

**Antonia Hover**

---

**From:** Hannah Barker  
**Sent:** Monday, April 27, 2026 2:59 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Correspondence  
**Subject:** Docket Correspondence  
**Attachments:** SKM\_300i26042714372.pdf; SKM\_300i26042714371.pdf; SKM\_300i26042714370.pdf

Good afternoon,

Please place the attached letter in Docket No. 20260000. Thank you!

**Hannah E. Branum**  
Executive Assistant to Commissioner Clark  
Florida Public Service Commission  
[2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.](#)  
[Tallahassee, FL 32399](#)  
(850) 413-6004



**Kerry Lutz**  
305 Eagleton Estate Drive  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418  
☎ (561) 408-4444  
**Email: [appeal2@me.com](mailto:appeal2@me.com)**

April 18, 2026

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION KERRY LUTZ, Petitioner,  
v. FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, Respondent.**

**I. The Parties:** Petitioner Kerry Lutz, a high-mileage EV driver (2,000+ miles/month) with existing, 240V infrastructure in Palm Beach Gardens.

**II. The Rule in Question: Tariff RS-1EV (Residential EV Charging Pilot).** FPL is currently using "Summer 2026" transition dates to deny access to the unlimited off-peak rate for those who already have chargers.

**III. The "Lutz Violation" Facts:**

- FPL's own **2026 FAQ** states that the current program (unlimited off-peak) continues through **December 2029** for eligible customers.
- FPL is "exhausting" the pilot by refusing customers who have already done the heavy lifting of installing their own 240V circuits—despite these customers being the **best case study** for grid impact data.
- FPL claims "engineering and permitting times" are the bottleneck for new installs (2 months), yet they are blocking customers who have **zero** engineering bottleneck.

**IV. The Question for the PSC:**

Does Tariff RS-1EV allow a utility to deny a 'substantially affected' customer access to a PSC-approved rate solely because the customer has previously installed their own equipment, rather than paying the utility a 'fixed monthly charge' for a proprietary charger, even though he is willing to uninstall his equipment to receive the rate in question?



Kerry Lutz--*Petitioner*