FILED 3/5/2021 DOCUMENT NO. 02603-2021 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company DOCKET NO.: 20210034-EI FILED: March 5, 2021

FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S <u>PETITION TO INTERVENE</u>

Petitioner Florida Industrial Power Users Group ("FIPUG"), pursuant to sections

120.569 and 120.57(1), Florida Statutes, and Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code,

hereby files its Petition to Intervene, and in support thereof states as follows:

The Parties

1. Petitioner / Intervenor is:

Florida Industrial Power Users Group c/o Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: (850) 681-3828 Facsimile: (850) 681-8788

For purposes of service of all pleadings, notices, and orders in this docket, Intervenor's mailing

and e-service addresses are as follows:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: (850) 681-3828 Facsimile: (850) 681-8788 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com 2. The affected agency is the Florida Public Service Commission ("PSC" or "Commission"), with a principal place of business at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

3. The affected utility in this docket is Tampa Electric Company. ("TECO").

FIPUG's Substantial Interests

4. FIPUG is an ad hoc association consisting of industrial users of electricity in Florida. The cost of electricity to those FIPUG members is material and contributes to FIPUG members' overall costs of production and/or business operations. FIPUG members require adequate, reasonably priced electricity in order to compete effectively in their respective markets.

5. In this case, the Commission will consider TECO's request to increase rates paid by TECO customers, including FIPUG members receiving electricity from TECO. Specifically, in its February 1, 2021 Test Year Notification letter to the Commission, TECO states that it expects to seek a base rate revenue increase of between \$280 million to \$295 million effective January 1, 2022 and subsequent Generation Based Rate Adjustments of nearly \$100 million in 2023 and of approximately \$30 million in 2024. These TECO proposed changes to base rates will have a direct and substantial impact on TECO's customers, including FIPUG members.

6. As discussed below, FIPUG has standing to intervene in this matter on behalf of its members. In *Florida Home Builders Association v. Department of Labor and Employment Security*, 412 So. 2d 351 (Fla. 1982), the Florida Supreme Court set forth the requirements for an organization to demonstrate associational standing on behalf of its members in administrative proceedings.¹ An organization must demonstrate that 1) a substantial number of its members,

¹ Although *Florida Home Builders Association* concerned standing in actions brought pursuant to section 120.56(1), Florida Statutes, its rationale has been extended to actions brought pursuant to 120.57, Florida Statutes, by the First

although not necessarily a majority, are "substantially affected" by the agency action; 2) the subject matter of the case is within the association's general scope of interest and activity; and 3) the relief requested is of the type appropriate for the association to receive on behalf of its members. *Id.* at 353-54.

7. A substantial number of FIPUG members will be affected by the Commission's action taken on TECO's General Base Rate Petition in this case. As such, each FIPUG member that receives electricity from TECO will be affected by the outcome of this case. *See Agrico Chem. Co. v. Dep't of Env't Regulation*, 406 So. 2d 478, 482 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981).

8. Moreover, the subject matter of this docket is within FIPUG's general scope of interest and activity. FIPUG routinely appears on behalf of its members in cases concerning utility regulation, as the cost of electricity represents a significant portion of its members' production costs. As such, the subject matter of the instant docket, i.e. evaluation of TECO's request for Commission review and approval of significantly increased base rates, is well within FIPUG's scope of interest and activity.

9. Additionally, the relief sought by FIPUG by way of the instant petition is of the type appropriate for it to receive on behalf of its members and pursuant to rule 28-106.205(1), Florida Administrative Code. FIPUG seeks, by way of the instant petition, leave to intervene as a party with full rights to participate in this docket. Because its members are industrial electric customers who will be affected by the outcome of this case, FIPUG's participation in this docket is appropriate to ensure that the rates charged to its members who received electric service from TECO are fair, just, and reasonable. Additionally, FIPUG seeks to conduct discovery in this docket as warranted in its judgment, and raise issues of material fact that may arise herein.

District Court of Appeal's decision in *Farmworker Rights Organization, Inc. v. Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services*, 417 So. 2d 753, 754 (Fla. 1st DCA 1982).

10. Further, FIPUG's interests are of the type that this proceeding is designed to protect. *See, Agrico Chem. Co.*, 406 So.2d at 482. The purpose of the proceeding is for the Commission to consider, among other things, TECO 's proposed base rate increases to its customers, including FIPUG members. The outcome of the proceeding will thus have significant implications for FIPUG members that are customers of TECO. Accordingly, FIPUG's interest in ensuring that its members are charged fair, just, and reasonable rates for the electricity and electric services provided by TECO are the type of matters that this proceeding is designed to protect.

Notice of Proceeding

11. FIPUG received notice of this docket by an informal communication from TECO.

Statement of Position

12. TECO must meet its burden of proof in this matter. FIPUG seeks to conduct discovery and reserves the right to modify its position based on information obtained during discovery or otherwise.

Disputed Issues of Material Fact

- 13. Disputed issues of material fact include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether the increased base rates for which TECO seeks cost recovery are fair, just and reasonable;
 - b. Whether the proposed changes in TECO's rate base are fair, just and reasonable;
 - c. Whether the proposed return on equity and capitalization structure of TECO are fair, just and reasonable;
 - d. Whether TECO's proposed Generation Based Rate Adjustments are fair, just and reasonable.

14. FIPUG reserves all rights to raise additional issues in accordance with the Commission's rules and the Order Establishing Procedure to be issued in this docket.

Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged and at Issue

- 15. Ultimate facts alleged and at issue include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. The base rates TECO seeks to recover from its customers must be fair, just, and reasonable.

Rules and Statutes Justifying Relief

16. The rules and statutes that entitle FIPUG to intervene and participate in this case include, but are not limited to:

- a. Section 120.569, Florida Statutes;
- b. Section 120.57, Florida Statutes;
- c. Section 366.04, Florida Statutes;
- d. Section 366.041, Florida Statutes;
- e. Section 366.05, Florida Statutes;
- f. Section 366.06, Florida Statutes;
- g. Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code; and
- h. Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code.

Relief Requested

17. FIPUG requests that it be permitted to intervene as a full party in this docket.

Statement Required by Rule 28-106.204(3), Florida Administrative Code

18. Counsel for FIPUG has conferred with counsel for TECO and is authorized to represent that TECO does not oppose FIPUG's Petition to Intervene.

WHEREFORE, FIPUG requests that the Commission enter an order allowing it to intervene and participate as a full party in docket number 20210034-EI.

<u>/s/ Jon C. Moyle</u> Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: (850)681-3828 Facsimile: (850)681-8788 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Petition to Intervene has been furnished by electronic mail this 5th day of March to the following:

Keith Hetrick Charles Murphy Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399 <u>khetrick@psc.state.fl.us</u> cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us

Richard Gentry Charles Rehwinkel Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee FL 32399 gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 111 Tampa FL 33601 regdept@tecoenergy.com

James D. Beasley, Esq. J. Jeffrey Wahlen, Esq. Malcolm Means Ausley & McMullen Attorneys for Tampa Electric P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 jbeasley@ausley.com jwahlen@ausley.com mmeans@ausley.com

/s/ Jon C. Moyle, Jr.

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.