## FILED 2/3/2021 DOCUMENT NO. 01864-2021 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

## Ausley McMullen

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

February 3, 2021

**VIA: ELECTRONIC FILING** 

Mr. Adam J. Teitzman Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Petition for approval of electric vehicle charging pilot program,

by Tampa Electric Company. Docket No. 20200220-EI

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for filing in the above docket is Tampa Electric Company's Response to Staff's Third Data Request (No.1), propounded on January 28, 2021.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

Malcolm N. Means

William Means

MNM/bmp Attachment

cc: All Parties of Record (w/attachment)

Jeff Doehling, Engineering Specialist, FPSC (w/attachment)

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing responses to Staff's Third Data Request (No.1), filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by electronic mail on this 3rd day of February 2021 to the following:

Ms. Suzanne Brownless Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

Ms. Patricia A. Christensen
Thomas A. (Tad) David
Mireille Fall-Fry
Stephanie A. Morse
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street – Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
david.tad@leg.state.fl.us
fall-fry.mireille@leg.state.fl.us
morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

ChargePoint
Justin Wilson
Justin.wilson@chargePoint.com

Greenlots
Joshua Cohen
jcohen@greenlots.com

Sierra Club
Nathaniel Shoaff
Nathaniel.Shoaff@sierraclub.org

Tesla, Inc.
Kevin Auerbacher
Patrick Bean
Bill Ehrlich
Noelani Derrickson
Kauerbacher@tesla.com
Pbean@tesla.com
Wehrlich@tesla.com
nderrickson@tesla.com

Walmart, Inc.
Stephanie U. Eaton
Derrick Price Williamson
seaton@spilmanlaw.com
dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

Moledon N. Means

- TEMO DAVINA

**ATTORNEY** 

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20200220-EI STAFF'S THIRD DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 1 PAGE 1 OF 1 FILED: FEBRUARY 3, 2021

- 1. In its answer to Staff's First Data Request, No. 7, TECO assumes a 58 percent residential allocation. Please explain in detail why 58 percent was assumed and how it was derived.
- A. In responding to No. 7, the answer provided was in the form of an estimate only. The 58% comes from a cost of service allocation factor that would be used to allocate equipment such as the car chargers that are part of the program and represents the residential class portion of that allocation factor. The estimate presumes that rates would be based on cost of service results in the future (as the only rate impact would occur after the program is approved, the investment has been included in test year revenue requirements, and new rates are put into effect). Until all that occurs, of course, there would be no residential rate impact.