1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA E	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	In the Matter of:	
5		DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI
6	FUEL AND PURCHASED F	
7	GENERATING PERFORMAN	
8	INCENTIVE FACTOR.	/
9		
10		
11		
12	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
	COMMISSIONERS	
13	PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY PREHEARING OFFICER
14	DATE:	Wednesday, October 13, 2021
15	TIME:	Commenced: 1:00 p.m.
16		Concluded: 2:15 p.m.
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY Court Reporter and
21		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
22		
23		PREMIER REPORTING L12 W. 5TH AVENUE
		ALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
24		(850) 894-0828
25		

- 1 APPEARANCES:
- 2 MATTHEW R. BERNIER, STEPHANIE A. CUELLO,
- 3 ESQUIRES, 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800,
- 4 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740; and DIANNE M. TRIPLETT,
- 5 ESQUIRE, 299 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida
- 6 33701, appearing on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC.
- 7 MARIA J. MONCADA, WADE R. LITCHFIELD, and
- 8 DAVID LEE, ESQUIRES, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach,
- 9 Florida 33408-0420, appearing on behalf of Florida Power
- 10 & Light Company.
- 11 BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster, Yoakley &
- 12 Stewart, P.A., 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601,
- 13 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1839, appearing on behalf of
- 14 Florida Public Utilities Company.
- RUSSELL A. BADDERS, Gulf Power Company, One
- 16 Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida 32520; and MARIA J.
- 17 MONCADA, WADE R. LITCHFIELD, DAVID LEE, ESQUIRES, 700
- 18 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420,
- 19 appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.
- JAMES D. BEASLEY, J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, and
- 21 MALCOLM N. MEANS, ESQUIRES, Ausley & McMullen, Post
- 22 Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on
- 23 behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

24

25

- 1 APPEARANCES (Continued):
- 2 RICHARD GENTRY, THE PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES
- 3 REHWINKEL, DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; and PATRICIA A.
- 4 CHRISTENSEN, STEPHANIE MORSE, MARY WESSLING, ANASTACIA
- 5 PIRRELLO, ESQUIRES, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The
- 6 Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812,
- 7 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of
- 8 the Citizens of the State of Florida.
- 9 JON C. MOYLE, JR., and KAREN A. PUTNAL,
- ESOUIRES, Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118 North Gadsden 10
- 11 Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf
- of Florida Industrial Power Users Group. 12
- 13 JAMES W. BREW AND LAURA WYNN BAKER, ESOUIRES,
- 14 Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, 1025 Thomas Jefferson
- 15 Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC
- 16 20007, appearing on behalf of White Springs Agricultural
- 17 Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate - White Springs.
- 18 PETER J. MATTHEIS and MICHAEL K. LAVANGA,
- 19 ESOUIRES, Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, 1025 Thomas
- 20 Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower,
- 21 Washington, DC 20007, appearing on behalf of Nucor Steel
- 22 Florida, Inc.

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES (Continued): 2 ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, JOHN T. LAVIA, III, 3 and TIMOTHY J. PERRY, ESQUIRES, Gardner, Bist, Bowden, 4 Dee, LaVia, Wright, Perry & Harper, P.A., 1300 5 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing 6 on behalf of the Florida Retail Federation. 7 SUZANNE BROWNLESS, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, 8 9 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of 10 the Florida Public Service Commission Staff. 11 KEITH C. HETRICK, GENERAL COUNSEL; MARY ANNE 12 HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, Florida Public Service 13 Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the Florida Public 14 15 Service Commission. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER FAY: All right. With that, we
3	will move on to the 01 docket. We've read the
4	notice for this, taken appearances.
5	So, Ms. Brownless, address our preliminary
6	matters.
7	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. Staff received a
8	revised prehearing statement from TECO on
9	October 8th, which appears to revise Issues 11 and
10	33. These revised positions are reflected on the
11	draft prehearing order that you received yesterday.
12	So, I just wanted to let you know that.
13	The next thing is we've received a notice of
14	intent to use a demonstrative exhibit from DEF.
15	And I'm going to ask Mr. Bernier to explain what
16	that is; give y'all an opportunity to think about
17	it.
18	MR. BERNIER: Sure. Happy to. Matt Bernier,
19	Duke Energy.
20	The purpose of the notice is to comply with
21	the OEP, the requirement that, by the prehearing
22	conference, you identify demonstrative
23	(unintelligible).
24	COMMISSIONER FAY: We're losing you. Just
25	pull your mic a little bit, Mr. Bernier. Thank

1	you.
2	MR. BERNIER: Is this better? I can shout.
3	So, what we were thinking about doing and I
4	do not know for a fact that we will actually do
5	it was to bring a picture of the control panel
6	that we've been asked about in discovery, just so
7	that we all have an idea of what we're talking
8	about during the hearing.
9	And that picture, or a very similar picture,
10	will be included in a discovery response that, I
11	believe, we're filing today I believe is the due
12	date, but that's the that's the idea.
13	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Thank you. We're
14	put on notice. And that's consistent with the OEP,
15	so I appreciate that.
16	MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir.
17	MS. BROWNLESS: And this discovery response
18	would be their response to staff's fifth set of
19	interrogatories, No. 12A. And it has to do with
20	the CR4 December 20 20th outage.
21	MR. MOYLE: And it's a picture of what or a
22	demonstration of what?
23	MR. BERNIER: It's the control panel that is
24	used to sync the unit to the grid that we've been
25	asked about in discovery by staff and, I believe,

1 OPC. 2. It may actually be 14A. If I put 12 in there, 3 it might be an error on my part, but I'll double-4 check that and get it to you. 5 MS. BROWNLESS: No, it -- it really is 12A. Okay. Good. 6 MR. BERNIER: Thank you. 7 All right. COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you for 8 that notice, Ms. Brownless. Satisfied that. 9 We'll move on to the prehearing draft order. 10 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. 11 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you. 12 Just like the previous dockets, Case 13 Background, Conduct of Proceedings, and 14 Jurisdiction. 15 MS. BROWNLESS: I do want to make a note about 16 the Section IV, Procedure for Handling Confidential 17 Information. 18 COMMISSIONER FAY: Go ahead. 19 MS. BROWNLESS: In the prehearing order that 20 was circulated, we did not revise that section to 21 match Attachment A in the electronic-filing 22 procedure that we just discussed. And I just 23 wanted to make you aware that it will be revised to 24 match. 25 So, that final language of COMMISSIONER FAY:

1	the prehearing order won't include the red-folder
2	language in there, obviously.
3	Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Brownless.
4	Section V, Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits.
5	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. A time will need to
6	be set for witness summaries. And we would
7	recommend that that be set at three minutes.
8	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Anything else?
9	MS. BROWNLESS: No, sir, not at this time.
10	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Section VI, Order of
11	Witnesses.
12	MS. BROWNLESS: We are not aware of any
13	changes to the orders of witnesses at this time.
14	COMMISSIONER FAY: Great.
15	Are there any witnesses that we can be
16	excused?
17	MS. BROWNLESS: Well, we have been and I
18	will admit to this not too diligent in working
19	on stipulations, but we are now. And, as we get
20	those stipulations worked out, we'll be able to
21	notify every one of witnesses that can be excused.
22	COMMISSIONER FAY: Great. Thank you. I'm not
23	aware of anything else you're working on,
24	Ms. Brownless, so
25	(Laughter.)

	1 Thank you.
2	Yeah, and any other witnesses will be
3	stipulated.
4	So, we'll move on to Section VII, Basic
[Positions any changes?
6	Section VIII, Issues and Positions.
-	7 MS. PIRRELLO: Commissioner?
8	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yes.
9	MS. PIRRELLO: OPC has extensive revisions
10	that we'd be happy to provide in writing by noon
11	tomorrow, or I can walk through them here, if
12	that's your preference.
13	COMMISSIONER FAY: Under the issues and
14	positions, you'll have different language?
15	It's up to you, if you want to present them,
16	but if you submit the language before tomorrow,
17	7 then we'll make sure they're in those positions
18	are incorporated.
19	MS. PIRRELLO: We'll submit them in writing.
20	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Any other parties?
21	MR. PERRY: Yeah, Commissioner, for Florida
22	Retail Federation, if our motion to intervene is
23	granted, we would like to be able to provide either
24	a prehearing statement or positions on the issues
25	by Friday, please.

1	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. I think that would
2	be appropriate.
3	So so, Ms Ms. Brownless or maybe
4	this is for Ms. Helton. If intervention is
5	granted, they would be required to submit a
6	prehearing statement, thus including those
7	positions?
8	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir.
9	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. And we'll address
10	that in the rulings, but I think Friday should be
11	fine, but let me we'll just look at that to make
12	sure that lines up.
13	I know the other parties would have time to
14	or the rule allows them time to respond. I think
15	it allows them, actually, up to seven days, but
16	we'll look at that when we go to the rulings and
17	decide when that date will be.
18	MR. PERRY: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER FAY: Sure. Thank you.
20	Any other
21	MR. LAVANGA: Commiss
22	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yes.
23	MR. LAVANGA: Just real real quick. We may
24	be reconsidering some of our positions
25	COMMISSIONER FAY: Just pull pull your mic

1	a little bit closer to you. You've got to talk
2	right into it or the
3	MR. LAVANGA: Got it.
4	I I was saying that we are we may
5	reevaluate our positions on a handful of the
6	questions, but if we do that, we will submit a
7	change in writing by tomorrow.
8	MS. BROWNLESS: And
9	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
10	Yes, Ms. Brownless, go ahead.
11	MS. BROWNLESS: May I just follow up with OPC?
12	You're saying that you have substantial
13	changes to the issues and positions that have been
14	listed?
15	MS. PIRRELLO: To our positions, yes.
16	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am, okay, and that
17	you're going to get those to us in writing by noon
18	tomorrow?
19	MS. PIRRELLO: Yes. We would ask for more
20	time regarding Issue 2J, given that there is a
21	deposition pending on the 20th.
22	COMMISSIONER FAY: So yeah, and so, we
23	will Ms. Brownless, is this consistent with what
24	you're thinking?
25	I think we'll get to some of the specific

1	issues where, when we walk through those, you can
2	state on those your specific change or what or
3	what your position will be, at that time.
4	For the others, they would just be submitted
5	in writing, so you would have them. Does that make
6	sense?
7	MS. BROWNLESS: Right.
8	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great.
9	So, when we get to the and we're almost to
10	those, but when we get to those, you'll be able
11	to to state your change in the position on each
12	one of those.
13	Any other parties?
14	Yes, Mr. Moyle.
15	MR. MOYLE: Just just one point to make
16	crystal clear on the record, given that we have
17	spent a lot of time over the years advocating
18	against hedging.
19	FIPUG has said we adopt the position of OPC in
20	a number of places. And I think OPC, at one point
21	said, they support hedging, which I understand it
22	will be changed to they do not, but we don't want
23	to, by adopting OPC's position, be viewed as
24	supporting hedging in any way, shape, or form. So,
25	just want to make that clear for the record.

1	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Noted for the
2	record. Thank you.
3	Any others?
4	Ms. Brownless, do you want to do you want
5	to read the language regarding the OEP and
6	MS. BROWNLESS: Sure.
7	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yeah, go ahead.
8	MS. BROWNLESS: Let me just read what I'm
9	suppose to here. Okay. The OEP requires that each
10	party take a position at the prehearing conference,
11	unless good cause can be shown why they can't do
12	so.
13	If a party's position in the draft prehearing
14	order is listed as "no position at this time", that
15	party must change it today or show good cause why
16	it can't take a position. Absent a showing of good
17	cause, the prehearing order will reflect "no
18	position" for that party on that issue.
19	If parties have not taken a position or wish
20	to change a position, staff suggests they be
21	allowed to do so tomorrow by noon. And I think
22	that's what we've all agreed to here.
23	I want to mention that a "no position" on an
24	issue prohibits any party from cross-examining
25	witnesses with regard to those issues or briefing

1 those issues. 2. COMMISSIONER FAY: Great. Thank you. 3 So, now we'll move in the company-specific 4 Do you want to just sort of lay out each 5 issue, Ms. Brownless? 6 MS. BROWNLESS: Sure. 7 COMMISSIONER FAY: And then I'll allow the 8 parties to address --9 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. Issue 1A -- as Jon has 10 mentioned, OPC's position on this issue has been 11 changed to "no." This change is reflected on the 12 prehearing statement that was provided to you 13 yesterday. 14 So, to the extent that your position, 15 Mr. Moyle, was agree with OPC, with this change, 16 does that square you up? 17 MR. MOYLE: I now really agree with them, yes. 18 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. 19 MS. BROWNLESS: All right. 20 COMMISSIONER FAY: Ms. Brownless, go ahead. 21 You can move on to the next one. 22 MS. BROWNLESS: Issue 1C. And my 23 understanding is that DEF would like to litigate 24 this issue this year, and that OPC does not wish to 25 do so.

1	I'd like to hear from OPC and DEF on whether
2	this item should be deferred or taken up this year.
3	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great.
4	Assuming those positions are still the the
5	same, I'll allow Duke to present their position,
6	and then OPC can do so.
7	MR. BERNIER: Thank you, Commissioner.
8	I guess our position is that this is an issue
9	regarding an outage that occurred this year. It
10	has been teed up in testimony. It has been the
11	subject of discovery. Everybody has had ample time
12	to take a look at it, and it is ripe for a decision
13	this year.
14	I don't I don't know why there's any reason
15	why it couldn't be adjudicated this year.
16	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Thank you,
17	Mr. Bernier.
18	Office of Public Counsel?
19	MS. PIRRELLO: Our position is that this issue
20	should be deferred because there are extensive
21	other issues related to this outage, and we just
22	believe that it would be better taken up next year.
23	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. And I I think we
24	are there is still some discovery process going
25	on here. So, I think what I'll do for this is I

1	will I'll take this under advisement and then
2	include the decision for this in the the
3	prehearing order.
4	Ms. Brownless, does that make sense?
5	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir, and
6	MR. BERNIER: Commiss Commissioner Fay, if
7	I may?
8	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yes, Mr. Bernier. Go
9	ahead.
10	MR. BERNIER: With all due respect, I'm not
11	really sure on what the other extensive discovery
12	is that needs to be done. Following the normal
13	cadence, this has been filed. It has been opened
14	for discovery for any party to ask any questions
15	they wanted to.
16	I'm I'm kind of at a loss as to why
17	additional time would be needed. Nobody has asked
18	for additional time. Nobody has filed any
19	additional discovery. I'm I'm kind of confused.
20	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yeah, well, Mr. Bernier,
21	there are issues where I believe it might take a
22	little more thought to put in a prehearing order
23	exactly what we should or shouldn't be doing as a
24	Commission. And so, we're going to take it under
25	advisement and then make that decision.

1 MR. BERNIER: Fair enough.
2 COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you.
3 Ms. Brownless, next issue?
4 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir, the next issue is
5 1E. And my understanding is that DEF and OPC
6 believe that this issue is not ripe for litigation
7 at this time and should be deferred.
8 And I'd just like to ask the parties the
9 other parties their position regarding that.
10 COMMISSIONER FAY: And the positions for Duke
11 and OPC are the same with that?
12 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir.
13 COMMISSIONER FAY: Yes. Okay.
14 Any issues from other parties?
This is on 1E, correct, Ms. Brownless?
16 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir.
17 MR. BREW: PCS agrees with OPC and DEF on
18 this.
19 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. With that,
20 Ms. Brownless, we would defer I'm not sure it's
exactly a deferral, but it won't be included as an
22 issue in this
MS. BROWNLESS: Right. We'll just punt it
24 COMMISSIONER FAY: This docket.
25 MS. BROWNLESS: to next year.

1	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yep. Great. Thank you.
2	
	Next is Issue 2J.
3	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. Issue 2J is the
4	issue that involves the North Florida Transmission
5	Line. And my understanding is that a deposition
6	will be held on October 20th, of Mr. Yupp, and that
7	OPC would like to wait until after that time to
8	take a position; is that correct?
9	MS. PIRRELLO: Yes, that's correct.
10	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay.
11	MS. BROWNLESS: Is there anyone who has a
12	problem with that? Or how does Florida Power &
13	Light feel?
14	MS. MONCADA: That's fine.
15	COMMISSIONER FAY: Ms. Moncada, go ahead.
16	MS. MONCADA: We have no problem with waiting
17	until after the deposition for OPC to state its
18	position.
19	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. So, then, if we
20	allow for OPC, if we allow for noon on the
21	21st which would not require you to do it the
22	day of the deposition, but just to have it in the
23	next day so we could get the prehearing order out
24	on the 21st would that work?
25	MS. PIRRELLO: Yes, that's fine.
1	

1 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great. 2. Ms. Brownless, 2K. Go ahead. 3 MS. BROWNLESS: Next issue is 2K. And my understanding is that the Office of Public Counsel 4 5 and Florida Power & Light have also determined that this issue should be deferred. 6 7 And does anyone have an objection to that? 8 COMMISSIONER FAY: Is this still the positions 9 of OPC and FPL? 10 MS. MONCADA: Yes. 11 MS. PIRRELLO: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER FAY: And any other parties? 13 Nope. Okay. 14 Ms. Brownless. 15 MS. BROWNLESS: Okeydoke. And that squares us 16 up for that set of issues. 17 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Then, what we'll do 18 next, Ms. Brownless, if it's okay with you, we'll 19 just move on to the contested issues for -- we'll 20 start with 1F. 21 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. 22 So, I -- I quess to make it COMMISSIONER FAY: 23 easy, the two -- the two maybe you could address 24 together that the parties could, then, speak to 25 would be 1F and 1G?

1	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. And
2	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay.
3	MS. BROWNLESS: But if we could just quickly
4	go through the other issues and make sure there's
5	no
6	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay.
7	MS. BROWNLESS: other changes.
8	COMMISSIONER FAY: Sure. You want to walk
9	through each one?
10	MS. BROWNLESS: Sure. Generic fuel issues
11	anybody got any problems with those?
12	Company-specific generating performance
13	incentive factor issues?
14	Generic generating performance incentive
15	factor issues, 16 and 17; fuel factor calculation
16	issues, 18 through 22; company-specific capacity
17	cost recovery factor, Issue
18	COMMISSIONER FAY: Oh, Ms. Brownless, hold on
19	one quick second.
20	Go ahead, OPC. Ms. Pirrello.
21	MS. PIRRELLO: On Issue 19, OPC would be
22	willing to agree to a Type 1 stipulation. We agree
23	with FPL, FPUC, TECO, and DEF's positions.
24	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay.
25	MR. BREW: Commissioner?

1	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Yes, Mr. Brew, go
2	ahead.
3	MR. BREW: Thank you.
4	I just actually have a clarifying question for
5	Duke, if I may, on Issue 10. Is the reference to
6	123 123 million under-recovery is that for
7	2022?
8	COMMISSIONER FAY: Mr. Brew, are you
9	suggesting that number might be for the wrong year?
10	MR. BREW: No. No. The the question is
11	for 2022, and then there's a number, and then
12	there's a statement about the net true-up, which
13	applied, I think, for the prior period. So, I'm
14	just confused as to the dates and the number.
15	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. That's something
16	you could potentially address that position, if
17	it's something you think may need to be addressed.
18	Otherwise, if you're comfortable and you can
19	confirm
20	MR. BERNIER: I very much appreciate the extra
21	time to confirm that. I appreciate that.
22	COMMISSIONER FAY: Sometimes it's nice to have
23	extra time.
24	MR. BERNIER: Very much.
25	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank

1 MR. BERNIER: Sometimes. COMMISSIONER FAY: 2. Thank you, Mr. Bernier. 3 MR. BREW: Thank you. Appreciate it. 4 COMMISSIONER FAY: Sure. Yep. Thank you, 5 Mr. Brew. 6 Ms. Brownless, go ahead. 7 MS. BROWNLESS: And I just want to make sure 8 I've got OPC's statement about Issue No. 19 9 correct. And that is that you'd be willing to 10 enter into a Type 1 stipulation as stated by DEF? MS. PIRRELLO: Yes, we would agree with all of 11 12 the companies. 13 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. 14 Also, if I may --MS. PIRRELLO: 15 MS. BROWNLESS: Sure. 16 MS. PIRRELLO: On Issue 22, we would change 17 our position to simply "no position". 18 MR. MOYLE: And FIPUG should be a Type 2 on 19 Issue 19, not a Type 1. 20 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. So, Mr. Moyle, for 21 No. 19, you're saying it's a Type 2, FIPUG? 22 MR. MOYLE: That's -- that's right. 23 COMMISSIONER FAY: Even though their -- their 24 adoption is a Type 1. 25 MR. MOYLE: (Indicating.)

1 COMMISSIONER FAY: Gotcha. 2 MR. MOYLE: Correct. 3 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. 4 MR. BERNIER: Commissioner? 5 COMMISSIONER FAY: Yes. 6 MR. BERNIER: I appreciate --7 Mr. Bernier. COMMISSIONER FAY: 8 MR. BERNIER: On -- back on Issue 10, 9 Mr. Brew, that is correct as stated. 10 The 123 million refers to 2022. MR. BREW: 11 MR. BERNIER: No. Excuse me. It refers to 12 2021 to be collected or refunded in 2022. 13 Oh, okay. So, it's half of the MR. BREW: 14 247. 15 MR. BERNIER: No, it's the 123 under the rate 16 mitigation plan. 17 MR. BREW: Okay. 18 COMMISSIONER FAY: Does that satisfy you, 19 Mr. Brew? 20 Yes, sir. MR. BREW: 21 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. So, then, we've 22 addressed 19. 23 And then, Ms. Pirello, you mentioned 21? 24 MS. PIRRELLO: 22, sir. 25 COMMISSIONER FAY: 22. Okay. And we've

1 addressed that by moving your -- your position to 2. "no position"? 3 MS. PIRRELLO: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. 5 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. 6 COMMISSIONER FAY: Ms. Brownless, do you have 7 those? 8 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Sure. Go ahead. 10 MS. BROWNLESS: All right. So, now, we're at 11 Issues 23A through 24D, the company-specific 12 capacity cost recovery factors. 13 Generic capacity cost recovery factor, 14 Issues 27 through 33. 15 The effective date, Issue 34. 16 The tariff approval issue, Issue 5- -- 35. 17 And for Issue No. 36, that is, I thought, 18 based upon e-mails I received, a Type 1 stipulation 19 where everybody agreed to the exact language. 20 that sound right? 21 Mr. Moyle, is that --22 MR. MOYLE: We would rather go Type 2. 23 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. 24 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Ms. Brownless, does 25 that address all the -- the --

1	MS. BROWNLESS: Well, we'll just have two
2	notations there: Type 1 for everybody except for
3	FIPUG; and, FIPUG
4	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay
5	MS. BROWNLESS: Type 2. Sound good, Jon?
6	MR. MOYLE: Yeah, or you can just put "no
7	position" and it washes out that way.
8	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Ms. Brownless, good?
10	MS. BROWNLESS: (Indicating.)
11	COMMISSIONER FAY: Sure. Go ahead.
12	MS. BROWNLESS: I'm
13	COMMISSIONER FAY: No?
14	MS. BROWNLESS: And we will put the language
15	in there that we have been including for Type 2
16	stipulations and what it means, consistent with
17	what we've done in the past.
18	Is that going to be okay with OPC?
19	MS. PIRRELLO: Yes.
20	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. Now
21	COMMISSIONER FAY: Any anymore before we
22	move on to 1F, 1G?
23	Mr. Rehwinkel?
24	MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah, I just w I think
25	Ms. Pirrello, at the outset, said we were going to

1 send you an extensive list of revisions. 2. I just want to make it clear -- and we're 3 going to try to do that sooner rather than later 4 because there are people who depend on what we say 5 to know whether they're going to agree or take a different position. 6 7 We have a lot of "no position" at this time. 8 We could take an interim position in opposition and 9 then enter into a Type 2, but what we're gonna do 10 is offer to facilitate a Type 2 and cut out the 11 middle step. 12 So, our "no positions" at this time are just 13 going to revert to an offer of facilitating a 14 Type 2 stipulation. 15 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. I -- I think the 16 Type 2 stipulation is -- is fairly clear at this 17 point as to how it's been used, and so, I think 18 what you're saying is you're not deviating from 19 that; you just perceive it as being one step 20 instead of two; is that --21 Right, because we're required, MR. REHWINKEL: 22 under the rules, to take a position by noon, but we 23 just don't think it makes sense to take a position 24 and then go and do a Type 2 when we're gonna signal 25 to everybody we're going to facilitate a Type 2,

1 right. 2. MS. BROWNLESS: So --3 COMMISSIONER FAY: Sure. 4 MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah. 5 COMMISSIONER FAY: And all the way up to the hearing, you can, obviously, stipulate anything out 6 7 that might come up that you want to stipulate out. 8 MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah. 9 MS. BROWNLESS: So, Charles, I just want to 10 make sure I understand. Are you simply gonna have 11 your prehearing statement reflect "no position", 12 which will automatically trigger a Type 2? 13 It -- I'll call you and MR. REHWINKEL: 14 we'll -- whatever is the easiest way to do it. 15 MS. BROWNLESS: All right. We can work that 16 out. 17 MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah. 18 COMMISSIONER FAY: Anything else, 19 Mr. Rehwinkel? 20 Thank you. 21 Okay. Ms. Brownless? 22 MS. BROWNLESS: Just --23 COMMISSIONER FAY: Sure. 24 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. Now, we're to Issue 1F 25 and 1G.

1	COMMISSIONER FAY: All right. Go ahead,
2	Ms. Brownless, if you want to just
3	MS. BROWNLESS: Sure.
4	COMMISSIONER FAY: sort of lay a foundation
5	for these.
6	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. These issues are
7	associated with the Bartow Unit 4 DOAH case that is
8	currently on appeal at the Florida Supreme Court.
9	My understanding is that oral argument has
10	been requested, but not yet been granted in this
11	case. Is that
12	MR. BERNIER: If I may, it has been granted.
13	MS. BROWNLESS: It has been? Oh.
14	MR. BERNIER: It has been set for
15	December 7th, which is what I was going to bring up
16	as showing that it's not going to be ripe for a
17	decision in this docket. I don't like handicapping
18	what courts do at all, but I'm pretty sure they
19	won't render a decision that quickly.
20	MS. BROWNLESS: You're pretty sure there's no
21	bench decisions, sir?
22	MR. BERNIER: Fairly sure. It would be a
23	first, I think.
24	MS. BROWNLESS: And so, that's kind of where
25	we stand on that one. And based upon that

1	representation, I guess I would recommend that
2	these be deferred.
3	COMMISSIONER FAY: And then and just for
4	clarity, that that would the same case for PCS
5	Phosphate?
6	MR. BREW: Yes, sir.
7	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. All right.
8	Ms. Brownless, confirm both of those or we will
9	not include those in this this docket, I should
10	say.
11	Next we'll move on to Section any any
12	others, Ms. Brownless, or
13	MS. BROWNLESS: No, sir, that's
14	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Any others from the
15	parties?
16	All right. With that, we'll move on to
17	Section IX, the Exhibit List.
18	MS. BROWNLESS: Staff has prepared a
19	comprehensive exhibit list which includes all
20	prefiled exhibits and also includes exhibits staff
21	wishes to introduce into the record.
22	Staff will work with the parties to determine
23	if there are any objections to the comprehensive
24	exhibit list or if any of staff's exhibits or
25	any objection to any of staff's exhibits being

1	entered into the record.
2	And, at this time, I wonder if y'all have had
3	an opportunity to look at it and if you have any
4	objections.
5	COMMISSIONER FAY: Any objections from the
6	parties?
7	MS. MONCADA: I I need more time. I'm
8	pretty sure that we have no objection. I can
9	probably let you know by tomorrow at noon.
10	MS. BROWNLESS: That would be lovely.
11	MS. MONCADA: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER FAY: Great. Thank you,
13	Ms. Moncada.
14	Section X, Proposed Stipulations.
15	MS. BROWNLESS: As we've discussed, there is a
16	proposed Type 1 stipulation for Issue 36, which is
17	the close-the-docket issue, and we'll recognize for
18	Mr. Moyle that he is a Type 2.
19	And we'll continue to work with all the
20	parties to reach stipulations on the outstanding
21	issues. If stipulations are entered into after the
22	prehearing order is issued, they will be provided
23	to all of the parties and the Commissioners prior
24	to hearing.
25	COMMISSIONER FAY: Great. Thank you.

1 Ms. Pirello?
2 MS. PIRRELLO: I would also like to note that
3 Tampa Electric and OPC have a proposed stipulation
4 on Issue 5B.
5 COMMISSIONER FAY: Ms. Brownless, do you have
6 that one?
7 MS. BROWNLESS: Let me see.
8 MS. PIRRELLO: Page 20.
9 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. And what is the
10 stipulation?
11 COMMISSIONER FAY: Are you going to provide
12 the language for the specific stipulation between
13 TECO and
MS. PIRRELLO: I believe the language is
15 COMMISSIONER FAY: Yes?
16 MS. PIRRELLO: provided
17 COMMISSIONER FAY: Go ahead.
18 MS. PIRRELLO: Yes.
19 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. So, I see. It's
20 written on Page 20.
21 MS. PIRRELLO: Yes.
MS. BROWNLESS: And the stipulation is as
23 stated there.
MS. PIRRELLO: Yes.
MS. BROWNLESS: And, at this time, does

1 anybody have a problem with this? This would be a 2 Type 1 stipulation. 3 COMMISSIONER FAY: And that's consistent with 4 TECO's position? 5 MR. MEANS: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. 7 I believe so. MR. MEANS: 8 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. 9 MS. BROWNLESS: Anybody else? 10 Mr. Moyle, you're "no position"; so, you're in 11 good shape. 12 That's fine. MR. MOYLE: 13 COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. 14 Okay. We will list that as a MS. BROWNLESS: 15 Type 2 stipulation. 16 MS. MONCADA: Commissioner Fay --17 We'll --COMMISSIONER FAY: 18 -- and Ms. Brownless? MS. MONCADA: 19 COMMISSIONER FAY: Go ahead, Ms. Moncada. Go 20 ahead. 21 I can confirm we have no MS. MONCADA: 22 objection to the list. 23 The exhibit list? COMMISSIONER FAY: 24 MS. BROWNLESS: The exhibit -- thank you. 25 MS. MONCADA: You're welcome.

1	COMMISSIONER FAY: Ms. Brownless, you ready
2	for Section XI?
3	MS. BROWNLESS: We're ready.
4	COMMISSIONER FAY: All right. Pending
5	motions, go ahead.
6	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. DEF has filed an
7	unopposed motion to approve rate mitigation
8	agreement on September 3rd, 2021, in this docket
9	and in Docket Nos. 20210097-EI and 20210010-EI.
10	The unopposed motion has been assigned Docket
11	No. 20210158-EI, and has been set for a separate
12	hearing on November 2nd, 2021, prior to the
13	commencement of the final hearing in this docket.
14	So, although this is an outstanding motion in
15	this docket, my understanding is it will be
16	resolved in the 20210158 docket, so that we need to
17	take no action at this time.
18	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay.
19	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. Now, the next thing
20	that's happened is that a motion for intervention
21	has been filed by the Florida Retail Federation,
22	and it was filed yesterday.
23	I understand, from your previous comments,
24	that you would be willing to provide a statement of
25	your positions on the identified issues by Friday;

1	is that correct?
2	MR. PERRY: Yeah, that's correct.
3	MS. BROWNLESS: Does anyone, at this time,
4	object to the intervention? Because we all know
5	that the rules allow up to five days to file an
6	objection. Does anybody object?
7	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yeah, Ms. Brownless, I
8	think they have they have up to seven days to
9	respond to that
10	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER FAY: to your point, but yeah,
12	assuming do any parties have any objection to
13	that intervention?
14	MR. MOYLE: FIPUG does not.
15	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. If not, I'd like to
16	go ahead and allow that grant that intervention
17	and we'll include that in the prehearing order,
18	Ms. Brownless.
19	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you.
21	Now, let me know you're when you're ready and
22	we'll go to
23	MS. BROWNLESS: I'm ready, now. Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER FAY: All right. Section XII,
25	Confidentiality Orders.

1	MS. BROWNLESS: There are a bunch of pending
2	confidentiality orders at this time. And these
3	orders are now in the process of being written and
4	reviewed.
5	So, we're hoping to have all of those orders
6	issued by the time of the November 7th hearing.
7	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Good with the
8	parties?
9	Section XIII, Post-hearing Procedures.
10	MS. BROWNLESS: I don't know if any parties
11	wish to file briefs or know whether they want to
12	file briefs at this time or not, but if the parties
13	agree to waive briefs, the Commission may make a
14	bench a bench decision; however, if not, then we
15	would suggest that briefs be limited to 40 pages,
16	be due on November 15th, and that they be taken up
17	at the December 7th agenda conference.
18	COMMISSIONER FAY: Any objections from the
19	parties?
20	MS. BROWNLESS: The last thing we would ask is
21	that opening statements, if any, should not exceed
22	three minutes per party. And that would be our
23	recommendation, unless you choose to waive your
24	opening statement.
25	COMMISSIONER FAY: Any objections?

1 Mr. Brew, go ahead. 2. I was just going to give all my MR. BREW: 3 time to Mr. Moyle. 4 (Laughter.) 5 COMMISSIONER FAY: Very kind of you. 6 So, we'll set those at three minutes, 7 Ms. Brownless? 8 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. 9 COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you. 10 Any other matters? 11 MS. BROWNLESS: Not that I'm aware, no, sir. 12 COMMISSIONER FAY: Any other issues from the 13 parties? 14 With that, we will adjourn the -- the 01 15 docket. And that -- that concludes our hearings 16 for today -- thank you. 17 Oh, I apologize. Mr. Brew. Mr. Brew, I think 18 you just like doing that when I get to the end. 19 MR. BREW: Just to be clear, we've covered it 20 before, but with respect to Attachment A and this 21 order, we repeat the objection that we gave 22 earlier. 23 COMMISSIONER FAY: Noted. Thank you, 24 Mr. Brew. 25 All right. That concludes these hearings.

1	Thank you.
2	(Docket concluded.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
3	COUNTY OF LEON /
4	I, ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY, Court Reporter, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard
6	at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
8	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
9	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
10	and that this transcript constitutes a true
11	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
14	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
16	financially interested in the action.
17	DATED THIS 27th day of October, 2021.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	ANDREA KOMARIDIS MRAV
23	ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #HH 089181
24	EXPIRES February 9, 2025
25	