

COMMISSIONERS:
GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO SMITH, CHAIRMAN
GARY F. CLARK
MIKE LA ROSA
BOBBY PAYNE
ANA ORTEGA

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
ADRIA E. HARPER
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

June 18, 2026

STAFF'S NINTH DATA REQUEST

via email

Susan F. Clark, Esq.
Thomas A. Crabb, Esq.
Radey Law Firm
301 South Bronough Street, Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32301
sclark@radeylaw.com
tcraab@radeylaw.com

RE: Docket No. 20250130-WS – Petition for an acquisition adjustment for a non-viable utility, by CSWR-Florida Utility Operating Company, LLC.

Dear Ms. Clark and Mr. Crabb:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that CSWR-Florida Utility Operating Company (CSWR) provide responses to the following data requests:

1. Please refer to CSWR-FL's Response to Staff's Sixth Data Request, No. 1(b), regarding the Utility's explanation of additional O&M expenses.¹
 - a. Please identify, with specificity, the expenses incurred at the CSWR-Florida level that were allocated amongst each system based on respective customer count and system specific expenses not allocated.
 - b. Please explain, with specificity, why the additional O&M expenses, not captured by the Utility's anticipated pro forma expenses, differ between other acquired systems.

¹ Document No. 03372-2026, filed June 5, 2026.

2. In response to Staff's First Data Request, No. 22 (in Docket Nos. 20250038-WS, 20250043-WS, 20250047-WS, 20250130-WS, and 20250136-WS), CSWR-Florida provided examples of acquisition adjustments that its parent company and/or affiliates were granted in other states. Please confirm the amounts listed below. If these amounts are incorrect, please provide the correct values.
 - a. For the Kentucky case cited in the response, or Docket 2022-00432, was total amount granted for all ten systems \$90,191? If not, what was the total amount?
 - b. For the cited cases in Texas, was the net total level (mix of positive and negative adjustments) of acquisition adjustments associated with non-fair market value purchases of 13 systems \$602,019? If not, what was the total amount?
 - c. For the Tennessee case cited in the response, or Docket No. 24-00044, was the net total level of acquisition adjustments associated with the purchase of 7 systems \$1,532,567? If not, what was the total amount?
3. In response to Staff's First Data Request, No. 15, the Utility provided pro forma financial statements for its first five years of operation.² Please clarify whether Y0 through Y5 aligns with 2022 through 2027 respectively. If not, please identify the years the projection aligns with.

Please file all responses electronically no later than Wednesday, July 1, 2026, through the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com, by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. *In addition, please email the filed response to discovery-gcl@psc.state.fl.us.*

Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6524 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Zachary Bloom
Zachary Bloom
Attorney

ZB/ds

cc: Office of Commission Clerk

² Document No. 01165-2026, filed February 18, 2026.