 2,625	12,227 0 12,227
12	NET OPERATING INCOME	151,661	70,458	4,334	28,596	9,196	6,286	4,022	121,688	5, 196	24,578
13	RATE OF RETURN	6.90%	6.46%	6.60%	7.48%	6.15%	3.63%	8.23%	6.47%		
14	RATE OF RETURN INDEX		99.69%	105.07%	115.66%	95.13%	54.80%	127.23%	100.00%		

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 6 of 60 Schedule 1.10

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 7 of 60 Schedule 1.10

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY PROPOSED RATE SUMMARY

<u>Ftnt</u>	
<u>Label</u>	Description
(A)	From "Present Rate Summary"
(A)	······ ·······························
(B)	Provided by Pricing, Costing & Load Research, Gulf Power Company.
(A)	
(C)	Calculated by multiplying Proposed Revenues times the appropriate Proposed Expense Factor
(D)	Operating Income equals Total Revenue minus Total Expenses.
(A)	
(E)	Proposed Income Tax Increase calculated by multiplying Proposed Revenue minus
	Proposed Expense Increase times Effective Tax Rate of 0.38575.
(F)	Net Operating Income equals Operating Income less Total Income Taxes.
(G)	Rate of Return equals Net Operating Income Divided by Total Electric Investment.
(H)	Each Rate Class Rate of Return divided by Total Retail Service Rate of Return
	Etnt. <u>Label</u> (A) (A) (B) (A) (C) (D) (A) (E) (F) (G) (H)

~

.

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 1.11 - EQUAL RATE OF RETURN SUMMARY - PROPOSED RATES (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)
1	EQUAL RATE OF RETURN	6.47%	6.47%	6.47%	6.47%	6.47%	6.47%	6.47%
2	PROPOSED OPERATING INCOME	121,888	70,534	4,125	24,723	9,667	9,679	3,161
3	CURRENT OPERATING INCOME	76,359	43,342	2,883	20,520	4,848	1,264	3,502
4	CHANGE IN OPERATING INCOME	45,530	27,192	1,242	4,203	4,819	8,415	(341)
5	CHANGE IN INCOME TAXES	28,592	17,078	780	2,639	3,026	5,285	(214)
6	PRESENT INCOME TAXES	26,549	14,832	1 ,087	8,545	1,346	(905)	1 ,644
7	CHANGE IN EXPENSES	273	162	7	25	29	51	(2)
8	PRESENT EXPENSES	425,743	254,594	17,383	77,930	35,024	30,824	9,988
9	REV REQ - EQUAL SYSTEM ROR - PROPOSED RATES	603,045	357,198	23,382	1 13,861	49,092	44,934	14,577
10	PRESENT REVENUE REQUIREMENTS	528,651	312,768	21,353	106,995	41,218	31,183	15,134
11	REVENUE EXCESS / DEFICIENCY	74,393	44,430	2,029	6,866	7,874	13,751	(557)
12	REV REQ INDEX - EQUAL SYSTEM ROR - PROP. RATES	87.66%	87.56%	91.32%	93.97%	83.96%	69.40%	103.82%

.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 8 of 60 Schedule 1.11

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 9 of 60 Schedule 1.11

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY EQUAL RATE OF RETURN SUMMARY - PROPOSED RATES

.

Line No.	<u>Ftrit</u> Label	Description
1	(A)	From "Proposed Rate Summary", Total Retail Service Rate of Return
2	(B)	Line 1 times Total Rate Base - "Proposed Rate Summary"
3	(C)	From "Present Rate Summary"
4	(D)	Line 2 minus Line 3
5	(E)	Line 4 times the combined effective tax rate divided by 1 minus the combined effective tax rate
6	(C)	
7	(F)	Line 4 plus Line 5 times the Proposed Expense Factor divided by 1 minus the Proposed Expense Factor
8	(C)	
9	(G)	Line 2 plus Lines 5 - 8.
10	(C)	
11	(H)	Line 9 minus Line 10
12	(1)	Line 10 divided by Line 9

٠

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2 10 - ANALYSIS OF GROSS PLANT (\$0007S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (8)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
,		(0)			\ -7					•••		
1	TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT	1,571,047	627,458	30,656	252,627	107,929	130,774	6,721	1,165,365	35,508	380, 174	
-	RETAIL JURISDICTION				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~ ~		467	4 000 404			
2 3	DEMAND ENERGY		585,178 42,280	28,617 2,339	230,676 21,951	96.157 9,772	119,476 11,298	4,46/	1,060,491 68,874			
	TRANSMISSION PLANT			•								
	SCHAND & LAND RIGHTS											
4	LEVEL 2 COMON	1,541	821	40	323	138	167	6	1,496	46	0	
5	LEVEL 3 COMMON	591	351	17	138	51	31	3	591	0	0	
6	TOTAL SUBSTATION LAND LINES	2,132	1,172	57	461	189	196	9	2,086	46	0	
7		16,183	9,678	472	3,816	1,624	1,977	74	17,641	542	0	
8	IUTAL ACCOUNT 250	20,315	10,860	529	4,277	1,813	41/6	83	19,727	388	U	
	352-STRUCTURES											
9	LEVEL 2 CUSTOMER SUB	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	
10	LEVEL 2 COMMON	9,550	5,083	248	2,004	653	1,038	39	9,265	285	0	
11	LEVEL 3 COMMON	1,439	863	42	337	125	75	7	1,439	0	0	
12	TOTAL ACCOUNT 322	10,991	6,936	290	2,341	9/8	1,115	40	10,706	230	U	
	353-STATION EQUIPMENT											
13	LEVEL 2 CUSTOMER SUB	140	0	0	0	0	140	0	140	0	0	
14	LEVEL 2 COMMON	125,698	63,634	3,096	25,045	10,657	12,971	487	115,790	3,559	6,347	
15	LEVEL 3 COMON	38,170	22,657	1,104	6,931	3,305	1,999	174	38,170	0	0	
16	TOTAL ACCOUNT 353	164,006	86, 191	4,200	33,976	13,962	15,110	661	154,100	3,559	6,347	
	SCA. TOWERS AND FIXTLEES											
17	LEVEL 2 COMON	42,804	22,787	1,110	8,982	3,822	4,652	175	41,528	1,276	0	
	355-POLES AND FIXTURE					40 000	46 400			4 4 4 2	•	
18	LEVEL 2 COMMON	148,9/0	79,305	3,865	31,252	13,342	10,182	608	144,334	4,442	U	
	36-OVEREAD CONJULTOPS											
19	LEVEL 2 COLACIN	85,526	46,529	2,219	17,947	7,637	9,295	349	82,976	2,550	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20		14.005	7 502	200	2 059	1 950	1 532	58	13.675	420	0	
20		14,000	7,002	300	2,000	1,200	1,002		10,070	-		
	359-FIDADS AND TRAILS											그의 일반구요
21	LEVEL 2 COMON	236	126	6	50	21	25	1	229	7	0	
20	TATAL TRANS & ANT	496 949	359 776	12 595	101 703	42 704	50.096	1 981	4 87 AT5	13 127	6 347	
4		-04,848		12,020	101,735		30,000	1,001		14,12.	0,017	<u> </u>
												┨∵,⋜₽₩∞
												a n
												sy nix
												- SS
												ō
												3

DISTRIBUTION PLANT											
SELSI ESTATION LAND											
	74	0	0	0	0	11	0	11	8	•	
	A 594	2 720	123	1 073	307	240	21	4 594	<u> </u>	U U	
	12	2,720	135	1,075	38/ 1	240		12	Ň	Ň	
TOTAL ACCOUNT 360	4,670	2,728	133	1, 076	396	251	21	4,607	82	Ö	
361-STRUCTURES											
LEVEL 3 CLIST, SUB	2.007	0	0	0	617	963	0	1.581	426	0	
LEVEL 3 COMON	21,220	12.596	614	4,965	1.637	1.111	97	21,220	õ	ō	
LEVEL 4 COMON			0	0	0	0	0		ō	ō	
TUTAL ACCOUNT 361	23,227	12,596	614	4,965	2,454	2,074	97	22,901	426	ō	
362-STATION EQUIPMENT											
LEVEL 3 CUST. SUB	20,433	0	0	0	4, 195	12,566	0	16,761	3,672	0	
LEVEL 3 COMON	206,570	122,021	6,946	48,100	17,799	10,768	836	205,570	0	0	
LEVEL 4 COMON	23	13	1	6	2	1	0	23	0	0	
TUTAL ACCOLINT 382	226,026	122,034	5,947	48,106	21,996	23,335	936	222,354	3,672	0	
364-POLES AND FIXTURES											
LEVEL 4 COMON	35,454	20,686	1,116	6,647	3,138	1,334	53 1	35,454	0	0	
LEVEL 4 CLISTOMER	68,500	59,649	4,505	2,703	43	7	1,593	68, 500	0	0	
LEVEL 5 COMON	10,168	5,310	341	2,621	715	19	162	10, 168	0	0	
LEVEL 5 CLISTOMER	19,667	17,128	1,294	775	11	1	458	19,667	0	0	
TOTAL ACCOUNT 384	133,789	103,773	7,258	14,746	3,907	1,361	2,744	133,789	0	0	
365-OVERHEAD CONDUCTORS											
LEVEL 4 COMON	89,996	52,507	2,838	21,951	7,967	3,367	1,348	69,996	0	0	
LEVEL 4 CLISTOMER	17,614	16,338	1,158	695	11	2	410	17,614	0	0	
LEVEL 5 COMMON	25,183	16,627	844	6,491	1,772	48	401	25,183	0	0	
LEVEL 5 CUSTOMER	4,616	4, 194	317	190	3	0	112	4,616	0	0	
TUTAL ACCOUNT 385	137,611	67,886	6,157	29,327	9,753	3,437	2,271	137,611	0	0	
SECUNDERGICUND CONDUIT									-	-	\mathcal{O} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}
	004	36/	21	162	29	20	10	004	U	U	ᅷᅋᇰᅸᇍᆮᇬᇯ
	33	29	2	1	~	0	1	33	0	0	@ @ ㅎ ㅎ ㅎ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
	460	2/19	15	116	32	1	/	450	U	Ű	ᇢᄀᆇᅆᆞᆔᄚᆱ
	14	12	1	1	Ű	~ v	U	14	U O	Ű	
IOIAL ACCOUNT SEE	1,101	/0/	39	280	81	20	16	1,161	U	U	
367-UNDERGROLPO COND. & DEV.											그의 흥유그흥
LEVEL 4 COMMON	99,398	57,991	3,134	24,243	6,799	3,740	1,489	99,396	0	0	
LEVEL 4 CLISTOMER	4,845	4,216	319	191	3	1	113	4,845	0	0	
LEVEL 5 COMON	40,669	25,235	1,364	10,483	2,861	78	648	40,669	0	0	€48₩€
LEVEL 5 CUSTOMER	1,908	1,663	125	75	1	0	- 44	1,908	0	0	크린날오황
TOTAL ACCOUNT 387	148,616	89,107	4,942	34,992	11,664	3,619	2,294	146,816	0	0	$\Box \circ = \Box \circ$
											N N N N
											a n
											is ni
											<pre>SS</pre>
											Ĭċ
											ž

									TITAL		
line No.	DESCRIPTION	ELECTRIC SYSTEM	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL	RATE CLASS GS	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT	RATE CLASS	RATE CLASS	RATE CLASS OS	RETAIL	WHOLESALE	POWER
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(6)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)

.....

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLCCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2.10 - ANALYSIS OF GROSS FLANT (\$00075)

.

GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2.10 - ANALYSIS OF GROSS PLANT (\$000%)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (6)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHCLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)
55 58 57 58 59	389-LINE TRANSPORMERS LEVEL 4 COMMON LEVEL 4 CUSTOMER LEVEL 5 COMMON LEVEL 5 CUSTOMER TOTAL ACCOUNT 388	35,271 4,314 154,026 60,139 253,750	20,679 3,757 98,575 62,376 172,287	1,112 284 5,165 3,956 10,517	8,603 170 39,703 2,370 50, 94 8	3, 122 3 10,835 34 13 ,99 4	1,327 0 294 4 1,625	528 100 2.454 1,399 4,481	35,271 4,314 154,026 60,139 253,750	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
60 81 62	369-SERVICES HOUSE POWER BOXES OTHER SERVICES TOTAL ACCOUNT 369	0 99,675 99,675	0 88,877 88,877	0 6,712 6,712	0 4,021 4,021	0 67 67	0 8 8	0 0 0	0 99,675 99,675	0 0 0	0 0 0
63	370-METERS	65,096	48,878	6,437	8,768	494	266	138	64,981	116	0
65 69	S73-STREET LIGHTING	1,157,174	0 728,653	0 47,756 22,646	0 197,127	0 64,809	0 35,203 25 014	78,351	1,152,898	4.276 4 181	0
67	CLISTOMER	411,972	296,119	25,110	19,960	860	289	69,719	411,857	115	ŏ
	GENERAL PLANT										
68 69 70 71 72	ELECTRIC Demand Clistomer Energy Total General Plant	175,908 108,101 63,609 5,996 175,906	108,818 55,114 48,860 2,854 108,818	8,679 2,737 5,686 157 8,679	29,168 21,951 5,736 1,481 29,168	10,228 8,928 642 658 10,228	10,817 9,487 567 763 10,817	3,020 697 2,340 83 3,020	168,430 98,814 63,620 5,996 168,430	2,818 2,629 189 0 2,818	4,658 4,658 0 0 4,658
73 74 75 76	TOTAL ELEC. GROSS PLANT DEMAND CLISTOMER ENERGY	3,391,076 2,620,424 475,781 94,670	1,720,955 1,331,052 344,769 45,134	99,776 66,485 30,795 2,496	590,715 531,587 25,696 23,432	225,760 214,026 1,302 10,430	227,689 214,972 856 12,061	89,073 15,697 72,059 1,317	2,944,168 2,373,821 475,477 94,870	55,729 55,425 304 0	391,179 391,179 0 0

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. (MTO-2) Page 12 of 60 Schedule 2.10

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 13 of 60 Schedule 2.10

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF GROSS PLANT

Line	<u>Etnt</u>	
<u>No.</u>	<u>Label</u>	Description
1	(A)	Retail jurisdiction sum of Lines 2 and 3; Wholesale allocated per Level 1 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
2	(B)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Demand Allocator.
З	(C)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Energy Allocator.
4	(D)	Allocated per Level 2 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
5	(E)	Allocated per Level 3 Demand Allocator.
7	(D)	
9	(F)	Specific Assignment
10	(D)	
11	(E)	
13	(F)	
14	(D)	
15	(E)	
17	(D)	
18	(D)	
19	(D)	
20	(D)	
21	(D)	
23	(F)	
24	(E)	
25	(G)	Allocated per Level 4 NCP Demand Allocator
27	(F)	
28	(E)	
29	(G)	
31	(F)	,
32	(E)	
33	(G)	
35	(G)	
36	(H)	Allocated per Average Number of Customers at Level 4 and Level 5.
37	(1)	Allocated per Level 5 NCP Demand Allocator
38	(J)	Allocated per Average Number of Customers at Level 5.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 14 of 60 Schedule 2.10

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF GROSS PLANT

Line	Ftnt	
<u>No.</u>	Label	Description
40	(G)	
41	(H)	
42	(I)	
43	(J)	
45	(G)	
48	(H)	
47	(I)	
48	(J)	
50	(G)	
51	(H)	
52	(I)	
53	(J)	
55	(G)	
56	(H)	
57	(!)	
58	(J)	
60	(F)	
61	(K)	Allocated per Average Number of Customers at Level 5 excluding Rate OS.
63	(L)	Provided by Gulf Power Company
64	(F)	
68	(M)	Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages; UPS directly assigned.
69	(M)	
70	(M)	
71	(M)	

GULF FOWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING OCCEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2.20 - ANALYSIS OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION RESERVE (\$000'S)

line NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	total Hetail Service (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
1	TOTAL PRODUCTION	759,866	334, 127	1 6,432	134,626	57,474	69,638	3,046	615,243	18,909	125,715	
	HETAIL JURISDICTION											
2 3	DEMAND ENERGY		311,613 22,514	15,186 1,246	122,637 11,689	62,270 6,204	63,622 6,016	2,389 657	667,917 47,326			
	TRANSMISSION											
4	350-LAND AND LAND RIGHTS	6,816	3,629	177	1,430	609	740	28	8,613	203	0	
5	362-STRACTURES	3,645	1,969	96	776	324	370	15	3,550	95 671	0 2 418	
7	354-TOWERS & FIXTURES	25,140	13,539	/ SEC 680	5.337	2,000	2,764	104	24.675	758	0	
8	365-POLES & FIXTURES	26,313	14,007	683	5,622	2,349	2,860	107	25,528	786	Ō	
9	358-OVERHEAD COND.	26,168	13,930	679	5,491	2,337	2,644	1 07	25,368	780	0	
10	358-UNDERGROUND COND.	7,657	4,075	199	1,607	684	832	32	7,429	228	0	
11	359-ROADS AND TRAILS	40	22	1	6	4	4	0	39	1	0	
12	TOTAL TRANSMISSION	128,218	67,423	3,287	26,677	11,211	13,253	518	122,2/9	3,621	2,418	
	DISTRIBUTION											
13	380-SUBSTATION LAND	35	21	1	8	з	2	0	35	0	0	
14	361-STRUCTURES	7,961	4,282	206	1,680	831	703	33	7,717	144	0	
15	382-STATION EQLIPMENT	61,193	33,040	1,610	13,024	5,955	6,317	253	60, 199	994	0	
	364-POLES & FIXTURES											
16	COMMEN	23,472	13,689	751	5,797	1,982	696	357	23,472	0	0	
17	CLETCHER	45,360	39,499	2,983	1,791	28	4	1,065	45,360	0	0	
18	TOTAL ACCOUNT 364	66,832	53,386	3,734	7,688	2,010	700	1,412	66,832	0	0	
	SEG-OVERFEAD COND.									-	-	
19	COMEN	40,725	24,091	1,302	10,056	3,443	1.215	618	40,725	0	0	~ - -
20	CUSTOMER	7,931	6,907	521	313	5	0	165	7,931	0	0	
21	IUIAL ACCOUNT 365	46,666	30,998	1,823	10,389	3,448	1,215	903	48,666	0	U	- ¥ 🙇 🍳

.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 15 of 60 Schedule 2.20

GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH NDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2:20- ANALYSIS OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION RESERVE (\$00075)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (8)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLFSALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	368-UNDQ. CONDUT											
22	COMMON	768	457	25	193	63	18	12	768	0	0	
23	TOTAL ACCOUNT 368	32	29 496	2 77	194	0	0 18	12	32	0	0	
			~~~			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		12		Ŭ	Ū	
	367-LINDERGROUND COND. & DEV.											
25	COMMON	50,238	29,887	1,815	12,471	4,187	1,371	767	50,298	0	0	
26	CUSTOMER	2,425	2,110	160	96	2	0	57	2,425	0	0	
27	TOTAL ACCOLINT 367	52,723	31,997	1,775	12, <b>567</b>	4,189	1,371	824	52,723	0	0	
	368-LINE TRANSFORMERS											
26	COMON	69.302	42.524	2.298	17.685	5,110	593	1.052	69.302	0	0	
29	CUSTOMER	23,597	20,551	1.652	630	13	2	549	23.597	ō	Ō	
30	TOTAL ACCOUNT 368	92,899	63,075	3,860	18,615	5,123	695	1,641	92,899	0	0	
31	369-SERVICES	50,834	45,327	3,423	2,051	29	4	0	50,834	0	0	
32	370-METERS	21,743	18,057	1,464	1,993	112	60	31	21,717	26	0	
33	373-STREET LIGHTING	33,445	0	0	0	0	0	33,445	33,445	0	0	
34	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION	439.021	280.651	17915	68.089	21.763	10.995	3R.454	437.857	1 164	0	
35	DEMAND	253,654	148,171	7810	60,914	21.574	10,915	3 132	252 518	1,138	0	
36	CLETCHER	185,367	132,480	10,105	7,175	169	70	36,322	185,341	26	ŏ	
	GENERAL PLANT											
	ELECTER:	-									i.	
37	OFMAND	49 791	22 222	1 104	8 954	3.001	3 637	241	30.0=0	1 020	1.852	
38	GISTOFR		19 634	2 293	2 314	3,001	3,027	044	25,663	1,000	1,002	
39	ENERGY	2419	1 161	2,280 RA	2,514	200	307		2418	1	Ŭ	
40	TOTAL ELECTRIC GENERAL PLANT	70,939	43,007	3,461	11,765	4,126	4,363	1,218	67,940	1,137	1,862	
41	TOTAL ELECTRIC DEPR. AESERVE	1.398 044	725,208	41,095	240,957	94.574	98 240	43 238	1 243 310	24.730	129,995	
42	DEMAND	1 137 193	549.439	27.387	219 182	AR 856	91 627	6.280	982 671	24,627	129,995	
43	CLISTOMER	211.106	152.104	12.398	9 499	448	298	36,266	211 004	102		o a x
44	ENERGY	49,745	23,685	1,310	12,288	5,470	6,323	690	49,744		ŏ	

. 1

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 16 of 60 Schedule 2.20

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 17 of 60 Schedule 2.20

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION RESERVE

,

<u>Line</u> No.	<u>Etnt</u> Label	Description
1	(A)	Retail jurisdiction sum of Lines 2 and 3; Wholesale allocated per
		Level 1 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
2	(B)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Demand Allocator.
3	(C)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Energy Allocator.
4	(D)	Allocated per Transmission Account 350 Gross Plant (Lines portion only); UPS directly assigned.
5	(E)	Allocated per corresponding Transmission Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
6	(E)	
7	(E)	
8	(E)	
9	(E)	
10	(E)	
11	(E)	
13	(F)	Allocated per corresponding Distribution Gross Plant.
14	(F)	
15	(F)	
10	(F) (5)	
10	(F) (5)	
20	(F)	
22	(F)	
23	(F)	
25	(F)	
26	(F)	
28	(F)	
29	(F)	
31	(F)	
32	(F)	
33	(F)	
37	(G)	Allocated per corresponding Gross General Plant; UPS directly assigned.
38	(G)	
39	(G)	

## GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2.30 - ANALYSIS OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES (\$000%)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (6)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL HETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLFSALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	PRODUCTION											
1		30,413	14,766	726	5,943	2,539	3,074	135	27, 183	900	2,330	
2 3	DEMAND ENERGY		13,768 999	671 55	5, <b>42</b> 7 516	2,309 230	2,611 263	106 29	25,092 2,091			
4 5	FLEL TOTAL PRODUCTION M& S	103,229 133,642	44,350 59,116	2,454 3,180	23,026 28,969	10,250 12,789	11,851 14,925	1,294 1,429	93,225 120,408	2,801 3,701	7,203 9,533	
	TRANSMISSION											
6 7 6	LINES FELATED SUBSTATION FELATED TOTAL TRANS. M & S	2,495 1,687 4,182	1,328 922 2,250	65 46 110	524 383 867	223 149 372	271 163 434	10 7 17	2,421 1,649 4,070	74 38 112	0 0 0	
	DISTRIBUTION											
9 10 11 12 13	DEMAND RELATED METERING RELATED ST. LIGHTING RELATED OTHER TOTAL DIST. M& S	15,4 <u>83,200</u> 166.000 634.771 240.421 16,625	9,033 127 0 140 9,301	489 16 0 7 511	3,776 22 0 57 3,855	1,371 1 0 21 1,383	583 0 11 594	232 0 636 3 870	15,483 166 636 239 16,524	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	
14	CLISTONER ACCOLNTS	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	
15	CLISTUMER ASSISTANCE	5	2	0	1	2	0	0	5	0	0	
16 17 16 19	TOTAL ELECTRIC M& S DELIAND CUSTOMER ENERGY	154,358 48,229 611 105,320	70,673 25,192 134 45,348	3,801 1,276 16 2,509	33,712 10,147 23 23,542	14,556 4,073 3 10,480	15,953 3,639 0 12,114	2,316 368 636 1,323	141,011 <b>44,885</b> 611 95,316	3,614 1,013 0 2,801	9,533 2,330 0 7,203	Witne Exhib Page Sche

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 18 of 60 Schedule 2.30

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 19 of 60 Schedule 2.30

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

Line No.	<u>Ftnt</u> Label	Description
1	(A)	Retail jurisdiction sum of Lines 2 and 3; Wholesale allocated per
		Level 1 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
2	(B)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Demand Allocator.
3	(C)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Energy Allocator.
4	(D)	Allocated per Level 1 Energy Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
6	(E)	Allocated per Level 2 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
7	(F)	Allocated per Gross Investment in Transmission Substations excluding UPS.
9	(G)	Allocated per Level 4 NCP Demand Allocator.
10	(H)	Allocated per Distribution Gross Plant in Account 370.
11	(I)	Directly assigned to Street Lighting.
12	(J)	Allocated per Demand-related Distribution Gross Plant.
14	(K)	Allocated per Customer Accounts O & M Expense.

15 (L) Allocated per Customer Assistance O & M Energy Cost Conservation.

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2.40 - AWALYSIS OF OTHER WORKING CAPITAL (\$00075)

.

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	OTHER WORKING CAPITAL											
1	CURPENT ASSETS & LIAB.	(7,220)	(4,280)	(306)	(1,181)	(565)	) (444)	(140)	(6,916)	(126)	(174)	
2	DEMAND	(4,624)	(2,425)	(121)	(986)	(392)	) (411)	(27)	(4,342)	(108)	(174)	
3	CUSTOMER	(2.303)	(1,676)	(176)	(166)	(155	) (14)	(109)	(2,296)	(D)	0	
<b>4</b> 6	Evenus Revenus related	(113) (180)	(51) (128)	(2) (9)	(30) (19)	(13)	) (15) ) (4)	(2) (2)	(113) (167)	0 (13)	0	
6	CABLE ATTACHENTS	(2,327)	(1,380)	(100)	(380)	(182)	) (142)	(46)	(2,230)	(41)	(56)	
7	DEMAND	(1,490)	(782)	(39)	(311)	(128)	) (132)	(9)	(1.399)	(36)	(56)	
8	CUSTOMER	(742)	(540)	(57)	(54)	(60)	) (4)	(35)	(740)	(2)	0	
10		(36)	(16)	(1)	(9)	(4)	) (5)	(1)	(36)	0	0	
10	HEVENUE HELAIED	(68)	(42)	(3)	(6)	(2	) (1)	(1)	(55)	(4)	0	
11	PREPAYMENTS PREDUCTION	7 223	2 682	101	1.493	633	769	22	6 790	208	245	
	RETAIL JURISDICTION		3,002	101	1,465		/06		6,700	200	240	
12	DEMAND		3.434	167	1.354	576	701	26	6.258			
13	ENERGY		248	14	129	57	67	7	522			
14	TRANSMISSION	2,680	1,439	70	568	239	290	11	2,607	73	0	
15	DISTRIBUTION	6,567	4,138	272	1,114	366	204	449	6,543	24	0	
16	DEMAND	4,206	2,441	126	1,000	362	203	49	4,183	23	0	
17	CUSTOMER	2,361	1,697	144	114	4	1	400	2,380	1	0	
18	CLISTOMER ACCOUNTS	124	108	8	5	0	0	2	123	1	0	
19	CLETUMER ASSSISTANCE	130	58	12	16	43	2	0	130	0	0	
21		130	55	12	15	43	2	0	130	0	0	
22		16 734	0.425	543	2 105	1 291	1 264	405	16 193	306	245	
23	DEMAND	19 607	7 314	365	2 022	1 177	1 104	480	12 049	300	240	
24	CASTONER	2 615	1 983	164	134	47	3	402	2 813	2	240	
25	ENERGY	622	248	14	129	57	67	7	522	ō	ŏ	
26	PRELIM SURVEY & INVESTIGATION	5,238	2,760	135	1,112	475	575	25	5,082	156	0	
_	RETAIL JURISDICTION											
27	DEMAND		2,574	125	1,015	432	525	20	4,691			
28	ENERGY		186	10	97	43	50	6	391			S D L S
	OTHER INVESTMENTS						_					
29	PREDUCTION	34,471	18,162	892	7,313	3,124	3,787	165	33,443	1,028	0	ŭ , <u>5</u> 0
~					• -		<b>-</b>					
30			16,939	825	6,677	2,841	3,459	129	30,670			° . ć
30	TDANENASEKIN	0.045	1,223	67	636	283	328	36	2,6/3	~	-	<u>N</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
33	DISTRIBUTION	3,015	1,010	710	2 4 77	2/0	324	12	2,82/	66	0	4 <u>8</u>   <del></del>
34	DEMAND	10, 142 9 810	5 007	(40) 779	2,4/3	731	200	30/	8 500	10	U O	
35	CASTONER	7 5 90	5,007	2/2 454	2,107	19	200	872	7 620	12	U 0	' %
			4010	-64	300	14	0	0/2	فكر ،	3	U	Ĩ 2

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. (MTO-2) Page 20 of 60 Schedule 2.40

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS VETHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2.40 - ANALYSIS OF OTHER WORKING CAPITAL (\$2007S)

		TOTAL							TOTAL		UNIT	
LINE		ELECTRIC	RATE CLASS	RATE CLASS	RATE CLASS	RATE CLASS	RATE CLASS	RATE CLASS	RETAIL		POWER	
NO.	DESCRIPTION	SYSTEM	RESIDENTIAL	GS	GSD/GSDT	LP/LPT	MAJOR ACCTS	06	SERMCE	WHOLESALE	SALES	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
	.,			•			.,		• -•	• •		
36	CLISTOMER ACCOLINTS	9,660	8,406	635	382	10	17	132	9,582	78	0	
37	CLISTOMER ASSISTANCE	10,185	6,649	1,349	1,713	253	221	0	10,185	0	0	
36	CLETCHER	10,185	6,649	1,349	1.713	253	221	0	10,185	0	0	
39	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
40	TOTAL OTHER INVESTMENTS	73.473	45.743	3.679	12.515	4.388	4,643	1.296	72.264	1.209	Ō	
41	DEMAND	43,523	23,646	1,174	9.418	3,630	4.071	256	42 395	1.128	ŏ	
42	CLETOFR	27 377	20 874	2 438	2 481	275	244	1.004	27 296	81	ŏ	
43	ENERGY	2.573	1.223	- 67	636	283	328	36	2.673	0	ŏ	
44	ENVIRONENTAL CLEANUP	58,878	34,878	2,517	9,633	4,813	3,635	1,136	56,414	1,047	1,417	
45	DEMAND	37,709	19,773	964	7,890	3,196	3,366	216	35,407	686	1,417	
46	CLISTOMER	18,777	13,659	1,437	1,356	1,266	116	888	18,722	55	0	
47	ENERGY	924	410	20	243	109	128	14	924	0	0	
48	REVENUE RELATED	1,469	1,036	76	154	42	35	18	1,361	107	0	
49	PHOP. INSURANCE RESERVE	(16,782)	(8,857)	(523)	(3,023)	(1,168)	) (1,154)	(408)	(15, 133)	(276)	(1,373)	
60	DEMAND	(14,026)	(6,953)	(348)	(2,780)	(1,116)	) (1,098)	(84)	(12,379)	(274)	(1,373)	
51	CUSTOMER	(2,355)	(1,714)	(164)	(144)	(6)	) (5)	(318)	(2,353)	(2)	0	
52	ENERGY	(401)	(190)	(11)	(89)	(44)	) (51)	(6)	(401)	0	0	
	OTHER POST DETUREMENT REACEUTS											
63	PEDICION	(33.778)	(17 594)	(987)	(7 060)	(3.016	/2655	(150)	(32 295)	(991)	0	
03		(33,2/0)	(17,554)	(002)	(7,008)	(3,010)	) (3,000)	(139)	(32,200)	(391)	v	
64			440.959	-	10 1.10	10 743		1.000	(00.000			
	ENERGY		(10,303)	(/8/)	(0,440)	(2,7~)	(3,336)	(120)	(23,002)			
80		(0.044)	(1,101)	(80)	(613)	(2/3)		(34)	(2,403)	(96)	•	
30		(2,911)	(1,663)	(75)	(612)	(201)	(314)	(11)	(2,626)	(60)		
5/	DISTRUEDION	(15,662)	(10,539)	(701)	(2,38/)	(706)	) (283)	(902)	(15,568)	(14)	0	
58	DENAND	(8,311)	(4,922)	(252)	(2,034)	(694)	) (27)	(11)	(8,300)	(11)	U	
59	CLEICMEN	(7271)	(5,619)	(436)	(353)	(11)	) (6)	(841)	(7,258)	(3)	0	
60	CLISTOMER ACCOUNTS	(9,325)	(8,113)	(613)	(369)	(10)	) (16)	(128)	(9,249)	(76)	0	
61	CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE	(9,631)	(6,415)	(1,303)	(1,654)	(245)	) (214)	0	(9,631)	0	0	
62	CUSTOMER	(9,631)	(8,415)	(1,303)	(1,654)	(245)	) (214)	0	(9,831)	0	0	
83	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
64	TOTAL OTHER POST RETIFEMENT BENEFITS	(70,925)	(44,156)	(3,563)	(12,081)	(4,237)	) (4,482)	(1,260)	(69,759)	<b>(1,166)</b>	0	
65	DEMANO	(42,015)	(22,828)	(1,134)	(9,092)	(3,696)	) (3,929)	(247)	(40,928)	(1,067)	0	
66	CLETCHER	(26,427)	(20,147)	(2,354)	(2,376)	(266)	) (236)	(969)	(26, 348)	(79)	0	
67	ENERGY	(2,483)	(1,161)	(65)	(613)	(273)	) (317)	(34)	(2,483)	0 <u> </u>	0	הסטאשמא
												<i>- ∽ ☆ ★ ★ ⊆ </i> ⊂
68	OTHER DEF. CR. & DEBITS	(44,453)	(26,331)	(1,900)	(7,272)	(3,483)	) (2,745)	(861)	(42,592)	(791)	(1,070)	요 걸 뜬 걸 드 쑴 것
69	DEMAND	(28,470)	(14,928)	(743)	(5,949)	(2,413)	) (2,534)	(165)	(26,732)	(668)	(1,070)	
70	CLISTOLER	(14,177)	(10,311)	(1,086)	(1,024)	(956)	) (88)	(671)	(14,135)	(42)	0	
71	ENERGY	(697)	(309)	(15)	(163)	(83)	) (96)	(11)	(697)	0	0	$\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}$
72	REVENUE RELATED	(1,109)	(783)	(57)	(116)	(31)	) (27)	(14)	(1,028)	(81)	0	
	UNAMORT. RATE CASE EXP.											
73	REVENUE RELATED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
74		10.010	7 000		0 500			340	13 944	346	(1	Ĕ&X~Š
		12,616	7,802	490	2,608	1,122	1,140	249	13,311	316	(1,011)	크린동안장
75	UEMAND	9,051	5,391	263	2,137	880	1,032	48	9,761	301	(1.011)	
76	CLEIUMER	2,765	2,008	203	187	153	16	192	2,769	6	Ō	
77	ENERGY	680	320	17	171	75	89	8	680	Ó	Q	
78	REVENUE RELATED	120	83	7	13	4	3	1	111	9	0	
												yg ≺ nr
												33 <u>–</u> 33
												<ul> <li>Z IS</li> </ul>
												<u>S</u> .
												Q
												L

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 22 of 60 Schedule 2.40

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF OTHER WORKING CAPITAL

Line	<u>Ftnt</u>	<b>—</b> • • •
<u>No.</u>	Label	Description
1	(A)	Allocated per Total Expenses less Production Energy related O & M, Income taxes, and Non-cash items.
2	(A)	
3	(A)	
4	(A)	
5	(A)	
6	(A)	
7	(A)	
8	(A)	
9	(A)	
10	(A)	
11	<b>(B)</b>	Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
12	(C)	Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant.
13	(C)	
14	(B)	
15	(C)	
16	(C)	
17	(C)	
18	(D)	Allocated per corresponding Operations and Maintenance Expense.
19	(D)	
20	(D)	
21	(D)	Allessed as a Deadwriter Occase Diaste LIDO diasetty and as a
26	(E)	Allocated per Production Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
27	(F)	Allocated per corresponding Production Gross Plant.
28	(F) (C)	Allegated pay sourcepanding Colories and Misson
29	(0)	Anocated per corresponding Salaries and Wayes
30	(0)	
30	(G)	
32	(C) (G)	
34	(C) (G)	
35	(C) (G)	
36	(G)	
37	(G)	
38	(G)	
39	(G)	
	(-)	

Х. - с. -

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 23 of 60 Schedule 2.40

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF OTHER WORKING CAPITAL

Lin <del>e</del> No.	<u>Etnt</u> Label	Description
44	(A)	
45	(A)	
46	(A)	
47	(A)	
48	(A)	
49	(H)	Allocated per Total Net Plant; UPS directly assigned.
50	(H)	
51	(1)	Allocated per Total Net Plant.
52	(1)	
53	(G)	
54	(G)	
55	(G)	
50	(G)	
57	(G)	
58	(G)	
59	(G)	
60	(G)	
61	(G)	
62	(G)	
63	(G)	
68	(A)	
69	(A)	
70	(A)	
71	(A)	
72	(A)	
73	(J)	Allocated per Retail Revenue from Sales.

#### GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DELIMID ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLLOGY SCHEDULE 2,50 - ANALYSIS OF OTHER RATE BASE ITEMS (\$00075)

.

LINE ND. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	<b>TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM</b> (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LPALPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (B)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)
	CONST. WORK N PROGRESS INTEREST BEARING										
1	PRODUCTION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2			•	•			•	•	•		
3	ENERGY		ŏ	0	ŭ		U 0	, v	0		
4	TRANSLESION	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ő	ŏ	ŏ	0	0
5	DISTRIBUTION	Ó	Ó	Ō	ō	Ō	ŏ	ō	Ō	Ō	Ō
6	DEMND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	CLISTOMER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	CARTOMER ACCOUNTS	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
10	CLETCHER	0	0	0	0		U O	U N	U	Ű	U N
ii	ENERGY	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŭ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
12	TOTAL CWIP	Ō	Ō	õ	õ	Ō	Ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
13	DEMND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	CUSTOMER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15		Q	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CONST. WORK IN PROGRESS WORK NOT BEARING INTEREST	_									
16	PRODUCTION	17,325	5,673	279	2,285	976	1,182	52	10,447	321	6,557
	RETAL JURISDICTION										
17	DEMAND		5,290	258	2,086	688	1,080	41	9,643		
18		10 704	383	21	199	66	102	11	804		•
20	DISTRIBUTION	10,704	3,654	240	2,26/	303	1,113	44 304	6 797	242	
21	DEMND	3,747	2.174	114	991	323	181	43	3.726	21	ŏ
22	CUSTOMER	2,071	1,490	126	100	3	1	361	2.071	Ó	ŏ
23	TOTAL CWIP - WORK NOT BEARING INTEREST	33,847	15,090	799	5,543	2,255	2,479	490	26,656	634	6,557
24		30,972	13,217	652	5,244	2,164	2,376	128	23,781	634	6,557
20	CUSTOMEN ENERGY	2,071	1,490	126	100	3	1	351	2,071	0	0
20	PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE		363	21	189		IUZ	11	804	U	U
		_									
27	PRODUCTION RETAIL JURISDICTION	5,290	2,785	136	1,120	478	579	25	5,123	157	0
28	DEMAND		2.596	126	1,023	435	529	20	4,729		
29	ENERGY		189	10	97	43	50	5	394		
~	DISTRIBUTION		_		-						
30		14	9	0	3	1	1	0	14	0	0
32	TOTAL DESTRIBUTION	22	14			. 1	' U		20	Ű	U N
-	GENERAL	_		•		•	•	•	-	Ū	v
33	DEMAND	79	44	2	17	7	7	0	Π	2	0
34	CUSTONER	50	38	4	5	1	0	2	50	0	Ō
35		4	2	1	0	0	1	Q		Q	Q
36		133	64	7	22	8	8	2	131	2	0
37	TOTAL PUNT HELD FOR FUT. USE	5,435	2,883	144	1,146	487	588	29	5,276	159	0
36		4,979	2,649	129	1,043	443	537	20	4,620	159	0
370 440	ENERGY	58	43	5	6	1		3	56	0	Ő
-		366	191	11	97	43	51	5	388	0	0

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 24 of 60 Schedule 2.50

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 2.50 - ANALYSIS OF OTHER RATE BASE ITEMS (\$000°S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNT POWER SALES (12)	
	NULRIES & DAMAGES RESERVE											
41	PRODUCTION	(1,626)	(804)	(40)	(324)	(139)	(167)	(8)	(1.482)	(46)	(96)	
	RETAIL JURISDICTION	(	(	(	(= )	(100)		(-/		• • •	• •	
42	DEMAND		(750)	(37)	(296)	(126)	(163)	(6)	(1,368)			
43	ENERGY		(54)	(3)	(28)	(13)	(14)	(2)	(114)			
44	TRANSMISSION	(134)	(72)	(3)	(28)	(12)	(14)	(1)	(130)	(4)	0	
45	DISTRIBUTION	(715)	(483)	(32)	(110)	(32)	) (13)	(44)	(714)	(1)	0	
46	DEMAND	(381)	(225)	(12)	(93)	(32)	) (13)	(5)	(380)	(1)	0	
47	<b>OLSTONER</b>	(334)	(258)	(20)	(17)	0	0	(39)	(334)	0	0	
48	CLISTOMER ACCOUNTS	(428)	(374)	(28)	(17)	0	0	(6)	(425)	(3)	0	
49	CLISTOMER ASSISTANCE	(451)	(294)	(60)	(76)	(11)	) (10)	0	(451)	0	0	
50	<b>CASTOLE</b> R	(451)	(294)	(60)	(76)	(11)	) (10)	0	(451)	0	0	
51	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
52	TOTAL INJ. & DAM PES.	(3,364)	(2,027)	(163)	(555)	(194)	(204)	(59)	(3,202)	(54)	(98)	
53	DEMAND	(2,027)	(1,047)	(52)	(417)	(170)	) (180)	(12)	(1,6/8)	(51)	(96)	
54	CLETOMER	(1,213)	(926)	(108)	(110)	(11)	) (10)	(46)	(1,210)	(3)	U U	
55	ENERGY	(114)	(54)	(3)	(28)	(13)	) (14)	(2)	(114)	U	U	
	UNAMORT, PLANT ACQ. ADJ.											
56	PRODUCTION	1,852	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,652	
	RETAIL JURISDICTION											
57	DEMAND		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
68	ENERGY		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<b>59</b> '	TRANSLASSION	51	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	51	
60	DISTRIBUTION .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
61	DEMAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
62	<b>CLETONE</b> A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
63	TOTAL UNAMORT PLNT ACQ. ADJ.	1,903	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,903	
64	DEMAND	1,903	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,903	
65	CUSTOMER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
66	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
67	CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONST.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
68	TOTAL OTHER ADDITIONS	37,631	15,946	780	8,134	2,548	2,863	459	28,730	739	8,362	
69	DEMAND	35,627	14,619	728	5,670	2,437	2,733	136	26,723	742	8,362	
70	CLETCHER	916	607	23	(4)	. (7)	) (9)	309	919	(3)	0	o ⊐ m ≤
71	ENERGY	1.089	620	29	269	116	139	14	1,069	0	0	ດັ¤ x ≦

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 25 of 60 Schedule 2.50

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 26 of 60 Schedule 2.50

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF OTHER RATE BASE ITEMS

1       (A)       Functional totals provided by Guif Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant excluding UPS; UPS directly assigned.         2       (B)       Functional totals provided by Guif Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant.         3       (B)         4       (B)         5       (B)         6       (B)         7       (B)         8       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         12       (B)         8       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         12       (B)         13       (B)         14       (B)         15       (B)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         29       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         39       (B)         31       (B)	Line No	<u>Eint</u>	Description
1       (A)       Functional totals provided by Gulf Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant excluding UPS; UPS directly assigned.         2       (B)       Functional totals provided by Gulf Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant.         3       (B)         4       (B)         5       (B)         6       (B)         7       (B)         8       (C)         9       (C)         11       (C)         12       (B)         13       (B)         9       (C)         11       (C)         12       (B)         13       (B)         14       (B)         15       (B)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per corresponding Salaries and	110.	Caro	
2       (B)       Functional totals provided by Gulf Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant.         3       (B)         4       (B)         5       (B)         6       (B)         7       (B)         8       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (C)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         36       (E)         41       (D)         42       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)	1	(A)	Functional totals provided by Gulf Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant excluding UPS; UPS directly assigned.
3       (B)         4       (B)         5       (B)         6       (B)         7       (B)         8       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         39       (C)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         39       (C)         41       (D)         42       (E)         44       (D)         45 <th>2</th> <td><b>(B)</b></td> <td>Functional totals provided by Gulf Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant.</td>	2	<b>(B)</b>	Functional totals provided by Gulf Power Company. Allocated per corresponding Gross Plant.
4       (B)         5       (B)         6       (B)         7       (B)         8       (C)         10       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (C)         19       (C)         18       (C)         19       (C)         20       (C)         21       (C)         22       (C)         23       (C)         24       (C)         33       (B)         34       (C)         33       (B)         34       (C)         35       (B)         34       (C)         35       (C)         41       (D)         42       (E)         43       (C)         44       (D)         45       (C)         46       (C)         47       (E)         48       (C)         49       (C)         50       (C)         51 </th <th>3</th> <td>(B)</td> <td></td>	3	(B)	
5       (B)         6       (B)         7       (B)         8       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         25       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (A)         37       (B)         48       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         51       (E)         58       (A)         57<	4	(B)	
6       (B)         7       (B)         8       (C)         9       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         35       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         39       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         39       (C)         41       (D)         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46<	5	(B)	
7       (B)         8       (C)         9       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         18       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         25       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         58       (A)         57       (B)	6	(B)	
8       (C)         9       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         12       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         48       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         50       (E)         5	7	(B)	
9       (C)         10       (C)         11       (C)         11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         39       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         48       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	8	(C)	Allocated per corresponding Operations and Maintenance expense.
10       (C)         11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         25       (B)         26       (B)         27       (B)         28       (B)         29       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         33       (B)         34       (C)         31       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages.         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	9	(C)	
11       (C)         16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         35       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	10	(C)	
16       (A)         17       (B)         18       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         25       (B)         26       (B)         27       (B)         28       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         41       (D)         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)         58       (A)	11	(C)	
17       (B)         18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         25       (B)         26       (B)         27       (B)         28       (B)         29       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         36       (B)         37       (B)         38       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	16	(A)	
18       (B)         19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         24       (B)         25       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	17	(B)	
19       (B)         20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         23       (B)         29       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         41       (D)         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	18	(B)	
20       (B)         21       (B)         22       (B)         27       (B)         28       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	19	(B)	
21       (B)         22       (B)         27       (B)         28       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	20	(B)	
22       (B)         27       (B)         28       (B)         29       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	21	(D)	
27       (6)         28       (6)         29       (6)         30       (7)         31       (8)         33       (8)         34       (8)         35       (8)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	22	(D) (P)	
29       (B)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	21	(D) (B)	
29       (D)         30       (B)         31       (B)         33       (B)         34       (B)         35       (B)         41       (D)         Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	20	(D) (B)	
<ul> <li>31 (B)</li> <li>33 (B)</li> <li>34 (B)</li> <li>35 (B)</li> <li>41 (D) Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.</li> <li>42 (E) Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.</li> <li>43 (E)</li> <li>44 (D)</li> <li>45 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>56 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> </ul>	30	(B)	
<ul> <li>33 (B)</li> <li>34 (B)</li> <li>35 (B)</li> <li>41 (D) Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.</li> <li>42 (E) Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.</li> <li>43 (E)</li> <li>44 (D)</li> <li>45 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>56 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> </ul>	31	(B)	
<ul> <li>34 (B)</li> <li>35 (B)</li> <li>41 (D) Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.</li> <li>42 (E) Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.</li> <li>43 (E)</li> <li>44 (D)</li> <li>45 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>56 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> </ul>	33	(B)	
<ul> <li>35 (B)</li> <li>41 (D) Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.</li> <li>42 (E) Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.</li> <li>43 (E)</li> <li>44 (D)</li> <li>45 (E)</li> <li>46 (E)</li> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>56 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> </ul>	34	(B)	
<ul> <li>41 (D) Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.</li> <li>42 (E) Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.</li> <li>43 (E)</li> <li>44 (D)</li> <li>45 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>56 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> <li>59 (B)</li> </ul>	35	(B)	
Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.         42       (E)         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         48       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	41	(D)	Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages, including UPS Production
42       (E)       Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.         43       (E)         44       (D)         45       (E)         46       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)		.,	Salaries and Wages of \$2,434.
<ul> <li>43 (E)</li> <li>44 (D)</li> <li>45 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>56 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> <li>58 (B)</li> </ul>	42	(E)	Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.
44       (D)         45       (E)         48       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)	43	(E)	
<ul> <li>45 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>56 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> <li>58 (B)</li> </ul>	44	(D)	
48       (E)         47       (E)         48       (E)         49       (E)         50       (E)         51       (E)         56       (A)         57       (B)         58       (B)	45	(E)	
<ul> <li>47 (E)</li> <li>48 (E)</li> <li>49 (E)</li> <li>50 (E)</li> <li>51 (E)</li> <li>58 (A)</li> <li>57 (B)</li> <li>58 (B)</li> </ul>	48	(E)	
48 (E) 49 (E) 50 (E) 51 (E) 56 (A) 57 (B) 58 (B)	47	(E)	
49 (E) 50 (E) 51 (E) 58 (A) 57 (B) 59 (B)	<b>48</b>	(E)	
50 (E) 51 (E) 58 (A) 57 (B) 58 (B)	49	(E)	
51 (E) 56 (A) 57 (B) 58 (B)	50	(E)	
58 (A) 57 (B) 58 (B)	51	(E)	
57 (B) 58 (B)	56	(A)	
	57	(B)	
	58	(8)	
ଅଟ (M) ୧୦ (R)	29	(A) (B)	
	0U \ 81	(B) (B)	
67 (B)	62	(B) (B)	
67 (F) Specific Assignment.	67	(D) (F)	Specific Assignment.

## GLILF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 3.00 - ANALYSIS OF REVENLES (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRETION (2)	TOTAL ELECTREC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (6)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	REVENUE FROM SALES											
1	BASE RATE REV. FROM SALES	510,734	296,890	20,537	102,785	33,933	29,452	14,898	499,493	12,241	0	
2 3	FUEL, ECCR, PPCC, ECRC REVENUES NET REVENUE EXCLUDING FUEL	0 510.734	0 296,890	0 20.537	0 102.785	0 33.933	0 29,452	0 14,696	0 498.493	0 12.241	0	
-	OTHER OPERATING REVENUES								,	·		
	451-MISC. SERVICE REVENUES											
4	RESTORATION FEE	1,213	1,174	31	6	0	0	0	1,213	0	0	
5	AFTER HOURS FEE	116	115	1	0	0	0	0	116	0	0	
6	NACCURATE METER FEE	26	19	2	5	0	0	0	26	0	0	
	RECONNECTION FEE	2,623	2,690	64	43	0	0	1 200	2,823	0	0	
0	NSTALL & DEM JEND CED/	42,247	20,162	1,/40	0,/11	2,070	2,496	1,202	44,441	0	0	
10	CONNECTION FEES	80	77	. 13	2	0	ů	ŏ	82	ŏ	ŏ	
11	COLLECTION CHARGES	207	161	24	22	0	ő	ŏ	207	ŏ	ŏ	
12	INVESTIGATIVE CHARGES	40	38	2	_	ō	ō	ō	40	ō	ō	
13	RETURN CHECK CHARGE	272	258	6	6	0	0	0	272	0	0	
14	TOTAL ACCOUNT 451	47,036	29,700	1,903	6,799	2,878	2,496	1,282	47,038	0	0	
	454-RENT FROM ELEC. PROP.											
15	EQLIPMENT RENTAL	1,686	1,045	57	435	119	3	27	1,686	0	0	
16	METER TREATER RENTAL	253	244	7	2	0	0	0	253	0	0	
17	POLE ATTACHMENT RENTAL	3,110	2,411	, 169	343	91	32	64	3,110	0	0	
16	MICROWAVE TRANSPORT	730	453	37	124		47	13	716	12	0	
20	HENT PHUN PUNT LANGEL	40	22	1	5	4	4	0	39		Ű	
21	TOTAL ACCOUNT 454	6,335	4,496	297	1,000	289	119	113	6,314	21	Ő	
22	455-NTERDEPART. RENTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23	456-OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUES	6,368	3,390	165	1,338	569	692	26	6,178	190	0	
24	456-GULF POWER ENERGY SERVICES REVENUES	5,832	0	0	0	5,632	0	0	5,632	0	0	
25	456 - FPU SERVICE PAYMENTS	3,678	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,678	0	
26	456 - BLOLINTSTOWN SERVICE PAYMENTS	122	65	3	26	11	13	0	116	4	0	
27	TOTAL ACCOUNT 456	15,800	3,455	168	1,362	6,212	706	25	11,928	3,872	0	$\circ$ $\Sigma$ $\square$ $\geq$ $\circ$ $\Box$
28	REV. NONASSOC. CODEMAND	51,950	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51,950	우음수콜⊂잉
29	REV. NONASSOC. COENERGY	13,652	3,389	188	1,760	784	907	99	7,127	214	6,311	ㅎ ㅎ ゔ ゔ <b>゙</b> ゔ ぢ
30	TOTAL REV. NONASSOC. CO.	65,602	3,389	188	1,760	784	907	99	7,127	214	68,281	면 N 팩 있 뷰 약
31	TOTAL OTHER OPER. REVENUE	134,773	41,040	2,558	12,921	10,161	4,227	1,500	72,405	4,107	58,201	
	ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUES											
32	FRANCHESE FEE REVENUES	(42,247)	(25,162)	(1,740)	(8,711)	(2,878)	(2,496)	(1,262)	(42,247)	(0)	0	
33	NET ADJUSTMENT TO REVENUES	(42,247)	(25,162)	(1 <b>,740</b> )	(6,711)	(2,878)	(2,496)	(1,262)	(42,247)	(0)	. 0	T. Š.
34	TOTAL ADJUSTED REVENUES	603,260	312,768	21,353	106,995	41,216	31,163	15,134	528,651	16,348	58,281	0-2)
												Y easy

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 28 of 60 Schedule 3.00

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF REVENUES

Line	<u>Ftnt</u>	•
<u>No.</u>	<u>Label</u>	Description
1	(A)	Provided by Guit Power Company.
2	(B)	Allocated per Retail MWH Sales.
4	(A)	
5	(A)	
6	(A)	
7	(A)	
8	(C)	Allocated per retail revenue from sales.
9	(A)	
10	(A)	
11	(A)	
12	(A)	
13	(A)	
15	(D)	Allocated per Level 5 Demand Allocator
16	(A)	
17	(E)	Allocated per Distribution Gross Plant in Account 364.
18	(F)	Allocated per Total Salaries and Wages.
19	(G)	Allocated per Level 2 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
20	(F)	
22	(F)	
23	(G)	
24	(H)	Provided by Gulf Power Company and assigned to Rate Class LP/LPT.
25	(I)	Assigned to FPU.
26	(G)	
28	(G)	
29	(J)	Allocated per Level 1 Energy Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
32	(C)	

#### GULF POWER COMPANY 12 NONTH'S ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS NETHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OFFRATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$00075)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNT POWER SALES (12)	
	PRODUCTION O & MEXPENSES											
	STEAM POWER GENERATION											
1	OPERATIONS 500-SUPERMISION	11,719	6,087	297	2,400	1,021	1,244	47	11,096	341	282	
2 3 4	501-ENERGY RELATED 501-FUEL REMOVAL 501-NET	310,081 (303,538) 6,543	127, 134 (124, 330) 2, 604	7,034 (6,879) 155	66,006 (64,550) 1,466	29,382 (28,734) 648	33,974 ) (33,224) 750	3,709 (3,627) 62	267,239 (261,344) 5, <b>69</b> 5	8,028 (7,951) 177	34,814 (34,343) 471	
5 6 7	602-STEAM DEMAND RELATED ENERGY RELATED TOTAL ACCOUNT 602	2,508 5,763 8,271	1, 171 2, 193 3, 364	57 121 178	462 1,139 1,601	197 507 704	240 586 826	9 64 73	2, 136 4,610 6,746	66 139 205	306 1,014 1,320	
8 9 10	605-ELECTRIC EXPENSES DEMAND RELATED ENERGY RELATED TOTAL ACCOUNT 505	2,946 1,185 4,131	1,472 533 2,005	72 30 102	580 278 858	247 124 371	301 143 444	11 16 27	2,683 1,124 3,807	62 34 116	181 27 <b>206</b>	
11 12 13	506-MISCELLANEOLIS DEMAND RELATED ENERGY RELATED TOTAL ACCOUNT 506	15,224 0 15,224	7,686 0 7,686	374 0 374	3,022 0 3,022	1,298 0 1,296	1,565 0 1,565	59 0 59	13,972 0 13,972	429 0 429	823 0 823	
14	607-RENTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15	509-ALLOWANCES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16	TOTAL STEAM OPERATIONS	45,689	21,926	1,106	9,337	4,030	4,829	296	41,516	1,298	3,104	
17	MAINTENANCE 510-SUPERVISION	9,666	4,915	240	1,998	825	1,004	36	8,960	275	651	<u>ит</u> п < О I
18	511-STRUCTURES	5,707	2,864	139	1,128	480	584	22	5,217	160	330	
19 20 21	512-BOILER PLANT DEMAND RELATED ENERGY FIELATED TOTAL ACCOLNT 512	3,490 25,256 28,745	1,577 9,588 11,165	77 631 606	622 4,979 5,601	265 2,216 2,461	322 2,562 2,684	12 290 292	2,875 20,166 23,031	88 608 694	527 4,493 5,020	F POW less: Mi bit No e 29 of ( edule 4.
22 23 24	513-ELECTRIC PLANT DEMAND RELATED ENERGY RELATED TOTAL ACCOUNT 513	1,340 7,097 8,437	701 2,962 3,663	34 164 198	276 1,538 1,614	118 684 802	144 791 935	5 86 91	1,278 6,225 7,503	39 187 226	23 685 708	ER COM ichael T. 30 10

.

. . .

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 29 of 60 Schedule 4.10

## GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTH'S ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH NOS METHODOLLOGY SCHEDULE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$00075)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL Electric System (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
25	514-MISCELLANEOUS	3 132	1 520		603	367	810	12	2 729		268	
26	ENERGY RELATED	3,132	1,329	/5	000		312	12	2,00		230	
27	TOTAL ACCOUNT 514	3,132	1,529	75	603	257	312	12	2,788	. 86	258	
28	TOTAL MAINTENANCE	55,907	24,136	1,260	11,084	4,845	5,719	455	47,499	1,441	6,967	
29	TOTAL STEAM POWER GENERATION	101,795	46,062	2,366	20,421	8,675	10,548	743	89,015	2,709	10,071	
	OTHER POWER GENERATION											
20	OPERATION				~	150	195	-	4.000		•	
30		1,701	900	44	30/	122	100	,	1,000	51	Ŭ	
31	547-ENERGY RELATED	580	267	15	139	62	72	8	563	17	0	
32	547-RUEL	310,241	143,287	7,928	74,392	33,115	38,291	4, 180	301,193	9,048	0	
33	547-RIEL REMOVAL	(310,241)	(143,287)	(7,928)	(74,392)	(33,115	) (38,291)	(4,180)	(301,193)	(9,048)	0	
34	547-NET FUEL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	548-GENERATION EXPENSES											
35	DEMAND	528	261	14	111	47	57	2	512	16	0	
36	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
37	TOTAL ACCOUNT 548	628	261	14	111	47	57	2	512	16	0	
	540-MSCELLANEOLIS PLANT											
38	DEMAND	648	452	22	178	76	92	3	823	25	0	
39	ENERGY	0	0	0	Ő	0	0	ŏ	0	0	ō	
40	TOTAL ACCOUNT 549	648	452	22	176	76	92	3	623	25	0	
41	TOTAL OPERATION	3,667	1,905	95	796	337	406	20	3,648	109	0	
	MAINTENANCE											
42	551-SUPERMSION	296	157	8	62	28	33	1	287	9	0	& ኴ û ≷
	522-STRUCTURES											<u>ਤ</u> ਕੁੱ ਦੇ ਤੋਂ
43	DEMAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	집 모 @
44	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	Ō	Ō	Ō	= 3 t s
45	TOTAL ACCOLINT 552	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

•

.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 30 of 60 Schedule 4.10

# GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH NDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$00075)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (6)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNT POWER SALES (12)	
46 47 48	663-ELECTRIC PLANT DEMAND ENERGY TOTAL ACCOUNT 663	6,665 0 6,665	3,547 0 3,547	173 0 173	1,399 0 1.399	595 0 595	725 0 725	27 0 27	6.466 0 6.466	199 0 199	0 0 0	
49 50 51	554-MISCELLANEOUS PLANT DEWAND ENERGY TUTIN ACCOUNT 554	524 0 534	278 0 278	14 0 14	110 0 110	47 0 47	57 0 57	2 0 2	508 0 508	16 0 16	0 0	
52	TOTAL WAINTENANCE	7,485	3,982	195	1,571	668	815	30	7,261	224	0	
53	TOTAL OTHER GEN. EXPENSE	11,142	5,987	290	2,366	1,005	1,221	50	10,809	333	0	
54 55 56	TOTAL GENERATION EXPENSES Demand Energy	112,937 66,514 46,423	51,949 33,602 18,347	2,656 1,6 <b>4</b> 0 1,016	22,777 13,249 9,629	9, <b>690</b> 5,639 4,241	11,769 6,865 4,904	793 257 536	99,824 61,251 38,573	3,042 1,862 1,160	10,071 3,381 6,690	
	OTHER PRODUCTION EXPENSE											
57 58 59 60 61 62	555-PURCHASED POWER DEJAND ENERGY RUEL REMOVAL NET ENERGY NET TOTAL ACCOUNT 555	35,992 0 35,992 (35,992) 0 0	15,276 0 15,278 (16,276) 0 0	845 0 845 (845) 0 0	7,932 0 7,932 (7,932) 0 0	3,531 0 3,531 (3,631) 0 0	4,083 0 4,083 (4,083) 0 0 0	446 0 446 (446) 0 0	32,113 0 32,113 (32,113) 0 0 0	965 0 965 (965) 0 0	2,914 0 2,914 (2,914) 0 0	
83 64 65	556-SYSTEM CONTROL DEMAND ENEXEY TOTAL ACCOUNT 556	1,817 0 1,817	968 0 968	47 0 47	381 0 381	162 0 162	198 0 198	7 0 7	1.763 0 1,763	54 0 54	0 0 0	
66 67 68	557-OTHER EXPENSES DEMAND ENERGY TOTAL ACCOUNT 557	2,054 0 2,054	1,095 0 1,095	53 0 53	431 0 481	183 0 183	223 0 223	8 0 6	1,993 0 1,993	61 0 61	0 0 0	GULF P( Witness: Exhibit N Page 31 Schedule
69 70 71	total other prod. Expense Demand Energy	3,871 3,871 0	2,063 2,063 0	100 100 0	612 612 0	345 345 0	421 421 0	15 15 0	3,756 3,756 0	115 115 0	0 0 0	OWER Micha of 60 9 4.10
72 73 74	total production expenses Demand Energy	116,808 70,385 46,423	54,012 35,665 18,347	2,756 1,740 1,016	23,589 14,060 9,629	10,225 5,984 4,241	12,190 7,296 4,904	808 272 536	103,580 65,007 38,573	3,157 1,997 1,160	10,071 3,381 6,690	COMPA INTO-2 (MTO-2

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI ANY 'Sheasy [.]2)

## GLLF FOWER COMPANY 12 MONTH'S ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDILE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERMICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	TRANSMISSION O & M EXPENSE											
75	OPERATION 561-LOAD DISPATCHING	3,526	1,877	91	739	315	383	14	3,419	105	2	
76	662-STATION	43	24	1	9	4	4	0	42	1	0	
Π	663-OVER-EAD LINES	191	101	5	40	17	21	1	186	6	0	
78	SSA-UNDERTRELIND LINES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
79	565-TRANS. OF ELEC. BY OTHERS	(301)	(160)	(8)	(63)	(27)	(33)	(1)	(292)	(9)	0	
80	SLETOTAL	3,469	1,642	89	725	309	375	14	3,354	103	2	
81	560-SLIPERMISION	1,609	856	41	337	144	175	7	1,560	48	1	
82	SEE MISCELLANEOLS	1,038	662	27	217	93	113	4	1,006	31	1	
63	667-FIENTS	165	67	4	36	15	18	1	160	5	0	
84	TOTAL OPERATIONS	6,271	3,337	161	1,314	561	681	26	6,090	167	4	
85	MUNTERNICE GENETRICTURES	1,009	633	26	211	90	109	4	973	30	0	
68	570-STATION EQLIPMENT	630	454	22	179	74	79	3	811	19	0	
87	571-OVERHEAD LINES	4,479	2,385	116	940	400	486	18	4,345	134	0	
68	SLETOTAL	6,312	3,372	164	1,330	564	674	25	6, 129	163	0	
89	568-SUPERVISION	1,062	<b>56</b> 1	27	222	94	113	4	1,021	31	0	
90	573-MSCELLANEOUS	102	56	3	21	9	10	0	99	3	0	လွ
91	TOTAL MAINTENANCE	7,466	3,989	194	1,573	667	797	29	7,249	217	0	ਸ਼ੋਂ
92	TOTAL TRANSMISSION EXPENSE	13,737	7,326	355	2,887	1,228	1,478	55	13,329	404	4	Ę
	DISTRIBUTION O & M EXPENSE											e 4.1(
93	OPERATIONS 681-LOAD DISPATCHING	902	536	26	211	78	47	4	902	0	0	U
94	662-STATION	309	168	8	68	30	32	1	303	5	0	

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 32 of 60 Schedule 4.10

#### GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS NETHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OFFRATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$0005)

LINE ND. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
95 98 97	683-OVERHEAD LINES DEMAND CLISTOMER TOTAL ACCOUNT 583	2,006 573 2,579	1,215 500 1,715	66 37 103	605 23 526	158 0 158	33 0 33	31 13 44	2,006 573 2,579	0 0 0	0 0 0	
96 99 100	594-UNDERGROUND LINES DEMAND Clistomer Total account 594	608 131 739	368 115 483	20 9 29	154 4 158	47 0 47	10 0 10	9 3 12	606 131 739	0 0 0	0 0 0	
101	SES-STREET LIGHTING	698	0	0	0	0	0	598	538	0	0	
102	586-METER	1,453	1,090	144	196	11	6	3	1,450	3	0	
103	528-OTHER MISC. REVS.	1,174	1.116	38	18	0	0	0	1,174	0	0	
104	TUTAL ACCOUNT 586	2,627	2,208	162	214	11	6	3	2,624	3	0	
105 106 107	587-CLSTOMER INSTAL 587-OTHER MSC. REVS. TOTAL ACCOUNT 587	1,306 25 1,331	1, 164 24 1,188	88 1 89	53 0 53	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	1,306 25 1,331	0 0 0	0 0 0	
106 109 110	SLEITOTAL DEMAND CLISTOMER	9,084 3,824 6,280	6,296 2,295 4,011	437 120 317	1,230 936 294	323 311 12	128 122 6	662 46 617	9,076 3,819 5,257	8 5 3	0 0 0	
111 112 113	680-SUFERVISION DEMAND CLETOMER TOTAL ACCOUNT 680	2,849 3,919 6,768	1,702 2,969 4,691	89 236 325	697 219 916	232 9 241	91 4 95	34 460 494	2,645 3,917 6,762	4 2 6	0 0 0	
114 115 116	688-MISCELLANEOLS DEMAND CLISTOMER TOTAL ACCOUNT 688	1,837 2,526 4,363	1,099 1,929 3,027	58 152 210	449 141 590	149 6 155	56 2 60	22 296 318	1,835 2,525 4,380	2 1 3	0 0 0	
117 118 119	689-RENTS DEMAND CLISTOMER TOTAL ACCOUNT 589	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	Florida P Docket N GULF PC Witness: Exhibit N Exhibit N Schedule
120	TOTAL OPERATION	20,215	14,014	972	2,736	719	283	1,474	20, 198	17	0	
121	LAINTENANCE 591-STRUCTURES	28	15	1	8	3	2	0	27	1	0	1301 10 10
122	522-STATION EQLIPMENT	962	518	- 25	205	94	100	4	946	16	0	
												ce Commission -EI ∪PANY . O'Sheasy rO-2)

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTH'S ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MOS METHODOL OBY SCHEDULE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$0007S)

LINE NO. (1)	Description (2)	TUTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS FIESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (6)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (6)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHELESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
123	683-OVHD LINES - MISC FIEVS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	SB-Q/ERIEAD LINES											
124	DEMAND	7,747	4,582	248	1,913	655	231	118	7,747	0	0	
125	CLETCHER	5,328	4,841	350	210	3	0	124	5,328	0	0	
128	SLETOTAL OVERHEAD LINES	13,075	9,223	<b>598</b>	2,123	658	231	242	13,075	0	. 0	
127	TOTAL ACCOUNT 593	13,075	9,223	596	2,123	658	231	242	13,075	0	0	
	694-UNDEFIGFICINO LINES											
128	DEMAND	1,810	1,075	58	449	151	49	28	1,810	0	0	
129	CLETOMER	67	76	- 8	3	0	0	2	87	0	0	
130	TOTAL ACCOUNT 594	1,897	1,151	64	452	151	49	30	1,897	0	0	
	696-LINE TRANSPORMERS											
131	DEMAND	747	458	25	191	56	6	12	747	0	0	
132	CLISTOMER	254	222	16	10	0	0	6	254	0	0	
133	TUTAL ACCOUNT 555	1,001	680	41	201	55	6	18	1,001	0	0	
134	696-STREET LIGHTING	697	0	0	0	0	0	697	697	0	0	
135	697-METERS	159	121	16	21	1	0	0	169	0	0	
136	SUBTOTAL	17,719	11, <b>708</b>	745	3,008	962	366	891	17,702	17	0	
137	DEMAND	11,294	6,648	367	2,764	958	368	162	11,277	17	0	
138	CLETCHER	6,425	5,080	368	244	4	0	729	6,425	0	0	
	690-SUPERVISION											
139	DEMAND	2,336	1,376	74	សា	198	79	33	2,331	4	0	
140	CUSTOMER	1,329	1,047	80	50	1	0	161	1,329	0	0	
141	TOTAL ACCOUNT 590	3,664	2,423	154	621	199	79	184	3,660	4	0	
	596-MSCELLANEOLS											
142	DEMAND	301	177	10	74	28	10	4	301	0	0	
143	CUSTOLER	171	136	10	6	0	0	19	171	0	0	
144	TOTAL ACCOUNT 598	472	313	20	80	28	10	23	472	0	0	ູດັມີພິ≶ີດ
145	TOTAL MAINTENANCE	21,855	14,444	919	3,709	1.1 <b>67</b>	477	1,098	21,834	21	0	age theo
146	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION EXPENSE	42.070	28,458	1,891	6,445	1,906	760	2,572	42,032	38	0	ヿ゚゚ゔゔゔゔゔ゚ヿ
147	TOTAL DEMAND	22,440	13,287	708	5,491	1,674	748	300	22,408	32	Ō	ਰ ਦੀ ਟ ੀ ਟੇ
148	TOTAL CUSTOMER	19,630	15,171	. <b>1,183</b>	954	32	12	2,272	19,624	6	Ō	<u>. + 2 2 3 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</u>
149	CLETOMER ACCOUNTS EXPENSE	21,996	19, 131	1 <b>,446</b>	869	22	36	301	21, <b>807</b>	179	0	10 solution

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 34 of 60
### GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH NDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$00075)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	<b>TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)</b>	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	CLISTOLER ASSISTANCE EXPENSE											
150	907/911-SUPERVISION	1,829	1,603	141	84	1	0	0	1,829	0	0	
	909/912-CLISTOMER ASSISTANCE											
151	RESIDENTIAL	5,080	5,080	0	0	0	0	0.	5,080	0	0	
152		2,093	0	1,305	776	11	1	0	2,083	0	0	
153	INNUSTRIAL - GULE POWER ENERGY SRVS	7,391	0	146	1,121	5,6/4	251	U	7,391	U	U	
155	NET INDUSTRIAL OF GULF POWER ENERGY SRVS	1,794	ů ů	145	1,121	2,007	251	Ŭ	1.794	ŭ	0	
158	STREET LIGHTING	0	ŏ	0	0	0	0	ŏ	0	ŏ	ŏ	
157	TOTAL ACCOLINT 909/912	14,564	5,080	1,450	1,697	5,895	252	0	14,564	0	0	
158	908/913-ADVERTISING	1,232	1,125	13	65	15	14	0	1,232	0	0	
159	910-MISCELLANEOLIS	190	166	15	9	0	0	0	190	0	0	
160	ENERGY CONSERVATION	21,933	19,377	1,357	1,058	78	63	0	21,633	0	0	
181	ECCR ADJUSTMENT	(21,833)	(19.377)	(1,357)	(1,056)	(78)	(63)	0	(21,933)	0	0	
162	NET ENERGY COST CONSER.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
163	TOTAL CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE	17,815	7,974	1,819	2,065	5,901	266	0	17,815	0	0	
	ADMIN & GENERAL EXPENSE											
	924 PROPERTY INSURANCE											
164	PRODUCTION RETAIL (REISOLCTION	4,309	2,147	105	663	368	446	19	3,948	128	233	
165	DEMAND		2.001	97	789	335	409	15	3 644			
166	ENERGY		146	8	75	33	39		304			
167	TRANSMISSION	1,782	957	47	377	159	186	7	1,733	49	0	
166	DISTRIBUTION	7,228	4,662	` 298	1,231	405	226	489	7,201	27	0	
109	DEMAND	4,655	2,702	141	1,107	401	224	54	4,629	28	0	S D Ū ≥ O D ī
171	CLISTONER ACCOLNTS	20/3	1,000	157	124	4	2	43D 1	2,3/2	1	U	강입굿록⊂잉익
172	CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE	76	34	37	9	25	1	Ö	76	ò	ŏ	ᅇ ^ᅙ ᄚᅙᆔᅕᇶᄚ
173	CLETOMER	76	34	7	9	25	1	Ō	76	0	0	
174	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
175	TOTAL ACCOUNT 924	13,467	7,752	462	2,483	957	859	516	13,029	206	233	5 ° ≤ ≥ ° 5
177	A STOFF	2 721	3,060	2550	198	20	010	/6 436	2 719	203	233	국입 호교구호
178	ENERGY	304	146	8	75	33	38	4	304	ō	ŏ	
	REG. COMM. EXP. & UNCOLL.											<u>S</u> 60ĽS
179	STATE & FEDERAL	3,171	1,568	108	543	179	155	79	2,632	539	0	ᅻᅸᇰᅮᅘ
100		3,809	3,401	256	149	3	0		3,809	0	0	
101	IVINE PELS, CLEME & UPLANE	8,980	4,969	364	662	182	155	79	8,441	539	0	2) \$ \$ \$ 0
162	other industry dues	706	332	18	173	78	94	10	706	0	0	mmii Y leasy
												v ssion

### GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS LETHODOL OGY SCHEDULE 4.10 - ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNT POWER SALES (12)
163	MISC. A & G - OTHER REVS.	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
164	MISC. A & G - GLLF POWER ENERGY SRVC OH	36	0	0	0	36	0	0	36	0	0
185	MISCELLANEOUS A & G	75,002	45,211	3,638	12,369	4,337	4,587	1,281	71.423	1,195	2,384
186	DEMAND	45,401	23,371	1,161	9,308	3,786	4,023	253	41,902	1,115	2,384
167	CUSTOMER	27,059	20,632	2,411	2,432	272	240	992	26,979	80	0
186	ENERGY	2,542	1,206	66	629	279	324	36	2,542	0	0
189	TUTAL MISCELLANEOLIS A & G	75,040	45,213	3,638	12,369	4,373	4,687	1,281	71,461	1,195	2,384
190 1	DEMAND	45,401	23,371	1, 161	9,308	3,786	4,023	253	41,902	1,115	2,384
191	CLISTORER	27,097	20,634	2,411	2,432	308	240	692	27,017	80	0
192	ENERGY	2,542	1,206	66	629	279	324	36	2,542	0	0
193	TUTAL ADMIN & GENERAL	96,192	58,266	4,482	15,717	5,690	5,695	1,886	91,636	1,939	2,617
194	TUTAL OPER & MAINTENANCE	308,609	175,167	12,549	51,562	24,872	20,427	5,622	290, 199	5,717	12,692
195	DEMAND	162,405	85,308	4,249	34,018	13,767	14,353	956	152,652	3,751	6,002
186	ENERGY	49,974	20,033	1,108	10,406	4.631	5,360	586	42,124	1,180	6,690
197	CLISTOMER	69,249	64,858	6,828	6,446	6,292	669	4,001	68,962	267	0
198	REVENLE	6,980	4,969	384	692	162	155	79	6,441	539	0

;

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 36 of 60 Schedule 4.10

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 37 of 60 Schedule 4.10

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

Line No	<u>Etnt</u>	Description
		Description
1	(A)	Allocated per Level 1 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
2	(B)	Allocated per Level 1 Energy Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
3	(B)	
5	(A)	
6	<b>(B)</b>	
8	(A)	
9	<b>(B)</b>	
11	(A)	
12	(B)	
14	(C)	Allocated per Level 2 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
15	(B)	
17	(A)	
18	(A)	
19	(A)	
20	<b>(B)</b>	
22	(A)	
23	(B)	
25	(A)	
26	(B)	
30	(D)	Allocated per Level 1 Demand Allocator.
31	(E)	Allocated per Level 1 Energy Allocator.
32	(E)	
33	(E)	
35	(U) (E)	
30	(E) (D)	
30	(D) (E)	
42	(L) (D)	
43		
44	(E)	
46	(D)	
47	(E)	
49	(D)	
50	(E)	
58	(A)	
59	(B)	
60	(B)	

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 38 of 60 Schedule 4.10

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

Line	<u>Etnt</u>	
<u>No.</u>	Label	Description
63	(F)	Allocated per sum of Generation Demand Expenses and Purchased Power Demand Expenses.
64	(E)	
66	(D)	
67	(E)	
75	(C)	
76	(G)	Allocated per Transmission Substations Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
77	(H)	Allocated per Transmission Lines Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
78	(I)	Allocated per Transmission Account 358 Gross Plant.
79	(D)	
81	(J)	Allocated per Subtotal of Transmission Operations O & M Expense; UPS directly assigned.
82	(J)	
83	(J)	
85	(K)	Allocated per sum of Transmission Accounts 352, 354, and 355 Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
86	(L)	Allocated per Transmission Account 353 Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
87	(H)	
89	(M)	Allocated per Subtotal of Transmission Maintenance O & M Expense; UPS directly assigned.
90	(M)	
93	(N)	Allocated per Level 3 Demand Allocator.
94	(O)	Allocated per Distribution Substations Gross Plant.
95	(P)	Allocated per corresponding Distribution Gross Plant Accounts 365 and 368.
96	(P)	
98	(Q)	Allocated per corresponding Distribution Gross Plant Accounts 367 and 368.
<b>99</b>	(Q)	
1 <b>01</b>	(R)	Allocated per Distribution Account 373 Gross Plant.
102	(S)	Allocated per Distribution Account 370 Gross Plant.
103	Э	Per analysis of information provided by Gulf Power Company.
105	(U)	Allocated per Distribution Account 369 Gross Plant.
106	С	
111	(N)	Allocated per corresponding Subtotal of Distribution Operations O & M.
112	(V)	
114	(V)	
115	(V)	

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 39 of 60 Schedule 4.10

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

<u>Ftnt</u>	
Label	Description
~~	
	Allocated per Distribution Account 361 Gross Plant
(0)	Allocated per Distribution Account 382 Gross Plant
С С	Alcoulou por Distribution Account ope Cross Filant.
⁽¹⁾	Allocated per Common portion of Distribution Accounts 364 and 365
(7)	Allocated per Customer portion of Distribution Accounts 364 and 365
(AA)	Allocated per Common portion of Distribution Accounts 366 and 367 Gross Plant
(AB)	Allocated per Customer portion of Distribution Accounts 366 and 367 Gross Plant
(AC)	Allocated per Distribution Account 368 Gross Plant.
(AC)	
(R)	
(S)	
(AD)	Allocated per corresponding Subtotal of Distribution Maintenance O & M.
(AD)	
(AD)	
(AD)	
(AE)	Direct assignment to rate provided by Gulf Power Company.
(AF)	Provided by Gulf Power to Class. Allocated to rate based on analysis of average
(AF)	
(AF)	
(AF)	
(AG)	Provided by Gulf Power and assigned to Rate Class LP/LPT.
(AF)	
	Entl Jabei (V) (V) (W) (X) (T) (Z) (AA) (AB) (AC) (AD) (AD) (AD) (AD) (AD) (AD) (AD) (AD

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 40 of 60 Schedule 4.10

,

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

Line No.	<u>Etnt</u> Label	Description
164	(AH)	Retail jurisdiction sum of corresponding demand and energy pieces; Wholesale allocated per Level 1 Demand Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
165	(D)	
166	(E)	
167	(AI)	Allocated per Transmission Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
168	(AJ)	Allocated per corresponding Distribution Gross Plant.
169	(AJ)	
170	(AJ)	
171	(AK)	Allocated per Customer Accounts O & M Expense.
172	(AL)	Allocated per corresponding Customer Assistance O & M Expense.
173	(AL)	
174	(AL)	
1 <b>79</b>	(AM)	Provided by Gulf Power to Jurisdiction. Allocated to rate per Retail Revenue from Sales.
180	(AE)	
182	(AN)	Allocated per Retail MWH Sales.
183	Э	
184	(AO)	A&G Overheads related to Gulf Power Energy Services. Assigned to Rate Class LP/LPT.
185	(AP)	Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages; UPS directly assigned.
188	(AP)	
187	(AP)	
188	(AP)	

.

### GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.20 - ANALYSIS OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE (\$000'S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (8)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNT POWER SALES (12)	
1	TOTAL PRODUCTION	52,105	23,360	1,149	9,405	4,018	4,867	213	43,012	1,322	7,771	
2 3	Retail Jurisdiction Demand Energy Transmission		21,785 1,575	1,062 87	8,599 817	3,654 364	4,447 420	187 46	39,703 3,309			
4	350-LAND AND LAND FIGHTS	211	114	5	44	19	22	1	205	6	0	
5	STRUCTURES	197	106	5	42	18	20	1	192	5	0	
6	353-STATION EQLIPMENT	3,896	2,065	101	814	334	362	18	3,692	85	119	
7	364-TOWERS & FIXTURES	767	409	20	<b>16</b> 1	68	64	3	744	23	0	
8	355-POLES & PXTURES	5,798	3,085	150	1,216	518	630	24	5,623	173	0	
9	355-OVERHEAD COND.	2,138	1,138	55	449	191	232	9	2,074	64	0	
10	358-UNDERGROUND COND.	254	134	7	53	23	28	1	246	6	0	
11	359-ROADS AND TRAILS	5	3	0	1	0	1	0	5	0	0	
12	TOTAL TRANSMISSION	13,264	7,063	343	2,780	1,171	1,379	55	12,781	364	119	
	DISTRIBUTION											
13	360-SUBSTATION LAND	13	8	0	3	1	1	0	13	0	0	
14	361-STRUCTURES	441	239	12	94	47	39	2	433	8	0	
15	362-STATION EQUIPMENT	5,191	2,803	137	1,105	506	536	21	5, 107	64	0	
16 17 18	364-POLES & FIXTURES COMMON CLISTOMER TOTAL ACCOLNT 364	2,142 4,139 6,281	1,266 3,606 4,872	69 272 341	529 163 692	181 2 183	64 0 64	33 96 129	2, 142 4, 139 6, 281	0 0 0	0 0 0	Page Schec

.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 41 of 60 Schedule 4.20

### GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MEMINS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.20 - ANALYSIS OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE (\$2005)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
10	SEG-OVERHEAD COND.	3 691	3 177	110	000	911	110	50	9 601	•	•	
20	CLISTOMER	717	624	47	28	311	110	17	3,001	Ŭ	ŭ	
21	TOTAL ACCOLNT 365	4,398	2,601	165	937	312	110	73	4,396	Ő	Ő	
	366-UNDG. CONDUIT											
22	COMMON	13	9	0	3	1	0	0	13	0	0	
23	<b>CUSTONEA</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
24	TOTAL ACCOUNT 366	14	10	0	3	1	0	0	14	0	0	
	367-UNDERGEDIND COND. & DEV.											
25	COMMON	4,325	2,570	139	1,072	360	116	66	4,325	0	0	
28	CUSTUMEN	208	161	14	6	0	0	5	208	0	0	
27	TOTAL ACCOUNT 367	4,533	2,751	153	1,080	360	116	71	4,533	0	0	
	368-LINE TRANSFORMERS											
26	COMMON	7,177	4,405	238	1.831	629	61	113	7,177	0	0	
29	CUSTOMER	2,444	2,128	161	97	2	1	57	2,444	Ō	Ō	
30	TOTAL ACCOUNT 368	9,621	6,531	399	1,929	531	62	170	9,621	0	0	
31	369-SERVICES	2,638	2,531	191	114	2	0	0	2,638	0	0	
32	370-METERS	4,485	3,367	443	604	34	19	10	4,477	8	0	
33	373-STREET LIGHTING	2,671	0	0	0	0	0	2,871	2,871	0	0	
34	TOTAL DISTRIBUTION	40,696	25,913	1,841	6,560	1, <b>976</b>	949	3,347	40,586	100	0	
35	DEMAND	22,963	13,477	713	5,546	1,935	929	291	22,691	92	0	•
36	CUSTOMER	17,703	12,438	1,128	1,014	41	20	3,066	17,695	6	0	
37	GENERAL PLANT	8,347	5,145	414	1,407	493	521	146	8,128	136	85	
36	DEMAND	4,979	2,660	132	1,059	430	457	29	4,767	127	85	
39	CUSTOMER	3,079	2,347	274	217	31	28	113	3,070	9	0	
40	ENERGY	289	136	6	71	32	36	4	289	0	0	• .
41	TOTAL DEPR. EXPENSE	114,402	61,471	3,747	20,152	7,658	7,716	3,761	104,505	1,922	7,975	
42	DEMAND	90,022	44,975	2,250	17,973	7,190	7,212	542	80, 142	1,905	7,975	$0 \ge m \le 0$
43	CLETCHER	20,782	14,783	1,402	1,291	72	48	3,169	20,765	17	0	· · ▷ ☆ ☆ ゔ ⊂
44	ENERGY	3,598	1,713	95	668	396	466	50	3,698	0	0	여 것 뜬 길 단

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 42 of 60 Schedule 4.20

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 43 of 60 Schedule 4.20

.

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

Line	<u>Ftnt</u>	
No.	Label	Description
	(	Potell included as any of Lines Cland C. Mitchesele allocated as Louis d
1	(A)	Retail jurisdiction sum of Lines 2 and 3; wholesale allocated per Level 1
2	<b>(D</b> )	Allegated per certapponding Level 1 Demand Allegater
2	(D) (C)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Energy Allocates
3		Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Energy Allocator.
4	(U)	UPS directly assigned.
5	(E)	Allocated per corresponding Transmission Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
6	(E)	
7	(E)	
8	(E)	
9	(E)	
10	(E)	
11	(E)	
13	(F)	Allocated per corresponding Distribution Gross Plant.
14	(F)	
15	(F)	
16	(F)	
17	(F)	
19	(F)	
20	(F)	
22	(F)	
23	(F)	
25	(F)	
26	(F)	
28	(F)	
29	(F)	
31	(F)	
32	(F)	
33	(F)	
37	(G)	Allocated per corresponding Gross General Plant; UPS directly assigned.
38	(G)	
39	(G)	
40	(G)	

### GLLF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.30 - ANALYSIS OF TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES (\$000°S)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	<b>TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM</b> (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNT POWER SALES (12)	
	FEAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY											
1	PRODUCTION	17, 196	6,587	422	3,456	1,477	1,789	78	15,809	486	801	
•	HE TAIL JUHISDICTION		8 000	200	0.450	4.040	1 634					
3	ENERGY		0,009	380	3,150	1,393	1,634	17	19,093			
Ă	TRANSMISSION	2 508	1360	68	547	230	269	11	2 513	71	14	
5	DISTRIBUTION	5,969	3 759	248	1 017	334	186	404	5946	22	14	
6	DEMAND	3,843	2.230	117	914	331	186	45	3.823	21	ŏ	
7	CLETCHER	2.124	1.629	129	103	3	0	359	2,123	1	Ō	
6	CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS	120	104	6	5	ō	Ō	2	119	i	ŏ	
9	CLISTUMER ASSISTANCE	128	57	12	15	42	2	0	128	0	0	
10	CUSTOMER	126	57	12	15	42	2	0	128	0	0	
11	DIEFICY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	TOTAL ELECTRIC PROP. TAXES	26,010	13,696	756	5,040	2,083	2,245	495	24,515	580	915	
13	DEMAND	22,422	11,628	575	4,617	1,904	2,068	117	20,929	678	915	
14	CLISTOMER	2,372	1,690	149	123	45	2	361	2,370	2	0	
15	Bergy	1,216	578	32	300	134	155	17	1,216	0	. 0	
	PAYROLL TAXES											
16	PRODUCTION RETAIL JURISDICTION	3,419	1,698	63	682	291	363	15	3,122	96	201	
17	DEMAND		1.582	π	623	265	323	12	2,682			
16	ENERGY		116	6	59	26	30	3	240			
19	TRANSMISSION	299	158	6	62	26	32	1	287	. 9	3	
20	DISTRIBUTION	1,600	1,083	72	245	72	28	96	1,698	1	0	
21	DEMAND	654	507	27	209	71	28	11	653	1	0	
22	CUSTOMER	745	576	45	36	1	0	67	745	0	0	
23	CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS	958	834	83	36	1	1	13	950	6	0	
24	CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE	1,010	669	134	170	25	23	0	1,011	0	0	
20	CUSTUMER	1,010	659	134	170	25	23	0	1,011	0	0	
26		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2/	SUBIUIAL ELEL. PATHULL IAVES	7,200	4,432	360	1,197	415	437	12/	6,968	114	204	
20		4,332	2,24/	112	094	362	383	24	4,022	100	204	ັດ ຊັກ ມີ ຊັດ
30	ENERGY	240	2,009	~~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~	244 50	21	24	100	2,708	0	U	- 운영 중 돌 르
30		240	110	0		20	30	3	240	U	U	e e ë a F
31	ECCR PAYROLL ADJUSTMENT	(369)	(324)	(28)	(17)	0	0	0	(369)	0	0	dule
32	NET ELEC. PAYROLL TAXES	6,917	4,106	332	1,180	415	437	127	6.599	114	204	
33	DEMAND	4,332	2,247	112	894	362	383	24	4.022	106	204	∷≣ ≦≧
34	CLETCHER	2,714	2,069	242	244	27	24	100	2,706	6	0	80 오꼬
36	ENERGY	(129)	(205)	(22)	42	28	30	3	(129)	Ō	Ō	

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 44 of 60

### GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 4.30 - ANALYSIS OF TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES (\$20075)

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LP/LPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (6)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)
	REVENLE TAXES										
36	GROSS RECEIPTS TAX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	FLA REG. COMM. ASSESSMENT	402	239	17	83	27	24	12	402	0	0
38	FUEL & ECCR REL. REV TAXES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	FRANCHISE FEE REV. ADJ.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	TUTAL REVENLE TAXES	402	239	17	83	27	24	12	402	0	0
	OTHER TAXES										
41	MSS. STATE FRAN. TAX	307	164	8	64	27	34	1	298	9	0
42	FRANCHSE FEE	41,160	24,514	1,696	8,487	2,602	2,431	1,230	41,160	0	0
43	MISCELLANEOUS TAXES	105	66	5	18	6	6	2	103	2	0
44	DEMAND	62	35	2	13	5	5	0	60	2	0
45	CUSTONER	39	28	3	4	1	1	2	39	0	0
46	ENERGY	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0
47	IOTAL OTHER TAXES	41,672	24,744	1,709	8,669	2,635	2,471	1,239	41,561	11	0
46	FRANCHISE FEE ADJUSTMENT	(41,160)	(24,514)	(1, <b>696)</b>	(8,487)	(2,802	(2,431)	(1.230)	(41, 160)	0	0
49	TOTAL TAXES OTHER THAN INC.	33,741	18,473	1,118	8,395	2,658	2,746	637	31,917	706	1,119
60	DEMAND	27,123	14,074	697	6,588	2,298	2,510	142	25,309	686	1,119
51	CLISTOMER	6,125	3,787	394	371	73	27	463	6,115	10	0
62	ENERGY	1,091	373	10	343	160	165	20	1,091	0	0
53	REVINLE RELATED	402	239	17	83	27	24	12	402	0	0

• 7

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 45 of 60 Schedule 4.30

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 46 of 60 Schedule 4.30

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES

.

4

Line	Etnt_	Benedation
<u>_NO.</u>	Ladel	Description
1	(A)	Retail jurisdiction sum of Lines 2 and 3; Wholesale allocated per Level 1 Demand
		Allocator; UPS directly assigned.
2	(B)	Allocated per Level 1 Demand Allocator.
3	(C)	Allocated per Level 1 Energy Allocator.
4	(D)	Allocated per Transmission Gross Plant; UPS directly assigned.
5	(E)	Allocated per corresponding Distribution Gross Plant.
6	(E)	
7	(E)	
8	(F)	Allocated per corresponding Operations and Maintenance Expense.
9	(F)	
10	(F)	
11	(F)	
16	(G)	Allocated per corresponding Salarles and Wages; UPS directly assigned.
17	(H)	Allocated per corresponding Salaries and Wages.
18	(H)	
19	(G)	
20	(H)	
21	(H)	
22	(H)	
23	(H)	
24	(H)	
25	(H)	
20	(H)	Dravided by Culf Dewente Clean, Allocated to rate par evenes a surplay
31	(1)	of customers within class.
36	(J)	Allocated per Retail Revenue from Sales.
37	(J)	
38	(K)	Allocated per Retail MWH Sales.
39	(J)	
41	(B)	
42	(J)	
43	(H)	
44	(H)	
45	(H)	
46	(H)	
48	(J)	

### GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 5.0 - LINE ALLOCATORS AND PERCENTAGES

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (8)	RATE CLASS LPALPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLEBALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)
1	ENERGY - LEVEL 1	12,216,612	5,843,768	312,270	2,930,180	1,304,358	1,608,184	164,657	11,863,415	<b>358,397</b>	0
2		1.0000000	0.4618539	0.0255644	0,2397893	0.1067411	0.1234212	0.0134748	0.9708345	0.0291655	0.0000000
3	MWH SALES	11,505,326	6,284,445	291,283	2,733,687	1,233,654	1,477,819	153,590	11,15 <b>4,278</b>	351,048	0
4	%	1,000000	0.4575859	0.0253172	0.2376019	0.10772245	0.1294291	0.0133495	0.9694882	0.0305118	0.0000000
	CP DEWAND										
5	LEVELS 1 & 2	2,149,333	1,144,164	55,768	451,029	191,920	233,603	8,774	2,085,247	64,066	000000000
8	%	1.0000000	0.6323344	0 0259420	0.2098456	0.0962529	0.1086863	0.0040822	0.9701833	0.0298167	
7	LEVEL 3	1,692,426	1,123,290	54,741	442,800	163,856	99,125	6,614	1, <b>892,42</b> 6	0	0
8		1.000000	0 <i>5</i> 935714	0.0289264	0.2330864	0.0966851	0.0523739	0.0045516	1.0000000	0.0000000	0000000.0
	NCP DEMAND	_									
9	LEVEL 4	2,486,404	1,450,645	78,399	606,449	220,097	93,569	37,248	2,486,404	0	0
10	%	1.000000	0.5834309	0.0316311	0.2439066	0.0865202	0.0376323	0.0149799	1,000000	0.0000000	0000000.0
11	LEVEL 6	2,250,357	1,396,380	76,458	580,086	158,305	4,296	35,853	2,260,357	0	0
12		1,0000000	0.6206149	0.0335918	0.2577562	0.0703487	0.0019096	0.01 <b>5932</b> 1	1.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000
	AVERAGE NO. OF CUSTOMERS										
13	LEVEL 4 AND BELOW	443,319	396,033	29,158	17,494	276	48	10,312	443,319	0	0
14	%	1.0000000	0.8707793	0.0867675	0.0394614	0.0006227	0.0001083	0.0232609	1.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000
15	LEVEL 6	443,248	386,033	29,154	17,488	248	33	10,312	443,246	0	0
16		1.0000000	0.6709227	0.0657739	0.0 <b>324048</b>	0.0005596	0.0000745	0.0232847	1.0000000	0000000.0	0.0000000
17	TOTAL	443,351	396,033	29,156	17,497	284	68	10,312	443,360	1	00000000
18	%	1.0000000	0.8707184	0.0657628	0.0394653	0.0006407	0.0001534	0.0232592	0.5559577	0.00000223	

.

٠

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 47 of 60 Schedule 5.00

### GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDING DECOMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY SCHEDULE 5.0 - LINE ALLOCATORS AND PERCENTAGES

LINE NO. (1)	DESCRIPTION (2)	TOTAL ELECTRIC SYSTEM (3)	RATE CLASS RESIDENTIAL (4)	RATE CLASS GS (5)	RATE CLASS GSD/GSDT (6)	RATE CLASS LPALPT (7)	RATE CLASS MAJOR ACCTS (8)	RATE CLASS OS (9)	TOTAL RETAIL SERVICE (10)	WHOLESALE (11)	UNIT POWER SALES (12)	
	SALARIES AND WAGES	-										
19	PRODUCTION	37,906	19,921	979	6,020	3,426	4,152	161	36,679	1,127	0	
20	12/13 DEMAND RELATED		16.579	906	7,323	3.116	3,793	142	33,658			
21	1/13 ENERGY RELATED		1,342	74	697	310	369	39	2,621			
22	*	1.000000	0.526289	0.0258954	0.2121356	0.0906205	0.1098238	0.0047876	0.9701899	0.0298101	0.0000000	
23	TRANSMISSION	3,307	1,765	85	695	298	356	13	3,210	97	0	
24	*	1.0000000	0.5337164	0.0257031	0.2101603	0.0895071	0.1076504	0.0039311	0.9706683	0.0233317	0.0000000	
	DISTRIBUTION											
25	DEWNO	9,443	5,691	298	2,311	789	315	126	9,430	13	0	
20		0,201 17.704	11 075	796	2712	802	321	1 082	17 ARA	16	ŭ	
28	%	1.0000000	0.6764008	0.0449616	0.1531857	0.0453005	0.0181315	0.0611161	0.9990962	0.0009038	0.0000000	
29	CLESTOMER ACCOUNTS	10.595	9.219	697	419	11	16	145	10.509	86	0	
30	%	1.0000000	0.8701274	0.0657857	0.0396470	0.0010382	0.0016989	0.0136857	0.9918830	0.0081170	0.000000	
	CLISTICHER ASSISTANCE											
31	CLETCHER	11.170	7,290	1,480	1,679	278	243	0	11,170	0	0	
32	ENERGY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
33	TOTAL CLISTONER ASST.	11,170	7,290	1, <b>480</b>	1,679	278	243	0	11,170	0	0	
34	%	1.0000000	0.6526410	0.1324978	0.1682184	0.0248861	0.0217547	0.0000000	1.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	
	SLETTOTAL SALARIES & WAGES											
35	DEMAND	47,735	25,835	1,299	10,329	4,201	4,464	281	46,498	1,237	0	
36	CUSTOMER	30,028	22,893	2,675	2,699	302	267	1,101	29,937	89	0	
37	ENERGY	2,821	1,342	74	697	310	369	39	2,821	0	0	
36	SLETUTAL SALARIES & WAGES	80,582	50,170	4,037	13,725	4,613	5,090	1,421	79,258	1,326	0	
39	7	1.0000000	0.6225956	0.0500980	0.1703234	0.059/2290	0.0631666	0.01/6342	0.9830447	0.0104003	0.000000	
-		10.019	10 590	947	0.001	1 010	1.060	200	16 835	279	0	
41		1 0000000	0 6225990	0.0500798	2,001 0 17034273	0.05977174	0.063205A	230 0.0176196	0.9835629	0.0164371	0.0000000	о т m < с
	~		0.220000	2.3000700	0.1702-020			0.0170130				çğx¥≨č
42	TOTAL SALARIES & WAGES	97,495	60,700	4,884	16,606	5,823	6.159	1,719	95,891	1,604	0	e je ji ra f
43	76	1.0000000	0.6225980	0.0500949	0.1703267	0.0007261	0.0631725	0.0176317	0.9835479	0.0164621	0.0000000	5 4 <del>7</del> 8 7

•

.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No._____(MTO-2) Page 48 of 60 Schedule 5.00

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No.____ (MTO-2) Page 49 of 60 Schedule 5.00

## GULF POWER COMPANY 12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 12/13 DEMAND ALLOCATION - WITH MDS METHODOLOGY ANALYSIS OF LINE ALLOCATORS AND PERCENTAGES

Line	<u>Etnt</u>	·
<u>No.</u>	Label	Description
	(4)	Energy at point of generation
	(A) (B)	Persent of shows lines total
2	(D)	Percent of above lines total.
3	(C)	I otal sales of energy at point of delivery.
4	(B)	
5	(D)	Coincident peak demand at Levels 1 & 2.
6	(B)	
7	(E)	Coincident peak demand at Level 3.
8	(B)	
9	(F)	Non-coincident peak demand at Level 4.
10	(B)	
11	(G)	Non-coincident peak demand at Level 5.
12	(B)	
13	(H)	Average number of customers at Levels 4 & 5.
14	(B)	
15	(I)	Average number of common customers at Level 5.
1 <b>6</b>	(B)	
17	(J)	Total average number of customers at all levels.
18	(B)	
19	(K)	Retail Jurisdiction sum of lines 2 & 3; Wholesale and Total Retail Service Allocated
		per Level 1 Demand Allocator.
20	(L)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Demand Allocator.
21	(M)	Allocated per corresponding Level 1 Energy Allocator.
22	<b>(B)</b>	
23	(N)	Allocated per Total Transmission O & M Expense excluding UPS.
24	(B)	
25	(O)	Allocated per demand related Distribution O & M Expense.
28	(P)	Allocated per customer related Distribution O & M Expense.
28	(B)	
29	(Q)	Allocated per Customer Accounts Expense excluding UPS.
30	(B)	
31	(R)	Allocated per customer related Customer Assistance Expense
		excluding UPS and Gulf Power Energy Services.
32	(S)	Allocated per energy related Customer Assistance Expense excluding UPS.
34	(B)	
40	Э	Allocated per Subtotal Salaries and Wages.
41	<b>(B)</b>	

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-2) Page 50 of 60 Schedule 6.1





# Account 365 - Overhead Primary Conductors

Size	MCM	\$ / ft
#2	77.47	0.517
1/0	123.30	0.590
4/0	246.90	1.228
477	477.00	1.715
795	795.00	2.438

Zero Intercept = .3737

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-2) Page 51 of 60 Schedule 6.2





Account 368 – Singe Phase Overhead Transformers <100 kVA

kVA	\$ / ea
15	1,022
25	1,193
37.5	1,412
50	1,672
75	2,219
100	2,848

Zero Intercept = 643.42

#### GULF POWER COMPANY TWELVE MONTHS ENDED 12/31/12 MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ACCOUNT 584 - POLES, TOWERS AND FIXTURES (MASS ACCOUNT) Schedule 6.3

			PRIMARY			
		<b>12-31-12</b>	CUSTOMER-	DEMAND-		
		TOTAL	RELATED	RELATED	RELATED	
		LEVEL 4 COSTS	COMPONENT	COMPONENT		
	COMPONENT SPLIT ANALYSIS OF					
	MASS ACCOUNT RECORDS					
* 1	. AVERAGE UNIT COST OF MRSS POLES		247.06			
* 2	. TOTAL NUMBER OF POLES		203,779			
٢ ،	. TOTAL COST OF POLES	76,397,964	50, 344, 627	20,051,337		
۲ <b>4</b>	. PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL COST OF POLES		65.90%	34.10%		

		12-31-12 TOTAL ALL COST8	12-31-12 Total Level 4 costs	CUSTOMER- RELATED COMPONENT	CEMAND- RELATED COMPONENT	12-31-12 TOTAL LEVEL 5 COSTS	CUSTOMER- RELATED COMPONENT	DEMAND- RELATED COMPONENT	
•	8. FRIMARY / SECONDARY SPLIT OF OVERHEAD LINES FROM ACCOUNT 388	111,303,221	86,050,521			26,262,700			(D
	ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 344								
• •	6. POLES (WOOD, CONCRETE) 7. STEEL-REINFORCED POLE TRUSS 8. TOTAL POLES	76,002, <b>64</b> 2 1,395,123 76,3 <b>97,00</b> 5	67,968,046 1,396,123 50,381,168	38,211,665 919,356 38,130,921	19,774,480 476,767 20,250,247	17,016,797 - 17,016,797	11,213,70 <b>6</b> 11,213,706	6,603,091 6,603,091	(E) (F)
;	9. FIXTURE SETS 10. OTHER ACCOUNT 384	45,206,973 1,768,990	36,137,623 1,387,196	23,154,943 900,952	11,982,650 486,243	10,069,350 391,795	6,635,487 258,185	3,433,863 133,610	(G (H
,	11. TOTAL ACCOUNT 354	123,363,925	95,885,986	63,165,616	32,699,170	27,477, <b>54</b> 2	16,107,376	9,370,564	
r •	12. PERCENTAGES AT LEVEL 13. PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL		77.73%	65.90% 61.22%	34.10% 26.51%	22.27%	65.90% 14.68%	34.10% 7.60%	

NOTES:

(A) MRSS INCLUDES 30 & 35-FOOT WOODEN POLES-MINIMUM REPLACEABLE SIZE-AND SMALLER.

(B) INCLUDES ALL POLE SIZES.

(C) TOTAL AMOUNT FOR ALL POLES. CUSTOMER COMPONENT EQUALS TOTAL NUMBER OF POLES (LINE 2) TIMES AVERAGE UNIT COST OF MRSS POLES (LINE 1). DEMAND COMPONENT IS TOTAL MINUS CUSTOMER COMPONENT.

(D) FROM ACCOUNT 348, LINE 7, TOTAL OVERNEAD LINES.

(E) TOTAL AMOUNT ALLOCATED TO LEVEL PER PRIMARY / SECONDARY SPLIT OF OVERHEAD LINES FROM ACCOUNT 365 (LINE 5). WITHIN LEVEL, ALLOCATED TO COMPONENT PER TOTAL COST OF POLES (LINE 3).

(F) TOTAL AMOUNT ASSIGNED TO PRIMARY LEVEL. ALLOCATED TO COMPONENT PER TOTAL COST OF POLES (LINE 3).

(G) ALLOCATED PER TOTAL POLEB (LINE 8).

(H) INCLUDES ADJUSTMENTS, INTERIM RUCS, AND NON-UNITIZED. ALLOCATED PER TOTAL POLES (LINE 8).

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-2) Page 52 of 60 Schedule 6.3

#### GULF POWER COMPANY TWELVE MONTHS BHOED 12/31/12 MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM - ZERO-INTERCEPT METHOD ACCOUNT 345 - OVERHEAD CONDUCTORS AND DEVICES (CURRENT REPLACEMENT COST BASIS) SCHEDULE LA

•	1. ZERO-INTERCEPT UNIT COST OF AAC/AAAC Wire (\$/FT)		0.5757		(A)
•	2. TOTAL FEET OF MINIMUM SYSTEM PRIMARY OVERHEAD LINES		62,264,822		(8)
•	3. TOTAL COST OF PRIMARY OVERHEAD LINES (ADJ FOR VINTAGE)	142,492,281	23,268,364	119,223,697	(C)
•	4. PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL COST OF OVERHEAD LINES		16.33%	83.67%	

							SECONDARY		
		12-31-12 TOTAL	12-31-12 TOTAL	CUSTOMER- RELATED	DEMAND- RELATED	12-31-12 TOTAL	CUSTOMER- RELATED	DEMAND- RELATED	
	ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 365	ALL COSTS	LEVEL 4 COSTS	COMPONENT	COMPONENT	LEVEL & COSTS	COMPONENT	COMPONENT	
:	5. PRIMARY LINES	86,050,621	86,050,521	14,061,874	71,008,647	-	-	-	(D)
•	8. SECONDARY LINES 7. Total overhead lines	28,282,700 111, <b>303</b> ,221	86,050,621	- 14, <b>061,</b> 874	71,008,647	25,252,700 25,252,700	4,123, <b>660</b> 4,123,958	21,128,044	(15)
;	5. PRIMARY SWITCHGEAR 3. SECONDARY SWITCHGEAR	4,621,010	4,621,010	758,261	3,782,749	2.026	-	1.685	(F) (G)
	10. OTHER EQUIPMENT 11. TOTAL SWITCHGEAR AND OTHER EQUIPMENT	12,354,765 16,917,804	9,562,816 14,103,828	1,684,600 2,303,081	9,017,816 11,800,667	2,812,160 2,814,178	459,212 469,643	2,362,838 2,384,633	(H)
٠	12. SUBTOTAL	128,221,025	100,154,149	16,354,735	83,789,414	28,066,678	4,583,189	23,483,677	
٠	13. OTHER 365	2,644,243	2,221,664	342,786	1,653,865	622,689	101,658	620,923	(1)
۲	14. TOTAL ACCOUNT 365	131,065,288	102,375,803	16,717,621	85,680,282	28,689,465	4,684,868	24,004,600	
;	16. PERCENTAGES AT LEVEL 16. PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL		76.11%	16.33% 12.7 <b>6%</b>	83.67% 65.38%	21.89%	16.33% 3.67%	83.67% 16.31%	

NOTES:

(A) Y-AXIS INTERCEPT OF REGREDSION BASED ON COST FROM MAXIMO SSTEM OF AAC AND AAAC WIRE SIZES.

(B) TWO TIMES TOTAL PROMARY OVERGEAD CIRCUIT-MILES FROM DISTOIS AUTOMATED MAPPING SYSTEM, CONVERTED TO FEET.

TOTAL AMOUNT FOR ALL PRIMARY WIRE TYPES AND SIZES, ADJUSTED FOR VINTAGE BY HANDY-WHITMAN RATIOS. CUSTOMER COMPONENT EQUALS TOTAL FEET OF (C) MINIMUM SYSTEM OVERHEAD LINES (LINE 2) TIMES UNIT COST OF ZERCHNTERCEPT (LINE 1). DEMAND COMPONENT IS TOTAL MINUS CUSTOMER COMPONENT.

INCLUDES ALL OVERHEAD WIRE TYPES AND SIZES EXCEPT N-PLEX. ALLOCATED PER TOTAL COST OF PRIMARY OVERHEAD LINES (ADJ FOR VINTAGE) (LINE 3). (D)

(E) INCLUDED ALL DUPLEX, TRIPLEX, AND QUADRUPLEX. ALLOCATED TO COMPONENT PER LINE 3.

Ē INCLIDES ALL SWITCHES SPECIFIED FOR USAGE AT 5 KV AND ABOVE. ALLOCATED PER PRIMARY LINES (LINE 6).

INCLUDES ALL SWITCHED SPECIFIED FOR USAGE AT 4.9 KY AND BELOW. ALLOCATED PER SECONDARY LINES (LINE 6).

(G) INCLUDED ALL OTHER UNITIZED EQUIPMENT. ALLOCATED PER TOTAL OVERHEAD LINES (LINE 7).

(H)

(1) INCLUDED ADJUSTMENTS, INTERM RUCA, AND NON-UNITZED. ALLOCATED PER SUBTOTAL (LINE 12).

Florida Public Service Commiss Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. _____ (MTO-2) Page 53 of 60 Schedule 6.4 Commission

### GULF POWER COMPANY TWELVE MONTHS ENDED 12/31/12 MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM - ZERO - INTERCEPT - METHOD ACCOUNT 356 - UNDERGROUND CONDUIT ANALYSIS (MASS ACCOUNT) SCHEDULE 6.5

				PRIMARY			SECONDARY		
		12-31-12 TOTAL ALL COSTS	12-31-12 TOTAL LEVEL 4 COSTS	CUSTOMER- RELATED COMPONENT	DEMAND- RELATED COMPONENT	12-31-12 TOTAL LEVEL 5 COSTS	CUSTOMER- RELATED COMPONENT	DEMAND- RELATED COMPONENT	NOTES
•	1. TOTAL UNDERGROUND LINES FROM ACCOUNT 387	108,604,167	74,657,635	3,431,394	71,226,241	31,946,552	1,468,319	30,47 6,233	(A)
	ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT SEE								
, ,	2. DUCT LINES, MANHOLES, AND SPLICING CHAMBERS 3. TRANSFOMER VAULTS AND SUMP PUMPS	994,328 166,360	696,352 0	<b>32,006</b> 0	<b>664,346</b> 0	297,974 166,360	13,695 0	284,279 166,360	(B) (C)
•	4. TOTAL ACCOUNT 386	1,160,666	696,352	32,006	664, 346	484,334	13,695	450,639	
, ,	8. PERCENTAGES AT LEVEL 8. PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL		59.99%	4.60% 2.78%	95.40% 57.24%	40.01%	2.95% 1.1 <b>8%</b>	97.05% 38.83%	

NOTES:

FROM ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 387, LINE 7, TOTAL UNDERGROUND LINES. ALLOCATED PER TOTAL UNDERGROUND LINES FROM ACCOUNT 387 (LINE 1).

(A) (B) (C)

ASSIGNED TO SECONDARY LEVEL & DEMAND-RELATED COMPONENT.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____(MTO-2) Page 54 of 60 Schedule 6.5

#### GULF POWER COMPANY TWELVE MONTHS ENDED 12/31/12 MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM - ZERO - INTERCEPT - METHOD ACCOUNT 387 - UNDERGROUND CONDUCTORS (CURRENT REPLACEMENT COST BASIS) SCHEDULE 8.6

		PRIMARY		
		- LEVEL 4 -		
	⁷ 12-31-12	CUSTOMER-	DEMAND-	
	TOTAL	RELATED	RELATED	NOT
	LEVEL 4 COSTS	COMPONENT	COMPONENT	
COMPONENT SPLIT ANALYSIS OF	-			
MASS ACCOUNT RECORDS				

	1. ZERO-INTERCEPT UNIT COST OF AAC/AAAC WIRE (V/FT)		0.3737		(A)
	2. TOTAL FEET OF PRIMARY UNDERGROUND MINIMUM SYSTEM LINES		19,293,229		(B)
*	3. TOTAL COST OF PRIMARY UNDERGROUND LINES (ADJ FOR VINTAGE)	156,868,989	7,208,579	149,657,110	(C)
•	4. PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL COST OF UNDERGROUND LINES		4.60%	96.40%	

		12-31-12	12-31-12	PRIMARY LEVEL 4	DEMAND-	12-31-12	SECONDARY LEVEL 5	DEMAND-	
	ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 387	TOTAL ALL COSTS	TOTAL LEVEL 4 COSTS	RELATED COMPONENT	RELATED COMPONENT	TOTAL LEVEL 5 COSTS	RELATED COMPONENT	COMPONENT	
•	- 5. PRIMARY LINES 6. SECONDARY LINES 7. TOTAL UNDERGROUND LINES	74,657,635 31,048,552 106,604,187	74,857,635 - 74,857,635	3,431,394 - 3,431,394	71,228,241 71,228,241	31,946,552 31,946,552	- 1,488,319 1,468,319	30,478,233 30,478,233	(D) (E)
•	8. NEJTRALS	31,685	-	-	•	31,685	1,468	30,420	ፑ
• • •	8. PRIMARY SWITCHGEAR 10. SECONDARY SWITCHGEAR 11. OTHER EQUIPMENT 12. TOTAL SWITCHGEAR AND OTHER EQUIPMENT	3,980,077 9,209 18,306,623 22,295,609	3,980,077 12,820,626 16,808,603	182,931 - 559,254 772,185	3,767,146 12,231,272 16,028,418	- 9,209 8,465,997 8,495,208	- 423 262,146 262,669	8,786 5,233,881 6,242,637	(G (H (I)
•	13. SUBTOTAL	128,931,881	91,468,238	4,203,579	87,254,859	37,473,643	1,722,353	35,781,290	
•	14. OTHER 387	3,965,590	2,813,004	129,291	2,683,713	1,162,688	52,975	1,099,811	(J)
•	15. TOTAL ACCOUNT 367	132,897,471	94,271,242	4,332,870	69,938,372	38,626,229	1,776,328	36,860,901	
:	18. PERCENTAGES AT LEVEL 17. PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL		70.94%	4.60% 3.26%	95.40% 97.68%	28.06%	4.60% 1.36%	96.40% 27.7 <b>3%</b>	

#### NOTES:

(A)

. FROM ACCOUNT 398, LINE 1, ZERO-INTERCEPT UNIT COST OF AAC/AAAC WIRE. TWO TIMES TOTAL PRIMARY UNDERGROUND CIRCUIT-MILES FROM DISTGIS AUTOMATED MAPPING SYSTEM, CONVERTED TO FEET. (8)

(C) TOTAL AMOUNT FOR ALL PRIMARY WIRE TYPES AND SIZES, ADJUSTED FOR VINTAGE BY HANDY-WHITMAN RATIOS. CUSTOMER CONPONENT EQUALS TOTAL FEET OF

MINIMUM SYSTEM UNDERGROUND LINES (LINE 2) TIMES UNIT COST OF ZERO-INTERCEPT (LINE 1). DEMAND COMPONENT IS TOTAL MINUS CUSTOMER COMPONENT.

(D) INCLUDES ALL UNDERGROUND CABLE SPECIFIED FOR UBAGE AT 5 KV AND ABOVE. ALL OCATED PER TOTAL COST OF PRIMARY UNDERGOUND LINES ADJUSTED FOR VINCE OF CALLS OF CHILLE OF

(E)

ASSIGNED TO SECONDARY. ALLOCATED TO COMPONENT PER SECONDARY LINES (LINE 6). (F)

(G) INCLUDES ALL SWITCHES SPECIFIED FOR USAGE AT 5 KV AND ABOVE. ALLOCATED PER PRIMARY LINES (LINE 5).

(H) INCLUDES ALL SWITCHES SPECIFIED FOR USAGE AT 4.9 KV AND BELOW. ALLOCATED PER SECONDARY LINES (LINE 6).

0 INCLUDES ALL OTHER UNITIZED EQUIPMENT. ALLOCATED PER TOTAL UNDERGROUND LINES (LINE 7).

INCLUDES ADJUSTMENTS, INTERIM RUCS, AND NON-LINITZED. ALLOCATED PER SUBTOTAL (LINE 13). U)

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. _____ (MTO-2) Exhibit No. Page 55 of 60 Schedule 6.6

### GULF POWER COMPANY TWELVE MONTHS ENDED 12/31/12 MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM - ZERO-INTERCEPT METHOD ACCOUNT 308 - LINE TRANSFORMERS (CURRENT REPLACEMENT COST BASIS) SCREDULE 6.7

	12-31-12 TOTAL	12-31-12 TOTAL	PRIMARY LEVEL 4 CUSTOMER- RELATED COMPONENT	DEMAND- RELATED	12-31-12 TOTAL	SECONDARY LEVEL 5 CUS TOMER- RELATED COMPONENT	DEMAND- RELATED	NOTES	
COMPONENT SPLIT ANALYSIS OF MASS ACCOUNT RECORDS								-	
1. UNIT COST OF ZERO-INTERCEPT (1 PHASE OH) 2. TOTAL NUMBER OF OH TRANSFORMERS 3. TOTAL OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS (ADJ FOR VINTAGE) 4. PERCENTAGE SPLIT OF OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS					108,283,555	643.42 116,771 76,419,637 38.54%	121,663,918 61. <b>46%</b>	(A) (B) (C)	
5. UNIT COST OF ZERO-INTERCEPT (1 PHASE OH) 6. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAD-MIT TRANSFORMERS 7. TOTAL PAD-MIT TRANSFORMERS (ADJ FOR VINTAGE) 8. PERCENTAGE SPLIT OF PAD-MIT TRANSFORMERS					148,018,545	643.42 29,600 19,045,232 12.67%	128,971,313 67.13%	(A) (B) (C)	
9. UNIT COST OF ZERO-INTERCEPT (1 PHASE OH) 10. TOTAL NUMBER OF VAULT/DRY TRANSFORMERS 11. TOTAL VAULT/DRY TRANSFORMERS (ADJ FOR VINTAGE) 12. PERCENTAGE SPLIT OF VAULT/DRY TRANSFORMERS					863,270	643,42 120 77,210 8,64%	766,059 91.08%	(A) (B) (C)	
13. PRIMARY LINES FROM ACCOUNT 385		66,050,521	1 <b>4,051,674</b>	71,998,947				(P)	
ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 388									
TRANSFORMERS 14. OVERVEAD TRANSFORMERS 15. PAD-MOUNTED TRANSFORMERS 16. VAULT AND UNDERGROUND DRY TRANSFORMERS	73,262,011 73,515,978 428,764	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	73,262,011 73,515,976 428,794	28,235,608 9,459,273 38,348	45,026,405 84,056,705 390,416	(E) (F) (G)	Florid Dock GULF Page Sche
17. NETWORK PROTECTORS	666,040	0	0	0	666,040	59,670	606,470	(H)	dul
16. REGULATORS AND CAPACITORS	9,076,167	9,076,167	0	9,076,167	0	0	0	(1)	
19. SWITCHEB	1,435,580	1,435,560	234,424	1,201,150	0	0	0	(J)	
CUTOUTS AND ARRESTERS 20. TRANSFORMER RELATED 21. REGULATOR/CAPACITOR RELATED 22. LINE/SWITCH RELATED	40,517,177 2,924,692 21,927,157	0 2,924,692 21,927,157	0 0 3,580,609	0 2,924,692 18,346,548	40,517,177 0 0	15,615 <b>,55</b> 6 0 0	<b>24,001,621</b> 0 0	(K) (L) (M)	30140- ael T. (M ⁻
23. OTHER UNITIZED ACCOUNT 368	3,344,574	0	0	0	3,344,574	430,345	2,914,229	(N)	JOS∮≣,
24. SUBTOTAL	227,098,140	35, 363, 596	3,615,033	31,648,683	191,734,544	53,636,696	137,695,646	-	
25. OTHER 368	6,023,523	937,990	101 <b>,190</b>	836,790	5,085,543	1,426,011	3,657,532	(O)	
28. TOTAL ACCOUNT 368	233,121,663	36,301,576	3,916,223	32, 385, 353	196,620,057	55, 266, 709	141,553,376		nis; ¥y
27. PERCENTAGES AT LEVEL 28. PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL		15.57%	10.79% 1.68%	89.21% 13.89%	84.43%	28.08% 23.71%	71.92% 90.72%	•	sion

### NOTES:

- (A) Y-AXIS INTERCEPT OF REGRESSION BASED ON COST FROM MAXIMO SYSTEM OF SINGLE-PHASE OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS 100 KVA AND LESS.
- (B) INCLUDES ALL OVERHEAD, PAD-MOUNTED, AND VAUL T/UNDERGROUND DRY TRANSFORMERS, RESPECTIVELY.
- (C) TOTAL AMOUNT FOR ALL TRANSFORMERS OF EACH RESPECTIVE TYPE ADJUSTED FOR VINTAGE USING HANDY-WHITMAN RATIOS. CUTOMER COMPONENT EQUALS TOTAL NUMBER OF TRANSFORMERS (LINE 2) TIMES UNIT COST OF ZERO-INTERCEPT (LINE 1). DEMAND COMPONENT IS TOTAL MINUS CUSTOMER COMPONENT.
- (D) FROM ANALYSIS OF ACCOUNT 365, LINE 5, PREVARY LINES.
- (E) ALLOCATED PER TOTAL OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS ADJUSTED FOR VINTAGE (LINE 3).
- (F) ALLOCATED PER TOTAL PAD-NT TRANSFORMERS ADJUSTED FOR VINTAGE (LINE 7).
- (G) ALLOCATED PER TOTAL VAULT/DRY TRANSFORMERS ADJUSTED FOR VINTAGE (LINE 11).
- (H) ALLOCATED PER VAULT AND UNDERGROUND DRY TRANSFORMERS (LINE 16).
- (I) ASSIGNED TO LEVEL 4 DEMAND COMPONENT.
- (J) ALLOCATED PER PRIMARY LINES FROM ACCOUNT 366 (LINE 13).
- (K) FROM ACCOUNT 368-A. ALLOCATED PER OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS (LINE 14).
- (L) FROM ACCOUNT 368-A. ALLOCATED PER REGULATORS AND CAPACITORS (LINE 18).
- (M) FROM ACCOUNT 368-A. ALLOCATED PER PRIMARY LINES FROM ACCOUNT 365 (LINE 13).
- (N) ALLOCATED PER PAD-MOUNTED TRANSFORMERS (LINE 16).
- (0) ALLOCATED PER SUBTOTAL (LINE 24).

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-2) Page 57 of 60 Schedule 6.7

### GULF POWER COMPANY TWELVE MONTHS ENDED 12/31/12 MINIMUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM - ZERO-INTERCEPT METHOD ACCOUNT 368-A - ANALYSIS OF CUTOUTS AND ARRESTERS SCHEDULE 6.7

	QUANTITY	QUANTITY PERCENTAGE		NOTES	
1. TOTAL FOR CUTOUTS	172,629		29,938,347	(A)	
2. PROTECTION FOR OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS	116,791	68.73%	20,577,601	<b>(B)</b>	
3. REMAINDER FOR LINE PROTECTION	54,038	31.27%	9,350,746	(C)	
4. TOTAL FOR ARRESTERS	211,080		35, 430, 679	(D)	
5. PROTECTION FOR OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS	116,791	56.28%	19,939,576	(E)	
6. PROTECTION FOR REGULATORS AND AUTO-BOOSTERS	1,260	0.60%	211,496	(F)	
7. PROTECTION FOR CAPACITORS	16,164	7.66%	2,713,196	(G)	
6. PROTECTION FOR SWITCHES	3,350	1.59%	562,312	(H)	
9. REMAINDER FOR LINE PROTECTION	71,515	33.68%	12,004,099	(1)	
SUMMARY FOR CUTOUTS AND ARRESTERS					
10. Transformer-related			40,517,177	(J)	
11. Regulator/Capacitor-related			2,924,692	(K)	
12. Line/Switch-related			21,927,157	(L)	

### NOTES:

### (A) TOTAL NUMBER AND AMOUNT FOR CUTOUTS

- (B) ASSUMED 1 CUTOUT PER SINGLE PHASE TRANSFORMER AND 3 CUTOUTS PER THREE PHASE TRANSFORMER.
- (C) DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TOTAL FOR CUTOUTS (LINE 1) AND PROTECTION FOR OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS (LINE 2).
- (D) TOTAL NUMBER AND AMOUNT FOR ARRESTERS.
- (E) ASSUMED 1 ARRESTER PER SINGLE PHASE TRANSFORMER AND 3 ARRESTERS PER THREE PHASE TRANSFORMER.
- (F) REGULATORS AND AUTO-BOOSTERS ALL SINGLE-PHASE. ASSUMED 2 ARRESTERS PER UNIT (ONE EACH ON LOAD SIDE AND SOURCE SIDE).
- (G) ASSUMED ALL CAPACITORS 3-PHASE. ASSUMED SIX ARRESTERS PER CAPACITOR-TWO PER PHASE (ONE EACH ON LOAD SIDE AND SOURCE SIDE).
- (H) ASSUMED TWO ARRESTERS PER SINGLE-PHASE SWITCH AND 6 ARRESTERS PER 3-PHASE SWITCH.
- (I) DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TOTAL FOR ARRESTERS (LINE 4) AND [PROTECTION FOR OVERHEAD TRANSFORMERS (LINE 5) PLUS PROTECTION FOR REGULATORS (LINE 6) PLUS PROTECTION FOR CAPACITORS (LINE 7) PLUS PROTECTION FOR SWITCHES (LINE 6)].
- (J) LINE 2 PLUS LINE 5
- (K) LINE 6 PLUS LINE 7.
- (L) LINE 3 PLUS LINE 6 PLUS LINE 9.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EJ GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. _____(MTO-2) Page 58 of 60 Schedule 6.7

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-2) Page 59 of 60 Schedule 6.8

# Gulf Power Company Twelve Months Ended 12/31/12 Minimum Distribution System Account 369 – Services Analysis (Mass Account) Schedule 6.8

		Secondary Level 5				
		12-31-12 Total All Costs	Customer- Related Component	Demand- Related Component	Notes	
1.	All Services	97,917,728	97,917,728	-	(A)	
2.	Total Account 369	97,917,728	97,917,728	-		
3.	Percentages		100%			

# Notes

(A) Assigned to Secondary Level 5 Customer-Related Component.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-El GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: Michael T. O'Sheasy Exhibit No. ____ (MTO-2) Page 60 of 60 Schedule 6.9

# Gulf Power Company Twelve Months Ended 12/31/12 Minimum Distribution System Account 370 – Meters Analysis (Mass Account) Schedule 6.9

		Secondary Level 5				
		12-31-12 Total All Costs	Customer- Related Component	Demand- Related Component	Notes	
1.	All Meters	73,759,011	73,759,011	-	(A)	
2.	Total Account 370	73,759,011	73,759,011	-		
3.	Percentages		100%			

Notes

(A) Assigned to Customer-Related Component.