BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for limited proceeding for recovery of incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricanes Irma and Nate by Duke Energy Florida, LLC Docket No. 20170272-EI

Dated: February 7, 2019

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC'S RESPONSE TO CITIZENS' FOURTH REQUEST TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (NOS. 18-26)

Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF"), responds to the Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Office of the Public Counsel's ("Citizens" or "OPC") Fourth Request to Produce Documents (Nos. 18-26) as follows:

PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

18. Outside Contractors. Refer to the response to Citizens Interrogatory No. 38. Provide any documentation to support the referenced audit and its findings, including all findings regarding invoice accuracy.

<u>Response</u>:

Per discussion with OPC, responsive documents will be provided at a later date.

19. Non-Incremental. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 6, lines 19-24 and page 7, lines 1-4 and Exhibit BB-2. Provide the calculation of the non-incremental regular payroll amounts on each page/tab of the exhibit.

Response:

Distribution Response:

See excel schedule attached. There is a separate tab for each Storm. The summary section in cells F1-I8 on each storm tab reflects the numbers that tie back to the BB-2. The documents bear Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-19-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-19-00078. The attachments are confidential; a redacted slip sheet is attached hereto and unredacted copies have been filed with the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

Transmission Response:

See the attached files bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-19-00079 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-19-000205. The attachments are confidential; a redacted slip sheet is attached hereto and unredacted copies have been filed with the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

20. Non-Incremental. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 7, lines 6-14 and Exhibit BB-2. Provide the calculation of the non-incremental overtime payroll amounts on each page/tab of the exhibit.

Response:

Distribution Response:

See the excel schedule that was submitted with POD 19. There is a separate tab for each Storm. The summary section in cells F1-I8 on each storm tab reflects the numbers that tie back to the BB-2.

Transmission Response:

See the attached files bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-20-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-20-000244. The attachments are confidential; a redacted slip sheet is attached hereto and unredacted copies have been filed with the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

21. Non-Incremental. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 7, lines 16-24 and page 8, lines 1-7 and Exhibit BB-2. For each storm, provide supporting documents showing the amount of incentives originally included in the costs reported.

<u>Response</u>:

Distribution Response:

See excel schedule that was submitted with POD 19. There is a separate tab for each Storm that includes the total amount of incentives charged to the respective storm (cell D8 in each tab). The summary section in cells F1-I8 on each storm tab reflects the numbers that tie back to the BB-2.

Transmission Response:

See the attached files bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-21-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-21-0001347. The attachments are confidential; a redacted slip sheet is attached hereto and unredacted copies have been filed with the Florida

Public Service Commission ("Commission") along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

22. Non-Incremental. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 8, lines 9-22 and Exhibit BB2. For each storm, provide supporting documentation for the amount of Duke Energy employee overhead costs included in this request.

<u>Response</u>:

Distribution Response:

See the schedule below and the attached file bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-22-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-22-00019. The attachments are confidential; a redacted slip sheet is attached hereto and unredacted copies have been filed with the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

	\$,233 52	Ма \$ \$	atthew 478 110	\$	Irma 2,287 3,223	N \$ \$	ate 28	\$ Total 4,414
	\$	52	- T	-	- T	,	- T	28	,
	*		\$	110	\$	3 223	¢		
88 9	¢ 1				Ψ	5,225	φ	1	\$ 3,386
	φι	,285	\$	587	\$	5,511	\$	28	\$ 7,800
88 3	\$1	,125	\$	287	\$	26	\$	28	\$ 1,853
9	\$	-		-		-		-	-
88 \$	\$1	,125	\$	287	\$	26	\$	28	\$ 1,853
	88 <mark>3</mark> 88 3	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ \$

Highlighted amounts were adjusted by the capital calculation related to the overhead allocations

Transmission Response:

See the attached files bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-22-00020 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-22-0001272. The attachments are confidential; a redacted slip sheet is attached hereto and unredacted copies have been filed with the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

23. Capitalized Cost. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 15, lines 18-24. For each storm, provide a separate sample calculation for the capitalization of poles and wires.

Response:

Distribution Response:

Material Co	mponents:		Debby			Isaac		Hermine		Matthew		Irma		
														Total
	Capital Materials - Total Direct Cost of Poles			238		2,081		11,382		35,158		330,955		392,81
														39,28
					\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	58,92
*Y)+(X*Z)+X=	Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Bur	dens	16,	548		2,602		14,228		43,948		413,694		\$491,01
Labor Com	popents:													
Lubor com				89		7		75		213		2,130		2,51
						-						,		_,
		ole												
			\$	-	¢	-	¢		¢		¢	-		
		va Contract Rate and Blended	-	00	*	00	*	02	*	02	*	02		
			¢	37	¢	37	¢	35	¢	35	¢	34		
	Internal Labor Nate	·)	•	57	4	57	Ļ	55				74		
AxBxCxD=	Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property		\$ 170,	937	\$	13,444	s	148,560	\$	421,910	\$	4,251,139	\$	5,005,99
AxBxCXE=	Contractor/Affiliate adder		\$ 104,	033	\$	8,182	\$	83,156	\$	236,164	\$	2,329,601	\$	2,761,13
	Querkand Allegation (/			2.00/		200/		200		200/		2.00/		
	Fleet Loading %			23%		2370		23%		23%		50%		
FxH=	Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only		\$ 34,	187	\$	2,689	\$	38,844	\$	107,648	\$	1,240,110	\$	1,423,4
FxI=	Fleet Loading - applied to Labor only		\$ 42,	734	\$	3,361	\$	37,243	\$	103,558	\$	1,281,576	\$	1,468,4
F+G+H+I=	Capital Labor on UOP incl Loading items		\$ 351,	892	\$	27,677	S	307,803	\$	869,280	\$	9,102,426	\$	10,659,07
		Distribution Capital Cost	\$ 368,	439	\$	30,279	\$	322,031	\$	913,227	\$	9,516,120	\$	11,150,09
SAMPLE C														
			Debby			Isaac		Hermine		Matthew		Irma		Total
					\$						\$		\$	778.82
		10%												77,88
														116,82
*Y)+(X*Z)+X=					÷	7,670	-	46,957	-	72,525	-	746,525	<u> </u>	\$973,52
Lahar Cam														
Labor Com			122	70.1		11 142		116 100		160 549		1 715 421		2.145.09
						, -		.,				, .,		2,145,05
					*									
			•											
	Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between A	vg Contract Rate and Blended	\$	37	\$	37	\$	35	\$	35	\$	34		
AxBxCxD=	Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property		\$ 212,	536	\$	13,376	s	143,843	\$	209,900	\$	2,139,816	\$	2,719,47
			\$ 129,	351	\$	8,141	\$	80,516	\$					1,508,10
	Overhead Allocation %			20%		20%		26%		26%		20%		
	Fleet Loading %											30%		
FxH=	Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only							37,611				624,211		760,5
FxI= F+G+H+I=	Fleet Loading - applied to Labor only Capital Labor on UOP incl Loading items		\$ 53, \$ 437,	134		3,344 27,536		36,060 298,030		51,520 432,467		645,083 4,581,717		789,14
	AxBxCxD= AxBxCXE= FxH= FxH= FxH= F+G+H+I= SAMPLE C/ Material Co	Labor Components: # of Poles Estimated # of neosurces needed to install each pole Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole Blended Internal Labor rate Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between A Internal Labor Rate AxBxCxD= Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property AxBxCXE= Contractor/Affiliate adder Overhead Allocation % Fleet Loading % FxH= Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only FxI= Capital Materials - Total Direct Cost of Poles Capital Materials - Total Direct Cost of Poles Capital Materials - Total Direct Cost of Poles Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Bur Feet of Wire Feet of Wire Feet of Wire Feet of Wire Feet of Wire Feet of Wire Feet of Wire Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between A AxBxCxD= Labor & Burdens to install Blended Internal Labor rate Contractor/Affiliate adder AxBxCxD= Labor & Burdens to install Blended Internal Labor rate Contractor/Affiliate adder Overhead Allocation % Fleet Loading %	Working Stock Materials 15% **Y)+(X*Z)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens Labor Components: # of Poles # of Poles Estimated # of nours to install each pole Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole Biended Internal Labor rate Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended Internal Labor Rate) AxBxCXD= AxBxCXE= Contractor/Affiliate adder Contractor/Affiliate adder Overhead Allocation % Effect Loading % Effect Loading % FXH= Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only FKI= FKI= Fleet Loading - applied to Labor only Effect Loading Contract Poles Capital Materials - Total Direct Cost of Poles Capital Materials - Total Direct Cost of Poles Capital Materials - Varehouse Burden 10% Working Stock Materials 15% *Y)+(X*Z)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens Labor Components: Estimated # of nesources needed to install Estimated # of hours to install Estimated # of nesources needed to install Biended Internal Labor rate Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended AxBxCXD= Labor & Burdens to in	Working Stock Materials 15% \$ 1, **/)+(X*Z)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16, Labor Components: # of Poles 16, # of Poles Estimated # of hours to install each pole 5 Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole 5 Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended Internal Labor rate \$ AxBxCXD= Labor & Burdens to install Onits of Property \$ AxBxCXE= Contractor/Affiliate adder \$ Overhead Allocation %	Working Stock Materials 15% \$ 1,986 **Y)+(X*Z)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16,548 Labor Components: # of Poles 89 # of Poles 89 Estimated # of nours to install each pole 4 Blended Internal Labor rate \$ 60 Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended Internal Labor Rate) \$ 37 AxBxCXD= Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property \$ 170,937 AxBxCXE= Contractor/Affiliate adder \$ 20% FLet Contractor/Affiliate adder \$ 34,187 FXI= Fleet Loading % 225% FXH= Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only \$ 34,187 FxI= Fleet Loading - applied to Labor only \$ 351,892 Distribution Capital Cost \$ 368,439 SAMPLE CALCULATION OF WIRE Distribution Capital Cost \$ Material Components: Debby \$ 7,988 Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 198,252 \$ Y)+(X*2)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Proper	Working Stock Materials 15% \$ 1,986 \$ **Y)+(X*Z)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16,548 16,548 Labor Components: 16,548 89 # of Poles 89 Estimated # of nours to install each pole 4 Biended Internal Labor rate \$ 60 Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended Internal Labor Rate) \$ 170,937 AxBxCXD= Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property \$ 170,937 \$ AxBxCXE= Contractor/Affiliate adder 20% \$ 104,033 \$ Overhead Allocation % 20% \$ 104,033 \$ 351,892 \$ FxI= Fleet Loading * 2014,033 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892 \$ 351,892	Working Stock Materials15%\$1.986\$3.12*Y)+(X*Z)+X=Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens16,5482,602Labor Components:#0 Poles897Estimated # of hours to install each pole88Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole88Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole88Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole88Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended Internal Labor Rate)\$170,937\$AxBxCXD=Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property\$170,937\$13,444AxBxCXE=Contractor/Affiliate adder\$104,033\$8,182Overhead Allocation %20%20%20%20%FxH=Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only\$34,187\$2,689Fxl=Fleet Loading - applied to Labor only\$34,187\$2,689Fxl=Capital Materials - Total Direct Cost of Poles\$351,892\$27,677SAMPLE CALCULATION OF WIRE Material Components:Distribution Capital Cost\$99,8527,670Material Components:132,79111,143\$2,282,200*/+(X*Z)+X=Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens\$36,1362,252*/+(X*Z)+X=Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens\$2,2535,361*/+(X*Z)+X=Capital Materials	Working Stock Materials 15% 5 1,986 5 312 5 *'Y)+(X'=Z)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16,548 2,602 1 Labor Components: # of Poles 89 7 1 # of Poles 89 7 1 4 4 Blended Internal Labor rate \$ 600 \$ 600 \$ 60 \$ \$ 37 \$ 37 \$ 37 \$ 37 \$ 37 \$ \$ \$ \$<	Working Stock Materials 115% S 1.986 S 3.12 S 1.707 *Y)+ 0X*2J+X- Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16,548 2,602 14,228 Labor Components: 88 8 8 8 8 8 # of Poles 89 7 755 5 60 5 60 5 622 Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended Internal Labor rate Outcator Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended S 30 5 312 5 35 Ax8xCXD= Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property 5 170,937 5 13,444 5 148,560 Ax8xCXE= Contractor/Affiliate adder 5 104,033 \$ 8,182 \$ 83,156 Overhead Allocation % 20% 20% 20% 26% 25% 25% 25% FxH= Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only 5 34,187 5 30,7243 5 37,243 5 37,243 5	Working Stock Materials 115% 5 1.986 5 3.12 5 1.707 5 *Y)+ 0X*2J+X- Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16,548 2,602 14,228 # of Poles 89 7 75 Estimated # of hours to install each pole 8 8 8 Bended Internal Labor rate 5 60 5 62 5 Contractor/Affiliate adder (Difference between Avg Contract Rate and Blended Internal Labor rate 5 170,937 5 13,444 5 148,560 5 Ax8xCXD= Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property 5 170,937 5 13,444 5 148,560 5 Ax8xCXD= Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property 5 104,033 5 8,182 5 83,156 5 Overhead Allocation % 20% 20% 20% 26% 25% 25% 25% FxH= Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only \$ 34,187 \$ 3,261 \$ 3,72,43	Working Stock Materials 15% \$ 1.986 \$ 312 \$ 7.707 \$ 5.274 *Yh-K2*2 Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16,548 2,602 14,228 43,948 Labor Components: # # 6 89 7 75 213 Estimated # of rous no install each pole 8	Working Stock Materials 15% 1,966 3.12 1,707 5 5,274 5 *Y)+0'C2)+X= Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Burdens 16,548 2,602 14,228 43,948 Labor Components: 16,548 2,602 14,228 43,948 # of Poles 89 7 75 213 Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole 4 4 4 4 Bionded Internal Labor rate Internal Labor Rate Contractor/Affiliale adder 5 600 5 660 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 62 5 63 32 5 33 5 33 5 33 5 33 5 33 5 33 5 33 63 5	Working Stock Materials 115% 5 1.986 5 3.12 5 1.707 5 5.274 5 4.96,43 "Yh"(72)2K* Capital Materials - Units of Property und Burders 16,548 2,602 14,228 43,948 413,694 Labor Components: 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 <	Working Stock Malerials Units of Property incl Burdens 1986 5 312 5 7,707 5 5,274 5 449,643 5 Labor Components: # of Poles # of Poles 16,548 2,602 14,228 43,948 413,694 Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole 89 7 75 213 2,130 Estimated # of resources needed to install each pole 8 2,2130 0 5 104,033 5 1,314 5 2,3616 5 2,269 10

Transmission Response:

As discussed in Bryan Buckler's testimony at page 14, lines 5-13, Transmission creates storm specific capital projects, where all applicable labor, Contractor costs, materials, and other costs are charged to that project during restoration. This allows a clean and separate account of storm restoration O&M costs versus capitalized restoration costs, with minimal calculations needed. The hours analysis used to capital employee and contactor labor is not applicable for Transmission.

24. Capitalized Cost. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 16, lines 1-10. For each storm, provide a separate sample calculation for the capitalization of poles and wires.

Response:

Distribution Response:

See POD 23. The sample calculation shows the components requested in POD 23 and POD 24.

Transmission Response:

As discussed in Bryan Buckler's testimony at page 14, lines 5-13, Transmission creates storm specific capital projects, where all applicable labor, Contractor costs, materials, and other costs are charged to that project during restoration. This allows a clean and separate account of storm restoration O&M costs versus capitalized restoration costs, with minimal calculations needed. The hours analysis used to capital employee and contactor labor is not applicable for Transmission.

25. Capitalized Cost. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 16, lines 5-9. For each storm, provide separately the calculations performed to determine capitalized costs.

Response:

Distribution Response:

	Sumr	nary	of Capital	Со	sts								
	Distributi	on (Capital Stor	m E	stimate								
	C/	DIT	AL PROJE	СТ									
Material Components	67		Debby		Isaac	_	Hermine		Matthew		Irma		Total
Capital Materials - Units of Property		\$	261,667	\$	20,835	\$			300,197	\$	2,917,499	\$	3,761,376
Capital Materials - Warehouse Burdens		\$	26,167		2,084		26,118		30,020		291,750	\$	376,138
Working Stock materials		\$	39,250	\$	3,125	\$	39,177	\$	45,030	\$	437,625	\$	564,206
Capital Materials - Units of Property incl Bu	rdens	\$	327,084	\$	26,044	\$	326,472	\$	375,246	\$	3,646,873	\$	4,701,720
Labor Components:													
Labor & Burdens to install Units of Property	/	\$	399,362	\$	37,228	\$	412,737	\$	748,331	\$	7,753,275	\$	9,350,933
Contractor/Affiliate adder		\$	243,054	\$	22,657	\$	231,029	\$	418,877	\$	4,248,752	\$	5,164,369
Overhead Allocation - applied to Labor only	/	\$	79,872	\$	7,446	\$	107,919	\$	190,932	\$	2,261,726	\$	2,647,895
Fleet Loading - applied to Labor only		\$	99,841		9,307		103,469		183,678		2,337,352	\$	2,733,646
Capital Labor on UOP incl Loading items		\$	822,129	\$	76,638	\$	855,153		1,541,818			\$	19,896,844
	Distribution Capital Cost	\$	1,149,213	\$	102,682	\$	1,181,626	\$	1,917,065	\$	20,247,979	\$	24,598,564
Α	Labor hours estimated		6,654		620		6.668		12,089		124,311		150,342
B	Avg Labor Hour Cost		\$60		\$60		\$62		\$62		\$62		\$62
	Ū			•		•	1.	•	• •	•	1 -	•	1.
С	Avg Contractor Rate		96.55		96.55		96 55		96 55		96.55		96.55
	Ax(C-B)=	\$	243 054	\$	22 657	\$	231 029	\$	418 877	\$	4 248 752	\$	5 164 369

Transmission Response:

As discussed in Bryan Buckler's testimony at page 14, lines 5-13, Transmission creates storm specific capital projects, where all applicable labor, Contractor costs, materials, and other costs are charged to that project during restoration. This allows a clean and separate account of storm restoration O&M costs versus capitalized restoration costs, with minimal

calculations needed. The hours analysis used to capital employee and contactor labor is not applicable for Transmission.

26. Uncollectibles. Refer to testimony of Bryan Buckler at page 18, lines 3-12 and Exhibit BB2. Provide all workpapers and/or calculations supporting the amount requested as "uncollectibles.".

Response:

See the attached files bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-26-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-26-0003.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew R. Bernier

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT Deputy General Counsel Duke Energy Florida, LLC. 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 T: 727.820.4692 F: 727.820.5041 E: Dianne.Triplett@duke-energy.com

MATTHEW R. BERNIER Associate General Counsel Duke Energy Florida, LLC 106 East College Avenue Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 T: 850.521.1428 F: 727.820.5041 E: Matthew.Bernier@duke-energy.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (Dkt. No. 20170272-EI)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to the following by electronic mail this 7th day of February, 2019, to all parties of record as indicated below.

/s/ Matthew R. Bernier Attorney

Rachel Dziechciarz / Ashley Weisenfeld	James Brew / Laura Wynn
Office of General Counsel	Stone Law Firm
Florida Public Service Commission	1025 Thomas Jefferson St., N.W.
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.	Suite 800 West
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	Washington, DC 20007
RDziechc@psc.state.fl.us	jbrew@smxblaw.com
aweisenf@psc.state.fl.us	law@smxblaw.com
J. R. Kelly / C. Rehwinkel / T. David Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399 <u>kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us</u> <u>rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us</u> <u>david.tad@leg.state.fl.us</u>	Robert Scheffel Wright / John T. LaVia, III c/o Gardner Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 <u>schef@gbwlegal.com</u> <u>jlavia@gbwlegal.com</u>
Jon C. Moyle, Jr. / Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com	

Attachments bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-19-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-19-000205 are entirely confidential. Unredacted copies have been filed with the Commission along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

Attachments bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-20-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-20-0001244 are entirely confidential. Unredacted copies have been filed with the Commission along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

Attachments bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-21-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-21-0001347 are entirely confidential. Unredacted copies have been filed with the Commission along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

Attachments bearing Bates Numbers 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-22-0001 through 20170272-DEF-OPC-POD 4-22-0001272 are entirely confidential. Unredacted copies have been filed with the Commission along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated February 7, 2019.

Pre Hurrican	e Forecast							
	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	
Gross	\$ 1,625,692	\$ 1,248,381	\$ 1,655,628	\$ 1,649,348	\$ 1,030,217 \$	990,975 \$	809,331	
Final Bill 30+ Arrears	August July	September August	October September	November October	December November	January December	February January	
Actual Gross Hurricane?	Sept \$773,331 No	Oct \$1,798,909 No	Nov \$1,467,206 No	Dec \$1,502,328 No	Jan \$1,615,833 Yes	Feb \$1,341,873 Yes	Mar \$1,514,430 Yes	
Increase in (:/O due to Hurricar				\$585,616 \$	350,898 \$	705,099	Hurricane C/O
increase in C	o due lo Hurricar	le			\$ 010,000	550,898 \$	705,099	\$1,641,613 TOTAL JAN, FEB, MAR

Collections stopped 9/11/17; phased back in 10/17/17

C/O off from 9/11; on 10/17. Oct c/o's combo of charge offs from August & September final bills (not hurricane related)

Cycle cuts resumed 11/9; defaulted arrangement cuts phased in 11/9 - 11/27. First month charge off for delinquent accounts after hurricane is January 2018

As far as November & December charge offs, there could have been some customers who voluntarily requested service off after the hurricane and failed to pay in 2017. However, the 4 year average of gross for the months September - December is 46.2% of total and September - December 2017 average is 45.6%. No dollars from 2017 will be designated as hurricane forgiveness.

All hurricane impacts will be calculated from January 2018 forward, based on gross (as recoveries are collections from prior periods, and not pertinent to this excercise.

The Increase in C/O due to the hurricane will be calculated as the difference between the forecast and the actual gross. (For the past 5 years, the accuracy of the model has averaged 96%)

Hurricane Irma Impacts to 2018 Charge Off

Background:

Accounts Receivable developed and maintains a model to forecast future charge offs. The model assumes a percentage of the present 30+ day arrears balances will write off in the proceeding 90 days. Performance and percentages are based on historical information since 1999, and adjusted annually if necessary. Through August 2017, the model has predicted gross charge offs of \$12,923,878 with actual gross charge offs of \$12,780,794, a difference of \$143,084 (or 98.9% accuracy) year to date.

For the past five years, the accuracy of the model in forecasting gross has averaged 96%.

Prior to the storm, Duke Energy Florida was positioned favorably. August month end credit metrics indicated a positive trend, and reflected:

- Decreased delinquent balances (down 1.8%), albeit increased revenues (up 2.9%)
- Net dollars charged off as a percent of revenue static (.13%)

Hurricane Strategy:

All collection activities were stopped September 11, and were phased in starting with the mailing of notices on October 17, followed by outbound call campaigns on October 25, and assessing late payment charges on October 27. Non-pay disconnects resumed November 2 and collection activity for defaulted arrangements was phased in by Operations Center beginning November 9 through November 27.

In addition to the standard short-term credit extension, extended monthly installment payment terms were offered through January 2018. The two extended monthly installment terms were:

- 3 monthly installments with 1st installment due with current bill
- 4 monthly installments with 25% down now

Duke Energy Florida realized a steady increase in arrears. First month charge off for delinquent accounts after the hurricane was January. Duke Energy Florida anticipates an increase in charge offs in subsequent months due to the increase in dollars from defaulted payment terms and the catch up on non-pay disconnects on delinquent accounts, which were suspended as a result of the storm.

Methodology:

- All hurricane impacts will be calculated from January 2018 forward, based on gross (as recoveries are collections from prior periods, and not pertinent to this exercise)
- The increase in charge off due to the hurricane will be calculated as the difference between the forecast and the actual gross

Caveats:

- Monthly forecast based on 'today's' arrears balances and future projections change monthly
- Model is dynamic and will reflect changes to charge off if customers pay and arrears balances decline
- Uncertainty of customers paying or terminating service
- No experience with a catastrophic hurricane that impacted the entire state, the impact it has on customers staying and curing or leaving the state and terminating customer relationship

 Potential likelihood of increase in residential consumers filing for bankruptcy due to the cost of home owner's insurance deductibles coupled with homestead property exemption from bankruptcy assets

Assumptions:

- Field meets cut targets (February 2018 was first month DEF met cut targets since storm)
- o CCO enforce down payments when granting extended terms
- o Arrears balances levelize by March 2018 and fluctuate within range as in past 5 years as predicted

Elaine McCallister