## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause.

DOCKET NO. 20210010-EI

FILED: July 12, 2021

# PRE-HEARING STATEMENT OF THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

The Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC"), pursuant to the Order Establishing Procedure in this docket, Order No. PSC-2021-0083-PCO-EI, issued February 17, 2021, hereby submit this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES:

Richard Gentry Public Counsel

Charles Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel

Stephanie Morse Associate Public Counsel

Anastacia Pirrello Associate Public Counsel

Mary A. Wessling Associate Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida

1. <u>WITNESSES</u>:

None.

#### 2. <u>EXHIBITS:</u>

None.

#### 3. <u>STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION</u>

The OPC's basic position in this case is that the Commission's determinations regarding the Storm Protection Plans (SPP) that have been filed must be consistent with the provisions and the public policy contained in Section 366.96, Florida Statutes, Rule 25-6. 030 and Rule 25-6. 031, F.A.C. The OPC supports the goal of the legislature in encouraging cost-effective measures to enhance the resiliency and reliability of investor-owned electric utilities' (IOUs) existing infrastructure for the benefits of customers and the state as a whole.

The utilities have the burden of proof to justify and support the recovery of costs and their proposal(s) seeking the Commission's adoption of policy statements (whether new or changed) or other affirmative relief sought, regardless of whether the Interveners provide evidence to the contrary. Regardless of whether the Commission has previously approved a program as meeting the Commission's requirements, the utilities must still meet their burden of demonstrating that the costs submitted for final recovery meet the statutory test(s) and are reasonable in amount and prudently incurred.

#### 4. <u>STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS</u>

- **<u>ISSUE 1:</u>** What are the final Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2020 through December 2020?
- OPC: No position at this time.
- **<u>ISSUE 2</u>**: What are the actual/estimated Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?
- OPC: No position at this time.
- **<u>ISSUE 3</u>**: What are the projected Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022??
- OPC: No position at this time.
- **<u>ISSUE 4</u>**: What are the Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause total jurisdictional cost recovery amounts, including true-ups, to be included in establishing Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022?
- OPC: No position at this time.

- **<u>ISSUE 5</u>**: What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?
- OPC: No position at this time.
- **<u>ISSUE 6</u>**: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2022 through December 2022?
- OPC: No position at this time.
- **<u>ISSUE 7</u>**: What are the appropriate Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 for each rate group?
- OPC: No position at this time.
- **<u>ISSUE 8</u>**: What should be the effective date of the new Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for billing purposes?
- OPC: No position at this time.
- **<u>ISSUE 9</u>**: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the new Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?
- OPC: No position at this time.

## COMPANY SPECIFIC STORM PROTECTION PLAN COST RECOVERY ISSUES

## Florida Power & Light Company

- **<u>ISSUE 10</u>**: In the event that the Commission declines to approve FPL's pending request for unified rates in Docket No. 20210015-EI, what are the appropriate Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022?
- OPC: Should the Commission deny FPL's request to unify rates in Docket No. 20210015-EI, the Commission should use the standalone FPL and Gulf SPPCRC Factors provided with the May 3, 2021, filing subject to modification by the Commission, if any.
- **<u>ISSUE 11:</u>** How should the assumptions used to develop FPL's 2022 Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors approved in this proceeding be revised to

# reflect any changes or modifications adopted by the Commission in the 2021 Rate Case pending in Docket No. 20210015-EI?

OPC: Any modifications or changes made by the Commission in the 2021 Rate Case in Docket No. 20210015-EI that impact the assumptions used to develop the 2022 SPPCRC factors should be implemented as soon as is practicable. If due to timing of the Commission's decision, modifications, or changes, if any, cannot be made with sufficient time to implement prior to January 1, 2022, then the rate impacts of those modifications and/or changes, if any, should be trued up in the following year's factors.

# **CLOSE THE DOCKET ISSUE**

# **<u>ISSUE 12</u>**: Should this docket be closed?

- OPC: No position at this time.
- 5. <u>STIPULATED ISSUES</u>

None at this time.

6. <u>PENDING MOTIONS</u>

None at this time.

# 7. <u>STATEMENT OF PARTY'S PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR</u> <u>CONFIDENTIALITY</u>

There are no pending requests or claims for confidentiality filed by OPC.

## 8. <u>OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATION OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT</u>

OPC has no objections to the qualification of any witnesses as an expert in the field in which they pre-filed testimony as of the present date.

## 9. <u>SEQUESTRATION OF WITNESSES</u>

OPC does not request the sequestration of any witnesses at this time.

## 10. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Office of Public Counsel cannot comply.

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> of July, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Gentry Public Counsel

# /s/ Mary A. Wessling

Mary A. Wessling Associate Public Counsel

Charles Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel

Stephanie Morse Associate Public Counsel

Anastacia Pirrello Associate Public Counsel

c/o The Florida Legislature Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Attorney for the Citizens of the State of Florida

#### <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u> <u>DOCKET NO, 20210010-EI</u>

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement has been furnished by electronic mail on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021, to the following:

Mr. James D. Beasley Mr. J. Jeffry Wahlen Mr. Malcolm N. Means Ausley McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 jbeasley@ausley.com jwahlen@ausley.com mmeans@ausley.com

Russell A. Badders Vice President & Associated General Counsel Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520 russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com

Jason A. Higginbotham/Christopher T. Wright Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 jason.higginbotham@fpl.com christopher.wright@fpl.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: (850) 681-3828 Facsimile: (850) 681-8788 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com Kenneth A. Hoffman Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Florida Power & Light Company 134 W. Jefferson Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 <u>ken.hoffman@fpl.com</u>

Florida Public Utilities Company Mr. Mike Cassel 208 Wildlight Ave. Yulee FL 32097 <u>mcassel@fpuc.com</u>

James W. Brew Laura Wynn Baker Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 jbrew@smxblaw.com lwb@smxblaw.com

Ms. Paula K. Brown Manager, Regulatory Coordination Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601 <u>regdept@tecoenergy.com</u> Stephanie U. Eaton Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500 Winston-Salem, NC 27103 seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Duke Energy Dianne M. Triplett 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg FL 33701 Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Gulf Power Company Mark Bubriski 134 West Jefferson Street Tallahassee FL 32301 mark.bubriski@nexteraenergy.com Barry A. Naum Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 bnaum@spilmanlaw.com

Duke Energy Matthew R. Bernier 106 E. College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee FL 32301 FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Florida Public Service Commission Jennifer Crawford/Margo DuVal/Shaw Stiller/Stefanie-Jo Osborn 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL32399 jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us mduval@psc.state.fl.us sstiller@psc.state.fl.us sosborn@psc.state.fl.us

Peter J. Mattheis Michael K. Lavanga 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW, Ste. 800 West Washington DC 20007-5201 <u>mkl@smxblaw.com</u> <u>pjm@smxblaw.com</u>

#### /s/ Mary A. Wessling

Mary A. Wessling Associate Public Counsel Florida Bar No. 0093590