

Brandy Butler

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 3:33 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 20170007
Attachments: 20170007; Case docket 20170007; FPL- Turkey Point Responsibilities; Case Docket Number 20170007; Complaint FPL Canal Clening; Clean up responsibility; Turkey Point Clean Up; Case docket #20170007; Docket # 20170007; Docket number is 20170007

Customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 2:23 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 20170007

Copies on file. DHood

Brandy Butler

From: Jose Fox <joserfox@att.net>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 12:46 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: 20170007

Dear Commissioners:

I want to express my total opposition to FPL seeking to impose a charge on tax payers for polluting Biscayne Bay. A multi billion dollars public utility with extremely large return should not eve ask for such outrage.

Jose R Fox
Coral Gables, Fla.

Sent from my iPad

Brandy Butler

From: Burij Muchnik <barujm@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 3:52 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Case docket 20170007

Hi my name is Burij Muchnik I reside in Miami. I read the article in the Miami Herald that says customers from FPL should pay 200 Million to clean up turkey point. First of all, FPL is a monopoly that when a electric device gets ruined by an outage caused by them they say there no are responsible. Second, they don't provide any discount for senior citizen. They should pay for the turkey point instead of spending millions dollars in lobbies in Tallahassee. Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

Brandy Butler

From: DAVID URICH <d.urich@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 4:20 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL- Turkey Point Responsibilities

This is in relationship to the FPL Responsibilities regarding the issues at their Turkey Point Plant. I feel that FPL should pay for the needed corrective action - rather than the consumers! First - FPL knew for years that there was a problem in the ecological disposal of the water to be discarded. Secondly, their profits from the same period clearly show that FPL can afford to pay for corrective actions!

Passing this on to the consumers is not justified and would appear to be just easy profits for FPL! As a consumer, I request that FPL be made to pay for the needed corrective actions.

Sincerely, David A. Urich

3919 McKinley Ave.

Fort Myers, FL 33901

Brandy Butler

From: jody cohen <jodycohen@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 4:33 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Case Docket Number 20170007

To whom it may concern:

I am deeply opposed to having FPL customers pay the \$200 million tab for the cleanup of Turkey Point. I strongly believe that this is the responsibility of the utility company, which as a for profit organization should be able to find sufficient funds through its budget to pay for these kinds of operating expenses. As the Office of Public Counsel has argued, FPL's 1972 permit to operate canals makes clear that FPL bears the responsibility for making sure the canals work properly. Therefore, this matter should be funded by FPL and not by the customers.

Sincerely,

Rabbi Jody Cohen-Gavarian

Brandy Butler

From: Jose Calienes <josecalienes@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 6:02 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Complaint FPL Canal Clening

I don't want to pay for expenses incurred by FPL in the cleaning of the canals.

Thanks
Jose Calienes
Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Brandy Butler

From: Dan Kelly <kelld00@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 6:23 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Clean up responsibility

Customers should not pay to fix the problematic cooling canals at Turkey Point.

“FPL knew or should have known as early as 1978 that the operation of the cooling canals at the Turkey Point plant south of Miami was creating a hyper-saline plume that was polluting the Biscayne Aquifer — the drinking water resource for South Florida,” the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy said in a statement.

If Turkey Point cannot afford to maintain its facilities, decommissioning the reactors is the only practical solution.

Sincerely,
Dan Kelly

Brandy Butler

From: Fred & Susan Mattes <mattesjunk2@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 7:43 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point Clean Up

I believe it is OUTRAGEOUS that customers are being asked to pay for the clean up of the FPL cooling canals at Turkey Point that were contaminated/damaged by the corporation's negligent behavior over a period of MANY YEARS. That cost should come from the corporation's pockets, regardless of the possible drop in stock value that might result.

Customers were not in any way responsible for the damage and should not have to bear the cost to fix. Investors, even big ones like mutual funds and pensions, know there is risk when making a stock investment. A stock drop is the cost of investing in a firm that adopts disreputable practices and gets caught. Thank you for your consideration of this comment.

Susan Mattes

--

Fred & Susan Mattes <mattesjunk2@gmail.com>

Brandy Butler

From: Judith Sandoval <mimosan125@att.net>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 8:02 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Case docket #20170007

re: proposal to have customers of FPL pay for the cleanup at Turkey Point

As a residential customer of FPL for more than thirty years in the city of Miami, during which time I have paid my bills monthly and on time, having had repairs after storms, gardeners' costs due to foliage interference, had to suffer your workmen trimming trees badly and damaging my fence at the rear of my property so as to keep a transformer clear, I feel that I have reached the age of 81 years having paid my share of FPL expenses without being asked to pay for damage to the environment at the Turkey Point plant due to your negligence.

Your customers should not have to pay for what is due to your not cleaning up the mess there.

Thank you and sincerely,

Judith Hancock Sandoal
2536 SW 25th Terrace
Miami FL 33133-2219

Brandy Butler

From: Enma Saiz <enmaartist@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2017 8:09 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket # 20170007

Good evening,

My family and I live in Pinecrest, Florida. I am writing about FPL's irresponsible polluting of our waters through their unsafe practices at Turkey Point and their ongoing lies to the public. As a consumer of electricity in South Florida, I do not have a choice in choosing my electric company. I am obligated to use FPL. Their monopoly guarantees their CEO a salary of over \$14,000,000 per year. Contaminating our waters with tritium while their executive staff and shareholders fleece the public is completely unacceptable. I categorically refuse to pay for this clean-up. Take it out of their salaries!!

Sincerely,

Enma Saiz, MD
6460 SW 111th Drive
Pinecrest, FL 33156
786-266-5726

Sent from my iPhone

Brandy Butler

From: diane johnson <djoveges@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 10:31 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket number is 20170007

Dear Public Service Commissioners:

As a year round resident here in Palm Beach County, I am very concerned that FP&L is seeking to charge ratepayers for their mistakes. I am not convinced that FP&L is intending to meet the 10 year deadline to clean up the cooling canals at Turkey Point, regardless of whether we as ratepayers foot the bill or not. What is obvious is that FP&L has not been mindful of the results of their actions on the environment and ultimately on the health of Floridians, who rely on clean drinking water. If indeed you decide to allow some percentage of the costs of the clean-up to be borne by ratepayers; then please require milestones that must be met by certain dates, or stiff penalties will be incurred.

Thank you for your consideration.

Diane Johnson
3740 Mykonos Court
Boca Raton FL 33487

--

“Whoever would overthrow the liberty of a nation must begin by subduing the freeness of speech.” — **Benjamin Franklin**, 1722