1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4		
5	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 20180224-EI
6	-	rate reductions or
7	alternative revers	da Power & Light
8		of Public Counsel, Power Users Group,
9	and Fiorida Recall	/
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
12	PROCEEDINGS:	ITEM NO. 5
13	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK
14		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
15		COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
16	DATE:	Tuesday, June 9, 2020
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
18		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter and
21		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
22		PREMIER REPORTING
23		114 W. 5TH AVENUE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
24		(850) 894-0828
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Moving to Item
3	No. 5. Ms. Helton, back to you.
4	MS. HELTON: Item No. 5 is staff's
5	recommendation to close the docket that was opened
6	to address the joint petition filed by OPC, FIPUG
7	and FRF requesting the Commission do three things:
8	The first, place the tax savings generated in
9	2018 by the passage of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act
10	under Commission jurisdiction.
11	Second, determine if Florida Power & Light's
12	use of the reserve amount to pay Hurricane Irma
13	costs and its decision to replenish the reserve
14	amount of the tax savings violated FPL's 2016
15	settlement agreement.
16	And finally, the third, reduce base rates to
17	account for the projected overearnings associated
18	with the tax cuts act.
19	The first two requests were resolved in other
20	dockets, and the last request concerning Florida
21	Power & Light's overearnings is not warranted here
22	because FPL is not overearning at this time and is
23	expected to earn within its range of return for the
24	remainder of 2020. Therefore, no adjustment to
25	base rates is appropriate at this time. Because

1	these three requests raised in the petition have
2	been resolved, staff recommends that this docket
3	should be closed.
4	It's my understanding that Charles Rehwinkel
5	with OPC, and Schef Wright, representing FRF, would
6	like to address the Commission, and that Jon Moyle
7	with FIPUG, and Maria Moncada would like the
8	opportunity to respond to any comments made.
9	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Thank you, Ms.
10	Helton.
11	Mr. Rehwinkel, are you with us this morning?
12	MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, I am.
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. You are
14	recognized.
15	MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you very much. Thank
16	you, and good morning, Commissioners. My name is
17	Charles Rehwinkel. I am Deputy Public Counsel.
18	Before you take your intended action today on
19	our petition, I am appearing to make a statement
20	for the record and place our position on this case
21	in the proper context.
22	Commissioners, the Office of Public Counsel
23	still supports the concept underlying the joint
24	customer petition to return federal income tax
25	over-collections to customers, and to reduce rates

1 prospectively.

2.

FPL is an outlier in Florida and around the country when its retention of the \$772 million in annual federal income tax payments as compared to the companies who have returned to customers what is rightfully theirs in the nature of overpaid federal income taxes.

In Florida, to date, about \$700 million of overpayments have been returned by Tampa Electric, Duke and FPL's sister company, Gulf Power.

We understand that the relief requested relating to the reserve amount or amortization mechanism underlying our reverse make-whole case was denied by the Commission. We appealed that decision to the Florida Supreme Court. After reevaluating the underlying order, and reassessing the likelihood of success on the merits, we voluntarily dismissed that appeal.

In May of 2019, your professional staff filed a recommendation that would have accomplished the result we were seeking in requiring that all of the \$772 million in annual overpayments of federal income taxes be refunded after Hurricane Irma was paid for sometime last summer. Although, you denied that result as well, we continue to believe

1	that would have been a reasonable outcome, and
2	would have helped, and would continue to help
3	customers who have been struggling.
4	As we enter into uncertain times resulting
5	from the global pandemic, it seems that, more than
6	ever, the many customers of FPL who are struggling
7	to make ends meet could use their overpaid tax
8	money now, especially since the \$772 million
9	belongs to them in the first place.
10	We remain convinced that the right thing to do
11	would be to restore to customer pockets the tax
12	overpayments that they made, and continue to make,
13	especially during these times of uncertainty and
14	economic lost caused by the pandemic.
15	Thank you, Commissioners. And those are all
16	of my remarks, and I am here just to answer
17	questions from here on out.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Rehwinkel.
20	Mr. Wright, you are recognized.
21	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good
22	morning, Commissioners. Very briefly.
23	The Florida Retail Federation agrees with the
24	comments of the Public Counsel. I would simply
25	like to add this specific point to Mr. Rehwinkel's

1	remarks.
2	The resolution recommended by the Commission's
3	professional staff would have served, and would
4	still be serving the public interest of Floridians
5	of FPL's five million customers and the state's
6	economy as a whole far better than the outcome that
7	you imposed on those customers when you rejected
8	the staff's recommendation.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Wright.
11	Mr. Moyle, any response?
12	MR. MOYLE: We have nothing to add, Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, sir.
14	Ms. Moncada, you are recognized.
15	MS. MONCADA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Can
16	you hear me?
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, we can hear you.
18	MS. MONCADA: Thank you. Good morning, Mr.
19	Chairman and Commissioners. Just a brief response
20	to the comments that have been made.
21	FPL supports staff's recommendation in this
22	docket to close the petition filed by the Office of
23	Public Counsel, FRF and FIPUG for the reasons
24	stated in the recommendation.
25	In response to Mr. Rehwinkel's comments, as

1 well as Mr. -- Mr. Wright's regarding the help that 2. might have been given to FPL's customers, I would 3 just like to say that the -- the decision by the Commission in docket 20180046 is, indeed, helping 4 5 and benefiting customers in a number of ways. The discretion that FPL was afforded with respect to 6 7 the storm mechanism, and as well as the flexibility for the reserve mechanism has been essential in 8 9 providing rate stability. I can give a number of 10 major examples in which customers have been 11 benefiting from your decision.

The first was the avoidance of the Hurricane Irma storm charge. Now, that seems like a long time ago, or at least it feels like a long time ago for me, but we can't forget that that avoided the addition of \$4 to \$5 of incremental charges on the customer bills monthly over a multiyear period.

That would not have just occurred on the 2018 bill, or appeared on the 2018 bill. It may still be -- may still have been on the customer bills today if not for FPL's decision to manage its business through the mechanisms available to it to avoid that charge.

And the company is once again positioned to avoid another surcharge. The first major hurricane

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

after Irma was Hurricane Dorian. And like I

stated, FPL's position to once again elect not to

impose the surcharge which would have added more

incremental costs on the customer bills.

And more recently, that same mechanism is allowing us to manage additional expenses, such as those that may arise as a result of the global pandemic, COVID-19. That certainly was unexpected and is something that happens maybe once it in a lifetime, but probably less than that, and has been able to help us get through that.

So if we view this from an even wider lens, it's your decision and the use of the reserve mechanism that has allowed FPL to stay out for five years. That's two avoided storm charges and five years of rate stability that have been afforded to customers over this time period. It's a mechanism that has worked well under the 2016 rate settlement. It also worked well under the 2012 rate settlement. We continue to support your decision in docket 20180046, and we support staff's recommendation in this docket as well.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Moncada.

24 Commissioners, any questions?

25 Commissioner Polmann.

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
2	Chairman.
3	I think on this item, the case background puts
4	things into perspective, but I think the
5	recommendation is very clear. It it states in
6	simple terms that the issues here in this docket
7	have been resolved, or are no longer relevant. The
8	comments from parties on the line notwithstanding,
9	I would be prepared to make a recommendation but I
10	will await comments from other Commissioners.
11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Brown.
12	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. I just have
13	one comment.
14	Mr. Rehwinkel stated that FPL is unique and
15	distinct from other utilities, and I would
16	absolutely agree with that. The reserve mechanism,
17	as Ms. Moncada pointed out, has been advantageous
18	to customers on so many levels, and continues to
19	provide that rate stability in such trying times,
20	so I do support the staff recommendation on this.
21	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
22	Brown.
23	Commissioner Polmann, you are recognized for
24	your motion.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.

1	Chairman.
2	I would move approval on this item of staff
3	recommendation.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I have a motion and a second
6	to approve staff recommendation.
7	Any discussion?
8	All in favor, say aye.
9	(Chorus of ayes.)
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Motion carried.
13	(Agenda item concluded.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
3	COUNTY OF LEON /
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 15th day of June, 2020.
19	
20	
21	Debli R Laci
22	DEBRA R. KRICK
23	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #GG015952
24	EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020
25	