FILED 3/1/2019 DOCUMENT NO. 01311-2019 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS: ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN DONALD J. POLMANN GARY F. CLARK ANDREW GILES FAY



DIVISION OF ECONOMICS
JUDY HARLOW
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6410

Public Service Commission

March 1, 2019

Mr. Kenneth Rubin Senior Counsel Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408 ken.rubin@fpl.com Staff's First Data Request

Re: Docket No. 20190048-EI – Petition for Approval to Amend its Street Lighting, Outdoor Lighting and LED Lighting Pilot Tariffs.

Dear Mr. Rubin:

By this letter, the Commission staff respectfully requests the following information from Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or Utility)

- 1. Paragraph 4 of the petition refers to 30 percent of lights previously served by City of Vero Beach (COVB) as non-standard lighting. Please state how many fixtures and how many poles are included in the 30 percent.
- Please discuss what types of customers currently take service under the 30 percent nonstandard lighting and what charges are they being billed now (since the Vero Beach transaction has been completed and the instant petition has not received Commission approval yet).
- 3. Please state the number of customers that currently take service under the 30 percent non-standard lighting. Additionally, please discuss in detail what the customer bill impacts would be if the Commission approves the instant petition.
- 4. Please discuss what happens if a non-standard fixture fails and cannot be repaired.
- 5. Please clarify if the proposed Special Provision only applies to the non-standard lights that were previously served by COVB, or could any customer at some point request a fixture or pole that is not included in FPL's existing lighting tariffs and be billed under the Special Provision?

PSC Website: http://www.floridapsc.com

Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

6. Please explain footnote 4 on page 3 of the petition as it relates to the proposed true up of customer bills. Does the true-up refer only to the classification of the lights or could a customer be assessed additional charges?

7. Have the former COVB non-standard lighting customers been notified of any impacts to their lighting bills if the Commission approves the instant petition? If not, please discuss how and when FPL will inform the customers.

8. FPL's current lighting tariffs include a minimum initial term. Will the former COVB lighting customers be required to enter into a lighting agreement with FPL that starts at the beginning of the term, i.e., year 1? Or will FPL include the years COVB already served the lighting customers when determining how long the customers have received lighting service?

9. Please refer to tariff sheets 8.716, 8.726, and 8.737 in regards to the Special Provision for the following questions.

a. What is considered the "Company's average installed cost" (since COVB purchased the facilities originally)? Does FPL know what COVB's average installed costs were at the time the lighting customer requested service from COVB?

b. Does FPL know when COVB purchased the non-standard lights and does FPL know how depreciated the lights are now?

c. Does the proposed Facilities Charge of 1.63 percent include maintenance cost?

Please file all responses electronically no later than March 11, 2019, via the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please contact me at RDoherty@psc.state.fl.us or at 850-413-6858 if you have any questions.

Thank you,

/s/ Riley Doherty

Riley Doherty

Public Utility Analyst I

Cc: Office of the Commission Clerk