

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for designation as eligible  
telecommunications carrier (ETC) in the State  
of Florida, by AB Indiana LLC.

DOCKET NO. 20210110-TP  
ORDER NO. PSC-2021-0248-PAA-TP  
ISSUED: July 9, 2021

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

GARY F. CLARK, Chairman  
ART GRAHAM  
ANDREW GILES FAY  
MIKE LA ROSA  
GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO

NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION  
ORDER FINDING LACK OF JURISDICTION OVER AB INDIANA LLC'S  
ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER APPLICATION

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

**Background**

On June 7, 2021, AB Indiana LLC (AB Indiana or Company) filed a petition with this Commission seeking designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) to receive Rural Digital Opportunity Fund (RDOF) support.<sup>1</sup> AB Indiana is a fixed broadband and voice over internet protocol (VoIP) service provider. On December 7, 2020, AB Indiana LLC was selected as one of the winning bidders of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) RDOF auction.

The RDOF is a form of high-cost support and is funded through the federal Universal Service Fund (USF). The FCC's RDOF initiative allocates up to \$20.4 billion through a two-phase competitive auction to help connect millions of unserved rural homes and small businesses to high-speed broadband. Phase I of the auction will provide up to \$16 billion to be used over a period of 10 years to service providers that commit to offer voice and broadband services to

---

<sup>1</sup> AB Indiana filed an amended petition on June 21, 2021, in which the Company removed its request for a Declaratory Statement.

fixed locations in eligible unserved high-cost census blocks.<sup>2</sup> In Florida, a total of 11 bidders were selected to receive approximately \$192 million of high-cost support in phase I.<sup>3</sup> AB Indiana will receive \$668,000 in phase I to be used in specified census blocks in Florida.<sup>4</sup>

An ETC designation is a requirement for telecommunications carriers to receive USF support for the Lifeline and High-Cost programs. The Lifeline program enables low-income households to obtain and maintain basic telephone and broadband services and offers qualifying households a discount on their monthly bills. The High-Cost program helps carriers provide voice and broadband service in remote and underserved communities. Although the FCC did not require RDOF auction participants to be designated as an ETC to apply, the FCC did require winning bidders to obtain ETC designation within 180 days of being selected.

AB Indiana acknowledges and asserts that if approved, it will comply with Sections 364.10 and 364.105, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Rule 25-4.0665, F.A.C., which govern Lifeline service and provide for a transitional discount for those customers no longer eligible for Lifeline.

47 U.S.C. 214(e)(2) authorizes state commissions to designate common carriers as an ETC as follows:

(2) Designation of eligible telecommunications carriers

A State commission shall upon its own motion or upon request designate a common carrier that meets the requirements of paragraph (1) as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the State commission. Upon request and consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the State commission may, in the case of an area served by a rural telephone company, and shall, in the case of all other areas, designate more than one common carrier as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the State commission, so long as each additional requesting carrier meets the requirements of paragraph (1). Before designating an additional eligible telecommunications carrier for an area served by a rural telephone company, the State commission shall find that the designation is in the public interest.

47 U.S.C. 214(e)(6) provides that the FCC will make such ETC designations in cases where a state commission lacks jurisdiction over the common carrier as follows:

(6) Common carriers not subject to State commission jurisdiction

In the case of a common carrier providing telephone exchange service and exchange access that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission, the Commission shall upon request designate such a common carrier that meets the requirements of paragraph (1) as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the

---

<sup>2</sup> FCC, DA 20-1422, Public Notice, 904 Winning Bidders, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-20-1422A1.pdf>, accessed June 14, 2021

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*, Attachment B, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-20-1422A3.pdf>, accessed June 14, 2021.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*, Attachment A, <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-20-1422A2.pdf>, accessed June 14, 2021.

Commission consistent with applicable Federal and State law. Upon request and consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, the Commission may, with respect to an area served by a rural telephone company, and shall, in the case of all other areas, designate more than one common carrier as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated under this paragraph, so long as each additional requesting carrier meets the requirements of paragraph (1). Before designating an additional eligible telecommunications carrier for an area served by a rural telephone company, the Commission shall find that the designation is in the public interest.

Our authority to designate a telecommunications company as an ETC is found at 364.10, F.S. However, pursuant to Section 364.011, F.S., we do not have jurisdiction over wireless/satellite, VoIP or broadband.

### Decision

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 214(e)(2), and 47 C.F.R 54.201(b), state commissions designate carriers as ETCs consistent with criteria set forth therein. Per 47 U.S.C. 214(e)(6), if a state lacks jurisdiction over a carrier, the FCC is to make such a designation. Section 364.011, F.S., identifies services that are exempt from our oversight. Included in these non-jurisdictional services are VoIP and broadband services. Until 2011, there was an exception in Section 364.011, F.S., which permitted our oversight of a service if “specifically authorized by federal law.” The legislature struck this exception by Section 3, Ch. 2011-36, Laws of Florida. Thus, we no longer grant ETC designations to VoIP and broadband carriers.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, because the VoIP and broadband services provided by AB Indiana are exempt from our oversight, we hereby find that we lack jurisdiction to grant AB Indiana ETC designation in Florida.

Moreover, by Section 364.10(1)(a), F.S., the legislature defined an ETC as “a telecommunications company, as defined by s. 364.02, which is designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier by the commission pursuant to 47 C.F.R. s. 54.201.” Section 364.02(13), F.S., provides that a “telecommunications company” is an entity offering “two-way telecommunications service to the public for hire within [Florida] by the use of a telecommunications facility.” Thus, whether a carrier is a certificated telecommunications company offering a telecommunications service is also a threshold question for whether the Commission has jurisdiction to grant an ETC designation.<sup>6</sup> AB Indiana is not currently certificated in Florida, and therefore does not meet the Florida statutory definition of a telecommunications company under Section 364.02(13), F.S. Accordingly, AB Indiana’s lack of a certificate of authority to provide telecommunications service in Florida is another reason we lack jurisdiction to grant the Company ETC status.

---

<sup>5</sup> This Commission only has the powers, duties, and authority that have been conferred expressly or impliedly to it by the Florida Legislature through statute. *City of Cape Coral v. GAC Utilities, Inc., of Florida*, 281 So. 2d 493, 495-96 (Fla. 1973). Further, this Commission is barred from exercising a power when there is any reasonable doubt as to the lawful existence of that power. *See id.*

<sup>6</sup> In this context, 364.02(14), F.S., provides that a “telecommunications facility” includes real estate, easements, apparatus, property, and routes used and operated to provide two-way telecommunications service to the public for hire within [Florida].” “‘Service’ is to be construed in its broadest and most inclusive sense;” however, the term “does not include broadband service or voice-over-Internet protocol service for purposes of regulation.” *Id. at* (12).

In sum, we find that we lack jurisdiction to grant AB Indiana LLC ETC status in Florida. We further find that, as a provider of non-jurisdictional VoIP and broadband services, AB Indiana LLC should apply directly to the FCC for a Florida ETC designation.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that AB Indiana LLC's Application for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier is hereby denied as we lack jurisdiction over VoIP and broadband services, and that AB Indiana LLC should directly apply for Florida ETC designation with the Federal Communications Commission. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of this Order, this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 9th day of July, 2021.



---

ADAM J. TEITZMAN  
Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
(850) 413-6770  
[www.floridapsc.com](http://www.floridapsc.com)

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on July 30, 2021.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this/these docket(s) before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.