BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause)	Docket No. 20220001-EI
with generating performance incentive factor.)	Filed: October 6, 2023
)	

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF WHITE SPRINGS AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS, INC. d/b/a PCS PHOSPHATE – WHITE SPRINGS

Pursuant to the Florida Public Service Commission's *Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-2023-0066-PCO-EI, issued February 3, 2023, White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs ("PCS Phosphate"), through its undersigned attorneys, files its Prehearing Statement in the above matter.

A. APPEARANCES

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 342-0800
(202) 342-0807 (fax)
Email: jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com

B. WITNESSES

PCS Phosphate does not plan to call any witnesses at this time.

C. EXHIBITS

PCS Phosphate does not plan to offer any exhibits at this time, but may introduce exhibits during the course of cross-examination.

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Only costs prudently incurred and legally authorized may be recovered through the fuel clause. Florida electric utilities, including in particular Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF"), must satisfy the burden of proving the reasonableness of any expenditures for which recovery or other relief is sought in this proceeding. Also, DEF does not currently hedge its fuel purchases. If it were to resume hedging, improvements to the hedging mechanism described in its risk management plan are needed.

E. STATEMENT ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

<u>I.</u> <u>FUEL ISSUES</u>

COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

PCS Phosphate: No.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean Energy

Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included

for recovery in 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 1C: What is the impact on this docket, if a decision is issued in Case SC22-94 before

January 1, 2024?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 1D: If the decision in Case SC22-94 requires the return of replacement power costs to

customers, what interest amount should be applied?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 1E: What is the appropriate Clean Energy Impact (CEI) credit, approved by Order No.

PSC-2023-0191-TRF-EI, to be included in the fuel clause in 2024?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

Florida Power & Light Company

What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2022 through December 2022, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and customers?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 2F: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 2G: Are the 2024 SoBRA units (12 total) proposed by FPL cost effective?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 2H: What are the revenue requirements associated with the 2024 SoBRA Project?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 2I: What is the appropriate base rate percentage increase for the 2024 SoBRA Project to be effective when all 2024 units are in service, currently projected to be January 31, 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 2J: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs for FPL reflecting the base rate

percentage increases for the 2024 SoBRA Project determined to be appropriate in

this proceeding?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

Florida Public Utilities Company

ISSUE 3A: Should the Commission modify the previously ordered (Order No. PSC-2023-

0026-FOF-EI) recovery schedule for FPUC's under-recovery of 2022 fuel costs

from three years to two years?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 4A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by

Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2022 through December 2022, and how should that gain to be shared between

TECO and customers?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 4B: Should the Commission approve TECO's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2023 for gains

on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2024 for

gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period

January 2022 through December 2022?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 11A, 11B, 11C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2022 through December 2022 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2024 through December 2024 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 17: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC

ISSUE 21A: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement for 2024?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 21B: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for Plant Sandy Creek?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 21C: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for Plant Santa Fe?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

ISSUE 21D: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for Plant Twin Rivers?

PCS Phosphate: Agree with the Public Counsel.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 22A: Should the Commission approve a \$7.92 million refund related to the incremental impact of the Inflation Reduction Act for years 2022 and 2023 due to the application of the Tax Provision contained in FPL'S current Rate Settlement Agreement?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 23A, 23B, 23C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

PCS Phosphate: No position at this time.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 31: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 32: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

ISSUE 33: Should this docket be closed?

PCS Phosphate: No position.

F. PENDING MOTIONS

None.

G. PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

None.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATIONS OF WITNESS AS EXPERT

None at this time.

I. REQUIREMENTS OF ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Procedural Order with which PCS Phosphate cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted,

STONE MATTHEIS XENOPOULOS & BREW, PC /s/ James W. Brew
James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 342-0800
(202) 342-0807 (fax)

E-mail: jbrew@smxblaw.com laura.baker@smxblaw.com

Attorneys for White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs

Dated: October 6, 2023

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement of PCS Phosphate has been furnished by electronic mail this 6th of October 2023, to the following:

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg FL 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Suzanne Brownless Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 sbrownles@psc.state.fl.us

Gunster Law Firm
Beth Keating
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

Ausley Law Firm
J. Wahlen/M. Means/V. Ponder
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee FL 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com

Florida Power & Light Company Kenneth A. Hoffman 134 W. Jefferson Street Tallahassee FL 32301 Kenneth.Hoffman@fpl.com

Florida Retail Federation Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia III 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee FL 32308 schef@gbwlegal.com jlavia@gbwlegal.com Nucor Steel Florida, Inc. Corey Allain 22 Nucor Drive Frostproof FL 33843 corey.allain@nucor.com

Florida Power & Light Company Maria Jose Moncada/David M. Lee 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach FL 33408-0420 david.lee@fpl.com maria.moncada@fpl.com

Florida Public Utilities Company Mr. Mike Cassel 208 Wildlight Ave. Yulee FL 32097 mcassel@fpuc.com

Florida Industrial Power Users Group Jon C. Moyle, Jr. c/o Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com

Michelle D. Napier Florida Public Utilities Company 1635 Meathe Drive West Palm Beach FL 33411 mnapier@fpuc.com

Tampa Electric Company Ms. Paula K. Brown Regulatory Affairs P. O. Box 111 Tampa FL 33601-0111 regdept@tecoenergy.com Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
Peter J. Mattheis/Michael K. Lavanga/Joseph R.
Briscar
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW, Ste. 800 West
Washington DC 20007-5201
mkl@smxblaw.com
pjm@smxblaw.com
jrb@smxblaw.com

R. Gentry/C. Rehwinkel/A. Pirrello/S. Morse/M. Wessling/P. Christensen
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us

Matthew R. Bernier/Robert Pickels/Stephanie Cuello
Duke Energy
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee FL 32301-7740
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
robert.pickels@duke-energy.com
stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com

/s/ Laura Wynn Baker