#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Item 9

**VOTE SHEET** 

July 7, 2020

FILED 7/9/2020 DOCUMENT NO. 03689-2020 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

**Docket No. 20190185-WS** – Application for authority to transfer assets of exempt entity in Sumter County by the City of Wildwood to South Sumter Utility Company, LLC.

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should the Commission approve the transfer of the water and wastewater facilities from the City of Wildwood, an exempt entity in Sumter County, to South Sumter Utility Company, LLC, and the amendment of South Sumter Utility Company, LLC's Certificate Nos. 571-S and 669-W?

Recommendation: Yes. The transfer of the water and wastewater service facilities from the City and the amendment of Certificate Nos. 571-S and 669-W, to include the territory as described in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated June 24, 2020, are in the public interest and should be approved, effective the date of the Commission's vote. The resultant order should serve as SSU's amended certificate and should be retained by the Buyer. SSU should be responsible for filing all future annual reports and Regulatory Assessment Fees (RAFs) subsequent to the date of closing.

## **APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED:

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** 

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
al	
Donald J. Polmann	
Ah Bh Fr	

**All Commissioners** 

Item 9

**Docket No. 20190185-WS** – Application for authority to transfer assets of exempt entity in Sumter County by the City of Wildwood to South Sumter Utility Company, LLC.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2:</u> What is the appropriate net book value for the assets acquired by South Sumter for transfer purposes, and should an acquisition adjustment be approved?

Recommendation: The net book value (NBV) of the assets for transfer purposes is \$0 for the water system and \$0 for the wastewater system as of September 19, 2020. An acquisition adjustment should not be included in rate base. Within 90 days of the date of the final order, SSU should be required to notify the Commission in writing that it has adjusted its books in accordance with the Commission's decision. The adjustments should be reflected in SSU's 2020 Annual Report when filed.

### **APPROVED**

**Issue 3:** What are the appropriate rates and charges for the Village of Fenney and the Village of DeSoto? **Recommendation:** The appropriate rates and charges for the Village of Fenney and the Village of DeSoto are the existing service rates of the City and the existing charges of SSU, as shown on Schedule Nos. 2-A and 2-B of staff's memorandum dated June 24, 2020, which are appended to this recommendation. These rates and charges should remain in effect until a change is authorized by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding. The approved rates and charges should be effective for services rendered after the order becomes final, the closing on the agreement, and the customers of Village of Feeney and the Village of DeSoto have received notice pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. The Utility should provide proof of noticing within 10 days of rendering its approved notice.

### **APPROVED**

**Issue 4:** Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** Yes. If no protest to the proposed agency action issue is filed by a substantially affected person within 21 days of the date of the order, a consummating order should be issued and the docket closed administratively upon Commission staff's verification that the revised tariff sheets have been filed, the Buyer has provided proof of noticing, and the Buyer has notified the Commission in writing that it has adjusted its books and records in accordance with the Commission's decision.

# **APPROVED**