1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 20220069-GU
5	Petition for rate	
6	by Florida City Ga	AS/
7		
8	PROCEEDINGS:	SPECIAL AGENDA CONFERENCE
9	COMMISSIONERS	
10	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ANDREW GILES FAY COMMISSIONER MIKE LA ROSA
11		COMMISSIONER GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO
12	DATE:	Tuesday, March 28, 2023
13	TIME:	Commenced: 10:30 a.m. Concluded: 11:20 a.m.
14	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
15		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
17	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK
18		Court Reporter
19		
20		PREMIER REPORTING
21		112 W. 5TH AVENUE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
22		(850) 894-0828
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: It looks like we have everybody
3	ready. Are you good? We will go ahead and get
4	started then a few minutes her here at 1:30.
5	So we will call this March 28th, Special
6	Agenda Conference to order. Ms. Knoblauch, you are
7	recognized to present the Special Agenda item.
8	MS. KNOBLAUCH: Thank you.
9	Good morning. Today's agenda is to address
10	the petition filed on May 31st, 2022, by Florida
11	City Gas, or FCG, seeking Commission approval of a
12	base rate increase.
13	FCG is a natural gas distribution company
14	providing service to approximately 116,000
15	customers, with service territory in eight
16	counties. The last approved rate case for FCG was
17	filed in 2017, and resolved by the Commission's
18	approval of a settlement agreement in 2018.
19	Two virtual and two in-person customer service
20	hearings were held in September of 2022. An
21	administrative hearing was held from December 12th
22	through the 13th. And at that hearing, the
23	Commission approved several stipulations as noted
24	in staff's recommendation.
25	FCG stated that the key drivers for this

1	proposed rate increase were the costs associated
2	with its liquified natural gas, or LNG facility,
3	which are addressed in Issue 12; reclassification
4	of its safety access and facility enhancement, or
5	SAFE Program revenues from surcharge to base rate,
6	which was stipulated prior to the hearing; adoption
7	of depreciation parameters from another proceeding
8	and a reserve surplus amortization mechanism, or
9	RSAM. These are addressed in Issues 5 and 67. And
10	to allow the company to earn a fair return on its
11	investment, which is addressed in Issue 29.
12	Today's Special Agenda is the Commission's
13	opportunity to vote on these and all remaining
14	issues identified in the docket. Staff has
15	prepared a suggested voting block chart which
16	includes taking up two issues out of order, and
17	those issues are 67 and 42.
18	This is a post-hearing decision, so
19	participation is limited to Commissioners and
20	staff.

The subsequent rate issues, Issues 60, 61, 62, 65 and 66, will be brought before the Commission at the April 25th, 2023, Special Agenda. An oral modification was approved specific to those five issues to reflect the scheduling change to a

21

22

23

24

1	subsequent rate agenda. No other changes were
2	requested to the recommendation as filed, and the
3	oral modification has been placed in the docket
4	file.
5	Staff is available for any questions.
6	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Ms.
7	Knoblauch. I appreciate the summary on the Special
8	Agenda item.
9	Commissioners, what I would like to do, and I
10	am happy to take some feedback here, but we will
11	have an opportunity, if you want to provide any
12	opening comments on the Agenda, we will allow you
13	to do that, and then go through the proposed blocks
14	that are put forward.
15	I know we've had a descent amount of time to
16	look through this rate case, but there is also a
17	lot to unpack, and so if you if you would like,
18	when we get to each block, I am happy to recognize
19	staff to provide additional information, or any
20	sort of summary at that time, or just allow
21	questions at that time if that is the prerogative
22	of the Commission.
23	And so with that, if either of you would like
24	to provide any opening comments for yes,
25	Commissioner La Rosa, you are recognized.

1	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA	Excellent.	Thank you,
2	Chairman.		

And I am -- I am okay with going through the block schedule kind of the way it's been laid out, and I will certainly chime in on -- on the items that I feel are necessary and maybe warrant for it.

But I will start with this, is that I kind of jokingly this morning came in saying, you know, gas rate cases are not easy, right. And this, by far -- I have been here now a little over two years at the Commission. This has probably been, at least for me, the most difficult rate case to analyze, to go through. There is a lot of moving parts to it, some first times, which is unique in itself.

And, you know, the one item that I could not ignore is what's happening overall in our economy, what the timing of this is, and I -- and I look back and I think back to the time where we went actually to the customers and heard from them. And although, that seems like that was so long ago -- Chairman, maybe for you even longer, because a lot has changed in your life since then. But I remember hearing what the customers were saying, and I remember looking at their expressions on their faces and interjecting in their voice -- the

interjection in their voices, and that means a lot
to me as well. Because I believe that at the end
of the day, the customer service aspect of it has
been well. Very well. In fact, if I was a
business, I would -- I would appreciate some of the
comments that I heard that customers said.

Of course customers are concerned about cost increases. And of course customers are concerned about what's going on in the economy. And even though back then, in September, it -- it seemed like we were on the cusp of -- of things changing, I think even more has changed economically since then.

And what I constantly heard over and over and over was, wow, we are starting to feel the pinch of it, and we are starting to feel the pinch of that.

And I -- and as I look back, I believe that is -- that is -- that is still occurring, and folks are still feeling a pinch.

And I think one of the most important elements that we can provide, at least what's been going through my mind as I analyze this, is how we can create stability long-term for them. Whether you are a business, whether you are an individual, stability, and understanding where things go and

1	now to plan for that is incredible value. And
2	that's part of lens in which I analyzed what was
3	pefore us today.

With that said, staff has done a phenomenal job. We had a great briefing yesterday, I believe, and then staff has done a great job of analyzing and breaking this down. And I joked yesterday, saying, hey, from a layman's perspective I understand this. Maybe there is some technicalities that I got to dig a little bit deeper, but I think you have done a great job.

At the end of the day, I think that there is multiple roads to go down when you want to get to it -- to a certain location, and staff has maybe laid out one. And I don't think that there is an absolute right and absolute wrong. That's what I learned here on this job, is that different from what happens downtown, where sometimes things become black and white, that's not exactly how it happens here at the PSC.

So with that, Chairman, those are my opening comments. I just wanted to kind of lay the foundation, the understanding the groundwork of where I am coming from, and how I analyzed this rate case. I will be happy to turn it back over to

1	you. Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. I'm happy to give you
3	that opportunity.
4	Commissioner Passidomo, anything you would
5	like to mention before we take up this first block,
6	you are welcome to do so.
7	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8	I will just say I will just reserve my
9	comments for the specific issues that I think we
10	will all probably want to dive into deeper
11	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay great.
12	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: so you just start
13	whenever you are.
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. And once again, once we
15	take up those blocks, Commissioners, we we have
16	the appropriate staff for each item available here.
17	I I won't list all of them by name for each
18	item, but just knowing that within that block, we
19	do have the appropriate staff members that will be
20	available. And even if they are not immediately
21	sitting up here at the front row, we will make sure
22	that they are made available if it's a question
23	that we need their expertise on. We want to get
24	this right, so don't hesitate if we need to pull in
25	somebody from our team, or our staff, to answer

1	that.
2	So Commissioners, with that, we will begin and
3	take up the first block of items, which would be
4	the Test Period and Forecasting. It would be Items
5	1, 2 and 3. I am happy to take up any questions or
6	comments on Items 1, 2 or 3, under Test Period and
7	Forecasting.
8	Seeing no questions or comments on these three
9	items, I will take up a motion.
10	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, motion to
11	approve staff's recommendation on 1, 2 and 3.
12	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: And second.
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: We have a motion and a second.
15	Show that unanimously item staff recommendation
16	on Items 1, 2 and 3 are approved.
17	Next we will move to Quality of Service, which
18	is specifically Item 4 in this docket. So,
19	Commissioners, with that, I will be happy to take
20	up any questions or comments on Quality of Service
21	Item No. 4 at this time.
22	Showing no questions or comments, we will take
23	up a motion on Item No. 4.
24	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, move staff
25	Item No. 4.

1	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion and a
3	second to move staff's recommendation on Item 4.
4	Show that item passing unanimously.
5	Next, Commissioners, we will move on to
6	Depreciation Study and Other Issues. And as Ms.
7	Knoblauch pointed out, within this block we will
8	have Issues 5 and then Issue 67, that's correlated
9	to that item taken up.
10	So with that, Depreciation Study and Other
11	Issues, Item 5 and 67, I will take any questions
12	for our staff or any comments at this time.
13	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, I don't have
14	any questions necessarily on this, but I will I
15	will open, and this will probably cut certainly
16	lead you to where where you think I am going to
17	go with 67 and well.
18	Analyzing the witnesses within this case, I
19	think, going back to kind of where I started in
20	in trying to create and preserve rate stability
21	long-term, I think that we should propose the RSAM
22	adjusted depreciation rates as what's been
23	reasonably alternative to support the RSAM
24	mechanism. And I think that ultimately, things
25	were laid out. And, again, this wasn't an easy

1 decision, but that's -- that's where I fall behind. 2. I wish -- I wish this was done differently, 3 frankly. And I looked, again, through the lens of 4 what's happening right now, what was put in front 5 of us right now, and not necessarily to say that this is an easy decision to say, boom, rubber stamp 6 7 this time and time again. 8 I think -- I think ultimately the case was 9 laid out that led me to -- to lean towards creating 10 that rate stability. And again, that's -- that's 11 where I stand on this, and I want to throw it back 12 to you, but happy to make a motion when the time is 13 right. 14 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you, Commissioner La Rosa. 15 16 I just have a few quick comments and then, 17 Commissioner Passidomo, if you have anything you 18 would like to add, I want to make sure we include you on this discussion. 19 20 I know for Issue 5, as Commissioner La Rosa 21 had referred to, there is different -- different 22 roads or different options that we can take. 23 found with the three depreciation studies -- or the 24 depreciation parameters that were put forward under 25 the three scenarios, that they, in my opinion, just

sort of the ranked from top to bottom, in that the original depreciation parameters from the utility I thought had a lot of substantiated information that met the rule requirements, and also what I think 366 intends to include.

And then OPC -- OPC's numbers had, of course, some -- some adjustments. But the reality being that some of the specific testimony from the utility that related to criticisms of that study spoke to the additional information that was provided to make those numbers better, to make them more specific to the utility itself. And I think that same criticism is fairly applied to the alternative depreciation parameters that were put forward.

And so in my opinion, obviously all of these meet the requirement, but I -- the preferred numbers that were put forward, in my opinion, were the original depreciation parameters put forward by the utility, and not the alternative, or OPC's presented numbers.

So that -- that's where I stand on that,

Commissioner Passidomo, if you would like to

provide any comments, or if you have any questions

for our staff, and then we can take up a motion and

2.

discuss that motion.

2 COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Sure. Yeah. I have
3 -- I have some comments, and then I do have one
4 question for staff.

My briefing that I was really struggling with this item. I think we have seen in the past that the RSAM can really be a tool utilities use to, as Commissioner La Rosa alluded to, rates certainty and continuity are really important for customers, and they value that, but I also understand staff's concerns, when FCG relying on another utility's depreciation parameters.

My -- my main concern in the rec, reviewing some of the citations, I am having trouble finding some discovery that supports the claims that are being made. And as, you know, we all know, our decisions really need to be based on competent and substantial evidence that has been developed thoroughly in the record.

So my question for staff here is I would like to -- if we have the numbers, the revenue requirement and the corresponding rate impact on customers with the 2022 staff, you know, the depreciation study of FCG's '22 parameters, if we

1	have that information.
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Mr. Cicchetti, you are
3	recognized.
4	MR. CICCHETTI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	Are you asking for the revenue requirement
6	with the original study?
7	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Yes, Mr. Cicchetti.
8	The rate yeah, the rate impact for the staff
9	proposed depreciation parameters.
10	MR. CICCHETTI: It would be \$16,635,469.
11	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: And do you have the
12	information that same impact if the RSAM were to
13	be approved?
14	MR. CICCHETTI: Yes. If the RSAM were to be
15	approved, it would be \$10,859,829, recognizing that
16	that incorporates a 9.5 percent ROE, and does not
17	include the acquisition adjustment.
18	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Right. Yeah. And we
19	will
20	MR. CICCHETTI: Either one does not include
21	the acquisition adjustment.
22	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Okay. Thank you.
23	So I think if we are you know, if we are
24	keeping this open for discussion, you know, I think
25	we, as the Commission, we have discretion in

1 deciding, you know, deciding what's beneficial for 2. customers. And if I -- I think hearing those 3 numbers, if we approve the RSAM, I think that's 4 going to satisfy our duty of establishing fair, 5 just and reasonable rates, because, you know, we just heard that it will, in fact, be lower in rates 6 7 for customers when they go into effect. 8 And right now, I am -- you know, I am 9 cognizant of customers' wallets today. When these 10 rates go into effect with high inflation and 11 potential -- the potential for the RSAM to grant 12 some reprieve to customers when this happens --13 when these go into affect. 14 So I think that gives some indication of maybe 15 which way I am leaning, but I am happy continuing 16 to discussing my thoughts. 17 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. I quess from 18 this -- Commissioners, we can take up a motion on 19 I will just add, for purposes of -- of 20 speaking just directly to the depreciation

21

22

23

24

settlement are on their face either invalid or not invalid.

I mean, the record has to prove up either way wherever those numbers come from, what's required in the statute and rule, and so that's really sort of where I was focused on for my, quote/unquote, rankings as we move them from, you know, the best, to the next option, to then the next option. So just for clarity on that, I want to make sure we are clear for purposes of voting, and then on the order what we would include.

So with that, Commissioners, I would be happy to take up a motion on Items 5 and 67.

COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Mr. Chair, before we -- before we vote, the only one last comment I would like to add, because, you know, the -- the benefits of rate certainty also correspond to, you know, the company -- the utility telling us that this is -- you know, if the RSAM is approved, it's a four-year rate plan. But as was mentioned in the rec, that's not really an enforceable provision.

So I -- you know, I -- supported by the record and the utility's testimony, I do -- I just want to put it out there that I do hope that the utility will stand by its commitment to not come back for

1	another four years if, you know, we do we do
2	vote to approve that, I just want to make sure that
3	that's said. Because it's, again, it's not
4	enforceable, but we want to encourage the utility
5	to stand by its commitment that it made in its
6	testimony during the hearing.
7	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
8	And then based on comments from my colleagues,
9	I think we can Commissioner La Rosa, I know you
10	mentioned you are you are ready to make a
11	motion. I am happy to take up sort of 5 and 67
12	together, or individually, depending on how you
13	prefer to lay them out as a motion. But I think,
14	based on what the different options are, if you
15	would provide a clear, you know, we can go this
16	lane, this lane or that lane, I think we can take 5
17	and 67 potentially up together if you feel
18	comfortable doing that.
19	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Sure. I am fine with
20	that.
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. You are recognized.
22	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Can I mention one more
23	thing?
24	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. Absolutely.
25	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Commissioner Passidomo

1	made a great point, here having two attorneys on
2	the panel with me, because you can lay that out.
3	And I did struggle on the four-year plan. Of
4	course, not being an attorney, I just say why can't
5	we just do that? And of course, statutes and other
6	things are thrown at me. And I appreciate you
7	bringing that up.
8	I also feel very much the same way, is that I
9	would love to see that attempt be taken to the
10	highest extent that it ultimately can be. And
11	again, going back to where my initial comments
12	were, is that that was the lens I was looking at
13	this, that that's ultimately hopeful is the
14	direction that the company will try within, of
15	course, its legal limits. But thank you for
16	mentioning it. I think that's an essential part
17	what we are talking about today.
18	With that, Chairman, I move that we approve
19	the proposed RSAM adjusted depreciation rates as
20	reasonable alternatives to support the RSAM
21	mechanism for Issue 5, and then I motion for 67 to
22	use FCG's request RSAM mechanism.
23	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. We have a motion
25	and a second on Items 5 and 67.

1	As my comments stated, I will be voting
2	against that motion, but show the RSAM depreciation
3	parameters and RSAM validity under 5 and 67
4	approved by the Commission.
5	So with that, legally, I just want to make
6	sure do we need anything else before we move on to
7	Items 6 through 9?
8	MS. HARPER: Not yet, but just to make you
9	aware that approving the RSAM will affect some of
10	the other issues, so we will let you know when we
11	get there.
12	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. And what I will
13	do, as I walk through those blocks, which I think
14	the first 6 through 9 will be one will be some
15	of those examples where the recommendation provided
16	if the RSAM parameters are accepted, we accept the
17	following, and if they are not, we would accept the
18	following.
19	So, Commissioners, next we will move on to the
20	Depreciation Study, which will be block 6, 7, 8 and
21	9. Under that block, we will obviously have some
22	adjustments made in response to the approval of 5
23	and 67.
24	So with that, Commissioners, any comments or
25	questions on our staff for under Depreciation

1	Study, Items 6, 7, 8 and 9?
2	Okay. Showing none, Ms. Harper, for
3	specifically voting on these items, the Commission
4	can take up this block, since we did accept the
5	RSAM depreciation values, 6 would be valid to take
6	up, correct, as far as the recommendation? In
7	other words, the values from 6 are essential based
8	on what we approved on on a 5 and 67?
9	MS. HARPER: Yes. That's correct.
10	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. And do you need
11	any other clarification? So if we accept staff's
12	recommendation based on the approval of the
13	depreciation parameters that were accepted in 5 and
14	67, we don't need anything additional legally for
15	that? We will just take up the recommendation as
16	stated? Okay. Great.
17	All right. Commissioners with that, then
18	showing no questions or comments
19	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, can I ask a
20	quick question?
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: Oh, yeah, Commissioner La Rosa,
22	go ahead.
23	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: And we are talking
24	about Table 6.1 as the staff recommendation for the
25	depreciation parameters?

1	CHAIRMAN FAY: Correct. So based on the
2	previous vote, we would be taking up those
3	parameters in 6, which is staff's recommendation.
4	I just want to make clear that those
5	recommendations for 6, 7 and 8 provide two choices
6	depending on what the vote was for 5 and 67.
7	So with that, I will take up a motion on Items
8	6 through 9.
9	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, okay, 6
10	through 9. I understand. I am just trying to
11	follow along in my notes of where we are at. So 6
12	through 9, then I I ask to move staff's
13	recommendations based on on what we just
14	approved in 5 and 67.
15	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. With that, show a motion
16	for Items 6 through 9.
17	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: And a second.
19	Let's see. I will also be supporting that
20	motion.
21	Commissioners
22	MS. HELTON: Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah.
24	MS. HELTON: I am sorry to interrupt. I think
25	for this part, it might be better if we take up

1	issue by issue, because if I am reading Issue 7
2	correctly, we need to make a you need to make a
3	choice there between two alternatives that staff
4	has presented in the issue.
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. And just for clarity,
6	Ms. Helton, so Items 5, 6 and 7 state in the
7	recommendation that if the Commission approved the
8	RSAM parameters, they essentially would accept one,
9	and if not, they would accept the other. So that's
10	that's sort of the point of clarity I was I
11	was trying to make.
12	So you think we just need to go ahead and take
13	them up individually so it's easier for the
14	purposes of the order?
15	MS. HELTON: Yes, sir. I think it would give
16	it would give me comfort if you do it that way.
17	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. No problem. I think it
18	will give us the same result, but I just want to
19	make sure that we provide clarity to what would be
20	included in the order.
21	So, Commissioner La Rosa, just based on that
22	that recommendation from the legal staff, would
23	you be comfortable going ahead and just taking up
24	Item 6 first, and motioning on that item, and then
25	walk through them?

1	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I will amend what I
2	just did what I just suggested to Issue 6 only,
3	and then I move that we move staff's
4	recommendation.
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. We have a
6	motion.
7	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: And a second.
9	Show Item 6, based on staff's recommendation,
10	approved unanimously.
11	All right. Next we will move to Item No. 7.
12	Commissioner La Rosa, you are recognized for a
13	motion on Item No. 7.
14	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: So I want to ask staff
15	a question, if they could point out to me their
16	recommendation based on what we approved in 5 and
17	67, make sure I am on the same page.
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. Whoever is appropriate
19	on staff. Mr. Smith, you are recognized.
20	MR. SMITH: I am sorry, could you repeat the
21	question?
22	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: If staff could point
23	out its it's recommendation based on what we
24	approved on 5 and on Item 5 and 67? My
25	understanding is staff recommends resulting reserve

1 surplus of \$52.1 million. 2. MR. SMITH: That's correct. Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Okay. So I move staff, 4 Mr. Chair. 5 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion. Do we have a second? 6 7 COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN FAY: And a second. 9 Show recommendation on Item No. 7 approved 10 unanimously. 11 All right. With that, Commissioners, we will 12 move to Item No. 8. Corrective Depreciation 13 Measures. Any questions or comments? 14 Seeing none, we will take up a motion on Item 15 No. 8. 16 COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, I move -- I 17 move staff on Issue No. 8. 18 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion. 19 COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second. 20 CHAIRMAN FAY: And a second. 21 Show Item No. 8, staff recommendation approved 22 unanimously. 23 And next we will move to Item No. 9, 24 Implementation of Depreciation Rates. Any 25 questions or comments on this item?

1	With that, we will take up a motion on Item
2	No. 9.
3	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, move staff on
4	Item No. 9.
5	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion for
7	approval of recommendation on Item No. 9 and a
8	second. Show that item that item passed
9	unanimously.
10	All right. Commissioners, with that, that
11	will conclude the blood under Depreciation Study of
12	Items 6, 7, 8 and 9.
13	MR. CICCHETTI: Mr. Chairman?
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yes.
15	MR. CICCHETTI: Can staff have administrative
16	administrative authority to make the changes
17	consistent with your vote?
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
19	Yeah, just for the record, show clarity that
20	the Commission, without objection, approves
21	administrative authority for the staff to make the
22	changes that they need on that, for 6 through 9.
23	And I guess, just to be clear, Mary Anne,
24	would would it be appropriate if we just clarify
25	for the record that we allow for staff to make

1	those administrative changes on all of these items?
2	There will be fallout on a number of these. We can
3	either motion each one with clarity that, in
4	addition to that motion, there is staff
5	administrative authority, or we can just state for
6	the record that, for clarity purposes, we are
7	allowing staff to to make those changes those
8	administrative changes to be
9	MS. HELTON: For all issues affected by
10	your your decision to go with the RSAM, I think
11	that would be appropriate.
12	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
13	So without objection, show that direction on
14	the approved motion so we won't have to do that on
15	on each item. And we don't have a problem with
16	doing that if you think we need to do so, but I
17	think it's clear for the record.
18	So with that, Commissioners, showing anything
19	else, we will move to Rate Base. This includes
20	some stipulated items previously voted on, which
21	are Items 10, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 21. So under Rate
22	Base, we will be taking up Items 11, 12, 13, 15,
23	17, 19, 42, 22 and 23.
24	So with that, Commissioners, we will take up
25	that that block. We can take any questions or

1	comments on any of those specific items. And then
2	if needed, we can also pull any of those items for
3	specific discussion or debate before we take those
4	items up in a block.
5	So with that, Commissioners, I know that I
6	have some comments on Item 2 and then some further
7	discussion that I would like to have on Item 15.
8	But with that, I will move into first Item Items
9	11, 12 or 13 to see if there is any questions or
10	comments for our staff.
11	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I have no questions.
12	CHAIRMAN FAY: No, seeing none.
13	Okay. My only quick comment on the Item No.
14	12, the Liquified Natural Gas Plant, I think the
15	record did reflect that the utility substantially
16	proved up the recovery of their costs there. I
17	will say that with all the volatility we've had in
18	natural gas pricing, and the concerns of being able
19	to have the resources we need to adapt quickly, the
20	plan, in itself, I think is a good a good
21	investment.
22	With that said, the utility, on the record,
23	stated that this would be in effect starting in
24	March, and so I just, for validity purposes, I
25	think it's appropriate to allow for recovery since,

1	as stated in the record by the utility, that plant
2	is now in service. So with that clarity, we can
3	move on.
4	Actually, Commissioners, since we don't have
5	any potential debate on those items, why don't we
6	take up a motion on Items 11, 12 and 13 before we
7	move to Item 15.
8	So any other comments or questions on Items
9	11, 12 or 13? Okay, with that, I will take up a
10	motion for those three items.
11	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, I move staff
12	on 11 through 13.
13	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion and a
15	second. Show Items 11, 12 and 13 passed
16	unanimously for staff's recommendation on those
17	items.
18	All right. Great. Next we will move
19	understand, since 14 is a stipulation, we will move
20	into 15. I have some comments on this item.
21	Commissioners, any questions before I go into my
22	comments on this?
23	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I don't, but I am
24	listening
25	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.

1 COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: -- anticipating to hear 2. what you have to say. 3 CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Okay. Yeah. 4 So, Commissioner La Rosa, as you stated, the 5 complexity of the gas cases, I felt that way about Its original order that allowed for the 6 this item. 7 adoption of this acquisition adjustment, and then 8 essentially provided a provisional basis as to what would allow for recovery going forward. 9 10 I think, under that normal scenario, there 11 would be some clarity as to if that was met in the 12 next rate case or not. As the recommendation in 13 the record shows, there was a settlement in between 14 that time period, and there really wasn't a lot of 15 clarity on either side as to, in that settlement 16 proceeding, which didn't talk about the specific --17 this specific issue, did that validate what was originally required under the provisional 18 19 acceptance or did it not? And I -- I had trouble 20 finding it persuasive that it was really either way 21 on that component. 22 And so, Commissioners, what I was thinking would be appropriate, is I -- I -- when we talk 23 24 about these acquisition adjustments, and I know we

25

just had another gas utility where some of this was

brought and proved up. This provisional acceptance to me requires the utility to come forward and demonstrate that some of the benefits that were stated in the original approval of the acquisition adjustment are present.

And so my thought is that we could allow the continuation of the recovery of this acquisition adjustment as previously approved, and the order approves, but going forward, we would just provide clarity to the utility that, when it comes back under that provisional requirement that was placed by the previous commission, that they would be able to show under -- and we talked about five factors, but they would show under those factors that was originally viewed on the utility, that there were some benefits.

There is obviously cost benefits. There is quantifiable numbers that can be showed at that time, but there is other variables that they could demonstrate. And so I don't know if they -- they really the opportunity to did that in the record here, and I am not sure it was really clear as if it was needed or not. And so I would be comfortable allowing the continuation of that acquisition adjustment, and the amortization that

2.

they still have left on it up until either a future purchase by another utility, and/or up until the next rate case where they would be required to prove some of that up.

And just -- just in sort of summary of that,

Commissioners, my goal is to, you know, really give

some thought and consideration as to these

acquisition adjustments, where they can really

benefit the utility, where they are appropriate.

And the other component is I just -- I have concern about creating a policy that disincentivizes any acquisition strictly because that acquisition adjustment would then fall off the books based on the idea that it was purchased by somebody else. I don't really think that's a great policy.

I understand that GAAP requires intangible assets to be taken off the books when a purchase occurs, but I really think there is some good policy reasons here to give some weight to that evaluation whenever it occurs. And if a commission previously blanketly approved it, it would be a different story. This previous commission provisionally approved this acquisition adjustment, and so for purposes of reviewing it. That's how I

2.

1	think we should take it up.
2	So with that, Commissioners, I am open up to
3	any other ideas or comments on the recommendation,
4	or questions for our staff.
5	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Just to say that I
6	that I agree with you, and I appreciate you pulling
7	this item out specifically, because there was a
8	little bit there is some nuances to it, but I do
9	agree with you wholeheartedly.
10	So I would just ask for staff, when the time
11	comes, for just help in recommending how we should
12	word that motion if it needs to be any differently.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. Great. And what I will
14	do is I will let me check with staff, too,
15	because to your point, Commissioner La Rosa,
16	sometimes staff will tell us I can't do what I just
17	said we should potentially do, and so let's
18	validate with them first that under the rate case
19	information that we have, we would be able to allow
20	for that
21	The recommendation or the item itself talks
22	about removing that component out of the revenue
23	requirement. We would just be continuing what's
24	set in the in the revenue requirement as stated
25	up until the next review, and then that record

1	would be clear that the utility comes forward
2	showing that information to continue it after
3	that that point. And I don't know when that
4	rate case will be
5	MS. NORRIS: Right.
6	CHAIRMAN FAY: but is that feasible just to
7	continue it in the revenue requirement and then
8	have it reviewed at that time?
9	MS. NORRIS: In terms of functionally, as far
10	as the data we have, we do have that to be able to
11	make that adjustment back to include it in revenue
12	requirement. And then I think, like you have laid
13	out, in terms of specific the specific
14	circumstances, or the specific provisions they
15	would have in terms of reviewing that in another
16	rate case.
17	So I believe, and check with Adria
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
19	MS. NORRIS: but I believe the information
20	would be there specific enough to to include.
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. I would love to be the
22	ones to go ahead and decide it. We just don't have
23	enough here to decide sort of either way based on
24	the fact that it was perceived to be addressed in
25	the 2018 settlement.

1	MS. HARPER: Yes, I I believe I understand
2	what you are asking for. I believe staff has the
3	numbers to continue with the acquisition adjustment
4	and include it in the revenue requirement. And if
5	you would like the order to specifically state
6	something to the effect of, and we if there is a
7	future rate case, we would like to see this proven
8	up, I believe you said
9	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah.
10	MS. HARPER: I can we can work on that.
11	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Yeah. I mean,
12	the burden rests on the utility to show it, and so
13	I just I think the order should make it clear
14	that they are the ones that would need to come
15	forward and demonstrate that, even if there was
16	some form of settlement or stipulation agreement
17	that occurred, at that time, whenever the rate case
18	is.
19	MS. HARPER: Yes, I understand. Thank you,
20	chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.
22	Okay. Commissioners, with that, then I think
23	as provided by by Ms. Norris and Ms. Harper, we
24	can take up a motion on Item No. 15.
25	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I move staff's

1	suggested continuation of revenue requirement as
2	just discussed.
3	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
4	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Is that fair enough?
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. Commissioner La Rosa
6	MS. HELTON: Maybe we could look at it this
7	way, you are denying staff's recommendation and,
8	instead, you are continuing the amortization of the
9	acquisition adjustment until the next rate case
10	when the utility must prove up under the five
11	factors why the acquisition adjustment should be
12	continued further.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
14	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: That is much better
15	said.
16	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Okay. So with that,
17	Commissioner La Rosa, as reiterated by Mary Anne,
18	we have a motion
19	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN FAY: unless you want to repeat
21	that motion.
22	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: No, second Mary Anne,
23	a through Commissioner La Rosa.
24	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. No, thank you in all
25	seriousness, Mary Anne, thank you for that help.
i e	

1	So we essentially would be rejecting the
2	removal of that that amortization under the
3	revenue requirement, and then just providing
4	clarity in that order, that the next rate case,
5	they will come forward and demonstrate that as
6	based on the variables that have been reviewed for
7	acquisition adjustment.
8	So with that, I will also be supporting that
9	motion. So show motion on Issue 15 as proposed
10	unanimously approved.
11	Okay. Commissioners, with that, we will next
12	move into our other items under Rate Base, which
13	include Accumulated Depreciation, Unamortized Rate
14	Case Expense, Insurance Expense, Working Capital
15	and Rate Base.
16	I am happy to take those up, Commissioners,
17	for questions or comments as the remaining issues
18	under Rate Base. So any of questions or comments
19	on those items?
20	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I don't have any
21	questions.
22	CHAIRMAN FAY: Any questions? Nope.
23	Seeing no questions or comments on Items 17,
24	19, 42, 22 and 23, as the other items are already
25	stipulated under the December hearing, I will take

1	a motion on those items.
2	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I motion, Chairman, to
3	move staff on those items.
4	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN FAY: We have a motion and a second
7	for staff recommendation on Items 17, 19, 42, 22
8	and 23. Show those unanimously staff
9	recommendation on those items unanimously approved.
10	All right. Ms. Harper, and we do have
11	administrative authority that we generally provide.
12	Is there anything else that you that you believe
13	the Commission needs to provide for those items at
14	this time?
15	MS. HARPER: I don't think so. We will use
16	the administrative authority to adjust anything we
17	need to pursuant to the decision on 5, Issue 5.
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
19	MS. HARPER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FAY: Thank you.
21	All right. With that, Commissioners, we will
22	move to Cost of Capital. Those items will include
23	24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and Item 31.
24	With that, I will take up any questions or
25	comments on those items at this time.

1	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: No questions.
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: No que I mean, I
4	guess and staff will probably confirm, we do
5	have to kind of amend a little bit. There is
6	there is we have some options, I think, when we
7	are talking about Issue 29, correct? So based on
8	based on our vote on Issues 5 and 67.
9	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Yeah. And if not,
10	Chairman, I certainly have an opinion on 29. So
11	maybe I kind of spoke before because I was looking
12	at 24 through 28, and 29
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. So, Ms. Harper,
14	is there clari based on based on my
15	colleague's comments here, is there any
16	clarification before we take up the vote on item 29
17	that we would need additional clarification to pull
18	out that item?
19	MS. HARPER: I think we are good. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Commissioners, with
21	that, then I will take up a motion on Items 24
22	through 29 and Item 31.
23	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Okay. Chairman, so I
24	am going to I am going to go back but I am going
25	to go to 29

1	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: and talking to
3	staff, and great conversations through all of this,
4	especially yesterday in understanding the analysis,
5	and taking kind of my own calculations, I have a
6	a slightly different ROE that I am going to suggest
7	of 10.25, which I believe is different from staff's
8	10 that they are suggesting, but I believe that may
9	be even less, and that's what I would like to
10	clarify, if we were to choose the RSAM mechanism,
11	which we have.
12	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. So let's get
13	clarification from staff.
14	So obviously, in the recommendation there is a
15	discussion about a reduct a reduced ROE based on
16	the approval of the RSAM for setting this rate, and
17	I believe it might be Mr. Buys would give us
18	additional context on this, but just for clarity
19	purposes, based based on that, is do you have
20	a recommendation for the ROE based on the approval
21	of the RSAM mechanism that occurred?
22	MR. BUYS: Yes, Commissioners. The Commission
23	has discretion to reduce the ROE from 10 up to
24	or down to 9.5 based on on the discussion, or
25	what's in the record. We've given the we've

written it such that the Commission would have
discretion to -- to make a decision between 10 and
9.5. And, of course, they could decide whatever
they wanted in lieu of that recommendation.

CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. And just for clarity, that reduction is based on a reduction of risk, or what would be the basis for that reduction?

MR. BUYS: The reduction in risk is based on the RSAM would basically reduce the variability of earnings that the company would see going forward, and then that would -- of course, the risk of a utility, or any company, is the variability in earnings. And because the RSAM now would level out those earnings, therefore, they would have a lower risk. And we are trying to just capture that lower risk with the -- with the ROE.

CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. So Commissioner

La Rosa has proposed here 10.25. What I would like
to do is just see if our staff, either legal or

Mr. Buys, have any comments as to -- I presume,
since we have a range of ROEs built in from sort of
a floor to a ceiling, numbers in between there
would be justified for a number of different basis,
but do you need any sort of specific basis for the
10.25 or does Commissioner La Rosa need to specify

1	something in the record? Or is it just within that
2	range we have the broad authority to adjust?
3	MR. BUYS: Yes, Chairman, the record would
4	strongly support an ROE from anywhere from 9.25, as
5	recommended by the OPC witness, up to 10.75, as
6	recommended by the FCG witness.
7	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Anything additional from
8	legal?
9	MS. HARPER: No, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
11	All right. With that, Commissioner Passidomo,
12	any questions or comments on the Item 29, the
13	Return on Equity?
14	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: No, I I mean, I
15	I think Mr. Buys' position there is compelling,
16	with the approve of the RSAM, I think that helps
17	the utility manage its risks and costs that are
18	associated with, you know, higher inflation and
19	such. So I I am I am leaning more towards
20	where staff has recommended that we have the
21	authority to lower the ROE up to up to 50 basis
22	points because of the approval of the RSAM.
23	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. And with that,
24	what I would like to do, if it's all right with
25	legal, I would like us to go ahead and take 20

1	vote on 29 specifically, is we don't I
2	presume we don't need to vote on the issues pre
3	previous to 29 before we take that up, correct?
4	MS. HARPER: Correct.
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Well, Commissioner La
6	Rosa, then what I would like to do is we will end
7	up and Commissioner Passidomo we will take up
8	29, and then we will go back to the block for Cost
9	of Capital since we don't have any debate or
10	discussion on those.
11	Actually, why don't we do this, just to keep
12	things in order. Commissioners, why don't we take
13	up a motion on Items 24 through 28 and then 31, and
14	then we will vote on Item 29 to make sure we are
15	consistent chronologically.
16	So with that, is there a motion on those
17	items?
18	MR. BUYS: Chairman, if I may?
19	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yes, Mr. Buys.
20	MR. BUYS: If the weighted average cost of
21	capital in Issue 31, if the ROE changes from 10
22	percent, that will change the the recommended
23	number on the weighted average cost of capital as
24	well.
25	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. And then the

1	items before 24 through 28, those would not need to
2	be adjusted depending on what we did for the ROE?
3	MR. BUYS: That's correct.
4	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Thank you for that
5	clarification.
6	So, Commissioners, we will take up a motion on
7	Items 24 through 28.
8	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, move staff on
9	those items.
10	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion and a
12	second to move staff's recommendation on Cost of
13	Capital, Items 24 through 28. Show those items
14	passed unanimously.
15	All right. Thank you, Mr. Buys.
16	So with that, we will take up Item 29.
17	Commissioners, I I I think I am both I
18	think it might be a reality that I am in between
19	you physically, and now on the issue itself, maybe
20	somewhere there in the middle there, but I think
21	for purposes of making sure you both have the
22	information you need to motion the item and and
23	move something out, I tend to agree with
24	Commissioner Passidomo's position, and what the
25	staff has laid out in the recommendation here, that

1	reduction of risk that occurs with the adoption of
2	the RSAM, which is one of the arguments for why
3	it's a good thing to adopt, I think is appropriate
4	in that rate.
5	So from what I understand, that would support,
6	as Commissioner Passidomo stated, a 9.5 rate, which
7	would still allow 100-basis-point variable
8	adjustment in between. We wouldn't be changing
9	that.
10	And so that's where I would stand on the item.
11	And with that said, I will take a motion from
12	either Commissioner on Item 29.
13	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Mr. Chairman, I would
14	move approval of the maybe I should frame this
15	as I would move approval of that idea of a 9.5 ROE
16	with the, you know, the I don't know if I need
17	to include the 100-basis-point fluctuation, but
18	just 9.5 ROE.
19	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay, I think I think that's
20	consistent with
21	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Is that sufficient?
22	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yes, it's sufficient. For the
23	record, just make sure legal, Ms. Harper, is that
24	sufficient for us to address all of everything
25	in Issue 29?
1	

1	MS. HARPER: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
3	MS. HARPER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great.
5	We have a motion from Commissioner Passidomo
6	on that item.
7	Commissioner La Rosa, I know you might have a
8	different position. You are welcome to go ahead
9	and state that if you would like to, and then I
10	will be supporting the item, so I will allow you
11	the opportunity to to make some comments and
12	tender a vote on that item.
13	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Sure, and I appreciate
14	that. And we are obviously a very congenial group,
15	and I don't I don't my point is not exactly
16	100 percent correct, and I think that, obviously,
17	we have the abilities to to talk professionally
18	about it.
19	I think at the end of the day, the reason I am
20	not there, just like I said, this is not an easy
21	case, I think that this was going to be one of the
22	tougher elements to follow within, but I felt that
23	we were we were cutting down the RSAM to almost
24	almost doling it off, and that wasn't where I
25	was going with it. Otherwise, if I didn't feel

ı		
	1	that way, I probably would honestly 100 percent
	2	agree with this. But I but I just think we are
	3	cutting it off a fraction too short.
	4	I am concerned about the environment of where
	5	we are economically, and if they do have to carry
	6	through earning to that level, might might
	7	change things for them. So unfortunately, I am
	8	I am not there. I am not saying I am not close,
	9	but I am just not there.
	10	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
	11	So, Commissioner La Rosa, we would show you as
	12	a no no vote for Item 29 as motioned by
	13	Commissioner Passidomo. I will be supporting that
	14	item.
	15	So with that, Commissioners, show item No. 29
	16	passed as proposed by staff with that 9.5 percent
	17	ROE and 100-basis-point adjustment as stated in the
	18	recommendation.
	19	So with that, Commissioners, we will seeing
	20	no other comments, we will move to Item No. 31,
	21	Weighted Average Cost of Capital, which will have
	22	some fallout from the item that we approved in 29.
	23	Mr. Buys, you just want to clarify real
	24	quickly for the record that that you will have
	25	the Commission will have administrative
Į		

1	authority to make the appropriate adjustment there,
2	but as to what that adjustment will need to be?
3	MR. BUYS: Yes. I did a quick calculation,
4	and I believe the weighted average cost of capital
5	would be decreased from 6.7 percent to 6.45
6	percent, subject to check, once we verify all the
7	numbers and put it into our schedules.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. All right. With that,
9	Commissioners, you have heard a summary of those
10	changes based on the approval of Item 29. I will
11	take up any questions or comments on Item 31, or a
12	motion on that item.
13	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I would move staff on
14	31.
15	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion and a
17	second to move staff's recommendation with the
18	administrative authority to adjust based on Item
19	No. 29. I will be voting to approve that motion,
20	so show 31 passed unanimously.
21	All right. Commissioners, next we will be
22	moving into Net Operating Income. We will be
23	taking up Items 34, 35, 36, 38 through 41, 45, 46,
24	47, and then 49 through 55. The other issues under
25	Net Operating Income that were not included in that

1	list have been stipulated as Type 2 stipulations
2	already.
3	And so with that, Commissioners, we will move
4	to those items under Net Operating Income. I will
5	be happy to take any questions or comments on Net
6	Operating Income at this time.
7	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, just to
8	clarify
9	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. Go ahead, Commissioner
10	La Rosa.
11	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: on Item 51, and I
12	apologize if you just mentioned it, but Item 51 is
13	affected by the decisions we've made today,
14	correct?
15	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. So if we can, from
16	staff, just get clarification as the impact of the
17	previous vote on Item 5 would be impacted, and so
18	make sure we
19	MS. NORRIS: Yes, sir. Yes, it would be
20	impacted by the change in that decision from 5
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
22	MS. HARPER: and we would reflect it in
23	that issue.
24	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. So it can still be
25	consistent with staff's recommendation, just with

1	the adjustments being made
2	MS. HARPER: Right
3	CHAIRMAN FAY: based on the vote?
4	MS. NORRIS: Right. It's more like a fallout
5	adjustment
6	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
7	MS. HARPER: from there. Right, yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. And that gives
9	legal what they need for the order on the record
10	for that? Okay. Great.
11	All right. With that, then, Commissioner La
12	Rosa, I will take up a motion on Net Operating
13	Income as the items stated.
14	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: So, Chairman, I move a
15	motion to move staff on the items, 34, 36, 38
16	through 41, 45 through 47, and 49 through 55.
17	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. We have a
18	motion. Do we have a second?
19	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN FAY: We have a motion and a second.
21	Show staff recommendation on those items passed
22	unanimously.
23	All right. Commissioners, next we will move
24	to Revenue Requirements. We will be taking up
25	Items 57 through 6 excuse me. We will be taking

1	up Item 57 under Revenue Requirement. Item 56 was
2	addressed in a stipulation.
3	So with that, Commissioners, any questions or
4	comments related to Item 57? Showing none, we will
5	take up a motion on that item.
6	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Move staff on Item 57.
7	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion and a
9	second. Show item staff recommendation on Item
10	57 passed unanimously.
11	All right. Next we will move to Cost of
12	Service and Rate Design.
13	Commissioners, we will be taking up Items 58
14	through 62, and then Items 65 and 66. Show 63 and
15	64 have been addressed by Type 2 stipulations.
16	So I will take up any questions or comments on
17	these items, Commissioners, under Cost of Service
18	And Rate Design.
19	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Question, Chairman, of
20	how how 60 through 62 are affected, because my
21	understanding, those are later
22	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, so your good point of
23	clarification.
24	So for 60 and 62, we will take up in April
25	25th, when we accept those those revenue

1	requirements. So we will not be to be clear, we
2	will not be voting on those five items today, 60
3	60 through 62 and then 65 and 66, is that is
4	that correct for legal purposes? Okay.
5	Great. So just for clarification then,
6	Commissioner La Rosa, we would be taking up a
7	motion on Item 58 and 59.
8	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Thank you, Chairman for
9	that. Move staff on 58 and 59.
10	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have motion for
11	staff's recommendation.
12	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay, and a second on Items 58
14	and 59. Show those items passed unanimously under
15	Cost of Service and Rate Design.
16	All right. Commissioners, next we will move
17	on to the final issues in this docket. So under
18	Other Issues, we have Items 68 and 71.
19	With that, Commissioners, I want to take up
20	any questions for our staff, or comments on either
21	of those two items, and then we will take up a
22	motion on those items.
23	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Chairman, just a quick
24	comment.
25	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure.

1	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I know we already
2	talked about this, this is kind of maybe where we
3	started, but talking about 71 specifically, the
4	four-year rate plan, and and reading through
5	testimony again and being able to kind of analyze,
6	and we talked a little bit about the enforceability
7	aspect of it, but again, just reiterating what I
8	started with, is that that's the lens I am looking
9	through this, is that how do we create a long-term
10	rate design for for customers? And, you know,
11	my hope is that that's we end up being in a
12	four-year rate plan. But again, thank you for the
13	legal background. Thank you, staff, for for
14	explaining this in layman's terms.
15	But, yeah, that's just kind of where I am
16	coming from, and my thoughts, but I do agree the
17	wave staff has laid it out.
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Any comments
19	from Commissioner Passidomo? No?
20	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Ditto Commissioner La
21	Rosa.
22	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
23	So with that, then we will take up a motion on
24	Items 68 and 71.
25	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Move staff on those

1	items.
2	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion and a
4	second for staff's recommendation on Items 68 and
5	71. Show those two items passed unanimously.
6	All right. Commissioners, we have addressed
7	the administrative authority for the docket for
8	legal. Let's make sure that we don't have anything
9	else that we need to address before concluding the
10	docket. Anything from technical or legal?
11	MS. HARPER: No, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN FAY: No. With that, Commissioners,
13	show that this Agenda Conference is concluded.
14	Thank you so much.
15	(Proceedings concluded.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
3	,
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 11th day of April, 2023.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Weblie K Frece
24	DEBRA R. KRICK NOTARY PUBLIC
25	COMMISSION #HH31926 EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024