1	BEFORE THE	
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4		
5	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 20200058-EG
6		
7	management plan, by	al of 2020 demand-side Orlando Utilities
8	Commission.	/
9		
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
12	COMMISSIONERS	TIEN NO. 3
13	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
14		COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
16	DATE:	Tuesday, May 5, 2020
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
18		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter and
21		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
22		
23		PREMIER REPORTING 114 W. 5TH AVENUE
24	Т	ALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Let's move to
3	Item No. 5.
4	Mr. Futrell.
5	MR. FUTRELL: Items 5 is the staff's
6	recommendation on the Orlando Utilities
7	Commission's 2020 demand-side management plan.
8	The plan consists of 16 programs equally split
9	between residential and commercial customers and
10	includes energy audits for each customer class. A
11	majority of the programs are retained from the
12	utility's 2015 DSM plan with update updated
13	rebates and savings to reflect change and economics
14	and efficiency levels. Many of the programs are
15	not cost-effective; however, OUC is a municipal
16	utility with its own governing board with authority
17	to set rates and determine cost recovery. This
18	commission has traditionally deferred to local
19	governments regarding decisions on whether to offer
20	customers non-cost-effective programs.
21	Staff has analyzed the plan and it is
22	projected to meet or exceed the annual goals
23	established by the Commission. Staff recommends
24	the Commission approve the plan.
25	Bradley Marshall with the Southern Alliance

1	for Clean Energy would like to address the
2	Commission. Representatives of OUC are available
3	to respond to comments and questions, and staff is
4	available as well.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Futrell.
6	Mr. Wright, I am going to let OUC make an
7	opening comment. We will follow that up by
8	Mr. Bradley's statement.
9	Mr. Wright, are you on the phone?
10	MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir. Thank you very much.
11	I am happy to be here again representing the
12	Orlando Utilities Commission. I have brief opening
13	remarks, and I appreciate your giving me the
14	opportunity to respond to SACE's comments.
15	We are here before you today to DSM plan for
16	an action on our DSM plan for 2020 to 2024,
17	pursuant to FEECA and pursuant to your Order
18	2019-0509 issued last November.
19	FEECA requires that the Commission adopt
20	appropriate goals for reducing and controlling the
21	growth rates of peak demand and energy consumption
22	and to promote renewable energy. The Commission
23	correctly decided last year that our reducing
24	efforts are sufficient to promote renewable energy
25	without additional customer support and funding for

1	solar or other renewable.
2	We genuinely appreciate the staff's
3	recommendation to approve our 2020 DSM plan,
4	because our plan exceeds the goals established by
5	your order and, therefore, complies with FEECA. In
6	fact, as shown in the tables contained within the
7	staff recommendation, our plan will significantly
8	exceed the goals you set for OUC both for
9	residential and for commercial/industrial
10	conservation programs for every year of the goal's
11	period.
12	Our plan will achieve residential peak demand
13	savings of two to four times the goals; residential
14	energy savings of one-and-a-half to more than two
15	times the goals; commercial/industrial demand
16	savings of about 1.7 to about 3.5 times the goals;
17	and commercial/industrial energy savings of eight
18	and nine times the goals.
19	The staff have it right. OUC's plan fully
20	complies with both your order and with FEECA.
21	OUC's plan fully complies with both your order and
22	with FEECA. OUC supports the staff recommendation
23	and respectfully asks you to approve it.
24	I am happy to answer any questions from the
25	Commissioners, and I look forward to the

1	opportunity to respond.
2	Thanks very much.
3	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Wright.
4	Mr. Marshall, are you on the phone?
5	MR. MARSHALL: I am. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: You are recognized.
7	MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.
8	Bradley Marshall on behalf of the Southern
9	Alliance for Clean Energy with some brief comments.
10	Thank you for the opportunity to address you today.
11	SACE is a nonprofit clean energy organization
12	that advocates for lower cost at a lower risk clean
13	energy future. Energy efficiency is a key
14	component for lowering electricity bills,
15	especially low income energy savings programs.
16	That's why SACE participated last year in the goal
17	setting docket to push for higher goals, and
18	specifically to push for goals for low income
19	communities.
20	This Commission has previously stated that DSM
21	program plans should have a focus on addressing the
22	critical needs of low income customers, for
23	instance, in its 2015 goals orders. The plan put
24	for before you by OUC for approval today, while
25	projecting to meet the overall goal, they also meet

1	the needs of its low income customers, and here's
2	why:
3	OUC has the highest low income population as
4	proportion of any of the FEECA utilities, but it
5	has the weakest of all low income programs,
6	projected to reach less than one percent of its
7	eligible customers over the next five years.
8	OUC has about 73,000 families earning at or
9	below \$35,000 per year, yet it's proposing to reach
10	a mere 73 customers per year with its low program
11	efficiency delivered. And it's doubtful that the
12	utility will even reach that number given that it
13	had only six participants in the same program in
14	2018.
15	There are other flaws in the program too.
16	First, it's limited to single family homes, even
17	though most of OUC's customers live in multifamily
18	units. And, two, OUC customers must pay into the
19	program to participate. OUC has the distinction of
20	being the only utility to have this feature.
21	This program is not what the Commission
22	intended when it stated its desire that the
23	critical needs of low income customers be met in
24	program plans.
25	The program is particularly surprising dealing

1	that the City of Orlando has committed itself to
2	clean energy and becoming the most sustainable city
3	in the southeast.
4	There are better models out there for OUC to
5	follow. For instance, TECO reaches almost six
6	percent of their low income customers per year,
7	while delivering significantly higher energy
8	savings. Duke's plans delivery much more energy
9	savings to their low income customers, too. These
10	are the models OUC should be looking to when
11	designing their low income program.
12	Given all of these issues, OUC needs to go
13	back to the drawing board on its low income
14	program, and SACE asks the Commission to urge OUC
15	to do better for their low income customers.
16	Thank you, and we will, of course, be on the
17	line to answer any questions you have.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Marshall.
19	Mr. Wright, any follow-up comment?
20	MR. WRIGHT: Yes. Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr.
21	Chairman.
22	Again, we should remember that we are here on
23	whether to approve our plan as being in compliance
24	with your order and with FEECA. It does. SACE
25	does not even try to assert otherwise, and you

should, accordingly, approve our plan.

2.

SACE's criticisms of our plan -- sorry, I was getting some feed back -- SACE's criticisms are largely incomplete and -- their arguments are based on the mischaracterization of our energy -- of our efficiency delivered program as being only low programs targeting low income customers.

It is true that efficiency delivery explicitly targets low income customers because customers are limited in their household incomes in order to qualify for generous subsidies provide through the efficiency delivery program, but OUC serves low income customers through pretty much all of our residential program and additional programs and outreach efforts that are not part of our formal DSM plan.

By the way, SACE's criticism that customers have to pay to participate in our efficiency delivered program is contrived and specious. Our experience shows that customers who get \$2,000 with efficiency improvements by paying only \$25 a month for one year interest free to finance their 15 percent share of the total cost saves more than the \$25 a month that it costs them starting on day one after -- after measures are installed.

In fact, beyond efficiency delivered, OUC is continuing our multifamily efficiency program, which we described at length in our testimony in the goals hearing. We are continuing the program simply not as part of our formal DSM plan.

Where SACE argues in their comments that we should include many measures in our supposedly designated low income program like Tampa Electric does, we respond as follows:

We provide virtually all of the same measures through different programs and through different outreach efforts and activities. In fact, OUC has targeted specific programs to address the unique situation of renters, which we have a lot of, mainly the fact that their landlords control what can be done on rental property. These include our multifamily efficiency program, the New Horizons apartment complex refurbishment, which we described in our testimony last year, and OUC's partnership and support with the Central Florida Housing Trust of efficiency improvements for measures and other low income customers.

The New Horizons complex and our work with the Central Florida Building Trust are targeted to the OUC empowerment zone, which is the most

2.

1	economically disadvantaged ZIP Code in OUC's
2	territory.
3	Our over all of energy efficiency
4	achievements, we have committed to 100 percent
5	energy savings this year. SACE's own data showed
б	in 2017 we were about four times the state average
7	in energy savings. We are committed to net zero
8	cash emissions by 2050. We are committed to 270
9	plus megawatts of new solar by 2025, and we are
10	committed to major investments in electrification
11	for transportation and in hydrogen and battery
12	storage.
13	Having said all this, OUC welcomes SACE and
14	any other organization to the table for a
15	constructive dialogue in how to achieve additional
16	energy savings more cost-effectively and how to
17	better serve all of our customers, including low
18	income customers.
19	OUC's door is open. OUC supports the staff's
20	recommendation and we, again, respect-ly ask that
21	you approve it.
22	Thank you very much.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Wright.
24	I will open the floor to Commissioners for
25	discussion and questions now. Any questions?

1	Commissioner Fay? I saw your light went on.
2	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
3	just have two quick questions for staff.
4	Issue 2 on this item talks about closing the
5	docket and the process that we would go through to
6	do that, and more specifically if there is a
7	protest to the decision that is made today. Can
8	staff just clarify when that docket would be
9	closed?
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Futrell.
11	MR. FUTRELL: Perhaps if Ms. Passidomo or
12	Ms. Tan could answer that question.
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Ms. Passidomo, are you on the
14	line?
15	MS. PASSIDOMO: I am.
16	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Did you hear Commissioner
17	Fay's question?
18	MS. PASSIDOMO: Can you hear me?
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, we can hear you now.
20	MS. PASSIDOMO: Yes. So after the staff
21	reviews the submission, it would be closed then, so
22	they have the ability to review it. This is
23	something that I think Phillip addressed yesterday.
24	I don't know if he is on the line as well.
25	MR. ELLIS: This is Phillip Ellis.

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Ellis.
2	MS. PASSIDOMO: Yes, he might be able to
3	explain the process a little bit better.
4	MR. ELLIS: It's my understanding if the
5	Commission approves staff's recommendation, after
6	the PAA order and then the consummating order, the
7	program standard can be filed by OUC with the
8	specifics of the, you know, step-by-step of how
9	programs would be accomplished.
10	Staff, per the APM have, and per the
11	Commission's vote, would have administrative
12	authority to approve those modification approve
13	those plans. If there is any mediation from
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: One second, Mr. Ellis, we are
15	having some we are having some interference,
16	somebody's line is unmuted. We remind everyone
17	please mute your line.
18	Feel free to drop that caller.
19	Proceed, Mr. Ellis.
20	MR. ELLIS: If there is any variation from the
21	DSM plan as approved by the Commission, staff would
22	come back to the Commission for those items; but if
23	everything matches the Commission's order, staff
24	would administratively close the docket at that
25	time.

1	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
2	And just to clarify, so I understand what we
3	are doing under 366.80 and the FEECA process, but I
4	guess by doing that, even if they are even once
5	the Commission receives those plans and we have
6	closed the docket, none of that prohibits the
7	municipality from moving forward with additional
8	conservation plans, correct?
9	MR. ELLIS: This is Phillip Ellis again. That
10	is correct. In fact, I believe as far back as
11	1995, the Commission has recognized that municipals
12	and cooperatives could engage in programs outside
13	of the FEECA DSM plan at their preference.
14	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
15	And I, like, support the item, but I will let
16	any other Commissioners
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner Fay.
18	Commissioner Brown.
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	Thank you Andrew for Commissioner Fay for
21	pointing that out as well. Obviously, it's
22	important to emphasize that OUC is in a
23	municipality with a local governing board and,
24	therefore, as our staff recommendation has stated
25	and the summary stated, the Commission should be

given -- to give them wide latitude for making
their own decisions regarding community investment
and energy efficiency. That being said, I
understand SACE's concern.

So one thing I do just want to note that OUC has traditionally been a municipality that has been exemplary, and not just with renewable deployment, but energy efficiency initiatives, including carbon emissions reduction over the years.

And I do want to state that while the concern that I think that was raised that actually my interest was with regard to OUC low income program regarding being limited to single family homes. So I did want to ask Mr. Wright just a question. went over some of the different -- again, giving the OUC wide latitude, I just want to hear from you, though, what multifamily initiatives, again, just for the record, that are going to be addressed. I know that SACE has an issue with the low income program currently in place, but can you talk about the single family homes versus multifamily homes as it pertains to low income? CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Wright, can you hear? COMMISSIONER BROWN: He did that on purpose.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Wright, are you

CHAIRMAN CLARK:

1 available?

MR. WRIGHT: I am. I am. And I have been unmuted for at least a minute here. Thank you for the question.

The efficiency delivered program is available only to single family residences. Now, it could be application rental. It could be -- it could be a customer-owned property. The problem we have with rentals, again, is that they depend on the landlord cooperation in -- in order to implement them, and renters frequently have a fairly short time horizon and don't -- don't want to spend money if they don't know that they are going to be in their place for six months or a year.

The multifamily program offers a whole lot of energy efficiency improvement relate program to multifamily dwellings through cooperation with the landlord. We have energy services. We have energy audits, duct repair, high performance windows, attic insulation, solar, lighting, reflective roofing, heat pumps and more through the multifamily program. We offer a whole -- a whole lot of things through multifamily, but the real point is that -- that to reach rental properties, we have the multifamily program so that we can get

1	that done.
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Wright,
3	for clarifying all of that.
4	And again, as I emphasized, I support the
5	staff recommendation, but giving the local
6	governing board that authority to approve or not
7	approve those recommendations is completely within
8	their discretion, but I do appreciate it and thank
9	you for your participation.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
11	Brown.
12	Any other Commissioners have any questions or
13	comments?
14	If not, I will entertain a motion, Mr. Graham.
15	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, I move
16	staff recommendation on both issues on this item.
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Do I have a second?
18	COMMISSIONER FAY: I'll second.
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I have a motion and a second
20	to approve staff recommendation on all items.
21	Any discussion?
22	On your vote, Commissioner Graham?
23	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann?
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Brown?
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Fay?
4	COMMISSIONER FAY: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And the item is approved.
6	(Agenda item concluded.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 15th day of May, 2020.
19	
20	
21	Debli R Krici
22	
23	DEBRA R. KRICK NOTARY PUBLIC
24	COMMISSION #GG015952 EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020
25	