1	BEFORE THE	
2	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	To the Method of	
5	In the Matter of:	DOCKER NO 20220046 ED
6		DOCKET NO. 20220046-TP
7	Commission approval of Florida Telecommunications Relay, Inc.'s	
8	fiscal year 2022/2023 proposed budget.	
9		
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
12	COMMITCATONEDA	
13	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ANDREW GILES FAY COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
14		COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER MIKE LA ROSA COMMISSIONER GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO
16	DATE:	Thursday, July 7, 2022
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK
20	THE CITTLE DI.	Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
21		the State of Florida at Large
22		PREMIER REPORTING 112 W. 5TH AVENUE
23		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
24		(555) 551 5525
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: With that, Commissioners, we
3	will now move on to Item No. 2. I will give our
4	folks a minute just to set up here on the front.
5	Okay. I believe we are all set up. With
6	that, Mr. Williams will be presenting Item No. 2.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Commissioners.
8	Curtis Williams with the Office of Industry
9	Development and Market Analysis.
10	Item 2 addresses Florida Telecommunications
11	Relay, Incorporated's 2022-2023 proposed budget.
12	In Issue 1, staff recommends the Commission
13	approve a total budget expense of 3.9 million for
14	fiscal year 2022-2023.
15	In Issue 2, staff recommends the Commission
16	approve FTRI's request to reduce the relay
17	surcharge to seven cents per wire line per month,
18	and recommends the Commission order all local
19	exchange companies to bill the seven-cent surcharge
20	for fiscal year 2022-2023.
21	Mr. Cecil Bradley, FTRI's Executive Director,
22	is present and would like to address the
23	Commission. Ms. Denay Brown, FTRI's legal counsel,
24	is participating by phone and is available for
25	questions.

1	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you,
2	Mr. Williams.
3	And we do have a translator here for Mr.
4	Bradley. I'm just going to make sure real quick.
5	Ms. Brown, you are on the line?
6	MS. BROWN: Yes, I am here.
7	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
8	With that, Mr. Bradley, you are recognized.
9	MR. BRADLEY: Good morning, Commissioners.
10	It's a beautiful day I think, and I want to thank
11	you for having me. Briefly, I want to say a few
12	things.
13	Thank you all, the total Commission, for
14	supporting our program now since 1981. We have
15	made a lot of different changed a lot of lives
16	for deaf people and people who are hard of hearing,
17	deaf/blind and even speech impaired. Without our
18	equipment, they would have almost impossible to
19	have any kind of access, so I appreciate you
20	setting up this program way back when.
21	Over the years, we have served quite a few
22	quite a bit of people. But sadly, as you know, the
23	law has become antiquated, obsolete. The equipment
24	is only focused on landlines.
25	While we are doing everything that we can to

1	meet the needs of this community, people need
2	access through the phone through phones, and
3	some of our equipment, just a few of our pieces of
4	equipment are accessible. Most of them are not.
5	They some of them can switch between landlines
6	to Bluetooth, but Bluetooth but none of them
7	are wireless at all, unfortunately
8	unfortunately, so we have a lot of limitations in
9	what we can provide.
10	Also, as you know, many of the local companies
11	have it where it will switch from analog to
12	digital, and that makes it very difficult to have a
13	smooth phone conversation in sign language. So we
14	need to make sure that we address that.
15	Our equipment is analog. For example, I have
16	one here to show you. This is 40 years old
17	technology. This is the only thing that we can
18	currently provide, unfortunately. It's
19	embarrassing. It's an old TTY.
20	I recall reading last year's order, I think it
21	was last year, maybe two years ago, that the PSC
22	attorney, attorney group, I understood that they
23	said this is the only specialized piece of
24	equipment that we are providing as a pilot test,
25	and we needed to test tablets. That was a year or

two ago that we needed to start testing tablets.

As you know, this report to the State was two years

ago.

The biggest concern of ours is the issue of

The biggest concern of ours is the issue of landlines. They are quickly disappearing. This report is very clear about that. I mean, I predict that within five years, there won't be any landlines left. No more landlines at all, and then our deaf and hard of hearing community will be totally left behind. So that's going to be very challenging for those people who have no more access to phones.

The equipment has become obsolete, and so we recommend that you please pay attention, that you please focus on that again. We are very lucky to have a program in Florida, and we hope we can continue to provide what we have.

As you see in the budget, we have maintained the same level, as well as we've done a lot more promotion and outreach. We have got to do more outreach to let people know. We have several different ways we can do that. We can focus on digital media more than hard print. We do see some progress in that area.

For example, over the last two years, COVID

had a extreme impact on us, but the regional
distribute -- with the regional distribution
centers. Progress has gone down, but we are
looking at it coming up. It's starting to come
back up.

Last -- in the last three months, we've added over 600 services, which is a great increase. Now we are very excited about that. And I just wanted to bring your attention to the budget to include new service fees, so we have had to -- we need that increase so hopefully the centers can continue to support us.

17 out of 20 centers are continuing to work with us for the next two years. If we increase the service fee, if you noticed in the report, your order, that a slight increase in the service fee, and we did that. We did the analysis last year, December -- November or December we provided that report staff -- to staff.

That -- their request was a huge increase based on this report, almost double. I don't think that's feasible at this time. So instead, we did further analysis. We looked at the charge -- the surcharge being increased. It hadn't been increased for so long, but then to almost double,

1 so we -- I shared that with the centers twice, and 2. they seem to be able to accept that. 3 Again, we are looking ahead at new approaches 4 over the next several years, and hopefully we will 5 be able to serve more people. Another thing is that we are requesting that 6 7 you -- for you to set up the FTRI chat. We are 8 going to be working on that as well over the next The budget will also include adding 9 few months. 10 staff for that purpose, and we will have -- we will be adding a third distribution program coordinator 11 12 to meet this demand. 13 When customers call the Tallahassee -- more 14 and more customers are calling the Tallahassee 15 center, so our staff has been overwhelmed, and that 16 is continuing to build. So the Tallahassee office 17 serves every -- all the centers around the state. 18 We definitely see for the future we are going to 19 have to make some changes for the service 20 providers, so the budget reflects that. 21 We are looking forward to a continued 22 partnership with you. 23 CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Mr. Bradley.

24

25

Commissioners might also have questions.

I do have a few questions, and other

I just -- I first just want to thank you for accepting this role. I know the Board worked really hard to bring the right person in to do this job, and I think they got it right. They spent a lot of time, and we've had some turnover in this role, and so I appreciate you taking on the challenge, because it is a tough one.

I also know that you are well aware this is an important issue to me, and I have felt for a while now that the status quo may not be satisfying what we really need to be doing in this role. And, of course, the Commission's authority is limited to a certain extent, because it's specifically to the budget oversight and not necessarily operational components in that case.

But I did want to ask you, you mentioned RDCs and the additional funding that they may need. The recommendation talks a lot about the struggle that both our Commission staff and FTRI have had getting responses from those entities so we can make an accurate calculation. I think it was really a two-year process to get to those numbers.

Do you believe that funding those RDCs at that potential break-even level would materially benefit their ability to distribute equipment, or just to

2.

1 provide services in general?

2.

MR. BRADLEY: Good question. When we did the analysis, we looked at every possibility whether or not we could fill the centers needs, RDCs needs.

The issue with the analysis was that not all of the RDCs provided feedback. They didn't give us good statistics, good numbers, enough information to be able to do a descent analysis. Some included them, some did not, so it varied across the state. So we had to look at a very broad range of responses.

As you may have heard, some groups would like what we call advanced funding to the centers retroactive. Honestly, I am not ready for that because advanced allocations require more responsibility. So what we have with the service fee increase, an increase of almost double, the centers had no objection to that.

And A funny thing, when we had the Zoom meeting, I guess two -- two or three months ago now, what we proposed -- when we proposed a new surcharge, we received very positive comments from a few, and the rest were silent. So I am hopeful that this is a first step.

And again, we will do this again every year and review it just to see if we've been successful.

1 If it's not successful, then we will look for 2. another option. If not, the possibility being, as 3 I mentioned previously. 4 Again, we want what's best for the centers, 5 and the centers are very challenged right now. They don't have the same number of staff that they 6 7 For example, a lot of the RDCs have used to have. 8 only two or three staff who are focused on this 9 program -- they used to have two or three, and now 10 they are down to one. That makes it very difficult 11 for them to serve their individual communities. 12 So we are looking at probably changing our 13 approach where the customers call us here in 14 Tallahassee, and then we make a referral to the 15 local center, and hopefully they will respond. Ιf 16 they don't respond within a week or two, we are 17 going to follow up and send that customer directly 18 their equipment, the phones. We will communicate, 19 we will explain on line how to use their equipment. 20 So for right now, the centers seem to be accepting 21 what we are -- what we are proposing. 22 CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you. 23 And I have one more question for you, Mr. 24 Bradley. 25 The equipment distribution program

coordinator, the additional position, is that, in part, due to the advancements in technology and maybe in part also to COVID, and the switch from having more in-person operations with the RDCs to putting the burden more on the FTRI in the Tallahassee office, is that --

7 MR. BRADLEY: Yes. Yes. Commissioner, you 8 are correct.

We are faced -- we faced a crisis, for example a couple of months ago, when we had a staff ill with COVID, and some staff did -- were kind of not knowing what to -- didn't know what to do, so we were down to two when we only had -- when we are supposed to have three, and then we wound up being down to one, and they were just overwhelmed with all the calls.

The third staff person would help with relief in this process, because we get calls constantly all day long, because the promotion, the outreach is working, and the response from the public is fantastic. We feel that's very strong, and that third person will help so much with all of that -- all of those -- all that responsibility, because it's not just reference -- referencing the -- or referring them. It's also explaining and teaching

1	them. Answering questions. So the paperwork, the
2	processing, all of that information that that
3	person has to do. So it's just not a simple phone
4	call and your done. For every there is several
5	things things that have to be done for each
6	transaction.
7	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you.
8	I have got one question for staff, and I want
9	to make sure my colleagues have the opportunity to
10	ask anything that they may want to.
11	The recommendation talks about the different
12	budget calculations in Issue 1 and some of the
13	things we talked about with these positions. Issue
14	2 talks about the rate, the proposed rate change,
15	which essentially comes from the Board, from what I
16	understand, that sends that forward.
17	Based on that, it looks like so part of the
18	evaluation is due to a potential surplus that we
19	had last year. Is that is that abnormal? Is
20	that is that range of surplus normal, or is that
21	more of a product of the pandemic and not having
22	localized resources being used?
23	MR. WILLIAMS: I think it's more of an issue
24	of the pandemic. In most recent years, we have not
25	seen a surplus. It's been shortfall.

1	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
2	And Mr. Bradley talked a little bit about some
3	of the things that they need, and I know he hasn't
4	been on the job long, and I am fully confident he
5	is going to do amazing job and make improvements
6	where needed. I want to make sure that from a
7	Commission perspective approving this budget, that
8	we are giving him the time and the ability to do
9	that. I am not sure, based on the recommendation,
10	we have all the information we may need solely
11	because some of the RDCs haven't responded to
12	certain things. We have some pending thoughts
13	about how the program should look, or if changes
14	should be made in statutes, or just in general
15	operationally. And so I have I have serious
16	concerns about adjusting that fee downward. I know
17	the statute has a cap on it. It can't exceed 25
18	cents.
19	Historically, are we is that number
20	considered low? High? Like, do you know where we
21	are, maybe in the past 20 years, kind of in that
22	range?
23	MR. WILLIAMS: We are currently at 10 cents,
24	it's about in the middle. The you know, we
25	staff we looked at various scenarios as far as

1 reducing the surcharge, and FTRI, they proposed the 2. three-cent reduction. So that's kind of -- kind of 3 midpoint. 4 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. If the fee remains what 5 it is today, at that 10-cent level, a we, as a commission, review the budget based on the proposed 6 7 expenses and that fee remaining where it is -- this 8 is just hypothetically -- would we do a 9 continuation for a year and say we want to give Mr. 10 Bradley time to evaluate these things, come back to 11 us with a budget with maybe programs or changes 12 that he thinks are legitimate, would we still be 13 potentially in the red for this fiscal year if --14 if we capped it at that number, or would there be a 15 surplus? 16 MR. WILLIAMS: No, we would not. If the rate 17 remained where it is now, at 10 cents, it would 18 basically have a very minimal effect on their 19 budget. It would basically be break even. 20 Staff did some analysis, and it -- it 21 approximately maybe an additional \$100,000 surplus. 22 For example, you know, based on their proposed 23 budget, if -- if we look at the proposed surcharge 24 at seven cents, reducing it by three cents, that 25 has an effect on their revenue where they would

1 have a shortfall of about \$1 million. 2. CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. And last question for 3 I know historically the Commission has talked 4 about the process of setting the budget limits, and 5 then certain categories of where the money can go in and not go in. And it's my understanding if, 6 7 for example, we did a continuation and kept the fee 8 where it was, if Mr. Bradley wanted to come back into the Commission for something that he, at this 9 10 time, has just not thought of in the budget, does 11 he have to wait the full year before we approve 12 that budget, or is there a change potentially in a 13 category range that he could bring forward to us? 14 No, he does not. MR. WILLIAMS: FTRI would 15 not need to wait. They -- they have some 16 flexibility within your approved budget, the 17 approved budget amount, to move funds from category 18 to category. So they have some flexibility there, 19 and -- but at any time, if they were in a stressed 20 financial situation, they could come back to the 21 Commission for relief. But they do have some 22 flexibility during the fiscal year to make some 23 adjustments within the approved budget. 24 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you. 25 I mean, Commissioners, my opinion on this,

1 just one Commissioner, but I think Mr. Bradley has 2. not necessarily had the time to do a full 3 evaluation of what would be appropriate going 4 forward, and I have -- I have concerns about 5 reducing that fee based on the recommendation, knowing that that would be a significant reduction 6 7 Although, the trust fund sits with some of funds. 8 funds in it, it would start to draw down that trust 9 fund fairly quickly on an annual basis. And so I 10 hope that we would give consideration to a 11 continued budget for purposes of the fee. 12 trust that Mr. Bradley will bring us back things 13 that he believes will improve this scenario. 14

And some of those are those lawyers that are creating limitations for you, Mr. Bradley, and we know you -- it's fair for you to be annoyed with lawyers. It's an appropriate position to be, but we really do want you to be able to do what you need do to fulfill the obligations. And when I looked at the statute again last night, I just have a lot of heartburn when we start talking about advancements in technology and efficient use of these funds, and I am just not sure in the current status we are really fulfilling those obligations that are in the statute, and so I want to give you

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	the opportunity to do that.
2	So with that, Commissioners, I would be happy,
3	if you have any questions for either Mr. Bradley or
4	staff.
5	Commissioner Clark, you are recognized.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yeah. One question, Mr.
7	Chairman, and I am going to withhold my lawyer
8	comments just out much respect.
9	I wanted to just ask a question about the
10	trust fund. I mean, we basically have a five-year
11	operating budget in the trust fund. We can operate
12	for five years with no revenues.
13	Is there a minimum amount that we are required
14	to hold in the trust fund, or can that be taken
15	down to a lower level?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Clark, no, there
17	is there is not a minimum amount that we are
18	required to hold.
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there any foreseeable
20	reason that we need to be holding a trust fund
21	balance this large? I mean, I think I may be off
22	base, but five years of operating revenue seems to
23	be a very healthy trust fund. If we can lower the
24	fees and still maintain the margins and reserves
25	that we need to operate in, I think and I am

1	certainly in favor of funding more programs,
2	especially when it comes to maybe some more of the
3	digital solutions. I would love to see an expanded
4	program, or expanded budget that included new
5	services and new opportunities, but to me, it just
6	appears that we are sitting on a lot of funds, and
7	if we can reduce expenses, or reduce expenses to
8	consumers at any point in time, that would
9	certainly be a plus with me, Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you.
11	Other questions?
12	Commissioner Passidomo, you are recognized.
13	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairman.
15	Thank you, Mr. Bradley, for being here. I
16	really appreciate hearing your perspective and the
17	challenges that you are facing, which is, you know,
18	hamstringed by budgetary constraints and things.
19	So I do have a quick question. I am just
20	curious whether FTRI does have an adopted
21	investment policy for that, you know, 15 million
22	reserve, in the reserve, and how you, you know,
23	what kind of financial institutions hold those,
24	that money and, you know, making investments to
25	make more.
I .	

1 MR. BRADLEY: Thank you, Commissioner, for 2 that question.

It's my understanding that the funding and the allocations, whatever that's called, it's a way for the banks to classify PSC's -- the public funds.

It's like a savings account actually. We don't have good -- a good investment policy, because a long time ago, we asked that question, I believe to staff, if I remember correctly, the Board had a lot of discussion about it. That was, gosh, probably 10 years ago. And it was not clarified how to allocate the funding. There was just a very slight little interest rate, not much at all. I think it's pretty pitiful, I have got to tell you.

So we've got to decide how to work on some of these issues that the bank has with the funding, and the challenges, so we decided to put that to the side for the time to figure out and how the direction the funding needed to go in with the bank.

And it really -- they really are public fund, the peoples' money, and we have an obligation to increase that investment. But right now, we are not -- the increase is not clarified. It is not understood how that investment is happening, and

1	it's not happening yet.
2	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Well, thank you.
3	And I agree with the Chairman. I know that,
4	you know, you haven't had much time to work
5	through, and there is a lot of challenges, so I am
6	sure we'll get to that.
7	I do have one, also a follow-up question for
8	staff. Just, you know, at first blush, it sort of
9	appears that staff's recommendation is higher than
10	what FTRI proposed for their budget, and so and
11	just for, you know, those tuning in, and all of
12	that, I just wanted you to have the opportunity to
13	explain why staff's recommendation is higher than
14	the original proposed budget.
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Commissioner, I can
16	respond. The I think you are referring to the
17	\$208,042 of additional expense that staff
18	recommended be included in the budget.
19	That amount is basically, when FTRI calculated
20	the relay service expense, they did not use the
21	current surcharge rates approved by the Commission
22	last year. There was a calculation error that
23	resulted in a it was basically a computational
24	error of the \$208,042 using the old surcharge
25	rates.

1	Staff discovered that our analysis, so we just
2	added that amount to their current budget. But
3	their proposed expenses were lower before we
4	before we included it, and they continue to be
5	lower when compared to the 2021-2022 fiscal year
6	budget.
7	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Commissioner
9	Passidomo.
10	Commissioner La Rosa, you are recognized.
11	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Thank you, Chairman.
12	And this question is going to be related or is
13	going to be to staff.
14	Talking about the technology discussion, my
15	concern is that we advanced technologies it can be
16	a slippery slope, meaning that it's hard to catch
17	up and it's hard to integrate as new technologies
18	are evolved and created every day.
19	Looking at the way their budget is structured
20	in the different categories, is there any
21	prohibition or limitations that FTRI would have in
22	either testing or advancing new technology
23	recommendations back to us with the way their
24	current budget is structured?
25	MR. WILLIAMS: The equipment that FTRI can

	1	distribute is established by statute. So the
	2	equipment that they currently provide, they have
	3	special approval for that equipment. Outside of
	4	that, what is allowed through the statute would not
	5	would not be covered under under legislative
	6	authority.
	7	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: So I guess my more
	8	my direct question is could they test technology
	9	and recommend either a change in statute or a
	10	recommendation to us, which would be more
	11	beneficial to them to advance their product and
	12	reaching out to help more people?
	13	MR. WILLIAMS: I believe that it would require
	14	it would need to be an interpretation of the
	15	statute. I am not an attorney, so I can't exactly
	16	say what that interpretation would be. But to
	17	permanently distribute equipment that's not
	18	currently covered by statute would require
	19	statutory change.
	20	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Thank you.
	21	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Commissioner
	22	La Rosa.
	23	And I just I do have a follow-up question
	24	for staff based on some of the questions we've had.
	25	So that limitation that has been it's been
- 1		

1 in previous recommendations, but the Commission has 2. discussed the telecommunication device definition, 3 and what can and can't be included in that. 4 believe in previous, maybe two or three years going 5 back, but there were discussions in our budget recommendation that included by definition a smart 6 7 device, some device that performed a number of different services. 8

> I think, Mr. Williams, you said that like a great lawyer, even though you are not one, it's up for interpretation. And so I think looking at that definition, there are ways to read that to be inclusive of devices, and some may agree with that interpretation and some may not. But I would presume if Mr. Bradley came back to us with some form of a pilot program, or maybe as Commissioner La Rosa stated it, just maybe some research and some better understanding as to if those are used in other states, or if they are effective and maybe present it back to us at that time, then the Commission could make a determination if we felt that fell within the statute -- within statutory limitations or not, but he would at least have the opportunity to do that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is -- are you aware, are there -- are there

1	other states that have considered this stuff and
2	implemented smart devices in a way that's
3	effective? And I am not asking you to speak for
4	the commissions, but I mean, are those programs
5	being adopted?
6	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, some states are providing
7	more advanced equipment.
8	And I will add also that even with FTRI and
9	Mr. Bradley can follow up if he would like but
10	they do offer some equipment that is borderline,
11	but it is it does, in our in staff's
12	interpretation, it falls it's consistent with
13	the statute. But there is newer equipment that can
14	be used in combination with, for example, a smart
15	phone. It's a it's a landline technology, but
16	it can be adapted to be used for both, so there
17	there are some creative ways to look at it.
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Yeah, thank you.
19	And I want to give Mr. Bradley an opportunity
20	to comment, if you would like, Mr. Bradley.
21	MR. BRADLEY: Thank you, Curtis. I would like
22	to follow up.
23	I want to clarify my understanding of the
24	statute, and I appreciate the legal interpretation
25	and all. However, what's amazing to me is the law

1	is so vague, so unclear. For example, if you look
2	at TASA, there is one line that says okay,
3	nowhere in the law does it say you must use a
4	landline. However, that one there is a sentence
5	that says, quote for example, I think it's a TTY
6	use a they use landlines. That's one example.
7	But all of the other equipment, it doesn't say
8	anything about a landline.
9	So that being said, I am sorry, I mean, that
10	that that really limits the interpretation.
11	So that's one thing that has me greatly concerned.
12	Another is the law allows for exploration of
13	new technology. There is a statement in the law
14	for those two things.
15	And I hope I am not wrong, the State of
16	Georgia, I spoke with one of the administrators
17	with the relay service program in Georgia last
18	week. I was in Orlando for or New Orleans for a
19	national conference, and I asked if they succeeded
20	in getting wireless tablets the tablets and
21	iPads, for example. I asked him how do we get
22	that? Is the surcharge part of that? We can't do
23	that.
24	But they responded, they said that they still
25	require landlines and landline equipment, however,

1	some chances for the public their public
2	commissioner public commission agreed to set up
3	a tablet program that's income-based.
4	Also, all the they all provide
5	communication apps, and that seems to be working
6	well for Georgia. And make we can ask staff here
7	to check with Georgia, but I see a lot of
8	possibilities. Again, I am following what you tell
9	us to do.
10	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Mr. Bradley.
11	Commissioners, I don't see any other questions
12	or comments. I think, obviously, I have made my
13	position clear, though I will say, in honor of my
14	colleague Commissioner Clark over here, I think he
15	may be the best I know at working on compromise,
16	and so there might be a position that the
17	Commission holistically could support, so then we
18	give Mr. Bradley some time to look at some of these
19	things, to maybe look at Georgia. We certainly
20	don't want Georgia to do anything parties than us.
21	So we want the opportunity probably to look at that
22	and make a decision, but I think you made some fair
23	points, Commissioner Clark.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Chairman, I would
25	like also just to reiterate. I think we kind of

1	kudos to Commissioner Passidomo for her evaluation
2	of the interest rate. I assumed that trust fund
3	was in Florida, was in the state of Florida
4	accounts, but apparently you hold those trust funds
5	in your bank, is that correct?
6	MR. BRADLEY: Yes, that's correct.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that is earning an
8	interest rate of about .1 percent. You have got
9	\$22 million that can be generating a whole lot more
10	money than that. You could fund your entire
11	category two equipment budget with a descent
12	interest rate.
13	So I would certainly encourage us to look at
14	what the constraints are, and the requirements are
15	for that money, look at some ways that money could
16	be invested and get us a little better return on as
17	well
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great.
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: make up your other
20	three cents, Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: I appreciate that, Commissioner
22	Clark. I think having Mr. Bradley come back to us
23	both about some of the new technologies that we
24	discussed, potentially what some of the other
25	states are doing, to your point about the

1	investment component, I think that would
2	potentially generate additional revenue on an
3	annual basis, and to your point, might, over time,
4	allow us to reduce that fee even more
5	significantly.
6	And one of the reasons I think it's been
7	reduced in the past, and the Board has recommended
8	this approval here is appropriate, but some states
9	have different structures. As Mr. Bradley
10	mentioned, some some of the fees are tied to all
11	cellular lines, or all landlines, or all forms of
12	communication. And under our structure, they are
13	just tied to landlines at this point, and so I
14	think that does reduce his options of incoming
15	revenue. But what might be the appropriate way to
16	do this, as we give technology time to advance and
17	maybe find some solutions for these individuals
18	that, by the Americans with Disabilities Act, are
19	entitled to these communications services.
20	And so with that I would be happy to entertain
21	a motion on this item from any Commissioners, or I
22	am also happy to pass the gavel if I need to.
23	Mr. Futrell, you have a comment.
24	MR. FUTRELL: Chairman, just a reminder. Ms.
25	Brown is on the phone if you care to hear from her.

1	CHAIRMAN FAY: Good point, Mr. Futrell.
2	Ms. Brown, we didn't want to leave you out. I
3	know you were available for questions, but we will
4	make sure if you have anything to add.
5	MS. BROWN: No. No. I am good. I am here if
6	you guys have any questions for me.
7	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Brown.
8	Commissioners with that, do I have a motion?
9	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Chairman, I am more
10	than happy to help out here. I am not sure what
11	your preference was and which direction you wanted
12	us to take this.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. Commissioner Clark, my
14	thought was I think there is a pretty good
15	consensus on Issue 1 related to the budget itself,
16	and what's proposed.
17	And so Issue 2, I think we could discuss kind
18	of what number would be appropriate for that
19	reduction. But my thought is based on your
20	comments, which were very appropriate, is under
21	that Issue 2, to just create a continuation of that
22	revenue at the 10-cent fee, and have Mr. Bradley
23	come back to us. That gives us a good perspective
24	of the long-term plan and how we could continue to
25	reduce that, maybe on an annual bases, or however

1	it would be get up to do go. Doggies T do thist
1	it would be set up to do so. Because I do think
2	that the technology advancements are going to
3	provide services at significantly lower cost, and
4	at times, sometimes just reducing the cost to the
5	State itself. And so I want to make sure we gave
6	him the time to be able to do that. And so that
7	was my proposal on Issue 2, was to keep a
8	continuation of that fee, and then Issue 3 is just
9	to close the docket.
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Basically, staff rec,
11	just change the seven cents back to 10 cents and
12	give them time to come back with a budget?
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Correct. And I think, to your
14	point, Commissioner Clark, we are as Mr. Bradley
15	said, he would like direction from us.
16	Mr. Bradley, I think the comments that you
17	have heard from us today is we would like you to
18	look at those things that we mentioned and come
19	back with us with your thoughts and ideas,
20	understanding that there may be some legal
21	limitations that are present, but we still want you
22	bring those forward, so the Commission can have the
23	opportunity to decide what would be appropriate,
24	and maybe the best way to provide these services in
25	a way that's consistent with the statute that
I	

1	requires incorporation of technology and efficient
2	use of those funds. And so if you could do that on
3	your part, that would be give the Commission a
4	better opportunity to make a decision in the
5	future.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I will move staff
7	recommendation on Items 1 and 3. And on Item 2,
8	changing the seven-cent per month back to the
9	currently charged 10 cents per month.
10	CHAIRMAN FAY: So with that, we have a motion.
11	Do we have a second?
12	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: We have a motion and a second
14	on that item.
15	All that support say aye.
16	(Chorus of ayes.)
17	CHAIRMAN FAY: Any opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN FAY: With that, show that item
20	passing.
21	Thank you, Mr. Bradley, for all your time. We
22	appreciate you being here today, and to Ms. Brown
23	for being on the phone. Thank you.
24	With that, Commissioners, that will conclude
25	our Agenda meeting. We will allow about 15 minutes

```
for staff and parties to set up here. And so let
1
 2
          me look at the clock to give you -- I would say at
          10:35 we will start back for the hearing.
 3
 4
          you.
 5
                (Agenda item concluded.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 22nd day of July, 2022.
19	
20	
21	
22	Debli R Laci
23	DEBRA R. KRICK
24	NOTARY PUBLIC  COMMISSION #HH31926
25	EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024