

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Petition for Determination of Need ) Docket No. 20260020-EI  
for Andytown-Oasis Transmission Lines in )  
Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, by ) Filed: April 8, 2026  
Florida Power & Light Company )

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S  
AMENDED PREHEARING STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Florida Public Service Commission (“FPSC” or the “Commission”) Order No. PSC-2026-0056-PCO-EI, Florida Power & Light Company (“FPL” or the “Company”) hereby submits its Amended Prehearing Statement regarding the issues to be addressed at the hearing scheduled for April 23, 2026.

**1) WITNESS**

**A. Direct Testimony**

<b>Witness</b>	<b>Subject Matter</b>	<b>Issue No.</b>
Miguel A. Yanes	Provides an overview of the FPL transmission system; describes the Andytown-Oasis Transmission Lines project (“AOP”), including the design and operating voltage of the proposed transmission lines, the starting and ending points of the lines, the approximate cost of the AOP, and the projected in-service date; addresses the specific conditions, contingencies, and factors, such as compliance with NERC Reliability standards, which demonstrate the need for the AOP, including a discussion of FPL’s transmission planning process and the system reliability benefits of the AOP; presents the major transmission alternatives to the AOP that were evaluated and rejected by FPL in favor of the AOP; and discusses the adverse consequences to FPL’s electric system and customers if the AOP is delayed or denied.	1-4

**B. Rebuttal Testimony**

<b>Witness</b>	<b>Subject Matter</b>	<b>Issue No.</b>
Miguel A. Yanes	Rebuts the arguments of Environmental Defense Fund, Inc. (“EDF”) witnesses Ted J. Thomas and David Cranston; emphasizes that EDF offers no transmission assessments, power flow analysis, or reliability modeling	1-4

<b>Witness</b>	<b>Subject Matter</b>	<b>Issue No.</b>
	disputing the reliability violations and constraints identified in FPL’s 2025 transmission assessment under mandatory NERC Reliability Standards; supports the present, location-specific reliability need in Miami-Dade County; refutes EDF’s claims of customer harm highlighting the real customer and system risks if AOP is delayed, including potential load shedding; and refutes EDF’s reliance on undefined future alternatives as not being feasible for maintaining reliable service.	
Andrew McLain	Addresses the current and future requirements for FPL under FERC Orders 1000 and 1920 raised by EDF witnesses Thomas and Cranston.	1-4

2) **EXHIBITS**

<b>Witness</b>	<b>Proffered By</b>	<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Issue No.</b>
<b><u>Direct</u></b>				
None	FPL	None	Notices of Final Hearing and Affidavits of Publication	1-4
Miguel A. Yanes	FPL	None	Exhibit “A” to the Petition to Determine Need for the Andytown-Oasis Electrical Transmission Lines in Broward and Miami-Dade Counties	1-4
Miguel A. Yanes	FPL	MAY-1	FPL Electrical Transmission Grid Map	1-4
Miguel A. Yanes	FPL	MAY-2	Map of Study Area with Existing Facilities and Proposed Project	1-4
Miguel A. Yanes	FPL	MAY-3	Andytown-Oasis Expected Construction Schedule	1-4
Miguel A. Yanes	FPL	MAY-4	List of Contingencies	1-4
<b><u>Rebuttal</u></b>				
Miguel A. Yanes	FPL	MAY-5	FPL’s Response to Staff’s First Set of Interrogatories, No. 15	1-4
Miguel A. Yanes	FPL	MAY-6	FPL’s Responses to Staff’s First Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 9 and 11	1-4

In addition to the above prefiled exhibits, FPL reserves the right to utilize any exhibit introduced by any other party. FPL also reserves the right to introduce any additional exhibit necessary for rebuttal, cross-examination, or impeachment at the final hearing.

### **3) STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION**

FPL has petitioned the Commission for an affirmative determination of need for the construction and operation of four new transmission lines: (1) one 500 kV line starting at FPL's existing Andytown substation in Broward County and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County; (2) one 500 kV line starting at FPL's existing Quarry substation in Miami-Dade County and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County; (3) one 230 kV line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County and ending at FPL's existing Quarry substation in Miami-Dade County; and (4) one 230 kV line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County and ending at FPL's existing Levee substation in Miami-Dade County (collectively, the AOP).

The need for the AOP is based on the following considerations:

- Address future thermal overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events in compliance with mandatory NERC Reliability Standards TPL-001-5.1 and NUC-001-4;
- Maintain transmission reliability for FPL customers in Miami-Dade County; and
- Increase power transfer capability of the transmission system to support growing demand in Miami-Dade County.

Over the past three years (2023-2025), FPL's service area in Miami-Dade County has reported summer peak loads between 6,014 MW and 6,239 MW. FPL's 2025 forecast projects

that, by 2033, the summer peak load in Miami-Dade County will be approximately 7,200 MW. Transmission assessment studies conducted by FPL in 2025 identified potential system limitations that will require additional facilities to meet transmission reliability requirements and increase transfer capability for Miami-Dade County. These studies have identified that, by 2033, FPL's existing transmission network will not be able to support the growing load demand in Miami-Dade County, and a new transmission solution will be required to maintain transmission reliability in the area.

FPL evaluated multiple transmission alternatives for meeting the identified needs, which resulted in the selection of the AOP. The AOP represents the overall best solution to address the continued customer load growth in Miami-Dade County, current and planned generation expansion in Miami-Dade County, compliance with mandatory NERC Reliability Standards, and the need to maintain transmission reliability in Miami-Dade County for both existing and future customers. The AOP will continue to support low-cost electricity for the economic well-being of the residents of Florida.

In summary, the AOP presents the best alternative for meeting the need to maintain a reliable transmission system for Miami-Dade County and the cost-effective supply of power to FPL's existing and future customers within Miami-Dade County. FPL's petition, prefiled testimony, and exhibits demonstrate the need for the AOP in the proposed time frame as the most cost-effective alternative available, taking into account the demand for electricity, the need for electric system reliability and integrity, the need for abundant, low-cost electrical energy to assure the economic well-being of the residents of this state, the location of the project (starting and ending points of the lines), and other relevant matters pursuant to Section 403.537(1)(c), Florida Statutes (2025).

For these reasons, and those set forth more fully in FPL's Petition and prefiled testimony and exhibits, FPL satisfies the statutory elements for granting an affirmative determination of need for AOP pursuant to Section 403.537, Florida Statutes.

#### **4) STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS**

**ISSUE 1:** Is FERC Order No. 1000 relevant to this proceeding and within the Commission's jurisdiction to consider?

**FPL:** FPL objects to the inclusion of this Issue 1 as irrelevant, immaterial, and beyond the Commission's jurisdiction consistent with FPL's pending Motion in Limine and to Strike. This issue need not be addressed and becomes moot if subpart III(b) (Attachments 3 and 4) of FPL's Motion in Limine and to Strike is granted. In the event that subpart III(b) of FPL's Motion in Limine and to Strike is denied or deferred to the full Commission panel, FPL submits that this issue should be included as a preliminary legal issue that the Commission panel would need to decide prior to the start of the hearing. As directed by the Pre-Hearing Officer at the April 7, 2026, prehearing conference, FPL provides its position on this disputed issue in the event it is included as an issue in this proceeding.

No. The Commission's jurisdiction for this transmission line need determination proceeding is limited to its authority under Section 403.537, F.S., and issues and complaints related to the regional transmission planning process and implementation and compliance with FERC Order 1000 are subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC. The AOP Project is a local transmission project for FPL to meet the need for transmission lines to serve Miami-Dade County reliably and cost effectively and is not a regional transmission subject to the requirements of FERC Order 1000. (Yanes)

**ISSUE 2:** Are FERC Order Nos. 1920, 1920-A, and 1920-B relevant to this proceeding and within the Commission's jurisdiction to consider?

**FPL:** FPL objects to the inclusion of this Issue 2 as irrelevant, immaterial, beyond the Commission's jurisdiction, and not ripe consistent with FPL's pending Motion in Limine and to Strike. This issue need not be addressed and becomes moot if subpart III(a) (Attachments 1 and 2) of FPL's Motion in Limine and to Strike is granted. In the event that subpart III(a) of FPL's Motion in Limine and to Strike is denied or deferred to the full Commission panel, FPL submits that this issue should be included as preliminary legal issue that the Commission panel would need to decide prior to the start of the hearing. As directed by the Pre-Hearing Officer at the April 7, 2026, prehearing conference, FPL provides its position on this disputed issue in the event it is included as an issue in this proceeding.

No. The Commission's jurisdiction for this transmission line need determination proceeding is limited to its authority under Section 403.537, F.S., and issues and complaints related to the future implementation of the regional transmission planning process and compliance with FERC Orders 1920, 1920-A, and 1920-B are subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC. The AOP Project is a local transmission project for FPL to meet the need for transmission lines to serve Miami-Dade County reliably and cost effectively and is not a regional transmission subject to the requirements of FERC Orders 1920, 1920-A, and 1920-B. In addition, issues regarding implementation and compliance with FERC Orders 1920, 1920-A, and 1920-B are not ripe for consideration as FPL's FERC Order 1920 compliance plan has not been filed, and this compliance filing is not due until June 12, 2026, and will remain subject to FERC review and approval. (Yanes, McLain)

**ISSUE 3:** When taking into account the need for electric system reliability and integrity, as prescribed in Section 403.537, Florida Statutes, is there a need for Florida Power & Light Company's proposed:

- (A) 500-kV transmission line starting at FPL's existing Andytown substation and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation?
- (B) 500-kV transmission line starting at FPL's existing Quarry substation and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation?
- (C) 230-kV transmission line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation and ending at FPL's existing Quarry substation?
- (D) 230-kV transmission line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation and ending at FPL's existing Levee substation?

**FPL:** Yes. There is a need for FPL's proposed AOP transmission lines, taking into account electric system reliability and integrity. These four transmission lines are needed to: (a) address future thermal overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events in compliance with mandatory NERC Reliability Standards TPL-001-5.1 and NUC-001-4; (b) maintain transmission reliability for FPL customers in Miami-Dade County; and (c) increase power transfer capability of the transmission system to support growing demand in Miami-Dade County.

FPL's 2025 transmission assessment identified potential system limitations that will require additional facilities to meet transmission reliability and increase transfer capability for Miami-Dade County. This assessment identified that, by 2033, FPL's existing transmission network will not be able to support the growing load demand in Miami-Dade County, and a new transmission solution will be required to maintain transmission reliability in the area. The AOP will effectively address potential overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events, maintain transmission reliability for customers in the County, and increase the power transfer capability for the transmission system to support the growing customer demand in the County.

The record in this case demonstrates that, if the AOP is not built by December 2033, the transmission system would experience potential overloads and low voltage conditions under contingency events, resulting in multiple NERC Reliability Standard violations. In addition, sufficient transmission capacity would not be available to serve existing and future customers in Miami-Dade County. Further, because of growing loads in the area, the transmission system and FPL customers in the area would experience negative impacts to their transmission reliability, such as NERC Reliability Standard violations, transmission system overloads, low voltage conditions, and customer load shedding due to insufficient transmission capacity. (Yanes, McLain)

**ISSUE 4:** When taking into account the need for abundant, low-cost electrical energy to assure the economic well-being of the citizens of the State, as prescribed in Section 403.537, Florida Statutes, is there a need for Florida Power & Light Company's proposed:

- (A) 500-kV transmission line starting at FPL's existing Andytown substation and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation?
- (B) 500-kV transmission line starting at FPL's existing Quarry substation and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation?
- (C) 230-kV transmission line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation and ending at FPL's existing Quarry substation?
- (D) 230-kV transmission line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation and ending at FPL's existing Levee substation?

**FPL:** Yes. There is a need for the AOP, taking into account the need for abundant, low-cost electrical energy to assure the economic well-being of the citizens of the State. FPL evaluated multiple transmission alternatives for meeting the identified needs, which resulted in the selection of the AOP as the most cost-effective alternative. The AOP will effectively address potential overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events, maintain transmission reliability for customers in the County, and increase the power transfer capability for the transmission system to support the growing customer demand in the County.

The estimated cost of the AOP is \$781.89 million. While the final cost is subject to the final route and other conditions that could be imposed through the Transmission Line Siting Act process, the estimated cost in the Petition is reasonable.

FPL evaluated and rejected two transmission alternatives to the AOP.

Alternative I, the Conservation-Oasis Project, consists of building four new transmission lines: one 500 kV line starting at FPL's existing Conservation substation in Broward County and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County; one 500 kV line starting at FPL's existing Quarry substation

in Miami-Dade County ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County; one 230 kV line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County ending at FPL's existing Quarry substation in Miami-Dade County; and one 230 kV line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County ending at FPL's existing Flagami substation in Miami-Dade County. The estimated construction cost of this alternative is \$1,004.29 million (\$925.2 million CPVRR). Alternative I was rejected because: (1) the cost of this alternative is approximately \$222.4 million higher than the AOP; and (2) this alternative presents significant routing and permitting challenges through densely populated areas in Miami-Dade County where FPL has limited right-of-way for new transmission lines.

Alternative II, the Andytown-Oasis Two Circuits Project, consists of building four new transmission lines: two 500 kV lines starting at FPL's existing Andytown substation in Broward County and ending at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County; one 230 kV line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County and ending at FPL's existing Levee substation in Miami-Dade County; and one 230 kV line starting at FPL's planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County and ending at FPL's existing Flagami substation in Miami-Dade County. The estimated construction cost of this alternative is \$974.19 million (\$876.6 million CPVRR). Alternative II was rejected because (1) the cost of this alternative is approximately \$192.3 million higher than the AOP; and (2) this alternative presents significant routing challenges through densely populated areas in Miami-Dade County where FPL has limited right-of-way for new transmission lines. (Yanes, McLain)

**ISSUE 5:** Are Florida Power & Light Company's proposed starting and ending points appropriate for the:

- (A) 500-kV transmission line to start at existing Andytown substation in Broward County and end at planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County?
- (B) 500-kV transmission line to start at existing Quarry substation in Miami-Dade County and end at planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County?
- (C) 230-kV transmission line to start at planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County and end at existing Quarry substation in Miami-Dade County?
- (D) 230-kV transmission line to start at planned Oasis substation in Miami-Dade County and end at existing Levee substation in Miami-Dade County?

**FPL:** Yes. The AOP is the most cost-effective transmission alternative to serve the projected growing demand in Miami-Dade County. The record demonstrates that the proposed starting and ending points are appropriate for each of the four new transmission lines included in the AOP. The Transmission Line Siting Board will make the final determination concerning the length and route of the proposed transmission lines. (Yanes, McLain)

**ISSUE 6:** Should the Commission grant Florida Power & Light Company’s petition for determination of need for the proposed:

- (A) 500-kV Andytown-Oasis transmission line project?
- (B) 500-kV Quarry-Oasis transmission line project?
- (C) 230-kV Oasis-Quarry transmission line project?
- (D) 230-kV Oasis-Levee transmission line project?

**FPL:** Yes. There is a need for each of these four new transmission lines, with in-service dates not later than December 2033, when taking into account the demand for electricity, the need for electric system reliability and integrity, and the need for abundant, low-cost electrical energy to assure the economic well-being of the residents of Florida. The starting and ending points identified in FPL’s Petition and testimony are the appropriate starting and ending points for each of the four lines included in the AOP. The Transmission Line Siting Board will make the final determination concerning the length and route of the proposed transmission lines. (Yanes, McLain)

**ISSUE 7:** Should the docket be closed:

**FPL:** Yes. This docket should be closed upon the issuance of an appropriate order approving the need for the AOP as proposed.

## **5) DISPUTED ISSUES**

**EDF-7:** Did the planning processes that FPL utilized and employed in planning the facilities that comprise the Andytown-Oasis Transmission Lines Project comply with Rule 25-22.076, F.A.C., Contents of Petition, that applies to transmission line need determination proceedings?

**FPL:** FPL objects to the inclusion of proposed issue EDF-7. Rule 25-22.076 reflects a pleading requirement that goes to the contents of the petition. It has no bearing on the merits of determining the need for the project. At best, EDF-7 has been raised as to capture what amounts to an untimely motion to dismiss the petition as insufficient under the rule. See Rule 28-106.204(2), F.A.C. EDF failed to properly file any such motion. Further, EDF-7 is not an appropriate issue for the Commission to decide as a substantive issue in this case at an agenda conference after the hearing and briefing. As directed by the Pre-Hearing Officer at the April 7, 2026, prehearing conference, FPL provides its position on this disputed issue in the event it is included as an issue in this proceeding.

Yes. While this rule addresses the contents of FPL’s petition for a transmission line need determination for the AOP, FPL’s planning processes used to plan the AOP are consistent with requirements specified for the contents of a petition for a

transmission line need determination. FPL's Petition itself complied with all requirements of Rule 25-22.076, F.A.C. for contents of a petition for a transmission line need determination. Specifically, FPL's Petition included provision of the required load flow studies and analysis and a statement of the reason or reasons for the proposed transmission lines based on any one or combination of the criteria specified in this rule, notably (1) improve or maintain reliability, (2) correct thermal overloads or low voltage conditions, and (3) accommodate load growth.

Consistent with this rule for the contents of the petition, FPL evaluated multiple transmission alternatives for meeting the identified needs, which resulted in the selection of the AOP as the most cost-effective alternative. The AOP will effectively address potential overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events, maintain transmission reliability for customers in the County, and increase the power transfer capability for the transmission system to support the growing customer demand in the County.

**EDF-8:** Did the planning processes that FPL utilized and employed in planning the facilities that comprise the Andytown-Oasis Transmission Lines Project comply with Section 403.52, Florida Statutes, which declares that it is the intent of the Transmission Line Siting Act to fully balance the need for transmission lines with the broad interests of the public in order to effect a reasonable balance between the need for the facility as a means of providing reliable, economical, and efficient electric energy and the impact on the public and the environment?

**FPL:** FPL objects to the inclusion of proposed issue EDF-8. Section 403.52, F.S., provides the legislative intent for the adoption of the Transmission Line Siting Act ("TLSA"). This statute reflects the policy behind the TLSA in its entirety, not the criteria that the Commission must consider in determining need. That criteria is explicitly laid out in Section 403.537(c), F.S. EDF's proposed issue seeks to add new need criteria to the statute that does not exist today – that is a job for the Legislature, not this Commission. To the extent that EDF believes that the AOP is contrary to the policy underlying the TLSA, EDF can and should address that position under Issues 3 and 4. As directed by the Pre-Hearing Officer at the April 7, 2026, prehearing conference, FPL provides its position on this disputed issue in the event it is included as an issue in this proceeding.

Yes. FPL's proposed AOP project is in the public interest and will result in a reasonable balance between the need for the transmission lines as a means of providing reliable and cost-effective electric energy and the impact on the public and the environment. FPL transmission planning group identified in its 2025 transmission assessment potential system limitations that will require additional facilities to meet transmission reliability and increase transfer capability for Miami-Dade County. This assessment identified that, by 2033, FPL's existing transmission network will not be able to support the growing load demand in Miami-Dade County, and a new transmission solution will be required to maintain transmission reliability in the area. The AOP will effectively address potential

overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events, maintain transmission reliability for customers in the County, and increase the power transfer capability for the transmission system to support the growing customer demand in the County.

FPL evaluated multiple transmission alternatives for meeting the identified needs, which resulted in the selection of the AOP as the most cost-effective alternative. The AOP will effectively address potential overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events, maintain transmission reliability for customers in the County, and increase the power transfer capability for the transmission system to support the growing customer demand in the County.

**EDF-9:** Did the planning processes that FPL utilized and employed in planning the facilities that comprise the Andytown-Oasis Transmission Lines Project comply with principles of prudent utility practice?

**FPL:** FPL objects to the inclusion of this EDF-9. To the extent that EDF believes that the AOP does not comply with prudent utility practice, EDF can and should address that position under Issues 3 and 4. As directed by the Pre-Hearing Officer at the April 7, 2026, prehearing conference, FPL provides its positions on this disputed issue in the event it is included as an issue in this proceeding.

Yes. FPL's planning processes for the proposed AOP project are consistent with principles of prudent utility practice. FPL's transmission planning group identified in its 2025 transmission assessment potential system limitations that will require additional facilities to meet transmission reliability and increase transfer capability for Miami-Dade County. This assessment identified that, by 2033, FPL's existing transmission network will not be able to support the growing load demand in Miami-Dade County, and a new transmission solution will be required to maintain transmission reliability in the area. The AOP will effectively address potential overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events, maintain transmission reliability for customers in the County, and increase the power transfer capability for the transmission system to support the growing customer demand in the County.

FPL evaluated multiple transmission alternatives for meeting the identified needs, which resulted in the selection of the AOP as the most cost-effective alternative. The AOP will effectively address potential overloads and low voltage conditions in Miami-Dade County under contingency events, maintain transmission reliability for customers in the County, and increase the power transfer capability for the transmission system to support the growing customer demand in the County.

## **6) STIPULATED ISSUES**

**FPL:** None at this time.

## **7) PENDING MOTIONS**

**FPL:** FPL's Motion in Limine and to Strike Certain Portions of the Testimonies and Exhibits of the EDF Witnesses Cranston and Thomas.

## **8) PENDING REQUESTS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY**

Florida Power & Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information provided in the exhibits and attachments in support of its Petition and the prefiled direct testimony of FPL witness Miguel Yanes, dated March 11, 2026. [DN 01501-2026]

Florida Power & Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information provided in its responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents, dated March 20, 2026. [DN 01692-2026]

Florida Power & Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information provided in its responses to OPC's First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents, dated March 30, 2026. [DN 01849-2026]

Florida Power & Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information provided in its responses to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories and Second Request for Production of Documents, dated March 31, 2026. [DN 01912-2026]

Florida Power & Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information provided in its responses to Staff's Third Set of Interrogatories and Third Request for Production of Documents, dated April 1, 2026. [DN 01959-2026]

Florida Power & Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information provided in its responses to EDF's First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents, dated April 3, 2026. [DN 02031-2026]

## **9) OBJECTIONS TO A WITNESS' QUALIFICATION AS AN EXPERT**

**FPL:** FPL objects to EDF witness David Cranston's qualifications as an expert and, pending receipt of EDF's responses to outstanding discovery requests served on March 27, 2026, reserves the right to voir dire EDF witness Cranston. Specifically, FPL objects to the following portions of EDF witness Cranston's direct testimony on the basis that he is not qualified to present expert opinions on the matter contained within the page and line numbers identified below:

<b>Page Number</b>	<b>Line Numbers</b>
Page 7	Lines 14-19

Page 8	Lines 1-4, 10-19
Page 9	Lines 1-18
Page 10	Lines 1-19
Page 11	Lines 1-18
Page 12	Lines 1-19
Page 12	Lines 1-2
Page 17	Line 18
Page 18	Lines 1-9
Page 20	Lines 5-19
Page 21	Lines 1-15
Page 26	Lines 13-19
Page 27	Lines 1-19
Page 28	Lines 1-19
Page 29	Lines 1-19
Page 30	Lines 1-2, 14-19
Page 31	Lines 1-6

**10) REQUEST FOR SEQUESTRATION OF WITNESSES**

**FPL:** None at this time.

**11) STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE**

There are no requirements in the Order Establishing Procedure with which FPL cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of April 2026,

By: /s/ William P. Cox  
Christopher T. Wright  
Assistant General Counsel  
Fla. Auth. House Counsel No. 1007055  
[christopher.wright@fpl.com](mailto:christopher.wright@fpl.com)  
William P. Cox  
Senior Counsel  
Florida Bar No. 0093531  
[will.p.cox@fpl.com](mailto:will.p.cox@fpl.com)  
Florida Power & Light Company  
700 Universe Boulevard  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420  
Phone: 561-304-5662

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**Docket No. 20260020-EI**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail on this 8th day of April 2026 to the following:

Carlos Marquez II, Esq.  
Shaw Stiller, Esq.  
**Office of the General Counsel**  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
[cmarquez@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:cmarquez@psc.state.fl.us)  
[sstiller@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:sstiller@psc.state.fl.us)

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq.  
John T. LaVia, III, Esq.  
Gardner Bist King & Wood  
1300 Thomaswood Drive  
Tallahassee, Florida 32308  
[schef@GBKWlaw.com](mailto:schef@GBKWlaw.com)  
[jlavia@GBKWlaw.com](mailto:jlavia@GBKWlaw.com)  
**Attorneys for Environmental Defense Fund,  
Inc.**

Walt Trierweiler, Esq.  
Charles J. Rehwinkel, Esq.  
Patricia A. Christensen, Esq.  
Office of Public Counsel  
The Florida Legislature  
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
[trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us)  
[rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us)  
[christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us)  
**Attorneys for the Citizens of the State of  
Florida**

*s/ William P. Cox*

\_\_\_\_\_  
William P. Cox  
Senior Counsel  
Florida Bar No. 0093531