

March 30, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Duke Energy Florida, LLC's Solar Plant Operation Status Report Docket No. 20210007-EI

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") is DEF's Solar Plant Operation Status Report for the month of February 2021, in accordance with Paragraph 15a. of the 2017 Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement, which was approved in Order No. PSC-2017-0451-AS-EU, dated November 20, 2017.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (727) 820-4692 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dianne M. Triplett

Dianne M. Triplett

DMT/cmk Enclosures



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic mail to the following this 30th day of March, 2021.

	/s/ Dianne M. Triplett Attorney
Charles Murphy	Charles Rehwinkel
Office of General Counsel	Office of Public Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission	c/o The Florida Legislature
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.	111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us	rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
J. Beasley / J. Wahlen / M. Means	Paula K. Brown
Ausley McMullen	Tampa Electric Company
P.O. Box 391	Regulatory Affairs
Tallahassee, FL 32302	P.O. Box 111
jbeasley@ausley.com	Tampa, FL 33601
jwahlen@ausley.com	regdept@tecoenergy.com
mmeans@ausley.com	
	James W. Brew / Laura W. Baker
Steven R. Griffin	Stone Law Firm
Beggs Law Firm	1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
P.O. Box 12950	Eighth Floor, West Tower
Pensacola, FL 32591	Washington, DC 20007
srg@beggslane.com	jbrew@smxblaw.com
	<u>lwb@smxblaw.com</u>
Russell A. Badders	
Gulf Power Company	Kenneth Hoffman
One Energy Place, Bin 100	Florida Power & Light Company
Pensacola, FL 32520-0100	134 W. Jefferson Street
russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com	Tallahassee, FL 32301-1713
	ken.hoffman@fpl.com
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.	
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.	Maria Moncada
118 North Gadsden Street	700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB)
Tallahassee, FL 32301	Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
jmoyle@moylelaw.com	maria.moncada@fpl.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com	

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting

February, 2021

		AVERAGE HOURLY NET OUTPUT (MWh)				
Hour of Da	System Firm Demand (MW)	Hamilton	Lake Placid	Trenton	Columbia	Debary
1	3,388.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 2	3,215.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 3	3,140.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 4	3,116.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5 5	3,191.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	3,426.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	3,818.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	4,166.4	3.0	2.8	3.3	3.8	3.4
9	4,334.0	23.1	17.3	24.0	22.4	17.8
10	4,375.8	34.4	26.7	37.4	35.5	34.0
11	4,414.2	39.0	28.3	45.2	44.9	47.6
12	4,483.1	43.1	30.9	49.6	50.8	53.6
13	4,588.6	42.3	30.2	49.1	47.0	55.3
14	4,673.1	41.4	31.2	47.0	46.0	52.2
15	4,758.3	39.2	30.5	43.9	42.6	48.2
16	4,834.4	36.4	28.0	40.8	39.5	34.4
17	4,920.0	31.9	19.1	35.2	34.4	22.5
18	4,983.8	14.0	6.2	14.6	15.0	6.6
19	5,105.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.0
20	5,048.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21	4,779.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
22	4,464.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
23	4,088.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24	3,709.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting PERIOD: <u>February, 2021</u>

	Facility	Net Capability (MW)	Net Generation (MWh)	Capacity Factor (%)	Percent of Total Generation (%)	Total System Net Generation (MWh)
1	HAMILTON	74.9	9,739	19.3%	0.4%	2,737,966
1	LAKE PLACID	45.0	7,032	23.3%	0.3%	
1	TRENTON	74.9	10,934	21.7%	0.4%	
1	COLUMBIA	74.9	10,701	21.3%	0.4%	
1	DEBARY	74.5	10,517	21.0%	0.4%	
2	Total:	344.2	48,923	21.2%	1.8%	

[Facility	Natural Gas Displaced (MCF)	Cost of NG (\$/MCF)	Oil Displaced (Bbls)	Cost of Oil (\$/Bbl)	Coal Displaced (Tons)	Cost of Coal (\$/Ton)
3	HAMILTON	79,105	\$5.41	752	\$80.85	107	\$35.21
3	LAKE PLACID	57,118	\$5.41	543	\$80.85	77	\$35.21
3	TRENTON	88,812	\$5.41	844	\$80.85	120	\$35.21
3	COLUMBIA	86,919	\$5.41	826	\$80.85	118	\$35.21
3	DEBARY	85,425	\$5.41	812	\$80.85	116	\$35.21
4	Total:	397,379	\$2,151,070	3,776	\$305,259	539	\$18,975

	Facility	CO2 Reductions (Tons)	NOx Reduction (Tons)	SO2 Reduction (Tons)	Hg Reduction (Ibs)
5	HAMILTON	5,367	0	0	0
5	LAKE PLACID	3,875	0	0	0
5	TRENTON	6,026	0	0	0
5	COLUMBIA	5,897	0	0	0
5	DEBARY	5,796	0	0	0
6	Total:	26,961	2	1	0

	Facility	O&M (\$)	Carrying Costs (\$)	Capital (\$)	Other (\$)	Fuel Costs (\$)	Total Cost of Generation (\$)
7	HAMILTON	\$74,815	\$690,046	\$304,534	\$16,388	\$0	\$1,085,783
7	LAKE PLACID	\$30,390	\$375,257	\$164,644	\$8,683	\$0	\$578,973
7	TRENTON	\$37,795	\$618,785	\$271,797	\$17,550	\$0	\$945,927
7	COLUMBIA	\$37,404	\$693,474	\$292,446	\$17,645	\$0	\$1,040,968
7	DEBARY	\$31,554	\$563,715	\$243,789	\$14,286	\$0	\$853,344
8	Total:	\$211,958	\$2,941,277	\$1,277,208	\$74,552	\$0	\$4,504,996

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Actual Data for Solar Generation Facilities Solar Base Rate Adjustment (SoBRA) Reporting YEAR-TO-DATE THROUGH: <u>February, 2021</u>

	Facility	Net Capability (MW)	Net Generation (MWh)	Capacity Factor (%)	Percent of Total Generation (%)	Total System Net Generation (MWh)
1	HAMILTON	74.9	19,466	18.4%	0.3%	5,674,576
1	LAKE PLACID	45.0	13,652	21.4%	0.2%	
1	TRENTON	74.9	20,840	19.6%	0.4%	
1	COLUMBIA	74.9	20,681	19.5%	0.4%	
1	DEBARY	74.5	21,094	20.0%	0.4%	
2	Total:	344.2	95,733	19.6%	1.7%	

	Facility	Natural Gas Displaced (MCF)	Cost of NG (\$/MCF)	Oil Displaced (Bbls)	Cost of Oil (\$/Bbl)	Coal Displaced (Tons)	Cost of Coal (\$/Ton)
3	HAMILTON	136,711	\$4.31	752	\$80.85	1,031	\$34.76
3	LAKE PLACID	96,323	\$4.35	543	\$80.85	706	\$34.76
3	TRENTON	147,478	\$4.38	844	\$80.85	1,061	\$34.76
3	COLUMBIA	146,023	\$4.36	826	\$80.85	1,066	\$34.76
3	DEBARY	148,064	\$4.31	812	\$80.85	1,120	\$34.76
4	Total:	674,599	\$2,928,563	3,776	\$305,259	4,985	\$173,275

	Facility	CO2 Reductions (Tons)	NOx Reduction (Tons)	SO2 Reduction (Tons)	Hg Reduction (lbs)
5	HAMILTON	10,740	1	1	0
5	LAKE PLACID	7,532	1	1	0
5	TRENTON	11,497	1	1	0
5	COLUMBIA	11,410	1	1	0
5	DEBARY	11,638	1	1	0
6	Total:	52,817	5	5	0

	Facility	O&M (\$)	Carrying Costs (\$)	Capital (\$)	Other (\$)	Fuel Costs (\$)	Total Cost of Generation (\$)
7	HAMILTON	\$464,498	\$1,382,261	\$609,067	\$32,776	\$0	\$2,488,602
7	LAKE PLACID	\$216,985	\$751,662	\$329,287	\$17,366	\$0	\$1,315,300
7	TRENTON	\$98,545	\$1,239,103	\$543,307	\$35,100	\$0	\$1,916,055
7	COLUMBIA	\$73,513	\$1,388,905	\$584,891	\$35,290	\$0	\$2,082,599
7	DEBARY	\$103,598	\$1,129,382	\$487,436	\$28,572	\$0	\$1,748,989
8	Total:	\$957,139	\$5,891,313	\$2,553,989	\$149,104	\$0	\$9,551,546