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**PREHEARING ORDER**

**I. CASE BACKGROUND**

The Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause allows public utilities to seek recovery of costs for energy conservation programs on an annual basis, pursuant to Sections 366.80-366.83, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Chapter 25-17, F.A.C. As part of the Commission’s continuing energy conservation cost recovery proceedings, an administrative hearing in this docket is set for November 5-7, 2018. The parties have reached agreement concerning all issues identified for resolution at this hearing, with OPC, FIPUG, and PCS Phosphate taking no position. Staff is prepared to present the panel with a recommendation at the hearing for approval of the stipulated positions set forth herein. The Commission may render a bench decision in this matter.

**II. CONDUCT OF PROCEEDINGS**

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.211, F.A.C., this Prehearing Order is issued to prevent delay and to promote the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all aspects of this case.

**III. JURISDICTION**

This Commission is vested with jurisdiction over the subject matter by the provisions of Chapter 366, F.S. This hearing will be governed by said Chapter and Chapters 25-6, 25-22, and 28-106, F.A.C., as well as any other applicable provisions of law.

**IV. PROCEDURE FOR HANDLING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

Information for which proprietary confidential business information status is requested pursuant to Section 366.093, F.S., and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C., shall be treated by the Commission as confidential. The information shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), F.S., pending a formal ruling on such request by the Commission or pending return of the information to the person providing the information. If no determination of confidentiality has been made and the information has not been made a part of the evidentiary record in this proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information. If a determination of confidentiality has been made and the information was not entered into the record of this proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information within the time period set forth in Section 366.093, F.S. The Commission may determine that continued possession of the information is necessary for the Commission to conduct its business.

It is the policy of this Commission that all Commission hearings be open to the public at all times. The Commission also recognizes its obligation pursuant to Section 366.093, F.S., to protect proprietary confidential business information from disclosure outside the proceeding. Therefore, any party wishing to use any proprietary confidential business information, as that term is defined in Section 366.093, F.S., at the hearing shall adhere to the following:

* 1. When confidential information is used in the hearing that has not been filed as prefiled testimony or prefiled exhibits, parties must have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the court reporter, in red envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the contents and with the confidential information highlighted. Any party wishing to examine the confidential material that is not subject to an order granting confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to the Commissioners, subject to execution of any appropriate protective agreement with the owner of the material.
  2. Counsel and witnesses are cautioned to avoid verbalizing confidential information in such a way that would compromise confidentiality. Therefore, confidential information should be presented by written exhibit when reasonably possible.

At the conclusion of that portion of the hearing that involves confidential information, all copies of confidential exhibits shall be returned to the proffering party. If a confidential exhibit has been admitted into evidence, the copy provided to the court reporter shall be retained in the Office of Commission Clerk’s confidential files. If such material is admitted into the evidentiary record at hearing and is not otherwise subject to a request for confidential classification filed with the Commission, the source of the information must file a request for confidential classification of the information within 21 days of the conclusion of the hearing, as set forth in Rule 25-22.006(8)(b), F.A.C., if continued confidentiality of the information is to be maintained.

**V. PREFILED TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS; WITNESSES**

Testimony of all witnesses to be sponsored by the parties (and Staff) has been prefiled and will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and associated exhibits. All testimony remains subject to timely and appropriate objections. Upon insertion of a witness' testimony, exhibits appended thereto may be marked for identification. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his or her testimony at the time he or she takes the stand. Summaries of testimony shall be limited to three minutes.

Witnesses are reminded that, on cross-examination, responses to questions calling for a simple yes or no answer shall be so answered first, after which the witness may explain his or her answer. After all parties and Staff have had the opportunity to cross-examine the witness, the exhibit may be moved into the record. All other exhibits may be similarly identified and entered into the record at the appropriate time during the hearing.

The Commission frequently administers the testimonial oath to more than one witness at a time. Therefore, when a witness takes the stand to testify, the attorney calling the witness is directed to ask the witness to affirm whether he or she has been sworn.

The parties shall avoid duplicative or repetitious cross-examination. Further, friendly cross-examination will not be allowed. Cross-examination shall be limited to witnesses whose testimony is adverse to the party desiring to cross-examine. Any party conducting what appears to be a friendly cross-examination of a witness should be prepared to indicate why that witness's direct testimony is adverse to its interests.

**VI. ORDER OF WITNESSES**

| Witness | Proffered By | Issues # |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Direct |  |  |
| Lori J. Cross | DEF | 1-7, 10 |
| R. B. Deaton | FPL | 1-3, 5-7 |
| Anita Sharma | FPL | 4 |
| Curtis Young | FPUC | 1 |
| Danielle N.B. Mulligan | FPUC | 2-7 |
| John N. Floyd | GULF | 1-7, 10 |
| Mark R. Roche | TECO | 1-9 |

**VII. BASIC POSITIONS**

**DEF:** The Commission should determine that DEF has properly calculated its conservation cost recovery true-up and projection costs, and should approve the conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2019 through December 2019 set forth in the testimony and exhibits of witness Lori J. Cross.

**FPL:** FPL’s proposed Conservation Cost Recovery Factors for the January 2019 through December 2019 recovery period and true-up amounts for the prior periods are reasonable and should be approved.

**FPUC:** The Commission should approve Florida Public Utilities Company’s final net true-up for the period January through December 2017, the estimated true-up for the period January through December, 2018, and the projected conservation program expenses for the period January through December, 2019.

**GULF:** It is the basic position of Gulf Power Company that the proposed ECCR factors present the best estimate of Gulf's Conservation expense at this time for the period January 2019 through December 2019, including the true‑up calculations and other adjustments allowed by the Commission.

**TECO:** The Commission should determine that Tampa Electric has properly calculated its conservation cost recovery true-up and projections and the conservation cost recovery factors set forth in the testimony and exhibits of witness Mark R. Roche during the period January 2019 through December 2019.

The Commission should approve the Contracted Credit Value in accordance with Order No. PSC-2017-0456-FOF-EI, issued November 27, 2017 in Docket No. 20170210 for the GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 rate riders for use during the period January 2019 through December 2019.

The Commission should also approve the Residential Price Responsive Load Management (RSVP-1) rate tiers for Tampa Electric Company for the period January 2019 through December 2019 as set forth in witness Roche's testimony and exhibits.

**OPC:** The utilities have the burden of proof to justify and support the recovery of costs and their proposal(s) seeking the Commission's adoption of policy statements (whether new or changed) or other affirmative relief sought, regardless of whether the Interveners provide evidence to the contrary. Regardless of whether the Commission has previously approved a program as meeting the Commission’s requirements, the utilities must still meet their burden of demonstrating that the costs submitted for final recovery meet the statutory test(s) and are reasonable in amount and prudently incurred.

**FIPUG:** FIPUG maintains that the respective utilities must satisfy their burden of proof for any and all monies or other relief sought in this proceeding.

**PCS:** PCS Phosphate generally adopts the positions taken by the Florida Office of Public Counsel (“OPC”) unless a differing position is specifically stated.

**STAFF:** Staff supports the proposed stipulations of all issues in this case as set forth in Section VIII. of this order.

**VIII. ISSUES AND POSITIONS**

GENERIC CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY ISSUES

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 1: What are the final conservation cost recovery adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2017 through December 2017?**

**POSITION:**

The final conservation cost recovery adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2017 through December 2017 are as follows:

Duke Energy Florida $2,815,663 Over-recovery[[1]](#footnote-1)

Florida Power & Light Company $8,637,401 Over-recovery

Florida Public Utilities Company $5,141 Under-recovery[[2]](#footnote-2)

Gulf Power Company $43,106 Over-recovery

Tampa Electric Company $2,347,703 Over-recovery[[3]](#footnote-3)

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate conservation adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2018 through December 2018?**

**POSITION:**

The appropriate conservation adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2018 through December 2018 are as follows:

Duke Energy Florida $5,499,116 Over-recovery[[4]](#footnote-4)

Florida Power & Light Company $5,074,368 Over-recovery

Florida Public Utilities Company $80,500 Over-recovery

Gulf Power Company $1,968,828 Over-recovery

Tampa Electric Company $7,950,944 Under-recovery[[5]](#footnote-5)

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 3: What are the appropriate total conservation adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2019 through December 2019?**

**POSITION:**

The appropriate total conservation adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2019 through December 2019 are as follows:

Duke Energy Florida $8,314,779 Over-recovery[[6]](#footnote-6)

Florida Power & Light Company $13,711,769 Over-recovery

Florida Public Utilities Company $75,359 Over-recovery

Gulf Power Company $2,011,934 Over-recovery

Tampa Electric Company $5,603,241 Under-recovery[[7]](#footnote-7)

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 4: What are the total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the period January 2019 through December 2019?**

**POSITION:**

The total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the period January 2019 through December 2019 are as follows:

Duke Energy Florida $104,853,136

Florida Power & Light Company $153,423,778[[8]](#footnote-8)

Florida Public Utilities Company $653,441

Gulf Power Company $12,776,473[[9]](#footnote-9)

Tampa Electric Company $57,275,816[[10]](#footnote-10)

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 5: What are the conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2019 through December 2019?**

**POSITION:**

The conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2019 through December 2019 are as follows:

**DEF:** Customer Class ECCR Factor

Residential 0.297 cents/kWh

General Service Non-Demand 0.286 cents/kWh

@ Primary Voltage 0.283 cents/kWh

@ Transmission Voltage 0.280 cents/kWh

General Service 100% Load Factor 0.194 cents/kWh

General Service Demand 0.94 $/kW

@ Primary Voltage 0.93 $/kW

@ Transmission Voltage 0.92 $/kW

Curtailable 0.41 $/kW

@ Primary Voltage 0.41 $/kW

@ Transmission Voltage 0.40 $/kW

Interruptible 0.79 $/kW

@ Primary Voltage 0.78 $/kW

@ Transmission Voltage 0.77 $/kW

Standby Monthly 0.091 $/kW

@ Primary Voltage 0.090 $/kW

@ Transmission Voltage 0.089 $/kW

Standby Daily 0.043 $/kW

@ Primary Voltage 0.043 $/kW

@ Transmission Voltage 0.042 $/kW

Lighting 0.081 cents/kWh

**FPL:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RATE CLASS | Conservation Recovery Factor ($/kw)(i) | Conservation Recovery Factor ($/kwh)(j) | RDC ($/KW)(k) | SDD ($/KW)(l) |  |
| RS1/RTR1 | - | 0.00150 | - | - |  |
| GS1/GST1 | - | 0.00148 | - | - |  |
| GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1 | 0.50 | - | - | - |  |
| OS2 | - | 0.00079 | - | - |  |
| GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2 | 0.57 | - | - | - |  |
| GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3 | 0.57 | - | - | - |  |
| GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3 | 0.55 | - | - | - |  |
| SST1T | - | - | $0.07 | $0.03 |  |
| SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3 | - | - | $0.07 | $0.03 |  |
| CILC D/CILC G | 0.61 | - | - | - |  |
| CILC T | 0.60 | - | - | - |  |
| MET | 0.51 | - | - | - |  |
| OL1/SL1/SL1M/PL1 | - | 0.00042 | - | - |  |
| SL2/SL2M/GSCU1 | - | 0.00111 | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**FPUC:** $.00097 per KWH (consolidated levelized conservation cost recovery factor).

**GULF:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RATE**  **CLASS** | **CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY FACTORS** |
| RS | 0.125 cents/kWh |
| RSVP Tier 1 | (3.000) cents/kWh |
| RSVP Tier 2 | (0.926) cents/kWh |
| RSVP Tier 3 | 7.591 cents/kWh |
| RSVP Tier 4 | 66.400 cents/kWh |
| RSTOU On-peak | 17.100 cents/kWh |
| RSTOU Off-peak | (3.194) cents/kWh |
| RSTOU Critical Peak Credit | $5.00 per event |
| GS | 0.121 cents/kWh |
| GSD, GSDT, GSTOU | 0.116 cents/kWh |
| LP, LPT | 0.111 cents/kWh |
| LPT-CPO On-Peak | ($4.89) per kW |
| LPT-CPO Critical | $58.68 per kW |
| PX, PXT, RTP, SBS | 0.109 cents/kWh |
| OSI, OSII | 0.092 cents/kWh |
| OSIII | 0.108 cents/kWh |
| CL Credit | ($5.57) per kW |

**TECO:**

**Cost Recovery Factors**

**Rate Schedule (cents per kWh)**

RS 0.321

GS and CS 0.292

GSD Optional – Secondary 0.272

GSD Optional – Primary 0.269

GSD Optional – Subtransmission 0.267

LS-1 0.180

**Cost Recovery Factors**

**Rate Schedule (dollars per kW)**

GSD – Secondary 1.17

GSD – Primary 1.15

GSD – Subtransmission 1.14

SBF – Secondary 1.17

SBF – Primary 1.15

SBF – Subtransmission 1.14

IS - Secondary 0.94

IS - Primary 0.93

IS - Subtransmission 0.92

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 6: What should be the effective date of the new conservation cost recovery factors for billing purposes?**

**POSITION:**

The factors shall be effective beginning with the specified conservation cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January 2019 through December 2019. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2019 and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2019, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective. These charges shall continue in effect until modified by subsequent order of this Commission

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 7: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the energy conservation cost recovery amounts and energy conservation cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?**

**POSITION:**

Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the energy conservation cost recovery amounts and establishing energy conservation cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. The Commission should direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission’s decision.

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

COMPANY SPECIFIC CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY ISSUES

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 8: What is the Contracted Credit Value for the GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 rate riders for Tampa Electric Company for the period January 2019 through December 2019?**

**POSITION:**

**TECO:** In accordance with Order No. PSC-2017-0456-FOF-EI, issued November 27, 2017 in Docket No. 20170210, the Contracted Credit Value by Voltage Level for the forthcoming cost recovery period, January 2019 through December 2019, for the GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 rate riders will be

**Voltage Level**  **Contracted Credit Value (dollars per kW)**

Secondary 10.23

Primary 10.13

Subtransmission 10.03

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 9: What are the residential Price Responsive Load Management (RSVP -1) rate tiers for Tampa Electric Company for the period January 2019 through December 2019?**

**POSITION:**

**TECO:** For the period January 2019 through December 2019 the Residential Price Responsive Load Management (RSVP-1) rates are as follows:

**Rate Tier Cents per kWh**

P4 34.911

P3 5.936

P2 (0.877)

P1 (2.319)

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

CLOSE THE DOCKET ISSUE

**PROPOSED STIPULATION**

**ISSUE 10: Should this docket be closed?**

**POSITION:**

No. While a separate docket number is assigned each year for administrative convenience this is a continuing docket and should remain open.

**OPC**: No position.

**FIPUG**: No position.

**PCS:** No position.

**IX. EXHIBIT LIST**

| Witness | Proffered By |  | Description |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Direct |  |  |  |
| Lori J. Cross | DEF | LJC-1T | ECCR Adjusted Net True-Up for January-December 2017, Schedules CT1 – CT 6 |
|  |  | LJC-1P | Estimated/Actual True-Up, January – December 2018 and ECCR Factors for Billings in January – December 2019, Schedules C1 – C6 |
| R. B. Deaton | FPL | AS-1 | Schedules CT-1 and CT-4 |
| R. B. Deaton, A. Sharma |  | AS-1 | Schedules CT-2 and CT-3 |
| A. Sharma |  | AS-1 | Schedules CT-5 and CT-6, Appendix A |
| R. B. Deaton |  | AS-2 | Schedule C-1 and C-4 |
| R. B. Deaton, A. Sharma |  | AS-2 | Schedule C-2 and C-3 |
| A. Sharma |  | AS-2 | Schedule C-5 |
| Curtis D. Young | FPUC | CDY-1 (composite) | Schedules CT-1, CT-2, CT-3, CT-4, CT-5 and CT-6 |
| Danielle Mulligan |  | DNBM-1 (composite) | Schedules C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, and C-5 |
| John N. Floyd | GULF | JNF-1 | Schedules CT-1 through CT-6 |
|  |  | JNF-2 | Schedules C-1 through C-6 |
| Mark R. Roche | TECO | MRR-1, filed May 1, 2018 | Schedules supporting cost recovery factor, actual January 2017 – December 2017 |
|  |  | MRR-2, filed August 10, 2018 | Schedules supporting conservation costs projected for the period January 2019 – December 2019 |

Parties and Staff reserve the right to identify additional exhibits for the purpose of cross-examination.

**X. PROPOSED STIPULATIONS**

The parties have stipulated to issues 1-10, with OPC, FIPUG and PCS Phosphate taking no position, as reflected in Section VIII.

**XI. PENDING MOTIONS**

There are no pending motions at this time.

**XII. PENDING CONFIDENTIALITY MATTERS**

There are no pending confidentiality matters at this time.

**XIII. POST-HEARING PROCEDURES**

If no bench decision is made, each party shall file a post-hearing statement of issues and positions. A summary of each position of no more than 50 words, set off with asterisks, shall be included in that statement. If a party's position has not changed since the issuance of this Prehearing Order, the post-hearing statement may simply restate the prehearing position; however, if the prehearing position is longer than 50 words, it must be reduced to no more than 50 words. If a party fails to file a post-hearing statement, that party shall have waived all issues and may be dismissed from the proceeding.

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.215, F.A.C., a party's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, if any, statement of issues and positions, and brief, shall together total no more than 40 pages and shall be filed at the same time.

**XIV. RULINGS**

Opening statements, if any, shall not exceed three minutes per party.

It is therefore,

ORDERED by Commissioner Gary F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that this Prehearing Order shall govern the conduct of these proceedings as set forth above unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner Gary F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 1st day of November, 2018.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Gary F. Clark |
|  | GARY F. CLARK  Commissioner and Prehearing Officer |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

MAD

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

1. Adjusted net true-up amount of over-recovery. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Final end of period adjustment true-up of over-recovery. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Adjusted net true-up amount of over-recovery, including interest. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Adjusted net true-up over-recovery. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Including interest. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Adjusted net true-up amount of over-recovery. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Including interest. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. Including prior true-up amounts and revenues taxes. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. Including prior true-up amounts and revenue taxes. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. Including current period estimated true-up. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)