

. Writer's E-Mail Address: gmunson@gunster.com

August 1, 2025

VIA E-PORTAL

Mr. Adam Teitzman Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20250003-GU - Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True Up.

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for filing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company and Florida City Gas, please find the Testimony of Mr. Jeffrey Bates.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,

Gregory M. Munson Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.

215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1713

2		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	DO	CKET NO. 20250003-GU - In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up.
4		(Actual/Estimated and Projections)
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY
6		OF JEFFREY B BATES
7		On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company and Florida City Gas
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
9	A.	My name is Jeffrey Bates. My business address is 331 W. Central Ave, Suite
10		238, Winter Haven, FL 33880.
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
12	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC" or "Company")
13		as a Senior Energy Logistics Analyst.
14	Q.	Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and
15		employment background?
16	A.	I graduated from Delaware State University in 1994 with a Bachelor's of Science
17		degree in Accounting. I graduated from Wilmington University in 1999 with a
18		Masters of Business Administration. I have been employed by FPUC since
19		January of 1996.
20	Q.	Are you familiar with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause of the
21		Company and the associated projected and actual revenues and costs?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Have you ever testified before the Florida Public Service Commission
24		("FPSC")?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Are you sponsoring any Exhibits in this case?
- 3 A. No. Numerical references made in my testimony relate to Exhibit DW-2 being
- 4 sponsored by Diana Williams.
- 5 Q. Have there been any changes in the PGA filing compared to the prior year?
- 6 No.

- 7 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline charges and commodity costs
- 8 of gas were developed for the projection period.
- The purchases for the gas cost projection model are based on projected sales to 9 A. traditional non-transportation service customers. Florida Gas Transmission 10 Company's ("FGT") FTS-1, FTS-2, FTS-3, NNTS-1, and ITS-1 Gulfstream 11 Natural Gas, and the Florida Southeast Connection ("FSC") effective charges 12 13 (including surcharges) and fuel rates, based on the prices from the FGT, Gulfstream posted rates and FSC rates from a precedent agreement. These were 14 used for the entire projection period. As is further explained herein, the 15 Company has also included costs related to the various expansion projects in the 16 counties of Palm Beach, Polk and Nassau. FPUC has entered into an Asset 17 Management Agreement ("AMA") with Emera Energy to help facilitate the 18 delivery of natural gas in the northeast division. Also, the Company has 19 included costs related to compressed and liquified natural gas used to bring 20 21 supply to areas as a virtual pipeline for emergency and planned services. The expected costs of natural gas purchased by the Company during the projection 22

period were developed using actual prices paid during relevant historical periods

A.

and the Henry Hub natural gas futures settlements provided by the Nymex plus
the locational basis settlements at FGT Zone 3 provided by Intercontinental
Exchange through the end of the projection period. The forecasts of the
commodity costs were then adjusted to reflect the unexpected potential market
increases in the projection period.

- Q. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted average cost of gas are developed for the projection period.
 - The Company has forecasted the 2026 weighted average cost of gas using the projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity temporarily relinquished to third parties, the projected pipeline usage and nonotice costs and the projected supplier commodity costs. The weighted average cost of gas also includes projected costs related to our purchased gas functions and anticipated a credit for the swing service rider and transportation balancing charge. The sum of these costs is then divided by the projected therm sales to the traditional non-transportation customers resulting in the projected weighted average cost of gas and ultimately the PGA recovery (cap) factor, as shown on Schedule E-1. Capacity shortfall if any, would be satisfied by gas and capacity repackaged and delivered by another FGT, or Gulfstream capacity holder. If other services become available and it is economic to dispatch supplies under those services, the Company will utilize those services as part of its portfolio.
- Q. Are the pipeline capacity and supply costs associated with expansions appropriate for recovery in the PGA docket?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

1	A.	Yes. Historically, the Commission has allowed recovery, through the clause, of
2		upstream transmission pipeline capacity, transportation and related supply costs
3		associated with service expansions to new areas.

- Q. Did you include costs of other expansions or interconnects related to Florida

 Public Utilities Company and Florida City Gas in the calculations of your

 true-up and projected amounts?
 - Yes. There is a Local Distribution Company ("LDC") to LDC interconnect with TECO/PGS and FPUC (former CFG facilities) for pressure stabilization of FPUC's system in Hernando County. There is also an interconnection to FPUC's facilities for Gulfstream's Baseball City Gate southward through Davenport and Haines City and the expansion into Escambia County. There is an interconnection to FPUC's facilities from a new Gulfstream gate station in Auburndale. There are additional expansion projects and reinforcement projects that will enhance delivery in Hillsborough and Polk counties serving FPUC The East Coast Reinforcement Projects will increase supply customers. capability and enhance reliability to FPUC's service territories in Boynton Beach and New Smyrna Beach. There are multi-phase expansion projects in Nassau County that will serve FPUC Residential and Commercial customers. Finally, there is are expansion project in the area of St Cloud, Plant City, and Lake Mattie, Florida that will serve projected growth for FPUC customers. Additionally, for FCG, the Indian River, Pioneer Supply Header, Brevard and Medley reinforcement and expansion projects.

4 of 7

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1	Q.	Please expla	nin the	Swing	Service	Rider	and	Transportation	Balancing
2		Charge							

- On April 11, 2016, Docket No. 20160085-GU, Florida Public Utilities, CFG, A. Florida Public Utilities Indiantown and Ft. Meade Divisions ("FPUC") the Companies) filed a joint petition for approval of the Swing Service Rider with this Commission. The Swing Service Rider proposed that the allocation of all costs be expanded to include transportation service customers on FPUC's system (i.e., customers who are not part of the current PGA mechanism) as well as shippers that are not part of the TTS pools. The Companies believe that these customers ultimately should bear their fair portion of the intrastate capacity costs. However, the Companies recognize that shippers for the larger classes of customers provide a service under contracts that will likely need to be amended to adjust for the revised cost allocations and systems need to be implemented to allow for billing of these charges to transportation customers and/or shippers. This petition was approved September 2016, Order No. PSC-2016-0422-TRF-GU. In a similar way per March 2024, Order No. PFSC-2024-0076-TRF-GU FCG allocates the incremental portion of the capacity and storage costs to the Transportation Customers.
- Q. What is the effect of Swing Service Rider and Transportation BalancingCharge on PGA costs?
- As shown on Schedule E-1, the Companies have reduced PGA costs of \$28,915,640 attributable to the Swing Service Rider and Transporation Balancing Charge allocated to certain gas transportation customers.

conservation clauses.

1	Q.	Have the appropriate related costs and credits been included in the				
2		Projections for 2026?				
3	A.	Yes, as more specifically reflected in Schedule E-1 and E-3 of Exhibit DW-2, the				
4		Companies have included the costs of existing and planned interstate and				
5		intrastate capacity agreements, as well as the costs associated with the Swing				
6		Service Rider as described above.				
7	Q.	Did you include costs in addition to the costs specific to purchased gas in				
8		the calculations of your true-up and projected amounts?				
9	A.	Yes, included with our purchased gas costs are consulting and legal expenses to				
10		assist in the advancement of our PGA processes. Additionally, the Company has				
11		included costs associated with a software tool used by the Company to manage				
12		customer usage and assist in determining the gas supply needs for the rate classes				
13		subject to the PGA. This new system went live in May of 2022. These costs				
14		directly influence the Company's PGA factor and are appropriate for recovery				
15		through the PGA clause. Also, in addition to these costs, there is a level of				
16		payroll and departmental expenses included for employees directly involved in				
17		the PGA process, which is similar to payroll included in the Companies				

- Q. Please explain how these costs were determined to be recoverable under the
 PGA clause.
- A. The costs the Company has included are integrally related to the gas purchase function and were not anticipated or included in the cost levels used to establish the current base rates. These costs relate to the Company's optimization of fuel

DOCKET NO. 20250003-GU

- supply in an effort to protect current fuel savings, and directly benefit our
- 2 customers. These costs have historically been allowed for recovery through the
- 3 PGA and are not being recovered through the Companies' base rates.
- 4 Q. What is the projection period for this filing?
- 5 A. The projection period is January through December 2026.
- 6 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 7 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Testimony of Jeffrey Bates on behalf of FPUC and FCG has been furnished by Electronic Mail to the following parties of record this 1st day of August 2025:

Florida Public Utilities Company Michelle D. Napier Director, Regulatory Affairs Distribution Florida Public Utilities Company 1635 Meathe Drive West Palm Beach, Florida 33411 W: (561) 838-1712 mnapier@fpuc.com	J. Jeffry Wahlen Malcolm Means Virginia Ponder Matthew Jones Ausley & McMullen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 jwahlen@ausley.com mmeans@ausley.com vponder@ausley.com mjones@ausley.com
Zachary Bloom, Esquire Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399 zbloom@psc.state.fl.us discovery-gcl@psc.state.fl.us	Office of Public Counsel Walter Trierweiler/Charles Rehwinkel/Patricia Christensen//M. Wessling c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us Rehwinkel.Charles@leg.state.fl.us Wessling.Mary@leg.state.fl.us
Peoples Gas System Paula Brown/Karen Bramley P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 regdept@tecoenergy.com klbramley@tecoenergy.com	St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. Andy Shoaf/Debbie Stitt P.O. Box 549 Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0549 Andy@stjoegas.com dstitt@stjoegas.com

Gregory M. Munson

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706