FILED 4/8/2020
DOCUMENT NO. 01852-2020
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

	FPSC - COMMISSION CLEF	RK
1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 20200011-EI
5	PETITION FOR APPROV	AL OF
6	WAIVER OF CIAC RULE 25-6.064, F.A.C, FO	NO.
7	LINE EXTENSIONS SER ELECTRIC VEHICLE FA	VING
8	CHARGING STATIONS,	ВҮ
9	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMP	ANY/
10		
11		
12	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
13	COMMISSIONERS	
14	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
15		COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
16	DATE:	Tuesday, March 31, 2020
17		
18	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
19		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK
21		Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
22		the State of Florida at Large
23		PREMIER REPORTING
24		114 W. 5TH AVENUE ALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
25		(850) 894-0828

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Next is Item No. 4. Ms. 3 Helton, you are still up. 4 MS. HELTON: This is the last time get to hear 5 from me, maybe. 6 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Maybe. MS. HELTON: 7 Item No. 4 is staff's 8 recommendation to grant Tampa Electric Company's 9 petition for temporary waiver of or variance from the CIAC -- CIAC rule, Rule 25-6.064, subject to 10 11 the condition that TECO make the annual reporting 12 requirements set forth in staff's recommendation. 13 In addition, staff recommends that the 14 Commission approve TECO's revised tariff sheet to 15 reflect the temporary rule waiver. 16 The purpose of the temporary rule waiver is to 17 allow TECO to implement a five-year pilot program 18 that the company believes will encourage the 19 development of electric vehicle fast charging 20 stations. 21 J.R. Kelly and Mireille Fall-Fry from the 22 Office of Public Counsel wish to address the 23 Commission. 24 Malcolm Means, Bill Ashburn and Kenneth 25 Hernandez representing TECO are available to answer

(850)894-0828

1	questions.
2	And finally, Samantha Cibula, Curt Mouring,
3	Lee Smith and Bill McNulty from staff are also on
4	the telephone.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great. Thank you, Ms.
6	Helton.
7	Mr. Kelly or Ms. Fall-Fry.
8	MS. FALL-FRY: Good morning, Commission. This
9	is Mireille Fall-Fry.
10	I, along with J.R. Kelly on behalf of the
11	Office of Public Counsel, just wish to support
12	Tampa Electric's petition, along with the caveat
13	provided by staff.
14	Specifically OPC agrees with staff that the
15	petition should be treated as a petition for a
16	variance, not a waiver, since Tampa Electric is
17	asking for a modification in the term to
18	calculate CIAC
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Ms. Fall-Fry, I believe we
20	may be picking up some background noise. Would
21	you would you check that?
22	MS. FALL-FRY: Yes. I am not watching it on
23	the video so I am not sure where it's coming from,
24	but I was hearing the I stopped talking because
25	I thought someone else was speaking.
1	

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. That sounds better
2	now. Try it again please.
3	MS. FALL-FRY: Did you hear anything, or did I
4	need to start over?
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Start over, if you would.
6	MS. FALL-FRY: Okay. Well, this is Mireille
7	Fall-Fry, and I, along with J.R. Kelly on behalf of
8	the Office of Public Counsel, support Tampa
9	Electric's petition with the caveat provided by
10	staff.
11	Specifically we agree with staff that the
12	petition should be treated as a petition for a
13	variance, not a waiver since Tampa Electric is
14	asking for a modification in the term of years
15	considered the calculation for CIAC.
16	Additionally, OPC agrees with the annual
17	reporting requirements so that all the interested
18	parties may monitor the efficacy of the pilot and
19	its impact on rates.
20	We appreciate Tampa Electric for taking
21	efforts to make electric vehicle charging, and
22	therefore, electric vehicles more accessible, and
23	we appreciate staff's detailed analysis of the
24	issues in both staff's and Tampa Electric's
25	cooperation moving forward.
1	

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you very much.
3	Any representative from TECO have any comments
4	at this time?
5	MR. MEANS: Good morning, Commissioners. This
6	is Malcolm Means of Ausley McMullen appearing on
7	behalf of Tampa Electric. And as staff mentioned,
8	we also have Bill Ashburn and Kenneth Hernandez of
9	Tampa Electric on the line.
10	We would like to start just by thanking your
11	start staff for their hard work on this docket.
12	And we don't really have any comments other than to
13	say we support staff's recommendation, and we are
14	available to answer any questions.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Any of other
17	interested parties on the line that have a comment
18	to make?
19	Okay, I will move to Commissioners.
20	Commissioner Graham passes.
21	Commissioner Brown?
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, I have a question
23	for TECO.
24	I do want to say this is a very creative
25	approach to helping encourage more EVs in Florida,

1 so I am pleased to see a request. 2 I am curious, Mr. Ashburn, or Mr. Hernandez, 3 any projection that you have for growth for the line extension for the EV fast chargers? 4 5 MR. ASHBURN: Commissioner, this is Bill Ashburn. 6 7 We -- we really don't -- we don't know what 8 this is going to do, to be honest with you. 9 Frankly, right now we are all sitting in houses 10 with the Corona thing. I don't know if anybody is 11 going to feel the pressure for another six months, 12 but we have heard --13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Talk into the mic on 14 So if you -- if you come look --WFSU. 15 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Bobby -- if somebody can find 16 Bobby, he is over -- talking over us here. 17 Give us one second, Mr. Ashburn. We have a 18 little technical difficulty going on here. 19 MR. ASHBURN: Sure. 20 I hear his phone ringing, CHAIRMAN CLARK: 21 though. 22 Let's try it again. Okav. Mr. Ashburn. 23 MR. ASHBURN: Sure. So we are -- we are not 24 sure how much this is going to open up more 25 chargers. We've got -- and Kenneth can answer

(850)894-0828

1 better than me, but he has been in contact 2 regularly with charging companies and people who 3 are investing in these kind of thing things. And 4 they have indicated that this -- this kind of a 5 benefit could help some more chargers being 6 developed. It's a cost that they are incurred up 7 front, and so it might encourage more to occur. 8 But we don't expect, you know, an avalanche of 9 these things. We just hope that this is going to 10 encourage more.

And so that's part of the pilot to see if this kind of a benefit is going to encourage more and how many more. So we don't have a really good estimate of how many more could come.

15 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you.

16 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. I think 17 that's a great response. I just wanted to gauge 18 what you thought, but I appreciate you coming 19 forward with this. I think it will be interesting 20 to see the data as we move forward. 21 Thank you, Commissioner CHAIRMAN CLARK: 22 Brown.

23 MR. ASHBURN: Yea. that's why we are -- we are 24 happy to provide these annual reports, which we 25 will give everybody, including your staff and us, a

1 view of how this is working. 2 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, it's glad to hear 3 that you guys are home and staying healthy and 4 safe. 5 CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you very much. 6 7 Commissioner Brown, any other questions? No, sir. 8 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Commissioner Polmann? 9 CHAIRMAN CLARK: 10 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr. 11 Chairman. 12 As I noted in my briefing with staff, I 13 appreciate the effort. I just wanted to recognize 14 staff for a job well done here. I found the item 15 documentation well done. The case background 16 analysis and discussion I thought was very thorough 17 and very clear, in my opinion. 18 As to the item specifics following up on 19 Commissioner Brown's question, I appreciate that 20 you brought that up. And the response from 21 Mr. Ashburn, I think the -- that topic is addressed 22 in Issue 1. That was one of my primary questions 23 as well, and I think we will get information that the Commission needs in the annual report. 24 25 As I read through the material, that was a

(850)894-0828

primary concern that I had, is how this was -- the data were going to be collected on, you know, the progress is very good. So the annual reporting I think is a key, and I appreciate the utility recognizing that and developing that information.

6 The fact that this program is a pilot I think 7 will be very informative to us, and I will be 8 curious to see the progress that's being made and 9 ability of the utility to make forecasts as this 10 develops, and then looking ahead into future years.

11 So this will be very informative and I really 12 appreciate the approach that the utility is taking 13 on this. I really look forward to this developing 14 over the coming years.

And I am just going to reflect on Commissioner 15 16 Brown's comment. I think this is an excellent 17 I appreciate the pilot nature of this, approach. 18 and this is a great example of the use of a pilot 19 as we can look forward to collecting this 20 I think it will be very informative information. 21 to, perhaps, how this kind of thing can be used in 22 other communities and other systems. So thank you 23 at that for that.

24Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity25to comment here. That's all I have.

1

2

3

4

5

1 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner 2 Polmann. 3 Commissioner Fay? 4 COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Т 5 don't have a question, but just a comment on the item. 6 7 When I read through this I thought it was a 8 very good, creative way of approaching and 9 enhancing the opportunities for these charging 10 stations to be filled, and I think it's also 11 timely, when you look at the DEP, the Governor 12 instructed DEP to go out and implement these Level 13 3 charging stations. 14 The Legislature just passed 7018, which essential directed the State to look at the 15 16 electric infrastructure, which includes our agency 17 working with the Department of Transportation and 18 the Office of Energy. 19 And so I think it's good to see these items in 20 front of us that also allow the Commission to move 21 forward with these other agencies at the same time 22 to encourage this type of EV development. 23 The other part of it I will just speak as a 24 When I originally looked at these EV driver. 25 stations, Level 2 charging seemed to be a very

(850)894-0828

common sense approach to these -- encouraging the ownership of these vehicles because essentially you get a certain amount during the day that you can charge up a full vehicle.

5 Well, I think what you are seeing is you put a Level 2 charging station at work, that allows one 6 7 person to charge that day; whereas, a Level 3 8 charging station allows multiple, if not more than five vehicles to charge in that same day. 9 So I 10 think the infrastructure build-out is highly 11 encouraged. It makes a lot of sense. And I do 12 think we should give some time to look at some of 13 this data that comes back.

14 And this may be a bit premature, but I am inclined to put our great Executive Director, 15 16 Braulio, on the spot; because I think when you look 17 at this variance, I think, to me, because of the 18 common sense application and the approach that I 19 believe the Commission should take, I think we 20 should really be looking at changing this rule, not 21 just temporary, but permanently, because I think it 22 makes a lot of sense when you look over a 10-year 23 distribution and the estimate that the number of 24 EVs we'll have that charge growth in our state. 25 So that may also be a motion for approval at

1the same time, because I do support this item, but2I will let you transition, Mr. Chairman.3Thank you.4CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner Fay.5I do want to make a couple of comments before6we before we take a motion up.7This item has, I guess, caused me a little bit8more concern. I want to go on record as fully9supporting the concepts of expanding the electric10vehicle charging station, charging points. I do,11however, have a little more concern in regards to12how we are going to implement this pilot program.13My biggest concern is that first of all we14don't even have a cap on the amount that could be15invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to16play with this number, this is one of those that17gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we18are going to be shifting the cost of users to19nonusers, and substan could be a substantial20Irealize that this is a pilot. I believe21I realize that this is a pilot. I believe22Mr. Ashburn himself said they have no idea what		
3Thank you.4CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner Fay.5I do want to make a couple of comments before6we before we take a motion up.7This item has, I guess, caused me a little bit8more concern. I want to go on record as fully9supporting the concepts of expanding the electric10vehicle charging station, charging points. I do,11however, have a little more concern in regards to12how we are going to implement this pilot program.13My biggest concern is that first of all we14don't even have a cap on the amount that could be15invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to16play with this number, this is one of those that17gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we18are going to be shifting the cost of users to19nonusers, and substan could be a substantial20I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	1	the same time, because I do support this item, but
4CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner Fay.5I do want to make a couple of comments before6we before we take a motion up.7This item has, I guess, caused me a little bit8more concern. I want to go on record as fully9supporting the concepts of expanding the electric10vehicle charging station, charging points. I do,11however, have a little more concern in regards to12how we are going to implement this pilot program.13My biggest concern is that first of all we14don't even have a cap on the amount that could be15invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to16play with this number, this is one of those that17gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we18are going to be shifting the cost of users to19nonusers, and substan could be a substantial20I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	2	I will let you transition, Mr. Chairman.
5I do want to make a couple of comments before6we before we take a motion up.7This item has, I guess, caused me a little bit8more concern. I want to go on record as fully9supporting the concepts of expanding the electric10vehicle charging station, charging points. I do,11however, have a little more concern in regards to12how we are going to implement this pilot program.13My biggest concern is that first of all we14don't even have a cap on the amount that could be15invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to16play with this number, this is one of those that17gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we18are going to be shifting the cost of users to19nonusers, and substan could be a substantial20I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	3	Thank you.
 we before we take a motion up. This item has, I guess, caused me a little bit more concern. I want to go on record as fully supporting the concepts of expanding the electric vehicle charging station, charging points. I do, however, have a little more concern in regards to how we are going to implement this pilot program. My biggest concern is that first of all we don't even have a cap on the amount that could be invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to play with this number, this is one of those that gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we are going to be shifting the cost of users to nonusers, and substan could be a substantial number. 	4	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner Fay.
7 This item has, I guess, caused me a little bit 8 more concern. I want to go on record as fully 9 supporting the concepts of expanding the electric 10 vehicle charging station, charging points. I do, 11 however, have a little more concern in regards to 12 how we are going to implement this pilot program. 13 My biggest concern is that first of all we 14 don't even have a cap on the amount that could be 15 invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to 16 play with this number, this is one of those that 17 gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we 18 are going to be shifting the cost of users to 19 nonusers, and substan could be a substantial 20 number. 21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	5	I do want to make a couple of comments before
8more concern. I want to go on record as fully9supporting the concepts of expanding the electric10vehicle charging station, charging points. I do,11however, have a little more concern in regards to12how we are going to implement this pilot program.13My biggest concern is that first of all we14don't even have a cap on the amount that could be15invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to16play with this number, this is one of those that17gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we18are going to be shifting the cost of users to19nonusers, and substan could be a substantial20I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	6	we before we take a motion up.
 9 supporting the concepts of expanding the electric 10 vehicle charging station, charging points. I do, 11 however, have a little more concern in regards to 12 how we are going to implement this pilot program. 13 My biggest concern is that first of all we 14 don't even have a cap on the amount that could be 15 invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to 16 play with this number, this is one of those that 17 gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we 18 are going to be shifting the cost of users to 19 nonusers, and substan could be a substantial 20 number. 21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe 	7	This item has, I guess, caused me a little bit
 vehicle charging station, charging points. I do, however, have a little more concern in regards to how we are going to implement this pilot program. My biggest concern is that first of all we don't even have a cap on the amount that could be invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to play with this number, this is one of those that gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we are going to be shifting the cost of users to nonusers, and substan could be a substantial number. I realize that this is a pilot. I believe 	8	more concern. I want to go on record as fully
however, have a little more concern in regards to how we are going to implement this pilot program. My biggest concern is that first of all we don't even have a cap on the amount that could be invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to play with this number, this is one of those that gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we are going to be shifting the cost of users to nonusers, and substan could be a substantial number. I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	9	supporting the concepts of expanding the electric
how we are going to implement this pilot program. My biggest concern is that first of all we don't even have a cap on the amount that could be invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to play with this number, this is one of those that gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we are going to be shifting the cost of users to nonusers, and substan could be a substantial number. I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	10	vehicle charging station, charging points. I do,
13 My biggest concern is that first of all we 14 don't even have a cap on the amount that could be 15 invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to 16 play with this number, this is one of those that 17 gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we 18 are going to be shifting the cost of users to 19 nonusers, and substan could be a substantial 20 number. 21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	11	however, have a little more concern in regards to
14don't even have a cap on the amount that could be15invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to16play with this number, this is one of those that17gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we18are going to be shifting the cost of users to19nonusers, and substan could be a substantial20number.21I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	12	how we are going to implement this pilot program.
 invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to play with this number, this is one of those that gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we are going to be shifting the cost of users to nonusers, and substan could be a substantial number. I realize that this is a pilot. I believe 	13	My biggest concern is that first of all we
play with this number, this is one of those that gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we are going to be shifting the cost of users to nonusers, and substan could be a substantial number. I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	14	don't even have a cap on the amount that could be
17 gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we 18 are going to be shifting the cost of users to 19 nonusers, and substan could be a substantial 20 number. 21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	15	invested. This a CIAC charge, and when we began to
18 are going to be shifting the cost of users to 19 nonusers, and substan could be a substantial 20 number. 21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	16	play with this number, this is one of those that
19 nonusers, and substan could be a substantial 20 number. 21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	17	gives me a little bit more heartburn. Basically we
20 number. 21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	18	are going to be shifting the cost of users to
21 I realize that this is a pilot. I believe	19	nonusers, and substan could be a substantial
	20	number.
22 Mr. Ashburn himself said they have no idea what	21	I realize that this is a pilot. I believe
	22	Mr. Ashburn himself said they have no idea what
23 kind of demand they are going to get. The only cap	23	kind of demand they are going to get. The only cap
24 is on the per installation cost of \$20,000, but if	24	is on the per installation cost of \$20,000, but if
25 you had 10 of these per year at this particular	25	you had 10 of these per year at this particular

1 cost, you would be looking at 200,000 a year. Over 2 a five-year period we have just invested \$1 million, not in charging stations, but simply in 3 4 the infrastructure to get the power to those 5 charging stations, and that cost is going to be borne 100 percent by all of the ratepayers whether 6 7 they have an electric vehicle, have the benefit of an electric vehicle. 8

9 Now, if we could -- and we probably can. We 10 haven't been able to identify all of the social 11 reasoning and social logic behind some of the 12 However, there is -- there are other things we do. 13 costs that have to be considered when CIAC is 14 calculated, and that is the demand that this is 15 going to put back on the system.

16 I realize that is part of the pilot program to 17 come up with that data. However, you get this 18 data, and five years of it and \$1 million worth of 19 investment and it's bad. Let's assume that it has 20 a negative impact on the system, which it very well 21 could, just from the dynamics of the load and the 22 timing of the load could have some verse affects on 23 the system.

I believe that waiving the CIAC is the wrong methodology. I think that investment needs to be

1 made in the infrastructure to serve the Type 3 2 charging systems, but I think that source of funds 3 needs to come from some other sources, not from the 4 general body of ratepayers. So I am going to be a 5 opposed to approving this particular item. So I will entertain any comments or questions 6 7 or other concerns from any of the commissioners. 8 Any questions? Commissioner Graham. 9 10 I got a question of COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: 11 staff. 12 Since this is a pilot, can we anywhere along 13 this 10-year continuum put a halt to this if we 14 feel like it's going out of control? This is Samantha Cibula. 15 MS. CIBULA: 16 It's a five-year pilot program, so it will be 17 over a five-year period, not a 10-year period. And 18 I -- right now, there is nothing in the rule waiver that says that after, like, two years or three 19 20 years we could come back and revisit the rule 21 The way the recommendation is written is waiver. 22 that we would waive the rule for five years and 23 collect the information. 24 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you. 25 MS. CIBULA: And just to let you -- they

1 are -- they are going to be collecting some amount 2 It will just be less than what they would of CIAC. have under the rule. 3 Commissioner Polmann. 4 CHAIRMAN CLARK: 5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 7 I recognize and appreciate your comments and 8 concerns, Mr. Chairman. As I indicated in my 9 comments, I think the pilot nature of this is the 10 perfect approach, and I think your comments balance 11 Commissioner Fay's comments. 12 I also want to recognize and appreciate 13 Commissioner Fay's enthusiasm, and I think he has 14 demonstrated that by his personal investment in his 15 own transportation choices. 16 I think there is importance in electric 17 vehicle investment -- infrastructure investment. 18 And as I mentioned in my comments, I am 19 particularly concerned about the Commission 20 supporting the collection of data. And this is one 21 way for us to gather the data that's necessary in 22 order to pursue the public interest that I think is 23 key to the long-term decision-making as to how the 24 general body of ratepayers may benefit from -- from 25 supporting the EV infrastructure.

1 Now, I simply don't know of a better way. 2 Now, if there is a better way, I would be happy 3 to -- to discuss that. The utility has brought 4 this forward. I am happy to support this. I think 5 five years is a reasonable period of time. I think it's incumbent upon staff to be very 6 7 diligent in analyzing the data. I think it's 8 appropriate for us, and necessary for us to put the 9 burden squarely on the utility to be very exacting 10 and detailed in the data that they are collecting. 11 So I am not at all hesitant about the 12 requirement for this process on the utility to make 13 sure that they are working closely with the staff 14 and bringing forth the data in detail so that we 15 have that advantage to fully utilize that, because 16 that is the necessary aspect of this, to make sure 17 that we are addressing the concern that you raised. 18 I absolutely support your concern, but I think 19 it's important for us to move forward to be able to 20 collect the data. I recognize what you are saying 21 about the imposition of this on the general body, 22 but I don't have a better alternative, Mr. 23 Chairman. 24 Thank you. 25 Thank you, Commissioner CHAIRMAN CLARK:

(850)894-0828

Polmann.

1

I would just also like to add, and I would ask any -- maybe Mark to comment on the formula for CIAC, just so everyone is aware.

5 What you are talking about is the cost to add infrastructure to serve a load. 6 And when CIAC is 7 calculated, basically you take the utility's cost 8 to provide that service and you apply the revenue 9 that is estimated to be generated from the load 10 back to that, and that comes out as your cost in 11 aid of construction, how much the actual user has 12 to participate.

13 So just understand that CIAC has already taken 14 into account the revenue that is generated by the 15 load. So that's what the utility's return in their 16 investment is coming back off of.

17 Mark, can you elaborate on the CIAC any better 18 than that? I am sure you can clear that up a lot 19 better than I did.

20 MR. FUTRELL: No, sir. I believe that's a --21 that's a more than adequate explanation and very 22 clear, unless Judy has a -- would like to add onto 23 that. I feel like that's a very clear statement of 24 what the purpose of the CIAC is and how it's 25 calculated.

1 And in typical industrial CHAIRMAN CLARK: 2 type loads, there is always a request of most 3 companies that are trying to get a service 4 extended. And usually it's a unique type of 5 It's a more expensive service. service. This is possibly three -- I don't know if it's typically 6 7 three phase for this Level 3 service. I am not 8 sure. But the infrastructure costs, there is going 9 to be -- Judy, do you want to add any comments to 10 that? 11 MS. HARLOW: No, sir, I would just add briefly 12 that it's a four-year revenue estimate, and the 13 change that the utility is requesting is not to 14 change that four years of revenue but simply to 15 move it forward in time because they are expecting 16 that EV revenue will increase overtime. 17 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Correct. Good point. 18 Any other questions from any All right. 19 Commissioners? Give me a hand wave if you have any 20 questions. 21 Seeing none, I will entertain a All right. 22 motion. 23 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, I move 24 staff recommendation on Item No. 4. 25 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I have a motion and a second
2	to approve staff recommendation on Item No. 4.
3	Any discussion?
4	Commissioner Graham?
5	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Brown?
7	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann?
9	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Fay?
11	COMMISSIONER FAY: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And the Chair votes in the
13	negative.
14	The motion is approved on a four-to-one vote.
15	(Agenda item concluded.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
б	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 8th day of April, 2020.
19	
20	
21	Debbri R Krici
22	DEBRA R. KRICK
23	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #GG015952
24	EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020
25	