## CORRESPONDENCE 11/17/2025 DOCUMENT NO. 15125-2025

## **Tristan Davis**

From: Tristan Davis on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 3:40 PM

**To:** 'greneleferesidentcoalition'

**Cc:** Consumer Contact

**Subject:** RE: Docket No. 20250023-WS – Comment Regarding Employee Allocation

## Good Afternoon,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250023, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

Thank you!

## **Tristan Davis**

Commission Deputy Clerk I Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, FL 32399 Phone: (850) 413-6121

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, youremail message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: greneleferesidentcoalition < greneleferesidents@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 2:55 PM

**To:** Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>; Commissioners@psc.state.fl.us **Subject:** Docket No. 20250023-WS – Comment Regarding Employee Allocation

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Based on the October 2025 filings from Grenelefe Utility (Document Nos. 15043–15044), it appears that the utility has classified several employees as "full-time utility personnel" while simultaneously admitting that:

- 1. time records are not kept for any employee,
- 2. the owner does not track his own time allocation, and
- 3. employees routinely perform work across multiple affiliated entities.

In reality, residents observe utility-paid staff (including names listed in the filing) performing mowing, land clearing, and maintenance work on property owned by **Grenelefe Resort Development**, **LLC**—a separate real estate holding company. The filing states this development entity requires "approximately three hours per month," which conflicts with the actual labor visibly required to maintain hundreds of acres of undeveloped land.

Without time logs or cost-allocation records, there is no assurance that utility ratepayers are not subsidizing labor for the developer's private land holdings or other off-utility activities. This lack of segregation directly affects whether salaries and overhead claimed in the rate case are legitimate utility expenses.

I respectfully request that the Commission require:

- documented time tracking for each employee,
- a clear allocation of labor between utility and non-utility entities, and
- removal of any salary or overhead costs that cannot be proven as utility-exclusive.

Until these matters are clarified, the requested rate increase and staffing costs cannot be verified as just and reasonable.

Respectfully submitted,

David Siegel Concerned Resident