

Tristan Davis

From: Tristan Davis on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 11:22 AM
To: 'John Wolfe'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: Water Oak Utility DOCKET NO. 20250088-WU

Good Morning,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250088, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

Thank you!

Tristan Davis
Commission Deputy Clerk I
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Phone: (850) 413-6121

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: John Wolfe <msgt.wolfe@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 11:06 AM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Water Oak Utility DOCKET NO. 20250088-WU

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

I want to make two final points.

1) Since most communities pay a third party to provide water to their systems and spread the cost to all their residents. I believe Sun is the same. Fairness in costs should be considered. The nearest to SUN is The Villages, and here are the VCSA Water step rates as of last month.

0 - 11,000 GAL @ \$1.84/1,000 GAL
11,001 - 18,000 GAL @ \$3.67/1,000 GAL
18,001 & UP @ \$5.42/1,000 GAL

How does this compare with the requested hikes or the existing cost?

2) Finally, the generic term used throughout this request for General Services has not been properly identified. Who are they? How much water are they using? How is it being paid for? If their costs are spread among residents, that would place the burden largely on full-time residents. I respectfully request a full

disclosure of the General Services identities and costs, individually. If their utilities are currently being funded through LOT rent paid by all residents, then the resulting equivalent reduction of LOT rent to cover the costs will be moved to the utilities. You should also note that there is a contractual limit of no more 5% per annum increase to the LOT rent cost, which is not being considered; it would through be a basis for legal action.

I do not require a response, but instead request proper consideration. I personally believe that it is time for a third party to take over these water utilities.

Respectfully,

John Wolfe