

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: July 8, 2026

TO: Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk

FROM: Susan Sapoznikoff, Special Counsel, Office of the General Counsel *SS*

RE: Docket No.: 20260038-OT; Statements of Estimated Regulatory Costs

Attached are the Statements of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERCs) for rules in this docket.

Please add these documents to the docket file.

Thank you.

Attachments

SERCs (including SERC memo)



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: May 29, 2026

TO: Susan Sapoznikoff, Special Counsel, Office of the General Counsel

FROM: Christopher Nguyen, Public Utility Analyst I, Division of Economics
Patrick Kelley, Public Utility Analyst II, Division of Economics

RE: Docket No. 20260038-OT: Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) for Proposed amendment of Rules 25-9.001, Application and Scope; 25-9.004, General Filing Instructions; 25-9.006, Size and Form of Tariffs; 25-9.007, Gas - Electric - Water - Wastewater Utility Tariffs; 25-9.009, Numbering and General Data Required for Each Sheet; 25-9.010, Numbering of Supplements and Additions; 25-9.021, Title Page; 25-9.023, Description of Territory Served; 25-9.029, Index of Rate or Exchange Schedules; 25-9.033, Standard Forms; 25-9.034, Contracts and Agreements; 25-9.045, Withdrawal of Tariffs; 25-9.051, Definitions; 25-9.054, Size and Form of Documentation; 25-9.0555, Electric Utility Documentation; 25-9.061, Title Page; and 25-9.069, F.A.C., Standard Forms and Blank Bill Form

The purpose of this rulemaking is to propose updates and clarifications to Rules 25-9.001, Application and Scope; 25-9.004, General Filing Instructions; 25-9.006, Size and Form of Tariffs; 25-9.007, Gas - Electric - Water - Wastewater Utility Tariffs; 25-9.009, Numbering and General Data Required for Each Sheet; 25-9.010, Numbering of Supplements and Additions; 25-9.021, Title Page; 25-9.023, Description of Territory Served; 25-9.029, Index of Rate or Exchange Schedules; 25-9.033, Standard Forms; 25-9.034, Contracts and Agreements; 25-9.045, Withdrawal of Tariffs; 25-9.051, Definitions; 25-9.054, Size and Form of Documentation; 25-9.0555, Electric Utility Documentation; 25-9.061, Title Page; and 25-9.069, F.A.C., Standard Forms and Blank Bill Form. The proposed rulemaking is necessitated by the statutory rule review mandated by Section 120.5435, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

Rules 25-9.001, 25-9.004, 25-9.006, 25-9.007, 25-9.009, 25-9.023, 25-9.029, 25-9.033, 25-9.034, 25-9.051, 25-9.054, and 25-9.069, F.A.C. have minor changes to provide clearer standards for utility tariffs and tariff filings, while considering current Commission and industry practice. The proposed language in these rules has also been revised to further conform with the Commission's other rules, such as replacing "shall" with "must."

Rules 25-9.010, 25-9.021, 25-9.045, 25-9.055, and 25-9.061, F.A.C., have more notable proposed changes in addition to the previously mentioned minor changes. Rule 25-9.010 is proposed to add detail on how to properly number service classifications on rate schedules. This is already standard practice with tariff filings. Rules 25-9.021 and 9.061 are proposed to include

language that defines what the title page should include because that information is currently supposed to be included on the front cover. The front cover is not typically included, with companies filing with this information on their title page instead. Rule 25-9.045, F.A.C. has the proposed addition of language requiring a type-and-strike version of the tariffs to be provided when requesting withdrawal of tariff sheets. There is also proposed language about a utility reserving a tariff sheet for future use, rather than withdrawing the sheet entirely. This is already standard practice with tariff filings. Finally, the front cover and back cover requirement in Rule 25-9.055, F.A.C., are proposed to be deleted because the rules requiring that documentation is proposed to be repealed.

The proposed amended rules would not result in negative fiscal impacts to the electric investor owned utilities, electric cooperatives, municipal utilities, water, and wastewater utilities, as discussed in the attached SERCs. No regulatory alternatives were submitted pursuant to Section 120.541(1)(a), F.S. by any affected entity. None of the impacts/cost criteria established in Section 120.541(2)(a)-(e), F.S., will be exceeded as a result of the proposed revisions to the rules.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.001 Application and Scope

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.
[120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.004 General Filing Instructions

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. “Transactional costs” may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) “Small business” is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A “Small City” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A “small county” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.
[120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.006 Size and Form of Tariffs

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. “Transactional costs” may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) “Small business” is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A “Small City” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A “small county” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.
[120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.007 Gas – Water – Wastewater Utility Tariffs

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. “Transactional costs” may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) “Small business” is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A “Small City” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A “small county” is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.
[120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.009 Numbering and General Data Required for Each Sheet

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.
[120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.010 Numbering of Supplements and Additions

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.021 Title Page

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.023 Description of Territory Served

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.029 Index of Rate Schedules

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: N/A

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.
[120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.033, F.A.C., Standard Forms

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.034, F.A.C., Contracts and Agreements

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.045, F.A.C., Withdrawal of Tariffs

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.051, F.A.C., Definitions

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.054, F.A.C., Size and Form of Documentation

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.055, F.A.C., Electric Utility Documentation

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.061, F.A.C., Title Page

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.

Florida Public Service Commission
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS
Rule 25-9.069, F.A.C., Standard Forms and Blank Bill Form

1. Will the proposed rule have an adverse impact on small business? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]
(See Section E. below for definition of small business.)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to Question 1 is “yes,” see comments in Section E.

2. Is the proposed rule likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after implementation of the rule? [120.541(1)(b), F.S.]

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the answer to either question above is “yes,” a Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) must be prepared. The SERC shall include an economic analysis showing:

A. Whether the rule directly or indirectly:

- (1) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)1, F.S.]

Economic growth

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector job creation or employment

Yes ☐ No ☒

Private-sector investment

Yes ☐ No ☒

- (2) Is likely to have an adverse impact on any of the following in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)2, F.S.]

Business competitiveness (including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets)

Yes ☐ No ☒

Productivity

Yes ☐ No ☒

Innovation

Yes ☐ No ☒

(3) Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule? [120.541(2)(a)3, F.S.]

Yes ☐ No ☒

Economic Analysis: There should be no change regarding regulatory costs as the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

B. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(b), F.S.]

(1) The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule.

Four investor owned electric utilities, 18 electric cooperatives, 34 municipal electric utilities, four natural gas utilities, 124 water utilities, and 86 wastewater utilities under the purview of the PSC are required to comply with the rule. The utilities will incur no change in costs from the proposed rule revisions.

(2) A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Utilities, small businesses (water and wastewater utilities that may meet the definition in section 288.703(1) F.S.), and the Division of Economics staff at the PSC may be affected by the proposed rule revisions.

C. A good faith estimate of: [120.541(2)(c), F.S.]

(1) The cost to the agency to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. To be done with the current workload and existing staff.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) The cost to any other state and local government entity to implement and enforce the rule.

☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.

☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.

☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(3) Any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

- ☒ None.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

D. A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities (including local government entities) required to comply with the requirements of the rule. "Transactional costs" may include the following: filing fees; expenses to obtain a license; necessary equipment; installation, utilities for, and maintenance of necessary equipment; necessary operations or procedures; accounting, financial, information management, and other administrative processes; labor, based on relevant wages, salaries, and benefits; materials and supplies; capital expenditures, including financing costs; professional and technical services, including contracted services necessary to implement and maintain compliance; monitoring and reporting; qualifying and recurring education, training, and testing; travel; insurance and surety requirements; a fair and reasonable allocation of administrative costs and other overhead; reduced sales or other revenue; or other items suggested by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor or by any interested person, business organization, or business representative. [120.541(2)(d), F.S.]

- ☒ None. The rule will only affect the agency.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

E. An analysis of the impact on small businesses, small counties, and small cities: [120.541(2)(e), F.S.]

(1) "Small business" is defined by Section 288.703, F.S., as an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

- ☒ No adverse impact on small business.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

(2) A "Small City" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census. A "small county" is defined by Section 120.52, F.S., as any county that has an unincarcerated

population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census.

- ☒ No impact on small cities or small counties.
- ☐ Minimal. Provide a brief explanation.
- ☐ Other. Provide an explanation for estimate and methodology used.

F. In evaluating the impacts described in paragraphs A and E, include a discussion, if applicable, of the market impacts likely to result from compliance with the proposed rule, including: [120.541(2)(f), F.S.]

1. Changes to customer charges for goods or services.
2. Changes to the market value of goods and services produced, provided, or sold.
3. Changes to costs resulting from the purchase of substitute or alternative goods or services.
4. The reasonable value of time to be spent by owners, officers, operators, and managers to understand and comply with the proposed rule, including, but not limited to, time to be spent completing requiring education, training, or testing.

Discussion and Analysis of Market Impacts: There should be no change regarding market impacts because the proposed rule amendments only change the language to provide clearer standards and to better consider the current Commission and industry practice.

G. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. [120.541(2)(g), F.S.]

☒ None.

Additional Information:

H. A description of any regulatory alternatives submitted and a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. [120.541(2)(h), F.S.]

- ☒ No regulatory alternatives were submitted.
- ☐ A regulatory alternative was received from
- ☐ Adopted in its entirety.
- ☐ Rejected. Describe what alternative was rejected and provide a statement of the reason for rejecting that alternative.