

Matthew R. Bernier
Associate General Counsel
Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

March 16, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Adam Teitzman, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor; Docket No. 20210001-EI

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

On behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF"), please find enclosed for electronic filing in the above referenced docket"

- DEF's Generating Performance Incentive Factor ("GPIF") True-Up Petition for the period January 2020 through December 2020; and
- Direct Testimony of M. Ingle Lewter with Exhibit No. (MIL-1T).

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (850) 521-1428 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Respectfully,

s/Matthew R. Bernier

Matthew R. Bernier

MRB/mw Enclosures

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating

Performance Incentive Factor

Performance Incentive Factor

Docket No. 20210001-EI

Filed: March 16, 2021

PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF GPIF RESULTS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 2020

Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") hereby petitions this Commission for

approval of its Generating Performance Incentive Factor ("GPIF") results for the period

ending December 2020. In support of this Petition, DEF states as follows:

1. DEF is a public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission under

Chapter 366, Florida Statutes. DEF's General Offices are located at 299 First Avenue

North, St. Petersburg, FL 33701.

2. All notices, pleadings and other communications required to be served on

the petitioner should be directed to:

Dianne M. Triplett

299 First Avenue North

St. Petersburg, FL, 33701

Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com

Matthew R. Bernier

106 East College Avenue

Suite 800

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

3. By Order No. PSC-2020-00439-FOF-EI, dated November 16, 2020, the

Commission approved DEF's GPIF Targets for the period January 2020 through

December 2020. The application of the GPIF formula to DEF's performance during that

period produces a reward of \$2,657,279. Matters relating to the GPIF are contained in

the prepared direct testimony of DEF witness M. Ingle Lewter which is being filed with and incorporated in this Petition.

WHEREFORE, DEF respectfully requests the Commission to approve this Petition and include the aforementioned amount in the calculation of the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery ("FCR") Factor for the period beginning January 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Matthew R. Bernier

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT Deputy General Counsel Duke Energy Florida, LLC. 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701

T: 727.820.4692 F: 727.820.5041

E: <u>Dianne.Triplett@duke-energy.com</u>

MATTHEW R. BERNIER Associate General Counsel Duke Energy Florida, LLC 106 East College Avenue Suite 800

Tallahassee, Florida 32301 T: 850.521.1428

T: 850.521.1428 F: 727.820.5041

E: <u>Matthew.Bernier@duke-energy.com</u> <u>FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com</u>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic mail to the following this 16th day of March, 2021.

<u>s/Matthew R. Bernier</u> Attorney

Suzanne Brownless
Office of General Counsel
FL Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
sbrownle@psc.state fl.us

J. Beasley / J. Wahlen / M. Means Ausley McMullen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 jbeasley@ausley.com jwahlen@ausley.com mmeans@ausley.com

Russell A. Badders Gulf Power Company One Energy Place, Bin 100 Pensacola, FL 32520-0100 russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com

Kenneth A. Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 134 W. Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301-1713 ken hoffman@fpl.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com Anastacia Pirrello Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 pirrello.anastacia@leg.state.fl.us

Paula K. Brown Regulatory Affairs Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 regdept@tecoenergy.com

Maria Moncada Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. (LAW/JB) Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 maria.moncada@fpl.com

James Brew / Laura W. Baker Stone Law Firm 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., N.W. Suite 800 West Washington, DC 20007 jbrew@smxblaw.com lwb@smxblaw.com

Mike Cassel Florida Public Utilities Company 208 Wildlight Avenue Yulee, FL 32097 mcassel@fpuc.com

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI

GPIF Schedules for January through December 2020

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MARY INGLE LEWTER

March 16, 2021

Q. Please state your name and business address.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

A. My name is M. Ingle Lewter. My business address is 526 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

- A. I am employed by Duke Energy Indiana, LLC ("DEI") as Manager of Fuels and Fleet Analytics for Fuels and Systems Optimization. DEI and Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or "Company") are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy").
- Q. Describe your responsibilities as Manager of Fuels and Fleet Analytics.
- A. As Manager of Fuels and Fleet Analytics for Fuels and Systems

 Optimization, I oversee the analysis and modeling of energy portfolios for

 Duke Energy Corporation's regulated utility subsidiaries, including DEF, as

24

25

Α.

well as Duke Energy Carolinas ("DEC"), Duke Energy Progress, LLC ("DEP"), DEI, and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc ("DEK"). My responsibilities include oversight of planning and coordination associated with economic system operations, including production cost modeling, outage coordination, dispatch pricing, fuel burn forecasting, position analysis, and commodities analytics.

Q. Please describe your educational background and professional experience.

I earned a Bachelor of Science in Statistics from North Carolina State University in 1995. I have worked with Progress Energy (Carolina Power & Light) and Duke Energy combined since graduating from North Carolina State University in 1995. I started with Carolina Power & Light (CP&L) in the customer service area and then moved into payroll services in 1997. In 1999, I joined the Bulk Power Marketing Department as a Business Analyst and was responsible for data analysis, including load forecast metrics, external market tracking and unit commitment modeling. In 2000, I took the role of Power Scheduler and was responsible for scheduling, confirming and tagging all short-term physical power transactions. In 2005, I was promoted to Portfolio Analyst in the Portfolio Management group. In this role, I was responsible for the short-term seven-day unit commitment plan for Progress Energy Florida, which included load forecast development, generation scheduling, unit commitment and the fuel burn forecast. In 2008, I moved from the short-term seven-day unit commitment responsibilities to the midterm forecasting role and was promoted to Senior Portfolio Analyst. In 2012,

I was promoted to Lead Fuels & Fleet Analyst when Progress Energy merged with Duke Energy. In these roles, I was responsible for the 5-year mid-term forecast for Duke Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Midwest utilities, which are utilized for fuel planning, regulatory fuel filings, and budget development. In December 2019, I became the Manager of Fuels & Fleet Analytics, which is responsible for the mid-term forecast for all Duke Energy Jurisdictions (DEC, DEP, DEI, DEK, and DEF).

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to describe the calculation of DEF's Α. Generating Performance Incentive Factor ("GPIF") reward/(penalty) amount for the period of January through December 2020. This calculation was based on a comparison of the actual performance of DEF's Six (6) GPIF generating units for this period against the approved targets set for these units prior to the actual performance period.

16

17

18

19

20

21

15

Do you have an exhibit to your testimony in this proceeding? Q.

Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit No. (MIL-1T), which consists of the Α. schedules required by the GPIF Implementation Manual to support the development of the incentive amount. This 22-page exhibit is attached to my prepared testimony and includes as its first page an index to the contents of the exhibit.

22 23

24

25

Q. What GPIF incentive amount has been calculated for this period?

A. DEF's calculated GPIF incentive amount is a reward of \$2,657,279. This amount was developed in a manner consistent with the GPIF Implementation Manual. Page 2 of my exhibit shows the system GPIF points and the corresponding reward/(penalty). The summary of weighted incentive points earned by each individual unit can be found on page 4 of my exhibit.

Q. How were the incentive points for equivalent availability and heat rate calculated for the individual GPIF units?

A. The calculation of incentive points was made by comparing the adjusted actual performance data for equivalent availability and heat rate to the target performance indicators for each unit. This comparison is shown on each unit's Generating Performance Incentive Points Table found on pages 9 through 14 of my exhibit.

It should be noted that the "target" Generating Performance Incentive Points

Tables on pages 9 through 14 and the Osprey Estimated Unit Performance

Data on page 21 of DEF's 2020 GPIF Targets and Ranges (Exhibit

No. ___(JBD-1P) filed in Docket 20190001-EI) contained errors related to:

1) the Weighting Factors for Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) and Heat

Rate for all units, 2) the average heat rate target and ranges and associated

fuel savings/losses for Osprey combined cycle ("CC"), and 3) the monthly

operating Btus, heat rate, and heat rate equation for Osprey CC. These

errors, which were the result of a report assembly error, did not affect the GPIF targets approved in Commission Order PSC-2019-0484-FOF-EI.

DEF used the correct EAF and heat rate weighting factors, EAF and heat rate targets and maximum/minimum values, and associated maximum and minimum fuel savings/losses from pages 4 through 7 of "target" Exhibit No. ____(JBD-1P) in the calculation of the GPIF true-up results. As such, a comparison of the "target" and "true-up" Generating Performance Incentive Points Tables and Unit Performance Data tables from their respective exhibits will show deviations due to these errors, but the correct information is documented in "true-up" Exhibit No. ____(MIL-1T) sponsored as part of this testimony.

Q. Why is it necessary to make adjustments to the actual performance data for comparison with the targets?

A. Adjustments to the actual equivalent availability and heat rate data are necessary to allow their comparison with the "target" Point Tables exactly as approved by the Commission. These adjustments are described in the Implementation Manual and are further explained by a Staff memorandum, dated October 23, 1981, directed to the GPIF utilities. The adjustments to actual equivalent availability primarily concern the differences between target and actual planned outage hours, and are shown on page 7 of my exhibit. The heat rate adjustments concern the differences between the target and actual Net Output Factor (NOF), and are shown on page 8. The

methodology for both the equivalent availability and heat rate adjustments are explained in the Staff memorandum.

In addition, the Bartow CC unit had data excluded during the period in which its steam turbine was in a planned outage. The Bartow CC unit has the capability to be operated in simple cycle mode while the steam turbine is in an outage. When operating in simple cycle mode, the unit's heat rate will deviate significantly from its normal range. DEF's heat rate target setting process for the Bartow CC unit excludes historical data from periods when the unit operated in simple cycle mode. From late November until late December 2020 the steam turbine was in a planned outage; during this period the Bartow CC unit was operated in simple cycle. To be consistent with the target setting process, simple cycle mode heat rate data was excluded from actuals for the purposes of calculating the heat rate for the Bartow CC in year 2020 during those times when the unit was being operated in simple cycle mode as the result of a planned outage.

- Q. Have you provided the as-worked planned outage schedules for DEF's GPIF units to support your adjustments to actual equivalent availability?
- A. Yes. Page 21 of my exhibit summarizes the planned outages experienced by DEF's GPIF units during the period. Page 22 presents an as-worked schedule for each individual planned outage.

Duke Energy Florida Docket No. 20210001-EI Witness: Lewter Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T) Page 1 of 22

GPIF REWARD/PENALTY SCHEDULES

<u>Description</u>	<u>Sheet</u>
Index	1
Reward/Penalty Table (Actual)	2
Calculation of Maximum Incentive Dollars (Actual)	3
Calculation of System Actual GPIF Points	4
GPIF Unit Performance Summary	5
Actual Unit Performance Data	6
Adjustments to EAF Actual	7
Adjustments to ANOHR Actual	8
Generating Performance Incentive Points Table	9-14
Actual Unit Performance Data	15-20
Planned Outage Schedules (Actual)	21-22

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 2 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.1

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR

REWARD/PENALTY TABLE

ACTUAL

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

	Generating Performance Incentive Points (GPIF)	_	Sav	Fuel /ings/Loss (\$)		Generating Performance Incentive Factor (\$)
	10	\$;	21,933,791	\$	10,966,895
	9	\$;	19,740,412	\$	9,870,206
	8	\$;	17,547,033	\$	8,773,516
	7	\$		15,353,653	\$	7,676,827
	6	\$		13,160,274	\$	6,580,137
	5	\$;	10,966,895	\$	5,483,448
	4	\$;	8,773,516	\$	4,386,758
	3	\$;	6,580,137	\$	3,290,069
****	2.423	\$		5,314,557	\$	2,657,279
	2	\$;	4,386,758	\$	2,193,379
	1	\$;	2,193,379	\$	1,096,690
	0	\$;	-		-
	-1	\$		(2,654,018)	\$ \$	(1,096,690)
	-2	\$		(5,308,036)	\$	(2,193,379)
	-3	\$;	(7,962,054)	\$	(3,290,069)
	-4	\$;	(10,616,072)	\$	(4,386,758)
	-5	\$;	(13,270,090)	\$	(5,483,448)
	-6	\$;	(15,924,108)	\$	(6,580,137)
	-7	\$;	(18,578,126)	\$	(7,676,827)
	-8	\$;	(21,232,144)	\$	(8,773,516)
	-9	\$;	(23,886,162)	\$	(9,870,206)
	-10	\$;	(26,540,180)	\$	(10,966,895)

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

Suspended: Effective: Docket No.: Order No.:

Filed:

Duke Energy Florida Docket No. 20210001-El Witness: Lewter Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T) Page 3 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.2

GENERATION PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR

CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM ALLOWED INCENTIVE DOLLARS

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

,410	
,418	
,665	
,481	
,515	
,006	
,823	
,584	
,937	
,758	
,734	
,803	
,452	
,402	
,814	
0025	
739%	
,771	
,079 M\	WH
,848 M\	WH
300%	
,312	
,895	
,895	
, , ,	025 39% 771 079 MV 848 MV 000% 312 895

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 4 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.3

GENERATION PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR

CALCULATION OF SYSTEM ACTUAL GPIF POINTS

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

<u>Plant/Unit</u>	Performance Indicator <u>EAF or ANOHR</u>	Weighting Factor %	Unit <u>Points</u>	Weighted <u>Unit Points</u>
Bartow CC	EAF	7.37	10.000	0.737
	ANOHR	30.88	4.736	1.463
Hines 1	EAF	0.73	-3.647	-0.027
	ANOHR	12.12	-0.474	-0.057
Hines 2	EAF	0.11	-10.000	-0.011
	ANOHR	8.83	0.000	0.000
Hines 3	EAF	0.72	-3.468	-0.025
	ANOHR	9.52	0.000	0.000
Hines 4	EAF	3.95	9.065	0.358
	ANOHR	7.34	0.000	0.000
Osprey CC	EAF	2.38	-0.621	-0.015
	ANOHR	16.03	0.000	0.000

GPIF System 100.00 2.423

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 5 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.4

GENERATION PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR GPIF UNIT PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Plant/Unit	Weighting Factor (%)	EAF Target (%)		EAF R Max. (%)	ANGE Min. (%)	Max. Fuel Savings (\$000)	Max. Fuel Loss (\$000)	EAF Adjusted Actual (%)	Estimated Fuel Savings/ Loss (\$000)
Bartow CC	7.37	88.20		92.74	79.17	\$1,617	(\$2,661)	95.52	\$1,617
Hines 1	0.73	87.02		89.01	82.98	\$160	(\$785)	85.55	(\$286)
Hines 2	0.11	90.32		91.15	88.60	\$25	(\$447)	88.19	(\$447)
Hines 3	0.72	93.73		94.89	91.35	\$159	(\$726)	92.91	(\$252)
Hines 4	3.95	83.95		87.02	77.60	\$866	(\$2,199)	86.73	\$785
Osprey CC	2.38	88.14		91.02	82.23	\$521	(\$1,136)	87.78	(\$71)
GPIF System Plant/Unit	15.26 Weighting Factor (%)	ANOHR Target (BTU/KWH)	NOF	ANOHR Min. (Btu/kwh)	RANGE Max. (Btu/kwh)	\$3,347.9 Max. Fuel Savings (\$000)	(\$7,954.3) Max. Fuel Loss (\$000)	ANOHR Adjusted Actual (Btu/kwh)	\$1,346.6 Estimated Fuel Savings/ Loss (\$000)
Davitary 00	00.00	7.000	70.0	7 400	0.000	00.774	(00.774)	7.005	#0.000
Bartow CC	30.88 12.12	7,892	72.9	7,496	8,289	\$6,774	(\$6,774)	7,665	\$3,208
Hines 1 Hines 2	8.83	7,261 7,410	88.0 83.9	6,922 7,159	7,600 7,660	\$2,659 \$1,937	(\$2,659) (\$1,937)	7,348 7,441	(\$126) \$0
Hines 3	9.52	7,410	80.5	7,139	7,000	\$1,937	(\$1,937)	7,441	\$0 \$0
Hines 4	7.34	6,982	91.7	6,801	7,314	\$1,611	(\$2,009)	7,025	\$0 \$0
Osprey CC	16.03	7,291	58.6	6,717	7,102	\$3,517	(\$3,517)	7,336	\$0
	.5.00	.,_0	33.3	5,	,,500	\$5,5.1	(+5,5 11)	.,500	ţ
GPIF System	84.74					\$18,585.9	(\$18,585.9)		\$3,082.0

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

Duke Energy Florida Docket No. 20210001-EI Witness: Lewter Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T) Page 6 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.5

GENERATION PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Plant/Unit	ACTUAL EAF %	ADJUSTMENTS (1) TO EAF %	ADJUSTED ACTUAL EAF %
Bartow CC	92.94	2 58	95.52
Hines 1	85.55	0 00	85.55
Hines 2	82.59	5.61	88.19
Hines 3	96.60	-3.69	92.91
Hines 4	84.36	2 37	86.73
Osprey CC	84.73	3 04	87.78

Plant/Unit	ACTUAL ANOHR BTU/KWH	ADJUSTMENTS (2) TO ANOHR BTU/KWH	ADJUSTED ACTUAL ANOHR BTU/KWH
Bartow CC	7,475.1	189.7	7,664.8
Hines 1	7,467.3	-118.9	7,348.4
Hines 2	7,478.4	-37.5	7,440.9
Hines 3	7,249.4	0.6	7,250.0
Hines 4	7,080.0	-54.7	7,025.2
Osprey CC	7,351.0	-14.9	7,336.2

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

⁽¹⁾ For documentation of adjustments to actual EAF, see sheet 7 of 22.

⁽²⁾ For documentation of adjustments to actual ANOHR, see sheet 8 of 22.

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 7 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.6

GENERATION PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ADJUSTMENTS TO EAF ACTUAL

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

	adjustments for ned Outage Hours		Bartow CC <u>BA4</u>	Hines 1 <u>HN1</u>	Hines 2 <u>HN2</u>	Hines 3 <u>HN3</u>	Hines 4 <u>HN4</u>	Osprey CC <u>OS1</u>
1	Actual POH	Hrs.	412.55	767.99	1,210.29	0.52	1,057.41	791.00
2	Target POH	Hrs.	180.00	768.00	696.00	336.00	840.00	504.00
3	Adj. Factor		1.03	1.00	1.07	0.96	1.03	1.04
	(PH-POHT/PH-POHA))						
4	Actual EUOH	Hrs.	207.89	501.54	319.35	298.49	316.75	550.09
5	Adj. EUOH (3*4)	Hrs.	213.66	501.54	341.03	287.08	325.66	569.84
6	Actual EAF	%	92.94	85.55	82.59	96.60	84.36	84.73
7	Adjusted EAF	%	95.52	85.55	88.19	92.91	86.73	87.78
	(using 2 & 5)							
8	Difference (7-6)	%	2.58	0.00	5.61	-3.69	2.37	3.04
9	Total adj. to EAF	%	2.58	0.00	5.61	-3.69	2.37	3.04

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:
Suspended:
Effective:

Docket No.: Order No.:

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 8 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.7

GENERATION PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ADJUSTMENTS TO ANOHR ACTUAL

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

	HR adjustments for et NOF		Bartow CC <u>BA4</u>	Hines 1 <u>HN1</u>	Hines 2 <u>HN2</u>	Hines 3 <u>HN3</u>	Hines 4 <u>HN4</u>	Osprey CC <u>OS1</u>
1 2	Target NOF Target ANOHR	% Btu/kwh	72.9 7892.1	88.0 7260.9	83.9 7409.6	80.5 7266.2	91.7 6981.5	58.6 7291.2
3	Actual NOF	%	81.2	80.4	80.1	80.6	82.6	72.3
4	Calc. ANOHR (using 3)	Btu/kwh	7,702.3	7,379.8	7,447.1	7,265.5	7,036.3	7,306.1
5	Total adj. to ANOHR (2-4)	Btu/kwh	189.7	-118.9	-37.5	0.6	-54.7	-14.9

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 9 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.8

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Unit: Bartow CC

	Equivalent Availability (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Equivalent Availability (%)	Average Heat Rate (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Average Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	
***	10	\$1,617,134	92.74	10	\$6,773,688	7,495.6	
	10	\$1,617,134	92.74	9	\$6,096,319	7,527.8	
	9	\$1,455,421	92.29	8	\$5,418,950	7,559.9	
	8	\$1,293,707	91.83	7	\$4,741,581	7,592.1	
	7	\$1,131,994	91.38	6	\$4,064,213	7,624.2	
	6	\$970,280	90.93	5	\$3,386,844	7,656.4	
	5	\$808,567	90.47	4.736	\$3,208,018	7,664.8	****
	4	\$646,854	90.02	4	\$2,709,475	7,688.5	
	3	\$485,140	89.56	3	\$2,032,106	7,720.6	
	2	\$323,427	89.11	2	\$1,354,738	7,752.8	
	1	\$161,713	88.66	1	\$677,369	7,784.9	
		\$0	88.20	0	\$0	7,817.1	
	0	\$0	88.20	0	\$0	7,892.1	
		\$0	88.20	0	\$0	7,967.1	
	-1	(\$266,112)	87.30	-1	(\$677,369)	7,999.2	
	-2	(\$532,225)	86.40	-2	(\$1,354,738)	8,031.4	
	-3	(\$798,337)	85.49	-3	(\$2,032,106)	8,063.5	
	-4	(\$1,064,450)	84.59	-4	(\$2,709,475)	8,095.6	
	-5	(\$1,330,562)	83.69	-5	(\$3,386,844)	8,127.8	
	-6	(\$1,596,675)	82.78	-6	(\$4,064,213)	8,159.9	
	-7	(\$1,862,787)	81.88	-7	(\$4,741,581)	8,192.1	
	-8	(\$2,128,899)	80.98	-8	(\$5,418,950)	8,224.2	
	-9	(\$2,395,012)	80.07	-9	(\$6,096,319)	8,256.4	
	-10	(\$2,661,124)	79.17	-10	(\$6,773,688)	8,288.5	

Equivalent Availability Heat Rate
Weighting Factor:(1)
Weighting Factor:(1)
7.37%
Weighting Factor:(1)
30.88%

(1) Corrected Weighting Factors, as described in DEF Witness Lewter's March 16, 2021 testimony

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 10 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.9

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Unit: Hines 1

Equiv Availa (Poil 	ability	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Equivalent Availability (%)	Average Heat Rate (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Average Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	
	10	¢150.005	90.01	10	\$2.650.112	6 021 0	
		\$159,805	89.01 88.81	10	\$2,659,112	6,921.9	
	9	\$143,825		9	\$2,393,201	6,948.3	
	8	\$127,844	88.61	8	\$2,127,289	6,974.7	
	7	\$111,864	88.41	7	\$1,861,378	7,001.1	
	6	\$95,883	88.21	6	\$1,595,467	7,027.5	
	5	\$79,903	88.01	5	\$1,329,556	7,053.9	
	4	\$63,922	87.82	4	\$1,063,645	7,080.3	
	3	\$47,942	87.62	3	\$797,734	7,106.7	
	2	\$31,961	87.42	2	\$531,822	7,133.1	
	1	\$15,981	87.22	1	\$265,911	7,159.5	
		\$0	87.02	0	\$0	7,185.9	
	0	\$0	87.02	0	\$0	7,260.9	
		\$0	87.02	0	\$0	7,335.9	
	-1	(\$78,466)	86.62	-0.474	(\$126,042)	7,348.4	****
	-2	(\$156,933)	86.21	-1	(\$265,911)	7,362.3	
	-3	(\$235,399)	85.81	-2	(\$531,822)	7,388.7	
***	-3.647	(\$286,166)	85.55	-3	(\$797,734)	7,415.1	
	-4	(\$313,865)	85.40	-4	(\$1,063,645)	7,441.5	
	-5	(\$392,331)	85.00	-5	(\$1,329,556)	7,467.9	
	-6	(\$470,798)	84.60	-6	(\$1,595,467)	7,494.3	
	-7	(\$549,264)	84.19	-7	(\$1,861,378)	7,520.7	
	-8	(\$627,730)	83.79	-8	(\$2,127,289)	7,547.1	
	-9	(\$706,196)	83.38	-9	(\$2,393,201)	7,573.5	
	-10	(\$784,663)	82.98	-10	(\$2,659,112)	7,600.0	

Equivalent Availability

Weighting Factor:(1)

0.73%

Heat Rate

Weighting Factor:(1)

12.12%

(1) Corrected Weighting Factors, as described in DEF Witness Lewter's March 16, 2021 testimony

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 11 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.10

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Unit: Hines 2

Equivalent Availability (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Equivalent Availability (%)	Average Heat Rate (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Average Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	
10	\$24,620	91.15	10	\$1,936,965	7,159.2	
9	\$22,158	91.07	9	\$1,743,269	7,176.7	
8	\$19,696	90.98	8	\$1,549,572	7,194.3	
7	\$17,234	90.90	7	\$1,355,876	7,211.8	
6	\$14,772	90.82	6	\$1,162,179	7,229.4	
5	\$12,310	90.74	5	\$968,483	7,246.9	
4	\$9,848	90.65	4	\$774,786	7,264.4	
3	\$7,386	90.57	3	\$581,090	7,282.0	
2	\$4,924	90.49	2	\$387,393	7,299.5	
1	\$2,462	90.40	1	\$193,697	7,317.1	
	\$0	90.32	0	\$0	7,334.6	
0	\$0	90.32	0.000	\$0	7,440.9	****
	\$0	90.32	0	\$0	7,409.6	
-1	(\$44,740)	90.15	0	\$0	7,484.6	
-2	(\$89,481)	89.98	-1	(\$193,697)	7,502.2	
-3	(\$134,221)	89.80	-2	(\$387,393)	7,519.7	
-4	(\$178,962)	89.63	-3	(\$581,090)	7,537.2	
-5	(\$223,702)	89.46	-4	(\$774,786)	7,554.8	
-6	(\$268,442)	89.29	-5	(\$968,483)	7,572.3	
-7	(\$313,183)	89.12	-6	(\$1,162,179)	7,589.9	
-8	(\$357,923)	88.95	-7	(\$1,355,876)	7,607.4	
-9	(\$402,664)	88.77	-8	(\$1,549,572)	7,625.0	
-10	(\$447,404)	88.60	-9	(\$1,743,269)	7,642.5	
-10	(\$447,404)	88.60	-10	(\$1,936,965)	7,660.0	

Equivalent Availability
Weighting Factor:(1)
Weighting Factor:(1)

----0.11%
Heat Rate
Weighting Factor:(1)

------8.83%

(1) Corrected Weighting Factors, as described in DEF Witness Lewter's March 16, 2021 testimony

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 12 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.11

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Unit: Hines 3

	Equivalent Availability (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Equivalent Availability (%)	Average Heat Rate (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Average Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	
	10	\$158,699	94.89	10	\$2,088,568	7,018.6	
	9	\$142,829	94.77	9	\$1,879,711	7,035.9	
	8	\$126,959	94.66	8	\$1,670,854	7,053.1	
	7	\$111,089	94.54	7	\$1,461,997	7,070.4	
	6	\$95,219	94.43	6	\$1,253,141	7,087.6	
	5	\$79,349	94.31	5	\$1,044,284	7,104.9	
	4	\$63,479	94.19	4	\$835,427	7,122.1	
	3	\$47,610	94.08	3	\$626,570	7,139.4	
	2	\$31,740	93.96	2	\$417,714	7,156.6	
	1	\$15,870	93.85	1	\$208,857	7,173.9	
		\$0	93.73	0	\$0	7,191.2	
	0	\$0	93.73	0.000	\$0	7,250.0	****
		\$0	93.73	0	\$0	7,266.2	
	-1	(\$72,598)	93.50	0	\$0	7,341.2	
	-2	(\$145,197)	93.26	-1	(\$208,857)	7,358.4	
	-3	(\$217,795)	93.02	-2	(\$417,714)	7,375.7	
****	-3.468	(\$251,771)	92.91	-3	(\$626,570)	7,392.9	
	-4	(\$290,393)	92.78	-4	(\$835,427)	7,410.2	
	-5	(\$362,991)	92.54	-5	(\$1,044,284)	7,427.4	
	-6	(\$435,590)	92.30	-6	(\$1,253,141)	7,444.7	
	-7	(\$508,188)	92.06	-7	(\$1,461,997)	7,461.9	
	-8	(\$580,786)	91.83	-8	(\$1,670,854)	7,479.2	
	-9	(\$653,384)	91.59	-9	(\$1,879,711)	7,496.4	
	-10	(\$725,983)	91.35	-10	(\$2,088,568)	7,513.7	

Equivalent Availability Heat Rate
Weighting Factor:(1)
Weighting Factor:(1)

0.72%
9.52%

(1) Corrected Weighting Factors, as described in DEF Witness Lewter's March 16, 2021 testimony

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 13 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.12

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Unit: Hines 4

	Equivalent Availability (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Equivalent Availability (%)	Average Heat Rate (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Average Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	
	10	\$866,344	87.02	10	\$1,610,787	6,801.1	
***	9.065	\$785,341	86.73	9	\$1,449,708	6,811.7	
	9	\$779,709	86.71	8	\$1,288,630	6,822.2	
	8	\$693,075	86.40	7	\$1,127,551	6,832.8	
	7	\$606,441	86.10	6	\$966,472	6,843.3	
	6	\$519,806	85.79	5	\$805,393	6,853.8	
	5	\$433,172	85.49	4	\$644,315	6,864.4	
	4	\$346,537	85.18	3	\$483,236	6,874.9	
	3	\$259,903	84.87	2	\$322,157	6,885.5	
	2	\$173,269	84.57	1	\$161,079	6,896.0	
	1	\$86,634	84.26	0	\$0	6,906.5	
		\$0	83.95	0.000	\$0	7,025.2	****
	0	\$0	83.95	0	\$0	6,981.5	
		\$0	83.95	0	\$0	7,056.5	
	-1	(\$219,873)	83.32	-1	(\$161,079)	7,067.1	
	-2	(\$439,746)	82.68	-2	(\$322,157)	7,077.6	
	-3	(\$659,619)	82.05	-3	(\$483,236)	7,088.1	
	-4	(\$879,491)	81.41	-4	(\$644,315)	7,098.7	
	-5	(\$1,099,364)	80.78	-5	(\$805,393)	7,109.2	
	-6	(\$1,319,237)	80.14	-6	(\$966,472)	7,119.8	
	-7	(\$1,539,110)	79.51	-7	(\$1,127,551)	7,130.3	
	-8	(\$1,758,983)	78.87	-8	(\$1,288,630)	7,140.8	
	-9	(\$1,978,856)	78.24	-9	(\$1,449,708)	7,151.4	
	-10	(\$2,198,729)	77.60	-10	(\$1,610,787)	7,161.9	

Equivalent Availability	Heat Rate
Weighting Factor:(1)	Weighting Factor:(1)
3.95%	7.34%

(1) Corrected Weighting Factors, as described in DEF Witness Lewter's March 16, 2021 testimony

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed: Suspended:

Effective:
Docket No.:
Order No.:

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 14 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101.13

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE POINTS TABLE

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Unit: Osprey CC

	Equivalent Availability (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (\$)	Equivalent Availability (%)	Average Heat Rate (Points)	Fuel Savings/Loss (1) (\$)	Average Heat Rate (1) (BTU/KWH)	
	10	\$521,293	91.02	10	\$3,516,776	6,716.5	
	9	\$469,164	90.73	9	\$3,165,098	6,766.5	
	8	\$417,035	90.75	8	\$2,813,421	6,816.5	
	7	\$364,905	90.45	7	\$2,461,743	6,866.4	
	6	\$312,776	89.87	6	\$2,110,066	6,916.4	
	5	\$260,647	89.58	5	\$1,758,388	6,966.4	
	4	\$208.517	89.29	4	\$1,406,710	7,016.3	
	3	\$156,388	89.01	3	\$1,055,033	7,016.3	
	2	\$104,259	88.72	2	\$703,355	7,116.3	
	1	\$52,129	88.43	1	\$351,678	7,116.3	
	'	\$0	88.14	0	\$0	7,100.3	
	0	\$0 \$0	88.14	0.000	\$0 \$0	7,336.2 ***	*
	U	\$0 \$0	88.14	0.000	\$0 \$0	7,330.2	
***	-0.621	(\$70,569)	87.77	0	\$0 \$0	7,366.2	
	-0.021	(\$113,638)	87.55	-1	(\$351,678)	7,416.2	
	-2	(\$227,276)	86.96	-2	(\$703,355)	7,466.2	
	-3	(\$340,915)	86.37	-3	(\$1,055,033)	7,516.1	
	-4	(\$454,553)	85.78	-4	(\$1,406,710)	7,566.1	
	-5	(\$568,191)	85.19	-5	(\$1,758,388)	7,616.1	
	-6	(\$681,829)	84.60	-6	(\$2,110,066)	7,666.0	
	-7	(\$795,467)	84.01	-7	(\$2,461,743)	7,716.0	
	-8	(\$909,106)	83.42	-8	(\$2,813,421)	7,766.0	
	-9	(\$1,022,744)	82.82	-9	(\$3,165,098)	7,816.0	
	-10	(\$1,136,382)	82.23	-10	(\$3,516,776)	7,865.9	

Equivalent Availability	Heat Rate
Weighting Factor:(1)	Weighting Factor:(1)
2.38%	16.03%

(1) Corrected Weighting Factors, Fuel Savings/Loss and Average Heat Rate ranges as described in DEF Witness Lewter's March 16, 2021 testimony

Filed:
Issued by: Duke Energy Florida
Suspended:

Effective:
Docket No.:
Order No.:

ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA

Duke Energy Florida

Bartow CC	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-Dec Period
1. EAF	83.93	99.00	94.62	95.42	98.18	100.00	99.70	99.14	99.78	99.34	94.76	52.35	92.94
2. PH	744	696	743	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	721	744	8,784
3. SH	615.8	621.1	661.0	549.1	654.2	691.9	742.6	730.4	669.5	699.5	566.6	339.5	7,130.4
4. RSH	8.6	69.0	43.2	137.9	78.5	28.1	0.1	7.2	49.0	39.6	116.7	50.0	627.8
5. UH	119.6	5.9	38.9	33.0	11.4	0.0	1.3	6.4	1.6	4.9	37.8	354.5	615.2
6. POH	119.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.0	259.3	412.6
7. FOH	0.4	5.7	34.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	6.4	1.6	4.9	3.7	95.2	155.4
8. MOH	0.0	0.3	4.4	31.3	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.2
9. PPOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. LR PP (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. PFOH	0.0	11.2	11.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	19.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.6
12. LR PF (MW)	0.0	108.2	108.2	0.0	105.5	0.0	55.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.4
13. PMOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7
14. LR PM (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	114.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	114.2
15. NSC (MW)	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144
16. OPER MBTU	4,050,994	4,286,777	4,724,966	3,333,386	4,344,128	4,836,805	5,246,371	5,034,287	4,527,059	4,927,283	3,459,135	736,103	49,507,294
17. NET GEN (MWH)	555,214	552,497	602,801	443,687	577,023	653,418	715,825	685,700	612,742	666,148	459,555	98,348	6,622,958
18. ANOHR (BTU/KWH)	7,296.3	7,758.9	7,838.4	7,512.9	7,528.5	7,402.3	7,329.1	7,341.8	7,388.2	7,396.7	7,527.1	7,484.7	7,475.1
19. NOF (%)	78.81	77.75	79.72	70.64	77.11	82.55	84.26	82.06	80.01	83.25	75.25	69.24	81.19
20. NPC (MW)	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144	1,144
ANOHR EQUATION:	ANOHR=	-22.758	x NOF +	9,550.10									

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA

Duke Energy Florida

Hines 1	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-Dec Period
1. EAF	98.88	88.34	95.76	82.92	93.59	73.83	100.00	98.12	98.74	6.93	89.74	100.00	85.55
2. PH	744	696	743	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	721	744	8,784
3. SH	259.0	575.2	654.6	573.3	632.1	531.6	744.0	710.2	688.9	3.6	647.0	744.0	6,763.5
4. RSH	476.7	42.3	57.4	24.0	64.3	0.0	0.0	19.8	23.6	48.0	0.0	0.0	756.0
5. UH	8.3	78.5	31.0	122.7	47.7	188.4	0.0	14.0	7.5	692.4	74.0	0.0	1,264.5
6. POH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	692.4	74.0	0.0	766.4
7. FOH	8.3	61.4	31.0	1.7	11.4	188.4	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	303.9
8. MOH	0.0	17.1	0.0	121.0	36.3	0.0	0.0	12.3	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	194.2
9. PPOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3
10. LR PP (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.8
11. PFOH	0.0	16.6	5.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.7
12. LR PF (MW)	0.0	77.0	49.5	82.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.6
13. PMOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. LR PM (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15. NSC (MW)	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490
16. OPER MBTU	780,815	1,648,431	1,880,686	1,642,991	1,823,994	1,556,416	2,249,479	2,047,183	1,993,767	6,060	1,815,239	2,463,886	19,908,947
17. NET GEN (MWH)	102,818	215,761	250,559	219,501	240,760	205,204	300,649	275,796	262,778	316	245,508	346,508	2,666,158
18. ANOHR (BTU/KWH)	7,594.1	7,640.1	7,506.0	7,485.1	7,576.0	7,584.7	7,482.1	7,422.8	7,587.3	19,178.0	7,393.8	7,110.6	7,467.3
19. NOF (%)	81.02	76.55	78.12	78.13	77.74	78.78	82.47	79.25	77.84	18.01	77.44	95.05	80.45
20. NPC (MW)	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490	490
ANOHR EQUATION:	ANOHR=	-15.806	x NOF +	8,651.35									

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA

Duke Energy Florida

Hines 2	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-Dec Period
1. EAF	100.00	94.56	0.00	29.43	81.90	86.67	99.97	99.96	99.92	100.00	98.76	100.00	82.59
2. PH	744	696	743	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	721	744	8,784
3. SH	703.6	559.2	0.0	246.4	578.7	623.3	744.0	744.0	671.6	709.7	639.9	634.0	6,854.4
4. RSH	40.5	99.0	0.0	12.9	40.2	17.2	0.0	0.0	48.4	34.3	78.9	110.0	481.2
5. UH	0.0	37.9	743.0	460.6	125.1	79.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	1,448.4
6. POH	0.0	24.2	743.0	442.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,209.5
7. FOH	0.0	6.9	0.0	18.3	26.1	26.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	79.8
8. MOH	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	99.0	53.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	159.1
9. PPOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0
10. LR PP (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.2	0.0	59.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.6
11. PFOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	165.1	41.7	91.1	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	47.9	0.0	346.9
12. LR PF (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	150.2	120.1	94.7	0.0	137.1	0.0	0.0	72.8	0.0	121.3
13. PMOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
14. LR PM (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.1
15. NSC (MW)	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524
16. OPER MBTU	2,149,462	1,871,576	0	682,347	1,638,690	1,955,733	2,438,251	2,356,982	2,088,637	2,347,799	1,964,082	2,033,845	21,527,405
17. NET GEN (MWH)	290,864	252,437	0	89,489	214,825	257,381	324,395	317,245	279,715	317,919	261,788	272,556	2,878,614
18. ANOHR (BTU/KWH)	7,389.9	7,414.0	0.0	7,624.9	7,628.0	7,598.6	7,516.3	7,429.5	7,467.0	7,384.9	7,502.6	7,462.1	7,478.4
19. NOF (%)	78.90	86.15	0.00	69.30	70.84	78.80	83.21	81.37	79.48	85.49	78.08	82.04	80.15
20. NPC (MW)	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524	524
ANOHR EQUATION:	ANOHR=	-10.130	x NOF +	8,259.03									

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA

Duke Energy Florida

Hines 3	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-Dec Period
1. EAF	100.00	99.52	100.00	99.65	77.43	99.65	94.83	92.04	100.00	99.94	96.57	100.00	96.60
2. PH	744	696	743	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	721	744	8,784
3. SH	460.1	460.2	726.7	656.0	521.0	714.9	678.2	657.6	633.6	725.2	612.8	652.4	7,498.6
4. RSH	284.0	233.0	16.3	61.5	55.1	3.1	28.8	27.2	86.4	18.7	83.5	91.6	989.0
5. UH	0.0	2.8	0.0	2.5	167.9	2.0	37.1	59.2	0.0	0.1	24.7	0.0	296.4
6. POH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. FOH	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	54.8	2.0	25.5	55.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	140.5
8. MOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	113.1	0.0	11.6	4.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0	155.9
9. PPOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	6.3
10. LR PP (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.4	56.2	0.0	0.0	35.9	0.0	0.0	42.4
11. PFOH	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.5
12. LR PF (MW)	0.0	61.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.1	88.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.6
13. PMOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. LR PM (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15. NSC (MW)	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515
16. OPER MBTU	1,364,781	1,411,103	2,170,967	1,926,864	1,459,758	2,191,328	2,044,783	1,974,022	1,869,332	2,326,090	1,798,283	2,016,270	22,553,582
17. NET GEN (MWH)	181,972	196,056	299,188	266,592	197,411	307,369	281,736	265,713	258,487	326,980	247,938	281,660	3,111,102
18. ANOHR (BTU/KWH)	7,499.9	7,197.5	7,256.2	7,227.8	7,394.5	7,129.3	7,257.8	7,429.2	7,231.8	7,113.9	7,253.0	7,158.5	7,249.4
19. NOF (%)	76.81	82.72	79.95	78.92	73.57	83.48	80.67	78.47	79.21	87.55	78.56	83.83	80.56
20. NPC (MW)	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515	515
ANOHR EQUATION:	ANOHR=	-18.685	x NOF +	8,770.80									

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA

Duke Energy Florida

Hines 4	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-Dec Period
1. EAF	99.14	100.00	94.34	94.07	99.85	100.00	83.67	90.96	99.44	98.64	17.61	34.81	84.36
2. PH	744	696	743	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	721	744	8,784
3. SH	720.9	648.9	663.2	556.2	697.4	720.0	618.7	654.7	696.7	728.4	72.3	224.3	7,001.6
4. RSH	17.6	47.1	38.2	121.2	46.6	0.0	3.8	22.0	23.3	5.5	54.7	34.8	414.7
5. UH	5.6	0.0	41.7	42.6	0.0	0.0	121.5	67.3	0.0	10.1	594.0	485.0	1,367.7
6. POH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	594.0	462.3	1,056.3
7. FOH	5.6	0.0	41.7	42.6	0.0	0.0	121.5	67.3	0.0	10.1	0.0	22.7	311.4
8. MOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9. PPOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0
10. LR PP (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.1
11. PFOH	5.6	0.0	3.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.2
12. LR PF (MW)	78.1	0.0	62.4	89.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.6
13. PMOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0
14. LR PM (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.0
15. NSC (MW)	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516
16. OPER MBTU	2,200,579	1,936,502	1,918,280	1,634,032	2,088,963	2,248,109	1,886,386	1,930,518	2,072,197	2,373,395	226,338	614,721	21,130,019
17. NET GEN (MWH)	317,533	278,017	267,248	228,911	295,448	317,783	264,962	272,211	289,926	334,914	31,514	86,004	2,984,471
18. ANOHR (BTU/KWH)	6,930.2	6,965.4	7,177.9	7,138.3	7,070.5	7,074.4	7,119.5	7,092.0	7,147.3	7,086.6	7,182.1	7,147.6	7,080.0
19. NOF (%)	85.37	83.03	78.10	79.76	82.10	85.54	82.99	80.58	80.65	89.11	84.47	74.33	82.61
20. NPC (MW)	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516	516
ANOHR EQUATION:	ANOHR=	-6.017	x NOF +	7,533.33									

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

ACTUAL UNIT PERFORMANCE DATA

Duke Energy Florida

Osprey CC	Jan-20	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20	May-20	Jun-20	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Oct-20	Nov-20	Dec-20	Jan-Dec Period
1. EAF	99.53	96.55	0.00	54.31	100.00	100.00	100.00	99.45	98.44	98.11	98.51	72.92	84.73
2. PH	744	696	743	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	721	744	8,784
3. SH	194.4	47.3	0.0	286.4	650.7	644.9	672.7	662.1	637.5	675.5	648.9	509.5	5,629.9
4. RSH	546.7	624.7	0.0	104.6	93.3	75.1	71.3	81.9	82.0	65.5	72.1	55.9	1,873.1
5. UH	2.8	24.0	743.0	329.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	3.1	0.0	178.6	1,281.0
6. POH	0.0	24.0	743.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	791.0
7. FOH	0.6	0.0	0.0	305.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	3.1	0.0	3.5	312.7
8. MOH	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	175.1	177.3
9. PPOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. LR PP (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. PFOH	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	130.6	339.4	347.7	339.9	266.4	1,427.6
12. LR PF (MW)	111.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.4	49.9	24.5
13. PMOH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. LR PM (MW)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15. NSC (MW)	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582
16. OPER MBTU	541,636	110,673	0	790,816	2,063,929	2,031,821	2,147,031	2,088,278	1,939,717	2,127,754	2,022,465	1,555,299	17,419,420
17. NET GEN (MWH)	71,968	14,619	0	93,205	282,305	276,486	292,433	284,360	267,137	292,801	279,430	214,912	2,369,656
18. ANOHR (BTU/KWH)	7,526.1	7,570.5	0.0	8,484.7	7,311.0	7,348.7	7,342.0	7,343.8	7,261.1	7,266.9	7,237.8	7,236.9	7,351.0
19. NOF (%)	63.60	53.12	0.00	55.91	74.55	73.67	74.69	73.79	72.00	74.48	73.99	72.48	72.32
20. NPC (MW)	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582	582
ANOHR EQUATION: (1)	ANOHR=	1.086	x NOF +	7,227.56									

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida

(1) Corrected ANOHR equation, as described in DEF Witness Lewter's March 16, 2021 testimony

Duke Energy Florida
Docket No. 20210001-EI
Witness: Lewter
Exhibit No.____ (MIL-1T)
Page 21 of 22

Original Sheet No. 6.101 20

PLANNED OUTAGE SCHEDULES ACTUAL

Duke Energy Florida January 2020 - December 2020

Plant/Unit	Planned Outage Dates	Reason for Outage
Bartow CC	01/01 (0000) - 01/29 (1139)	4B: Major GasTurbine Overhaul; Fas Fuel System with Controls and Instrumentation
Bartow CC	11/28 (0014) - 12/13 (1040)	4A: Gas Turbine - Boroscope Inspection
Bartow CC	11/28 (0054) - 12/23 (0558)	4S: Inspection
Bartow CC	12/12 (2340) - 12/23 (2123)	4C:Gas Turbine - Boroscope Inspection
Hines 1	10/03 (0000) - 11/04 (1337)	General Gas Turbine Unit Inspection, Exciter Commutator & Brushes, Gas Fuel System w/ Controls & Instruments
Hines 2	02/28 (2343) - 04/19 (1317)	General Gas Turbine Unit Inspection
Hines 4	11/06 (0600) - 12/23 (1349)	Gas & Steam Turbine Control Systems - Upgrades
Osprey CC	02/29 (0000) - 04/02 (0000)	General Gas Turbine Unit & Boroscope Inspection

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:

				Р	lanned Ou	ıtage Sche	dule - Actu	al				
January 2020 - December 2020												
	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
Bartow CC	Major Gas Turbine 28 days	Overhaull 1/29									Gas & Steam 11/28	Turbine Inspection 12/2 26 days
Hines 1									General 10/3	Gas Turbine Inspe	ection 11/4	
Hines 2		2/28	General Gas Turbin 51 da	4/19								
Hines 3												
Hines 4											Turbine Control S 1/6 47 da	12/2
Osprey CC		General Gas T 2/29	urbine & Boroscop	e Inspection 4/2								

Issued by: Duke Energy Florida Filed:
Suspended:
Effective:

Docket No.: Order No.: