

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: June 2, 2026

TO: Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk

FROM: Susan Sapoznikoff, Special Counsel, Office of the General Counsel *SS*

RE: Docket No.: 20260040-OT; Statements of Estimated Regulatory Costs

Attached are the Statements of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERCs) for rules in this docket.

Please add these documents to the docket file.

Thank you.

Attachments

SERCs (including SERC memo)

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: May 4, 2026

TO: Susan Sapoznikoff, Special Counsel, Office of the General Counsel

FROM: Sevini K. Guffey, Public Utility Analyst IV, Division of Economics *SKg*

RE: Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)
Docket No. 20260040-OT. Proposed amendment of Rules 25-6.003, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), Definitions; 25-30.255, F.A.C., Measurement of Service for Water Utilities; and 25-30.434, F.A.C., Application for Allowance for Funds Prudently Invested (AFPI) Charges; and proposed repeal of Rules 25-9.020, F.A.C., Front Cover; 25-9.060, F.A.C., Front Cover; 25-9.071, F.A.C., Back Cover; and 25-30.010, F.A.C., Rules for General Application.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to propose updates and clarifications to Rules 25-6.003, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), Definitions; 25-30.255, F.A.C., Measurement of Service for Water Utilities; and 25-30.434, F.A.C., Application for Allowance for Funds Prudently Invested (AFPI) Charges; and propose repeal of Rules 25-9.020, F.A.C., Front Cover; 25-9.060, F.A.C., Front Cover; 25-9.071, F.A.C., Back Cover; and 25-30.010, F.A.C., Rules for General Application. The proposed rulemaking is necessitated by the statutory rule review mandated by Section 120.5435, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

Paragraph (1) of Rule 25-30.003, F.A.C., Definitions of general applicability is proposed to be deleted because the 7th edition published in December 2000, Authoritative Dictionary of The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard Terms, as referenced in the rule, is obsolete. Also, the National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) which is incorporated by reference later in Rule 25-6, F.A.C., includes definitions of general applicability. Deleting an obsolete reference in the rule will minimize confusion for all stakeholders and there are no costs associated with this rule for any stakeholder.

Paragraph (3) in Rule 25-30.255, F.A.C., is proposed to be deleted because it requires water utilities to use meters which conform to specific standards. Rules 25-30.261, F.A.C., through 25-30.267, F.A.C., encompass the necessary requirements regarding water utility meter readings and testing to ensure accurate measurement of service regardless of the meter type used. Therefore, Rule 25-30.255(3), F.A.C. is obsolete and there are no costs for the stakeholders associated with the repeal of paragraph (3) in Rule 25-30.255, F.A.C.

Rule 25-30.434, F.A.C., is proposed to be amended to update and clarify the rule and repeal unnecessary rule language to comply with the statutory rule review mandated by Section

120.5435, F.S. The proposed revised rule clarifies the calculation for net CIAC (contribution-in-aid-of-construction and accumulated amortization of CIAC). The proposed revised rule also specify additional language indicating that if the plant is abandoned and/or retired, then the AFPI charges must cease. The proposed rule language indicates the location of the website address for the Commission Form entitled AFPI, which outlines the calculation and schedules used to develop the proposed AFPI charge. Finally, new language is proposed to state that all calculations and schedules must be provided electronically to the Commission.

The proposed amended rules would not result in negative fiscal impacts to the electric investor owned utilities, electric cooperatives, municipal utilities, water, and wastewater utilities, as discussed in the attached SERC. No regulatory alternatives were submitted pursuant to Section 120.541(1)(a), F.S. by any affected entity. None of the impacts/cost criteria established in Section 120.541(2)(a)-(e), F.S., will be exceeded as a result of the proposed revisions to the rules.

Additionally, staff is proposing the repeal of Rules 25-9.020, F.A.C., 25-9.060, F.A.C., 25-9.071, F.A.C., and 25-30.010, F.A.C., as they are obsolete and the SERCs conclude that the repeal will not result in negative economic impacts.

cc: SERC file

SERCs FOR RULES TO BE AMENDED

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-6.003, F.A.C., Definitions

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is "yes," see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is "yes," a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: Rule 25-6.003(1), F.A.C., Definitions of general applicability is proposed to be deleted because the Authoritative Dictionary of The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard Terms, as referenced in the rule, is obsolete. Also, the National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) which is incorporated by reference later in Rule 25-6, F.A.C., includes definitions of general applicability. Deleting an obsolete reference in the rule will minimize confusion for all stakeholders and there are no costs associated with this rule for any stakeholder.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

The number of entities required to comply with Rule 25-6.003, F.A.C., are four (4) investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, and 34 municipal electric utilities.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

NA

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

- ☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: Paragraph (1) of Rule 25-6.003, F.A.C., is proposed to be deleted because it is obsolete and no costs are associated with this rule revision. Deleting the obsolete reference in the rule will minimize confusion for the stakeholders; utilities, ratepayers, Commission staff, small businesses, state and local government entities, and other parties directly affected.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

- ☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-30.255, F.A.C., Measurement of Service for Water Utilities.

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is "yes," see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is "yes," a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule?

[120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: Rule 25-30.255(3), F.A.C., is proposed to be deleted because it requires water utilities to use meters which conform to specific standards. Rules 25-30.261, F.A.C., through 25-30.267, F.A.C., encompass the necessary requirements regarding water utility meter readings and testing to ensure accurate measurement of service regardless of the meter type used. Therefore, Rule 25-30.255(3), F.A.C. is not needed and there are no costs for the stakeholders associated with the repeal of paragraph (3) in Rule 25-30.255, F.A.C.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Number of entities likely to be required to comply with this rule are 123 water utilities regulated by the PSC.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

None.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

☒ None.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

☒ No adverse impact on small business.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: None.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-30.434, F.A.C., Application for Allowance for Funds Prudently Invested (AFPI)
Charges.

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is "yes," see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is "yes," a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets) Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: Rule 25-30.434, F.A.C., is proposed to be amended to update and clarify the rule and repeal unnecessary rule language to comply with the statutory rule review mandated by Section 120.5435, F.S. The proposed revised rule clarifies the calculation for net CIAC (contribution-in-aid-of-construction and accumulated amortization of CIAC). The proposed revised rule also specify additional language indicating that if the plant is abandoned and/or retired, then the AFPI charges must cease. Finally, the revised rule indicates the location of the website address for the Commission Form entitled AFPI, which outlines the calculation and schedules used to develop the proposed AFPI charge.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Number of entities likely to be required to comply with this rule are 123 water utilities and 86 wastewater utilities regulated by the PSC.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Individuals affected would be the ratepayers of the water and wastewater utilities; greater clarity of the proposed revised rule would allow the utilities' future customers to not over collect AFPI charges.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

☒ None.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

☒ No adverse impact on small business.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A “Small City” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A “small county” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: Greater clarity of the proposed revised rule should result in reducing utilities’ time to appropriately to interpret and apply the rule more effectively and allow the utility’s future customers to not overcollect AFPI charges.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

- ☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

SERCs FOR RULES TO BE REPEALED

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.020 Front Cover, F.A.C.

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is "yes," see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is "yes," a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: The recommended repeal of Rule 25-9.020, F.A.C., Front Cover, will have no economic impact.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

☒ None.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: NA

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.060 Front Cover, F.A.C.

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is "yes," see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is "yes," a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: The recommended repeal of Rule 25-9.060, F.A.C., Front Cover, will have no economic impact.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

☒ None.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: NA

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.071 Back Cover, F.A.C.

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is "yes," see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is "yes," a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: The recommended repeal of Rule 25-9.071, F.A.C., Back Cover, will have no economic impact.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

☒ None.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: NA

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-30.010, F.A.C., Rules for General Application.

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is "yes," see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is "yes," a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability
of persons doing business in the state to compete
with persons doing business in other states or
domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: The recommended repeal of Rule 25-30.010, F.A.C., Rules for General Application, will have no economic impact.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

None; the rule is recommended to be repealed. See Section (3) above.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

☒ None.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: NA

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.